 2 3 4 	Jonathan Evans (Cal. Bar #247376) CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY 351 California St., Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104 Phone: 415-436-9682 x318 Fax: 415-436-9683 email: jevans@biologicaldiversity.org				
5	Counsel for Plaintiff Center for Biological Diversit	у			
67	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
8					
9	CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY,)))			
10) Case No.			
11)) COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY) AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF			
13	Plaintiff, v.) (Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401 <i>et. seq.</i>)			
14	GINA McCARTHY,)			
15	in her official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency,)			
16	Defendant.)			
17	Defendant.	_)			
18	I. INTRODUCTION				
19	1. Plaintiff the Center for Biological Diversity	brings this Clean Air Act citizen suit to			
20	compel the United States Environmental Protection Agency to undertake overdue mandatory				
21	duties. Specifically, Defendant, Gina McCarthy, in her official capacity as Administrator of the				
22	United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), has failed to make findings of failure				
23	to submit under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B), and pu	blish notice of those findings in the Federal			

Register, for nonattainment state implementation plans ("SIPs") for the 2006 particulate matter 1 less than 2.5 microns in diameter ("PM2.5") for the following areas listed in Table 1: 2 TABLE 1 3 **AREA** 4 **STATE Imperial County** California 5 Liberty-Clairton Pennsylvania Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley Pennsylvania 6 Milwaukee-Racine Wisconsin 7 Furthermore, EPA has failed to take final action, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) – 8 (4), to approve or disapprove, in whole or part, the 2006 PM2.5 National Ambient Air Quality 9 Standards ("NAAQS") nonattainment SIP submissions listed in Table 2 below: 10 TABLE 2 11 AREA FINAL ACTION **ELEMENT COMPLETION** DATE DUE DATE 12 Los Angeles – South Non-Attainment $3/27/2012^{1}$ 3/27/2013 Coast, California New Source Review 13 Knoxville-Sevierville- $1/29/2012^2$ Non-Attainment 1/29/2103 La Follette, Tennesee New Source Review 14 Milwaukee-Racine, $11/12/2011^3$ Non-Attainment 11/12/2012 Wisconsin New Source Review 15 1/1/20114 Charleston, West Non-Attainment 1/1/2012 Virginia New Source Review 16 17

18

20

21

22

¹⁹ See Status of SIP Requirements for Designated Areas, California: PM-2.5 (2006) / Los Angeles-South Coast Air Basin available at http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/ca_elembypoll.html#pm-2.5_2006_1203 (last visited October 31, 2013).

² See Status of SIP Requirements for Designated Areas, Tennessee: PM-2.5 (2006) / Knoxville-Sevierville-La Follette available at http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/tn_elembypoll.html#pm-2.5_2006_1227 (last visited October 31, 2013).

³ See Status of SIP Requirements for Designated Areas, Wisconsin: PM-2.5 (2006) / Milwaukee-Racine available at http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/wi_elembypoll.html#pm-2.5_2006_1232 (last visited October 31, 2013).

⁴ See Status of SIP Requirements for Designated Areas, West Virginia: PM-2.5 (2006) / Charleston available at http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/wv_elembypoll.html#pm-2.5 2006 1233 (last visited October 31, 2013).

1	Accordingly, Plaintiff THE CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY brings this action			
2	against Defendant GINA McCARTHY, in her official capacity as EPA Administrator, to compel			
3	her to perform her mandatory duties.			
4	II. JURISDICTION			
5	2. This case is a Clean Air Act citizen suit. Therefore, the Court has jurisdiction over this			
6	action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction) and 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2)			
7	(citizen suits for failure to perform a non-discretionary duty required by the Clean Air Act).			
8	3. An actual controversy exists between the parties. This case does not concern federal			
9	taxes, is not a proceeding under 11 U.S.C. §§ 505 or 1146, and does not involve the Tariff Act of			
10	1930. Thus, this Court has authority to order the declaratory relief requested under 28 U.S.C. §			
11	2201. If the Court orders declaratory relief, 28 U.S.C. § 2202 authorizes this Court to issue			
12	injunctive relief.			
13	III. NOTICE			
14	4. On August 28, 2013, Plaintiff mailed to EPA by certified mail, return receipt requested,			
15	written notice of intent to sue regarding the violations alleged in this Complaint. EPA received			
16	this written notice on September 4, 2013. More than sixty days have passed since EPA received			
17	this "notice of intent to sue" letter. EPA has not remedied the violations alleged in this			
18	Complaint. Therefore, a present and actual controversy exists.			
19	IV. VENUE			
20	5. Defendant EPA resides in this judicial district. EPA Region 9, which has authority over			
21	California, is headquartered in San Francisco. This civil action is brought against an officer of			
22	the United States acting in her official capacity and a substantial part of the events or omissions			
23				

giving rise to the claims in this case occurred in the Northern District of California. Therefore, venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e).

V. INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

6. A substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claims in this case occurred in the County of San Francisco. EPA Region 9, which has authority over California, is headquartered in San Francisco. Accordingly, assignment to the San Francisco Division or the Oakland Division is proper pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c) and (d).

VI. PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff the CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY is a non-profit 501(c)(3) corporation incorporated in California. The Center for Biological Diversity has over 48,000 members throughout the United States and the world. The Center for Biological Diversity's mission is to ensure the preservation, protection, and restoration of biodiversity, native species, ecosystems, public lands and waters, and public health through science, policy, and environmental law. Based on the understanding that the health and vigor of human societies and the integrity and wildness of the natural environment are closely linked, the Center for Biological Diversity is working to secure a future for animals and plants hovering on the brink of extinction, for the ecosystems they need to survive, and for a healthy, livable future for all of us.
- 8. The Center for Biological Diversity and its members include individuals with varying interests in wildlife species and their habitat ranging from scientific, professional, and educational to recreational, aesthetic, moral, and spiritual. Further, the Center for Biological Diversity's members enjoy, on an ongoing basis, the biological, scientific, research, educational, conservation, recreational, and aesthetic values of the regions inhabited by these species, including the regions at issue in this action. The Center for Biological Diversity's members

observe and study native species and their habitat, and derive professional, scientific, 1 educational, recreational, aesthetic, inspirational, and other benefits from these activities and 2 3 have an interest in preserving the possibility of such activities in the future. The Center for Biological Diversity and its members have participated in efforts to protect and preserve natural 4 areas, including the habitat essential to the continued survival of native species, and to address 5 6 threats to the continued existence of these species, including the threats posed by air pollution and other contaminants. 7 8 9. Plaintiff's members live, work, recreate, travel and engage in other activities throughout 9 the areas at issue in this complaint and will continue to do so on a regular basis. Pollution in the affected areas threatens and damages, and will continue to threaten and damage, the health and 10

affected areas threatens and damages, and will continue to threaten and damage, the health and welfare of Plaintiff's members as well as their ability to engage in and enjoy their other activities. Pollution diminishes Plaintiff's members' ability to enjoy the aesthetic qualities and

recreational opportunities of the affected area.

- 10. EPA's failure to timely perform the mandatory duties described herein also adversely affects Plaintiff, as well as their members, by depriving them of procedural protection and opportunities, as well as information that they are entitled to under the Clean Air Act. The failure of EPA to perform the mandatory duties also creates uncertainty for Plaintiff's members as to whether they are exposed to excess air pollution.
- 11. The above injuries will continue until the Court grants the relief requested herein.
- Defendant GINA McCARTHY is the Administrator of the EPA. In that role
 Administrator McCarthy has been charged by Congress with the duty to administer the Clean Air
 Act, including the mandatory duties at issue in this case. Administrator McCarthy is also

23

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

charged with overseeing all EPA regional offices including EPA Region 9, which has authority			
over California and is headquartered in San Francisco.			
VII. LEGAL BACKGROUND			
13. Congress enacted the Clean Air Act to "speed up, expand, and intensify the war against			
air pollution in the United States with a view to assuring that the air we breathe throughout the			
Nation is wholesome once again." H.R. Rep. No. 1146, 91st Cong., 2d Sess. 1,1, 1970 U.S.			
Code Cong. & Admin. News 5356, 5356. To promote this, the Act requires EPA to set National			
Ambient Air Quality Standards for certain pollutants. 42 U.S.C. § 7409(a). National Ambient			
Air Quality Standards establish maximum allowable concentrations in the air of such pollutants.			
14. After EPA promulgates a National Ambient Air Quality Standard, the Clean Air Act			
requires that EPA designate each area of the country as either a clean air area for that standard,			
which is known as "attainment" in Clean Air Act jargon, or a dirty air area, which is known as			
"nonattainment" in Clean Air Act jargon. See 42 U.S.C. § 7407(d).			
15. Under the Clean Air Act, each state is required to submit state implementation plans to			
ensure that each National Ambient Air Quality Standard will be achieved, maintained, and			
enforced. Without such plans, the public is not afforded full protection against the harmful			
impacts of air pollution.			
16. For dirty air areas which EPA has designated as "nonattainment" states must submit			
nonattainment area state implementation plans. See 42 U.S.C. §§ 7410(a)(2)(I), 7501 – 7509a,			
7513 – 7513b.			
17. The Clean Air Act requires EPA to determine whether any state implementation plan			
submittal is administratively complete. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B). EPA must make this			

1	determination by "no later than 6 months after the date, if any, by which a State is required to				
2	submit the plan or revision." <i>Id</i> .				
3	18. If a state fails to submit any required state implementation plan, there is no submittal that				
4	may be deemed administratively complete, and EPA must make a determination, and publish				
5	notice of that determination in the Federal Register, stating that the state failed to submit an				
6	administratively complete state implementation plan submittal within six months of when the				
7	submittal was due. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B). This is referred to as a "finding of failure to				
8	submit."				
9	19. Once a state does submit a state implementation plan submittal, EPA has a mandatory				
10	duty to take final action on any administratively complete state implementation plan submission				
11	by approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part within 12				
12	months of the date the submission is deemed administratively complete. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2)				
13	and (3).				
14	VIII. FACTS				
15	20. This case involves EPA's failure to timely implement the National Ambient Air Quality				
16	Standards for PM2.5. There is no safe level of exposure to PM2.5, which includes hazardous				
17	forms of dirt, soot, smoke, and liquid droplets found in the air.				
18	21. PM2.5 is "produced chiefly by combustion processes and by atmospheric reactions of				
19	various gaseous pollutants," thus "[s]ources of fine particles include motor vehicles, power				
20	generation, combustion sources at industrial facilities, and residential fuel burning." 71 Fed. Reg.				
21	61144, 61146 (Oct. 17, 2006).				
22	22. The effects of PM2.5 on humans are profound. For example, exposure has been				

associated "with an array of health effects, notably premature mortality, increased respiratory

symptoms and illnesses (e.g. bronchitis and cough in children), and reduced lung function." 62
Fed. Reg. 38652, 38668 (July 18, 1997).

23. PM2.5 also adversely impacts wildlife. EPA has explained "a number of animal toxicologic . . . studies had reported health effects associations with high concentrations of numerous fine particle components[.]" 71 Fed. Reg. 2620, 2643 – 2644 (Jan. 17, 2006). PM2.5 also causes direct foliar injury to vegetation. *Id.* at 2682.

24. As to broader ecosystem impacts, EPA has explained that the nitrogen and sulfur "containing components of PM have been associated with a broad spectrum of terrestrial and aquatic ecosystem impacts that result from either the nutrient or acidifying characteristics of the deposited compounds." Id. These impacts include nitrogen saturation which "causes 1) Decreased productivity, increased mortality, and/or shifts in terrestrial plant community composition, often leading to decreased biodiversity in many natural habitats wherever atmospheric [reactive nitrogen] deposition increases significantly and critical thresholds are exceeded; (2) leaching of excess nitrate and associated base cations from terrestrial soils into streams, lakes and rivers and mobilization of soil aluminum; and (3) alteration of ecosystem processes such as nutrient and energy cycles through changes in the functioning and species composition of beneficial soil organisms (Galloway and Cowling 2002)." Id. EPA has described this impacts on terrestrial ecosystems as "profound and adverse[.]" Id. EPA has also determined that PM2.5 adversely impacts aquatic ecosystems via excess nutrient inputs and acid and acidifying deposition. 71 Fed. Reg. at 2682 – 2683. "Data from existing deposition networks in the U.S. demonstrate that N and S compounds are being deposited in amounts known to be sufficient to affect sensitive terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems over time." 71 Fed. Reg. at 2683.

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	25. Moreover, PM2.5 adversely affects the visibility and aesthetics of our natural				
2	surroundings. For example, regional haze is caused in part by particulates in the air scattering				
3	sunlight. 71 Fed. Reg. at 2675 – 2678. It is vital that EPA take the required action in order to				
4	strengthen protection of public health and welfare against PM2.5.				
5	26. In 2006, EPA strengthened the primary and secondary 24-hour PM2.5 NAAQS from 65				
6	micrograms per cubic meter (ug/m³) to 35 ug/m³. The revised 24-hour PM2.5				
7	standards were published on October 17, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 61,144) and became effective on				
8	December 18, 2006. EPA made attainment and nonattainment designations for the 2006 PM2.5				
9	NAAQS which became effective on December 14, 2009. See 74 Fed. Reg. 58,688 (Nov. 13,				
10	2009).				
11	27. Nonattainment state implementation plans were due no later than three years from the				
12	effective date of designations, that is by no later than December 14, 2012. See generally 74				
13	Fed. Reg. at 58,689 overturned in Nat. Resources Defense Council v. EPA, 706 F.3d 428 (D.C.				
14	Cir. 2013) ("NRDC").				
15	IX. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF				
16	<u>CLAIM ONE</u>				
17	(Failure to make a finding of failure to submit.)				
18	28. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 27.				
19	29. The deadline for the 2006 PM2.5 National Ambient Air Quality Standard nonattainment				
20	state implementation plan submissions is no later than December 14, 2012.				
21	30. More than six months have passed since December 14, 2012.				
22	31. California has not submitted a 2006 PM2.5 NAAQS nonattainment state implementation				
23	plan for the Imperial County nonattainment area.				

32. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 7410(k)(1)(B), EPA has a mandatory duty to make a finding of				
failure to submit for California by no later than June 14, 2013.				
33. EPA has failed to make such a finding.				
34. Pennsylvania has not submitted a 2006 PM2.5 NAAQS nonattainment state				
impler	mentation plan for the Liberty-Clairton nonattainment area.			
35. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 7410(k)(1)(B), EPA has a mandatory duty to make a finding of				
failure	to submit for Pennsylvania by no later than June 14, 2013 regarding the Liberty-Clairton			
area.				
36.	EPA has failed to make such a finding.			
37.	Pennsylvania has not submitted a 2006 PM2.5 NAAQS nonattainment state			
implementation plan for the Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley nonattainment area.				
38.	Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 7410(k)(1)(B), EPA has a mandatory duty to make a finding of			
failure to submit for Pennsylvania by no later than June 14, 2013 regarding the Pittsburgh-				
Beave	r Valley area.			
39.	EPA has failed to make such a finding.			
40.	Wisconsin has not submitted a 2006 PM2.5 NAAQS nonattainment state implementation			
plan for the Milwaukee-Racine nonattainment area.				
41.	Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 7410(k)(1)(B), EPA has a mandatory duty to make a finding of			
failure to submit for Wisconsin by no later than June 14, 2013.				
42.	EPA has failed to make such a finding.			
	<u>CLAIM TWO</u>			
	(Failure to Take Final Action on State Implementation Plan Submissions.)			
43.	Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 42.			
	failure 33. 34. impler 35. failure area. 36. 37. impler 38. failure Beave 39. 40. plan for 41. failure 42.			

- 1 44. The Clean Air Act requires EPA to determine whether any state implementation plan submission is administratively complete. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k)(1)(B).
- 45. If, six months after a state submits a state implementation plan, EPA has not made the completeness finding and has not found the submission to be incomplete, the submission is deemed administratively complete by operation of law. *Id*.
- 46. EPA must take final action on an administratively complete submission by approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part within 12 months of the date of the submission's administrative completeness finding. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) and (3).
- 9 47. By no later than March 27, 2012, either EPA or operation of law deemed California's submission addressing the nonattainment new source review element of the 2006 PM2.5 nonattainment SIP for the Los Angeles South Coast nonattainment area administratively complete.
 - 48. EPA has a mandatory duty to take final action, and publish notice of that action in the Federal Register, by approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part California's submission by no later than March 27, 2013. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) and (3).
 - 49. EPA has failed to perform this mandatory duty.
 - 50. By no later than January 29, 2012 either EPA or operation of law deemed Tennessee's submission addressing the nonattainment new source review element of the 2006 PM2.5 nonattainment SIP for the Knoxville-Sevierville-La Follette nonattainment area administratively complete.
- 22 51. EPA has a mandatory duty to take final action, and publish notice of that action in the 23 Federal Register, by approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1	disapproving in part Tennessee's submission by no later than January 29, 2013. 42 U.S.C. §				
2	7410(k)(2) and (3).				
3	52. EPA has failed to perform this mandatory duty.				
4	53. By no later than November 12, 2011, either EPA or operation of law deemed				
5	Wisconsin's submission addressing the nonattainment new source review element of the 2006				
6	PM2.5 nonattainment SIP for the Milwaukee-Racine nonattainment area administratively				
7	complete.				
8	54. EPA has a mandatory duty to take final action, and publish notice of that action in the				
9	Federal Register, by approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and				
10	disapproving in part Wisconsin's submission by no later than November 12, 2012. 42 U.S.C. §				
11	7410(k)(2) and (3).				
12	55. EPA has failed to perform this mandatory duty.				
13	56. By no later than January 1, 2011, either EPA or operation of law deemed West Virginia	a's			
14	submission addressing the nonattainment new source review element of the 2006 PM2.5				
15	nonattainment SIP for the Charleston nonattainment area administratively complete.				
16	57. EPA has a mandatory duty to take final action, and publish notice of that action in the				
17	Federal Register, by approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and				
18	disapproving in part West Virginia's submission by no later than January 1, 2012. 42 U.S.C. §				
19	7410(k)(2) and (3).				
20	58. EPA has failed to perform this mandatory duty.				
21	///				
22	///				
23	///				

1		REQUEST FOR RELIEF			
2		WHEREFORE, the Center for Biological Diversity respectfully requests that the Court:			
3	A. Declare that the Administrator is in violation of the Clean Air Act with regard to her				
4		failure to perform the mandatory duties listed above;			
5	B.	Issue a mandatory injunction requiring the Administrator to perform her mandatory			
6		duties listed above by certain dates;			
7	C.	Retain jurisdiction of this matter for purposes of enforcing the Court's order;			
8	D.	Grant the Center for Biological Diversity their reasonable costs of litigation, including			
9	attorneys' and experts' fees; and;				
10	E.	Grant such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.			
11		De an a atfullu, automitte d			
12		Respectfully submitted,			
13					
14		_/s/ Jonathan Evans Jonathan Evans (Cal. Bar #247376)			
15		CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY 351 California St., Suite 600			
16		San Francisco, CA 94104 Phone: 415-436-9682 x318			
17		Fax: 415-436-9683 email: jevans@biologicaldiversity.org			
18		Counsel for Plaintiff Center for Biological Diversity			
19	Dated:	November 5, 2013			
20					
21					
22					
23					

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12) Cand rev (1/15/13)

Case3:13-cv-05142VDocument 1SFiled 1/05/13 Page1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil decket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAG		774, is required for the use of	the elerk of court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS		
CENTER FOR BIOLO	OGICAL DIVERSITY	U.S Environmental Pr	U.S Environmental Protection Agency, GINA MCCARTHY, Administrator		
•	of First Listed Plaintiff San Francisco, CA (CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence NOTE:	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Center for Biological I 351 California St., Sui San Francisco, CA. 94 (415) 436-9682 x318	te 600	Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRI	NCIPAL PARTIES (Pla		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 Citizen of This State			
X 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and P of Business In A		
IV. NATURE OF CHIE	D	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Greign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJ		☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 365 Personal Inju ☐ 315 Airplane Product ☐ Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/ ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutic	of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other	423 Withdrawal	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts X 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) X 1 Original					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you 42 U.S.C. §§7604(a)(2) (Clean Air Act). Brief description of cause:		tes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: Failure to perform mandatory duties pursuar CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.		DELCAMB &	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
IX. DIVISIONAL ASS (Place an "X" in One Box On	IGNMENT (Civil L.R. 3-2) ly) (X) S	SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAN	ID () SAN JOSE	() EUREKA	
DATE 11/5/13	SIGNATURE OF AT /s/ Jonathan Eva	TORNEY OF RECORD ns			

Case3:13-cv-05142 Document1-1 Filed11/05/13 Page2 of 2

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filling date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- **VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern Dis	strict of California			
CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, a non- profit corporation, Plaintiff(s) v. GINA McCARTHY, in her official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Defendant(s))))) (Civil Action No.)))))			
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) Eric H. Holder Jr., U.S. Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, D.C. 20530-0001	And all named Defendants			
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Jonathan Evans CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY 351 California St, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA. 94619				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.			
	CLERK OF COURT			
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was re	This summons for (nanceived by me on (date)	me of individual and title, if any	·				
	☐ I personally serve	d the summons on the indi	ividual at (place)				
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I left the summon		ence or usual place of abode with (name)				
			a person of suitable age and discretion who re-	sides there,			
	on (date), and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or						
	I served the sumn	, who is					
	designated by law to	accept service of process of	on behalf of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or -			
	☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because						
	Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$				
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.						
Date:							
		_	Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				
			Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: