

## **Mystic River Watershed Initiative**

### *Mission Statement & 2011-2012 Joint Priorities*

**Mission Statement:** The mission of the Mystic River Watershed Initiative's Steering Committee ("Steering Committee") is to serve as a coordinating and information-sharing body to help establish strategic direction and priorities as well as to recommend and promote key projects and actions needed to improve environmental conditions in the Mystic River Watershed.

The members of the Steering Committee work collaboratively to improve environmental conditions throughout the watershed. The efforts of the Steering Committee contribute to the protection, development, and use of water resources in a sustainable manner. The Committee's goals include the restoration and protection of water quality, wildlife and its habitats, and the protection and creation of open public spaces for safe public access to the waterfront. In addition, the Steering Committee promotes sustainable recreational uses on the river and its tributaries.

All organizations participating in this collaborative effort will maintain base program work, to the best of their abilities, with additional targeted involvement that supports the Mystic River Watershed Initiative with a particular focus on the actions and strategies listed below. The Steering Committee members work together to identify resources including funding for needed activities and projects in the watershed.<sup>1</sup>

#### **2011- 2012 Joint Priorities**

The following is a list of priority actions and strategies that support the protection, increase and development of open space and access, as well as improved water quality. Members of the Steering Committee will work together to implement these actions and to increase public awareness, participation, understanding, and access to information about the Mystic River Watershed.

- **Help to make the waters of the Mystic River Watershed fishable, boatable, and swimmable through activities and strategies that reduce and eliminate the occurrence of SSOs, assist municipalities address pollution from stormwater, remediate legacy pollution, decrease phosphorus loading, and increase public awareness of fish consumption health advisories.**

*Priority #1: Reduce and eliminate overflows related to SSOs*

Strategies: Create long-term SSO control plan that includes:

- i. Identification of funding sources for alleviating overflows
- ii. Creation of a reporting form that provides greater detail on volumes, duration and locations for SSO events
- iii. Improved transparency on SSO issues through public notification, and
- iv. Additional collection of current locations and causes of SSOs.

*Priority #2: Create Municipal Technical Assistance Program related to MS4 permit compliance (potential topics listed below; municipal subcommittee will need to weigh in on prioritization of these topics)*

- Workshop or roundtable for discussion of successes and failures with local IDDE program
- Workshop or roundtable for discussion of successes and failures with inflow/infiltration programs
- Provide training on compliance and development of “measurable goals” to satisfy the new MS4 permit and Minimum Control Measures
- Promote or identify funding for more pilot/example projects to illustrate Best Management Practices relative to infiltration, onsite retention, etc.
- Provide information on low-cost outfall water quality testing programs (dry and wet weather)
- Develop fact sheets or assemble information on the connection between water quality and water quantity
- Engage town leaders on how town plan impacts stormwater
- Provide access to modeling to identify opportunities for ground infiltration
- Provide forum to share best practice
- Provide forum to explore sharing of resources, contracts, etc.

*Priority #3: Reduce phosphorus loading in the watershed*

- Promote the use of low or no-phosphorus fertilizers on public properties through support in the development of Integrated Management Programs
- Educate businesses, institutions, and public on the benefits of low and no-phosphorus fertilizer
- Promote funding for more pilot/example projects incorporating infiltration and onsite retention
- Investigate value and cost of phosphorus treatments and strategies beyond infiltration (aluminum treatments/biomass harvesting)

*Priority #4: Increase knowledge and action about legacy pollution*

- Work with EPA, state staff, and others to identify potential solutions to remediate sediment contamination in a particular segment of the watershed such as the Malden River
  - Identify potential risks associated with recreational activities that may come in contact with sediment (review studies and existing information that relate to potential impacts)
  - Support MDPH by publicizing fish advisories and identifying related cultural issues
- 
- **Increase establishment of safe public open space and access to the river and its tributaries (for example, the redevelopment and remediation of brownfields, development of walkways, bikeways and trails) by**

1. Supporting the development and/or improvement of Open Space and Access at targeted sites in the watershed already identified; and
2. Continuing to investigate and search for possible Open Space and Access targets in other parts of the watershed system.

*Priority #1: Focus on selected sites in the lower watershed that have been investigated by the open space subgroup and which will be supported by the Steering Committee. The recommended priority sites for 2011-2012 are as follows:*

- Development and/or improvement of public Open Space and Access at the Chemical Lane (the former Monsanto property on the Mystic River main stem river front), the Malden River GE site, the Malden River MBTA parcel, Draw 7 Park in Somerville and at one selected site in the Chelsea Creek sub-watershed.
- Open Space subgroup members will continue this work and will report progress to the Steering Committee at its regular meetings. Project lead for each of these sites are as follows:
  - i. Monsanto Site - EK Khalsa
  - ii. Draw 7 Park – Ivey St. John and Jonathan Reis
  - iii. Malden River MBTA parcel – Karl Haglund, DCR and Beth Debski
  - iv. Malden River GE Site – Nick Cohen
  - v. Chelsea Creek Site (to be determined) – Melinda Alverado-Vega

*Priority #2: Continue a systemic investigation of other segments of the river system to identify other properties or projects which may provide for the development of public Open Space and Access.*

The Open Space group will take a five step approach:

1. Select an area of the watershed to study;
2. Identify potential open space and access sites through field investigation and a review of all available open space studies and inventories for that area;
3. Evaluate prospects for Open Space where the committee determines there is appropriate potential by a review of the physical, financial and regulatory conditions that surround the selected property;
4. Establish collaborative efforts for Open Space planning and funding where possible; and
5. Develop support for Open Space development at the selected sites through the public process.

As this work proceeds, it is anticipated that each targeted site will be different and will require different actions by the Steering Committee. In the case of Chemical Lane site, the Committee will be starting from scratch, whereas with Malden River GE site clear plans and timelines for development of open space and access have been established. In the case of Draw 7 Park, the effort will be to help redesign current uses and park layout and to support development of Open Space and Access and trail connectivity on nearby private parcels. In every case, effort

will be made to approach all property owners with respect and to engage neighborhood stakeholders wherever possible.

**Caveats**

<sup>1</sup> Any transaction involving reimbursement or contribution of funds between the parties to this initiative will be handled in accordance with applicable laws, regulations, and procedures under separate written agreements. Nothing in this document, in and of itself, obligates EPA to expend appropriations or to enter into any contract, assistance agreement, interagency agreement, or incur other financial obligations that would be inconsistent with the Agency's statutory authority, its budget priorities, or the availability of appropriated funds. Furthermore, EPA's membership in the Steering Committee, may not be construed as an effort on the part of EPA or any federal executive agency to direct the particular use of any funds which the Steering Committee may obtain in support of its projects or activities. Any discussion concerning the use of funds will be made in accordance with the Watershed Committee's written operating procedures.

Membership in the Mystic River Watershed Steering Committee and/or participation in its collaborative efforts shall not be the basis for any exemptions from compliance with any and all regulatory requirements established by local, state, and/or federal governmental entities.

This document does not create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable by law or equity against any member of the Mystic River Watershed Initiative or EPA, their officers or employees, or any other person.