

## BY08 - eRulemaking

**INITIATIVE DEFINITION BY08***Initiative Definition BY08*

Template Name	IT Investment BY2008
Investment Name	BY08 - eRulemaking
Investment Revision Number	5
Is this investment a consolidated business case?	No
Point of Contact	Micielli, Patrick
Revision Comment	
Class	IT

**I.A: OVERVIEW BY08***Descriptive Information BY08*

Date of Submission	9/11/2006
Agency	Environmental Protection Agency
Bureau	Office of Environmental Information
Name of this Capital Asset	BY08 - eRulemaking
Full UPI Code	020-00-01-16-01-0060-24
Four Digit UPI Code	0060
Two Digit UPI Code	24
Exhibit 53 Part	IT Investments by Mission Area
OMB Investment Type	01 - Major Investment
OMB Exhibit 53 Major Mission Area	Multi-Goal IT Investments
PY Full UPI Code	020-00-01-16-01-0060-24-306-113
What kind of investment will this be in this Budget Year?	E-Gov/LoB Oversight
If this investment supports homeland security, Indicate by corresponding number which homeland security mission area(s) this investment supports?	Other
OMB Short Description	Will consolidate existing Federal rulemaking systems into one Web-based system providing a single access point for all Federal dockets enabling citizens to review and comment on rules and allow agencies to effectively manage their rulemaking process.
Investment C&A Status	55 - All of the systems within this investment have been through a C&A Process and have been granted Full Authority to Operate

*Screening Questions BY08*

What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?	FY2002
Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:	
<p>The eRulemaking Initiative, managed by EPA, facilitates public participation in the Federal regulatory process by improving the public's ability to find, view, understand, and comment on Federal regulatory actions. The Initiative developed the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) to provide citizens with a central location (regulations.gov) to learn about and comment on proposed regulations that shape all Federal Entities' (defined as a Department, Agency, or Bureau) rulemaking process. FDMS, a content management system, expands the capabilities of the first Regulations.gov and was launched in September 2005. FDMS is an electronic rulemaking repository, enabling Federal Entities to post all rulemaking documents (Federal Register notices, supporting analyses, and comments) for public access and comment. Participating Federal Entities use FDMS for: role-based access control; configurable workflow management; full text search; e-mail notification; electronic incorporation of digitized paper documents; managing non-rulemaking documents and processes; distributing workload across staff; tracking docket management performance; and eventually improving document storage and management, reducing paper storage needs and lowering costs. eRulemaking is one of the 25 E-Gov initiatives, which are part of the President's Management Agenda. Implementation guidance of the E-Gov Act of 2002, provided by OMB, directs agencies to make their regulatory dockets electronically accessible, searchable and accept electronic submissions using Regulations.gov, along a timeline identified for each agency. Annually the initiative develops and proposes an operating budget detailing specific milestones to its Executive Committee for approval, and then secures and processes funds from participating Entities. Each year the</p>	

eRulemaking Program Management Office (PMO), OMB and affected Entities negotiate a schedule of implementing Entities, which can be impacted based on funding, as was the case for FY06. Currently, all or portions of ten Federal Entities have implemented FDMS, accounting for approximately 25% of Federal rules promulgated annually. Twenty one new Federal Entities will be added over the next year. Each year three to four maintenance releases are placed into production, with significant enhancements added as needed. A major enhancement planned for FY07 is to insure that FDMS is a fully compliant electronic records system.

Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?	Yes
If "yes," what was the date of this approval?	5/11/2006
Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?	Yes
Contact information of Project Manager?	

Project Manager Name

Micielli, Patrick

Project Manager Phone Number	202-564-2741
Project Manager E-mail	micielli.patrick@epa.gov

Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.	Yes
---	-----

Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?	Yes
---	-----

Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)	No
--	----

If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?	No
---	----

If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?	No
--	----

If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?	
--	--

Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives?	Yes
---	-----

If "yes," check all of the PMA initiatives that apply:	Expanded E-Government
--	-----------------------

Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit <a href="http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.">www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.</a> )	No
---	----

Does this investment address a weakness found during the PART Review?	No
---	----

If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?	
---	--

If "yes," what PART rating did it receive?	
--	--

Is this investment for information technology?	Yes
--	-----

Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)?	The eRulemaking initiative is one of the twenty-five President's Management Agenda initiatives. The eRulemaking initiative allows citizens to easily access and participate in the rulemaking process. The application improves the access to, and quality of, the rulemaking process for individuals, businesses, and other government entities while streamlining and increasing the efficiency of internal agency processes.
---	---

#### *IT Screening Questions BY08*

If the answer to Question: "Is this investment for information technology?" was "Yes," complete this sub-section. If the answer is "No," do not answer this sub-section.

What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)	Level 3
--	---------

What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council's PM Guidance):	(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment
--	---

Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2006 agency high risk report (per OMB's "high risk" memo)?	Yes
--	-----

Is this a financial management system?	No
--	----

If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?	No
---	----

If "yes," which FFMIA compliance area? N/A

If "no," what does it address?

If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

Provide the Percentage Financial Management for the budget year 0

What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%) 100

For budget year, what percentage of the total investment is for hardware? 9

For budget year, what percentage of the total investment is for software? 14

For budget year, what percentage of the total investment is for services? 68

For budget year, what percentage of the total investment is for other services? 9

If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities? Yes

Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Privacy Officer Name  
Hutt, Judy

Privacy Officer Phone Number 202-566-1668

Privacy Officer Title Agency Privacy Act Officer

Privacy Officer E-mail hutt.judy@epa.gov

Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? Yes

**I.B: SUMMARY OF SPENDING BY08**

*Summary of Spending BY08*

Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT STAGES

\* Costs in thousands

	PY - 1 and Earlier	PY 2006	CY 2007	BY 2008	BY + 1 2009	BY + 2 2010	BY + 3 2011	BY + 4 and Beyond	Total
<b>Planning</b>									
Budgetary Resources	1965	0	0	0					
Outlays	0	0	0	0					
<b>Preliminary Design</b>									
Budgetary Resources	1335	0	0	0					
Outlays	0	0	0	0					
<b>Contract Services</b>									
Budgetary Resources	630	0	0	0					
Outlays	0	0	0	0					
<b>Acquisition</b>									
Budgetary Resources	16635	3576	2800	0					

Outlays	0	0	0	0					
<b>Development</b>									
Budgetary Resources	14635	3576	2800	0					
Outlays	0	0	0	0					
<b>Government FTE</b>									
Budgetary Resources	0	0	0	0					
Outlays	0	0	0	0					
<b>Infrastructure Expenses</b>									
Budgetary Resources	2000	0	0	0					
Outlays	0	0	0	0					
<b>Subtotal Planning &amp; Acquisition</b>									
Budgetary Resources	18600	3576	2800	0					
Outlays	0	0	0	0					
<b>Operations &amp; Maintenance</b>									
Budgetary Resources	3615	7995	7000						
Outlays	0	0	0	0					
<b>TOTAL</b>									
Budgetary Resources	22215	11571	9800						
Outlays	0	0	0	0					
<b>Government FTE Costs</b>									
Budgetary Resources	2955	700	700						
<b>Planning</b>									
Budgetary Resources	0	0	0	0					
<b>Acquisition</b>									
Budgetary Resources	0	0	0	0					
<b>Maintenance</b>									
Budgetary Resources	2955	700	700						

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

**Full Time Equivalentents BY08**

Use the following table to provide the number of Government Full Time Equivalentents (FTE) represented by the Government FTE Costs in the Summary of Spending Table. Numbers should be entered in decimal format for each of the categories listed.

FTE Table

	PY - 6 2000	PY - 5 2001	PY - 4 2002	PY - 3 2003	PY - 2 2004	PY - 1 2005	PY 2006	CY 2007	BY 2008	BY + 1 2009	BY + 2 2010	BY + 3 2011	BY + 4 2012	BY + 5 2013	BY + 6 2014	BY + 7 2015	BY + 8 2016	Total
Financial Management	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1										
Security	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.25	0.25										
Program Management	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6										
IT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.75	0.75										

Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0										0
Total*	0	0	0	0	0	0	8.00	8.00											

\*This row represents the 'Number of FTE represented by cost' from Summary of Spending table and will be sent to OMB.

*Funding Questions BY08*

Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? **No**

How many and in what year?

If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2007 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes.

Changes were made to the Summary of Spending Table due to several factors including: a re-baseline during FY06 due to direction from the Executive Committee to secure continued operations; a new allocation of costs based upon a revised interpretation of Planning, Acquisition, and Maintenance definitions; and as preparation to address the requirement to create a new Alternatives Analysis in FY07. Also, the eRulemaking Executive Committee voted at its May 2006 meeting to shift the eRulemaking Program's Budget cycle from a fiscal year basis (October 2006 to September 2007) to a calendar year basis (January to December 2007) to account for delays in partner Agencies' transmission of funding to the eRulemaking Project Management Office (PMO) at the beginning of each FY. This was done to insure that the program had sufficient funding during the 1st quarter of each FY, and that the program's O&M was funded while that years funding was being received from each of the twenty-five contributing partner agencies. To accomplish this decision, the eRulemaking Program's FY 06 budget will be "stretched" to cover 15 months rather than 12 months. Delays in receiving partner Agency funding can stem from Congressional approval of annual appropriations bills after the start of the FY, Congressional provisions that must be met by partner Agencies prior to transferring funds, and internal Agency reviews required prior to transmission of funding. It takes approximately 2-3 months to receive and process the funding received from contributing partner agencies. To allow for this change, the eRulemaking PMO had to move \$1.5M from its FY06 budget to its FY07 budget. This \$1.5M will cascade across the life cycle years of this project, effectively marking the budget in calendar years. However, because the Summary of Spending (SoS) table is reported in fiscal years as opposed to calendar years, the total budget in the SoS table will be \$1.5M less than the milestone table at the end of this document due to the fact that SoS table above artificially cuts off September 30, 2016 or the end of FY16. The milestone table, however, extends to the end of CY10 (December 31, 2010), so will include the \$1.5M explained in the paragraph above. The Alternatives Analysis study below includes a scope from the beginning of the project to the end of FY10 (September 30, 2010), and thus will match the SoS table above.

Provide the Percent Budget Formulation (BF) for the budget year

Provide the Percent Budget Execution (BE) for the budget year

*Funding Sources BY08*

Funding Sources

\* Costs in thousands

FS Name: MAX Code	Row Type	PY - 6 2000	PY - 5 2001	PY - 4 2002	PY - 3 2003	PY - 2 2004	PY - 1 2005	PY 2006	CY 2007	BY 2008	BY + 1 2009	BY + 2 2010	BY + 3 2011	BY + 4 2012	BY + 5 2013	BY + 6 2014	BY + 7 2015	BY + 8 2016	Total
Total	DME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yearly	SS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Budgets	Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

***I.C: ACQUISITION/CONTRACT STRATEGY BY08***

*Contract/Task Order Table BY08*

Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Contract/Task Orders Table

Row Number	Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded?	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order	Is this an Interagency Acquisition?	Is it performance based?	Competitively awarded?	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used?	Is EVM in the contract?	Does the contract include the required security and privacy clauses?	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition?
1	263-01-D-0054 DO#0001	Performance Based plus Fixed Fee Task Order	Yes	9/29/2003	9/29/2003	2/28/2010	27465	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	Paul, Dawson	202-564-4473 / dawson.paul@epa.gov	Level 3	

*Contract/Task Order Questions BY08*

If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?	Yes
Explain why (508 Compliance)?	The FDMS developers used standard 508 compliance toolsets during the development, of FDMS, and continue to use these toolsets for all updates. The US Department of Education's Assistive Technology Program also tested FDMS for compliance with section 508. They identified a few areas for improvement which were implemented except for two areas dealing with known usability restrictions that exist in the COTS package. The eRulemaking PMO is continuing to work with the Department of Education.
Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?	Yes
What is the date of your acquisition plan?	9/30/2003
If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?	
If "no," briefly explain why:	

***I.D: PERFORMANCE INFORMATION BY08***

*Performance Goals & Measures BY08*

Agencies must use the Performance Goals and Measures Table below for reporting performance goals and measures for all non-IT investments and for existing IT investments that were initiated prior to FY 2005. The table can be extended to include measures for years beyond FY 2006.

Performance Goals and Measures

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Performance Measure	Actual/baseline (from Previous Year)	Planned Performance Metric (Target)	Performance Metric Results (Actual)
2003	Goal 5 - Enabling Support Account Objective - Program/Project - IT/Data Management	Enable users to have for the first time centralized access to search and comment on all Federal rules published in the Federal Register	More than 150 Federal agencies engaged in rulemaking functions with no centralized rulemaking system	www.regulations.gov established in FY 2003.	www.regulations.gov deployed and operational on January 23, 2003.
2004	Goal 5- Enabling Support Account Objective - Program/Project IT/Data Management	System meets identified user uptime availability requirements	www.regulations.gov is deployed and currently available 99% of the time	regulations.gov will be available 99% of the time. Calculation Formula: Availability = (Hours FDMS application accessible to public and entities)/(total hours in measurement period - scheduled maintenance hours + Network downtime)	regulations.gov was available more than 99% of the time
2005	Goal 5 - Enabling Support	System meets identified	www.regulations.gov is deployed and currently available 99% of the time	regulations.gov will be available 99% of the time. Calculation	regulations.gov was available at least 99% of the time

	Account Objective - Program/Project IT/Data Management	user uptime availability requirements		Formula: Availability = (Hours FDMS application accessible to public and entities)/(total hours in measurement period - scheduled maintenance hours + Network downtime)	
2006	Cross Goal Strategies: Improve Information Access; Agency and Public availability	System meets identified user uptime availability requirements	www.regulations.gov is deployed and currently available 99% of the time	regulations.gov will be available 99% of the time. Calculation Formula: Availability = (Hours FDMS application accessible to public and entities)/(total hours in measurement period - scheduled maintenance hours + Network downtime)	As of July 1, 2006 regulations.gov has been available 99% of the time, and is on track to continue this performance through the end of the Fiscal Year.
2006	Cross Goal Strategies: Streamline Management Processes	Simplify processes and unify operations while eliminating redundancies and overlaps in the functions of participating Federal Entities.  Note: an Entity is defined as a Department, Agency, or Bureau that participates in the eRulemaking Program	4 Federal Entities were implemented into FDMS in FY05 - USDA, DHS, HUD, and NARA	Implement 8 additional Federal Entities into FDMS: EPA, DOE, DOD, GSA, NASA, NSF, VA, DOL, USDA, and SSA	As of July 1, 2006, 7 Federal Entities were implemented into FDMS (EPA, DOE, DOD, GSA, DHS (less TSA and Coast Guard), USDA APHIS, and NASA) NSF, VA, and SSA are on schedule for implementation by the end of the fiscal year.
2007	Cross Goal Strategies: Improve Information Access; Agency and Public availability	System meets identified user uptime availability requirements	Regulations.gov available 99.5% of the time to the public and agencies. Calculations Formula: Availability=(Hours FDMS accessible to public and Federal entities)/(total hours in measurement period less scheduled maintenance hours + Network downtime.)	Regulations.gov available 99.9% of the time to the public and agencies. Calculations Formula: Availability=(Hours FDMS accessible to public and Federal entities)/(total hours in measurement period less scheduled maintenance hours + Network downtime.)	Results will be published and/or available October 30, 2007

2007	Cross Goal Strategies: Streamline Management Processes	Simplify processes and unify operations while eliminating redundancies and overlaps in the functions of participating Federal Entities.  Note: an Entity is defined as a Department, Agency, or Bureau that participates in the eRulemaking Program	12 Federal Entities (a Federal Entity includes an Agency or Department and its regulatory writing sub Agencies and/or Bureaus) implemented into the FDMS	Implement 21 additional Federal Entities into FDMS (USDA(remaining), DOL(OSHA), OPM, SBA, State, USAID, Education, Treasury, FTC, FEC, PDGC, DOJ, FCC, Smithsonian, DOL, DOC, DOT, HHS, OMB, SEC, DOI, and EEOC)	Results will be published and/or available October 30, 2007
2008	Cross Goal Strategies: Improve Information Access; Agency and Public availability	System meets identified user uptime availability requirements	Regulations.gov available 99.5% of the time to the public and agencies. Calculations Formula: Availability=(Hours FDMS accessible to public and Federal entities)/(total hours in measurement period less scheduled maintenance hours + Network downtime.)	Regulations.gov available 99.9% of the time to the public and agencies. Calculations Formula: Availability=(Hours FDMS accessible to public and Federal entities)/(total hours in measurement period less scheduled maintenance hours + Network downtime.)	Results will be published and/or available October 30, 2008
2008	Cross Goal Strategies: Streamline Management Processes	Simplify processes and unify operations while eliminating redundancies and overlaps in the functions of participating Federal Entities.  Note: an Entity is defined as a Department, Agency, or Bureau that participates in the eRulemaking Program	Incorporate regulatory writing Agencies/Bureaus/Commissions who were not implemented into FDMS because they publishes rules infrequently (once ever two or three years )	85% of Federal Entities that are not in FDMS will be configured and operational within FDMS no more than 24 hours after notification of rule publication	Results will be published and/or available October 30, 2008

FEA Performance Reference Model (PRM) BY08

FEA PRM

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvement to the Baseline	Actual Results
2005		Customer Results	Service Coverage	New Customers and Market Penetration	Number of Federal Entities using the new Federal Docket Management System (FDMS). Note: an Entity is defined as a Department, Agency, or Bureau that participates in the eRulemaking Program.	0 Federal Rulemaking Entities implemented FDMS - FDMS scheduled to be deployed in FY2005	Implement 5 Federal Entities into FDMS for FY05	4 Federal Entities were implemented into FDMS in FY05 - USDA, DHS, HUD, and NARA
2005		Mission and Business Results	Regulatory Development	Rule Publication	Percentage of Federal Rules and associated docket materials available to public by Federal Entities participating in FMDS	FDMS scheduled to be deployed in FY 2004	Have 2% of rules and associated docket material available by participating Federal Entities in FDMS	2% of rules and associated docket material were made available by participating Federal Entities in FDMS
2005		Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Security	Complete and submit initial security plan for FDMS	Receive approval for first security plan for FDMS. Previous security plan approved for Regulations.gov.	Submit initial security plan for FDMS	Security plan for FDMS completed and approved May 2005
2005		Technology	Reliability and Availability	Availability	Percentage of time regulations.gov and FDMS are available to public. Calculation Formula: (Hours FDMS application accessible to public and agencies)/(total hours in measurement period - scheduled maintenance hours + Network downtime) = Availability.	regulations.gov was available 99% of the time, FDMS is scheduled to be deployed in FY2005	Maintain regulations.gov system availability at 99%, ensure that FDMS is available 99% of the time	Regulations.gov and FDMS.gov were available 99.94% of the time.
2006		Customer Results	Service Coverage	New Customers and Market	Number of Federal Entities using the new	4 Federal Entities implemented and using FDMS	Implement 8 additional Federal Entities into FDMS:	As of July 1, 2006, 7 Federal Entities were

				Penetration	Federal Docket Management System (FDMS). Note: an Entity is defined as a Department, Agency, or Bureau that participates in the eRulemaking Program.		EPA, DOE, DOD, GSA, NASA, NSF, VA, DOL, USDA, and SSA	implemented into FDMS (EPA, DOE, DOD, GSA, DHS (less TSA and Coast Guard), USDA APHIS, and NASA) NSF, VA, and SSA are on schedule for implementation by the end of the fiscal year.
2006		Mission and Business Results	Regulatory Development	Rule Publication	Percentage of Federal Rules and associated docket materials available to public by Federal Entities participating in FMDS	2% of associated docket materials for rules are available and posted in www.regulations.gov by participating Federal Entities in FDMS	20% of associated docket materials for rules are available and posted in www.regulations.gov by participating Federal Entities in FDMS	Information will be available by 10/6/06
2006		Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Innovation and Improvement	Federal Docket Management System Update Releases	3 FDMS System Update releases applied to the Federal Docket Management System	Inclusion of three FDMS Change Control Board enhancement recommendations in each FDMS System Update.	Information will be available by 10/6/06
2006		Technology	Reliability and Availability	Availability	Percentage of time regulations.gov and FDMS are available to public. Calculation Formula: (Hours FDMS application accessible to public and agencies)/(total hours in measurement period - scheduled maintenance hours + Network downtime) = Availability.	Regulations.gov available 99.9% of the time to the public and agencies. Calculations Formula: Availability = (Hours FDMS accessible to public and Federal entities)/(total hours in measurement period less scheduled maintenance + plus Network downtime	Maintain regulations.gov and FDMS system availability at 99.9%	Information will be available on 10/06/06
2007		Customer Results	Service Coverage	New Customers and Market Penetration	Number of Federal Entities using the new Federal Docket Management System (FDMS). Note: an Entity is defined as a Department,	12 Federal Entities (a Federal Entity includes an Agency or Department and its regulatory writing sub Agencies and/or Bureaus) implemented into the FDMS.	Implement 22 additional Federal Entities into FDMS (USDA (remaining), DOL(OSHA), OPM, SBA, State, USAID, Education, Treasury, FTC, FEC, PDGC, DOJ, FCC, Smithsonian, DOL,	This information will be available 10/30/2007.

					Agency, or Bureau that participates in the eRulemaking Program.		DOC, DOT, DOI, HHS, OMB, SEC, and EEOC)	
2007		Mission and Business Results	Regulatory Development	Rule Publication	Percentage of Federal Rules and associated docket materials available to public by Federal Entities participating in FMDS	20% of associated docket materials for rules are available and posted in www.regulations.gov by participating Federal Entities in FDMS.	45% of associated docket materials for rules are available and posted in www.regulations.gov by participating Federal Entities in FDMS	This information will be available 10/30/2007.
2007		Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Innovation and Improvement	4 FDMS System Update releases applied to the Federal Docket Management System.	Quarterly FDMS System releases applied to the Federal Docket Management System.	inclusion of three FDMS Change Control Board enhancement recommendations in each FDMS System Update.	This information will be available 10/30/2007.
2007		Technology	Reliability and Availability	Availability	Percentage of time regulations.gov and FDMS are available to public. Calculation Formula: (Hours FDMS application accessible to public and agencies)/(total hours in measurement period - scheduled maintenance hours + Network downtime) = Availability.	Regulations.gov available 99.9% of the time to the public and agencies. Calculations Formula: Availability = (Hours FDMS accessible to public and Federal entities)/(total hours in measurement period less scheduled maintenance + plus Network downtime)	Maintain regulations.gov and FDMS system availability at 99.9%	This information will be available 10/30/2007.
2008		Customer Results	Service Coverage	Service Efficiency	Number of Federal Entities using the new Federal Docket Management System (FDMS). Note: an Entity is defined as a Department, Agency, or Bureau that participates in the eRulemaking Program.	Incorporate regulatory writing Agencies/Bureaus/Commissions who were not implemented into FDMS because they publishes rules infrequently (once ever two or three years.)	85% of Federal Entities that are not in FDMS will be configured and operational within FDMS no more than 24 hours after notification of rule pubwho were not implemented into FDMS because they publish rules infrequently (once ever two or three years.)	This information will be available 10/30/2008.
2008		Mission and Business Results	Regulatory Development	Rule Publication	Percentage of Federal Rules and associated	45% of associated docket materials for rules are available and posted in	70% of associated docket materials for rules are available	This information will be available

					docket materials available to public by Federal Entities participating in FMDS	www.regulations.gov by participating Federal Entities in FDMS.	and posted in www.regulations.gov by participating Federal Entities in FDMS.	10/30/2008.
2008		Processes and Activities	Security and Privacy	Security	Security Plan and C&A recertification	Security Plan submitted and C&A process completed according to all applicable Federal standards.	Approved security plan for FDMS and C&A certification.	This information will be available 10/30/2008.
2008		Technology	Reliability and Availability	Availability	Percentage of time regulations.gov and FDMS are available to public. Calculation Formula: (Hours FDMS application accessible to public and agencies)/(total hours in measurement period - scheduled maintenance hours + Network downtime) = Availability.	Regulations.gov available 99.9% of the time to the public and agencies. Calculations Formula: Availability = (Hours FDMS accessible to public and Federal entities)/(total hours in measurement period less scheduled maintenance + plus Network downtime)	Maintain regulations.gov and FDMS system availability at 99.9%.	This information will be available 10/30/2008.

All new IT investments initiated for FY 2005 and beyond must use Table 2 and are required to use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Please use Table 2 and the PRM to identify the performance information pertaining to this major IT investment. Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for at least four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.e.gov.gov.

***1.E: SECURITY AND PRIVACY BY08***

***Costs & Risks BY08***

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

All systems supporting and/or part of this investment should be included in the tables below, inclusive of both agency owned systems and contractor systems. For IT investments under development, security and privacy planning must proceed in parallel with the development of the system/s to ensure IT security and privacy requirements and costs are identified and incorporated into the overall lifecycle of the system/s.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified and integrated into the overall costs of the investment? Yes

Provide the Percentage IT Security for the budget year 2

Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment. Yes

***Security: Planning Systems BY08***

Systems in Planning - Security

Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	Planned Operational Date	Planned or Actual C&A Completion Date
----------------	--	--------------------------	---------------------------------------

*Security: Operational Systems BY08*

Operational Systems - Security

Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37?	Date C&A Complete	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests?	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested
eRulemaking	Contractor Only	Low	Yes	5/23/2005	FIPS 200 / NIST 800-53	9/14/2006	9/16/2005

*Security: Weaknesses & Contractor Procedures BY08*

Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG?

If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process?

Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses?

If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness.

How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above?

*Privacy: Planning & Operational Systems BY08*

Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy

Name of System	Is this a new system?	Is there a Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) that covers this system?	Is the PIA available to the public?	Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system?	Was a new or amended SORN published in FY 06?
eRulemaking	Yes	Yes.	Yes.	Yes	No, because the existing Privacy Act system of record was not substantially revised in FY 06.

***I.F: ENTERPRISE ARCHITECTURE (EA) BY08***

*General EA Questions BY08*

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

If "no," please explain why this investment is not included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? Yes

If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. eRulemaking

If "no," please explain why this investment is not included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

**FEA SRM BY08**

Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/>.

Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component	FEA Service Component Reused Name	FEA Service Component Reused UPI	Internal or External Reuse?	BY Funding Percentage
FDMS GPO/OFR Data Feed Module	The interface that accepts publications from GPO/OFR and posts them in Regs.gov and FDMS	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Exchange			No Reuse	2
FDMS	The Federal Docket Management System is the application that allows agencies to create dockets and manage the posting of information to public	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Enterprise Application Integration			No Reuse	10
eRulemaking FDMS Reporting Module	Provides users the capability to select a number of search variables to return query results	Business Analytical Services	Reporting	Ad Hoc			No Reuse	2
eRulemaking Ad Hoc and Report Generation Model	Provides users the capability to select a number of search variables to return query results	Business Analytical Services	Reporting	Standardized / Canned			No Reuse	4
eRulemaking Change Control Log	Tracks enhancements or changes to functionality	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Change Management			No Reuse	1
eRulemaking Change Control Log	Tracks enhancements or changes to functionality	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Configuration Management			No Reuse	2
FDMS Workflow	Component that	Business	Management of	Governance /			No	1

Module	allows the identification of a task and assignment to an individual or role	Management Services	Processes	Policy Management			Reuse	
eRulemaking PMO	Program Management Office responsible for the management and communication of services provided by the eRulemaking program	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Program / Project Management			No Reuse	1
eRulemaking Quality Management Program	Series of procedures established and followed to ensure services are provided in the manner expected	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Quality Management			No Reuse	1
eRulemaking Footprints Requirements Module and PMO/CCB	Tool to allow input and track suggested enhancements, modifications to existing features or to report defects	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Requirements Management			No Reuse	2
eRulemaking Risk Management Plan	Series of processes and procedures that are designed to prevent interruptions in services and provide expedited recovery to mitigate interruptions when they occur	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Risk Management			No Reuse	2
eRulemaking Network Module	Software and hardware necessary to properly communicate with the computer center and provide services to various users	Business Management Services	Organizational Management	Network Management			No Reuse	5
eRulemaking Infrastructure Supporting Components	Software and hardware necessary to properly communicate with the	Business Management Services	Organizational Management	Workgroup / Groupware			No Reuse	29

	computer center and provide services to various users							
eRulemaking Agency Authentication Interface	A series of user prompts requesting information to substantiate and verify that a user correctly matches the profile maintained for their level of access and permissions	Customer Services	Customer Initiated Assistance	Self-Service			No Reuse	2
Regulations.gov - eRulemaking Communications Plan	Products and procedures that provide descriptive information of the eRulemaking program and the services it offers	Customer Services	Customer Relationship Management	Brand Management			No Reuse	1
FDMS Call Center Management Component	Accepts and logs questions from users and tracks the status of issue resolution.	Customer Services	Customer Relationship Management	Call Center Management			No Reuse	1
FDMS User Account Module	Logs user access information	Customer Services	Customer Relationship Management	Contact and Profile Management			No Reuse	1
FDMS User Account Module	Logs user access information	Customer Services	Customer Relationship Management	Customer / Account Management			No Reuse	1
eRulemaking Help Desk Survey Module	Feature that promotes consistency and completeness in information collected.	Customer Services	Customer Relationship Management	Customer Feedback			No Reuse	1
FDMS	The application that provides agencies the ability to create dockets and post Federal Register publications, supporting materials, and accept and post public comments. It allows the public to review agency posted materials and submit	Customer Services	Customer Relationship Management	Product Management			No Reuse	8

	comment on those documents that are eligible.							
FDMS Rendition Services Module	Provides the ability to display file contents originally created in a variety of native software types	Digital Asset Services	Document Management	Document Conversion			No Reuse	3
FDMS Imaging Module	Provides the ability to display file contents originally created in a variety of native software types	Digital Asset Services	Document Management	Document Imaging and OCR			No Reuse	4
FDMS Document Management Module	Provides the ability to uniquely identify materials added to the system and retrieve uncorrupted materials based on associated metadata	Digital Asset Services	Document Management	Document Review and Approval			No Reuse	1
eRulemaking FDMS Storage Solution Module	Provides the ability file and store uniquely identified materials added to the system and retrieve uncorrupted materials based on associated metadata	Digital Asset Services	Document Management	Library / Storage			No Reuse	3
FDMS Workflow Module	Component that allows the identification of a task and assignment to an individual or role	Process Automation Services	Tracking and Workflow	Process Tracking			No Reuse	2
FDMS Public/Agency /Internal Communications Module	This is the communications link between the application and the infrastructure.	Support Services	Communication	Computer / Telephony Integration			No Reuse	2
eRulemaking Ad Hoc and Report Generation Module	Component that allows users serach, locate, and retrieve materials contaned in	Support Services	Search	Query			No Reuse	4

	FDMS based on a variety of parameters or to select from a list of predefined searches.							
eRulemaking Agency Authentication Interface	A series of user prompts requesting information to substantiate and verify that a user correctly matches the profile maintained for their level of access and permissions	Support Services	Security Management	Access Control			No Reuse	0
eRulemaking FDMS Activity Module	The audit requirement ensures that all system activities are properly captured and archived	Support Services	Security Management	Audit Trail Capture and Analysis			No Reuse	0
eRulemaking Agency Authentication Interface	A series of user prompts requesting information to substantiate and verify that a user correctly matches the profile maintained for their level of access and permissions	Support Services	Security Management	Identification and Authentication			No Reuse	0
eRulemaking Intrusion Detection Component	Combination of software and hardware that identifies and prevents external sources attempting to enter the eRulemaking infrastructure.	Support Services	Security Management	Intrusion Detection			No Reuse	0
eRulemaking Intrusion Prevention Component	Combination of software and hardware that identifies and prevents external sources attempting to enter the eRulemaking infrastructure.	Support Services	Security Management	Intrusion Prevention			No Reuse	0
eRulemaking Anti-Virus	Combination of software and	Support Services	Security Management	Virus Protection			No Reuse	0

Component	hardware that identifies and prevents external sources attempting to enter the eRulemaking infrastructure.							
eRulemaking Footprints Module	Tracking tool to accept system usage questions or report defects	Support Services	Systems Management	Issue Tracking			No Reuse	1

Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service.

*FEA TRM BY08*

To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table

FEA SRM Component	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (i.e. vendor or product name)
Workgroup / Groupware	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Dependent	
Data Exchange	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange	
Workgroup / Groupware	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Content Rendering	
Workgroup / Groupware	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	
Workgroup / Groupware	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	
Product Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	
Data Exchange	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	
Workgroup / Groupware	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	
Workgroup / Groupware	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	
Workgroup / Groupware	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Internet	
Brand Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Call Center Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Change	Service Access and	Service	Hosting	

Management	Delivery	Requirements		
Requirements Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Product Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Customer / Account Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Customer Feedback	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Self-Service	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Process Tracking	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Configuration Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Governance / Policy Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Quality Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Risk Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Network Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Library / Storage	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Document Review and Approval	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Document Conversion	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Ad Hoc	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Standardized / Canned	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Enterprise Application Integration	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Computer / Telephony Integration	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Query	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Issue Tracking	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Contact and Profile Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Document Imaging and OCR	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Program / Project Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	
Audit Trail Capture and Analysis	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Virus Protection	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Identification and Authentication	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Access Control	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	

Intrusion Detection	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Intrusion Prevention	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Workgroup / Groupware	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	
Computer / Telephony Integration	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	
Workgroup / Groupware	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	
Workgroup / Groupware	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	
Workgroup / Groupware	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	
Workgroup / Groupware	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers	
Network Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	
Workgroup / Groupware	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	
Network Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Wide Area Network (WAN)	
Workgroup / Groupware	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	
Workgroup / Groupware	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	
Workgroup / Groupware	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Dependent	
Network Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Dependent	

Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

**Reuse & Information Sharing BY08**

Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

If "yes," please describe how the application will leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government.

FirstGov, GPO Access, and eAuthentication will be integrated with the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) to provide the public and the agencies access to docket content included in the FDMS and allow the public to submit documents and comments to agencies. In addition, FDMS receives a daily (Monday - Friday) feed from NARA's EDOCS which provides rules, proposed rules, final rules, notices, and some of their associated metadata that are open for comment. These documents are then posted on FDMS for review and comment where appropriate.

Does this investment provide the public with access to a government automated information system?

If "yes," does customer access require specific software (e.g., a specific web browser version)?

If "yes," provide the specific product name(s) and version number(s) of the required software and the date when the public will be able to access this investment by any software (i.e. to ensure equitable and timely access of government information and services).

The FDMS is accessible through the following web browsers specifications:  
 For Windows Users: IE 5.0 SP2 or higher or Netscape 6.2x or higher  
 For Macintosh Users: IE 5.1.7 (Mac OS 8.1-9x) or higher or Netscape 7.0x (Mac OS 8.1.9.x) or higher.

www.Regulations.gov and www.FDMS.gov went live September 25, 2005, both web sites are bundled within the FDMS.

### FEA Primary Mapping BY08

#### FEA Primary Mapping

Reference Model:	BRM
Business Area:	Support Delivery of Services
Line of Business:	Regulatory Development
Sub Function:	Public Comment Tracking
Mapping Code:	306113

### IV.A: E-GOV AND LINES OF BUSINESS OVERSIGHT BY08

#### Partners BY08

Part IV should be completed only for investments identified as an E-Gov initiative or a Line of Business(LOB), i.e., selected the "E-Gov and LOB Oversight" choice in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above. Investments identified as "E-Gov and LOB Oversight" will complete only Parts I and IV of the exhibit 300.

Multi-agency initiatives, such as E-Gov and LOB initiatives, should develop a joint exhibit 300.

As a joint exhibit 300, please identify the agency stakeholders. Provide the partner agency and partner agency approval date for this joint exhibit 300.

#### Stakeholder Table

Partner Agency Name	Partner Agency	Joint Exhibit Approval Date
Agriculture, Department of	005	8/30/2006
Commerce, Department of	006	
Defense-Military, Department of	007	8/23/2006
Education, Department of	018	
Energy, Department of	019	8/29/2006
Environmental Protection Agency	020	8/30/2006
General Services Administration	023	
Health and Human Services, Department of	009	8/30/2006
Homeland Security, Department of	024	
Housing and Urban Development, Department of	025	8/31/2006
Interior, Department of	010	8/30/2006
Justice, Department of	011	8/30/2006
Labor, Department of	012	8/30/2006
National Aeronautics and Space Administration	026	8/9/2006
National Science Foundation	422	8/15/2006
Office of Personnel Management	027	8/31/2006
Small Business Administration	028	
Social Security Administration	016	8/30/2006
State, Department of	014	8/31/2006
Transportation, Department of	021	8/31/2006
Treasury, Department of	015	8/30/2006
Veterans Affairs, Department of	029	8/28/2006

#### Partnering Strategies BY08

Provide the partnering strategies you are implementing with the participating agencies and organizations. Identify all partner agency capital assets supporting the common solution; Managing Partner capital assets should also be included in this joint exhibit 300. These capital assets should be included in the Summary of Spending table of Part I, Section B. (Partner Agency Asset UPIs should also appear on the Partner Agency's exhibit 53)

## Partner Capital Assets within this Investment

Partner Agency Name	Partner Agency	Partner Agency Asset Title	Partner Agency Exhibit 53 UPI (BY2008)
Agriculture, Department of	005	eRulemaking	005-03-01-81-04-0060-24-306-113
Small Business Administration	028	eRulemaking	028-00-01-99-04-0060-24-306-113
National Aeronautics and Space Administration	026	eRulemaking	026-00-01-99-04-0060-24-306-113
Homeland Security, Department of	024	eRulemaking	001-10-01-09-02-1010-00-306-113
Office of Personnel Management	027	eRulemaking	027-00-01-99-04-0060-24-306-113
Social Security Administration	016	eRulemaking	016-00-01-02-04-0060-24-306-113
State, Department of	014	eRulemaking	014-00-01-08-04-0060-24-306-113
Veterans Affairs, Department of	029	eRulemaking	029-00-01-21-04-0060-24-306-113
Health and Human Services, Department of	009	eRulemaking	001-10-01-09-02-1010-00-306-113
Transportation, Department of	021	eRulemaking	021-04-01-14-04-0060-24-306-113
Interior, Department of	010	eRulemaking	010-00-01-07-04-0060-24-306-113
Justice, Department of	011	eRulemaking	011-03-01-10-04-0060-24-306-113
National Science Foundation	422	eRulemaking	422-00-01-04-04-0060-24-306-113
Treasury, Department of	015	eRulemaking	015-00-01-13-04-0060-24-306-113
Education, Department of	018	eRulemaking	018-24-01-07-04-0060-24-306-113
Environmental Protection Agency	020	eRulemaking	020-00-01-16-01-0060-24-306-113
Labor, Department of	012	eRulemaking	012-25-01-99-04-0060-24-306-113
Housing and Urban Development, Department of	025	eRulemaking	025-00-01-09-04-0060-24-306-113
General Services Administration	023	eRulemaking	023-00-01-11-04-0060-24-306-113
Defense-Military, Department of	007	eRulemaking	007-00-01-99-04-0060-24-306-113
Energy, Department of	019	eRulemaking	019-99-01-99-04-0060-24-306-113
Commerce, Department of	006	eRulemaking	006-05-01-50-04-0060-24-306-113

*Partner Funding BY08*

For jointly funded initiative activities, provide in the "Partner Funding Strategies Table": the name(s) of partner agencies; the UPI of the partner agency investments; and the partner agency contributions for CY and BY. Please indicate partner contribution amounts (in-kind contributions should also be included in this amount) and fee-for-service amounts. (Partner Agency Asset UPIs should also appear on the Partner Agency's exhibit 53. For non-IT fee-for-service amounts the Partner exhibit 53 UPI can be left blank)

## Partner Funding Strategies

Partner Agency Name	Partner Agency	Partner exhibit 53 UPI (BY2008)	CY Contribution	CY Fee-for-Service	BY Contribution	BY Fee-for-Service
Small Business Administration	028	028-00-01-99-04-0060-24-306-113	155	0		0
Treasury, Department of	015	015-00-01-13-04-0060-24-306-113	615	0		0
National Science Foundation	422	422-00-01-04-04-0060-24-306-113	155	0		0
Justice, Department of	011	011-03-01-10-04-0060-24-306-113	280	0		0
Interior, Department of	010	010-00-01-07-04-0060-24-306-113	615	0		0
Transportation, Department of	021	021-04-01-14-04-0060-24-306-113	855	0		0
Education, Department of	018	018-24-01-07-04-0060-24-306-113	155	0		0
Health and Human Services, Department of	009	001-10-01-09-02-1010-00-306-113	855	0		0
National Aeronautics and Space Administration	026	026-00-01-99-04-0060-24-306-113	280	0		0
Agriculture, Department of	005	005-03-01-81-04-0060-24-306-113	855	0		0
Environmental Protection Agency	020	020-00-01-16-01-0060-24-306-113	615	0		0
Homeland Security, Department of	024	001-10-01-09-02-1010-00-306-113	855	0		0
Labor, Department of	012	012-25-01-99-04-0060-24-306-113	855	0		0
Social Security Administration	016	016-00-01-02-04-0060-24-306-113	155	0		0
State, Department of	014	014-00-01-08-04-0060-24-306-113	155	0		0
Veterans Affairs, Department of	029	029-00-01-21-04-0060-24-306-113	280	0		0
General Services Administration	023	023-00-01-11-04-0060-24-306-113	280	0		0
Office of Personnel Management	027	027-00-01-99-04-0060-24-306-113	155	0		0
Housing and Urban Development,	025	025-00-01-09-04-0060-24-306-	280	0		0

Department of		113				
Defense-Military, Department of	007	007-00-01-99-04-0060-24-306-113	615	0		0
Energy, Department of	019	019-99-01-99-04-0060-24-306-113	280	0		0
Commerce, Department of	006	006-05-01-50-04-0060-24-306-113	855	0		0

*Analysis Background BY08*

An Alternatives Analysis for E-Gov and LOB initiatives should also be obtained. At least three viable alternatives, in addition to the current baseline (i.e., the status quo), should be included in the joint exhibit 300. Use OMB Circular A-94 for all investments, and the Clinger Cohen Act of 1996 for IT investments, to determine the criteria you should use in your Benefit/Cost Analysis.

Did you conduct an alternatives analysis for this project? Yes

If "yes," what is the date of the analysis? 1/1/2004

If "no," what is the anticipated date this analysis will be completed?

If no analysis is planned, please briefly explain why:

*Alternatives Table BY08*

Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table:

Alternatives Analysis Results

Send to OMB	Alternative Analyzed	Description of Alternative	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Costs estimate	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Benefits estimate
True	Centralized Approach			
True	Distributed			
True	Tiered			

*Selected Alternative BY08*

Which alternative was selected by the Initiative Governance process and why was it chosen?

Alternative one (Centralized) was voted on and recommended to OMB and the managing partner by the eRulemaking Executive Committee on Feb 28 2004 as the best design based on the three assessment methods utilized (COCOMO, Concord, and Gartner) each of which independently found the centralized architecture design as most cost-effective, with the lowest risk for deployment and support instability, offered the highest level of security, and provided the best possibility of delivering the functionality sought by agencies and the public.

Alternative One was also the least expensive option, with the most Quantitative benefits OMB concurred with the Executive Committee's recommendation and the PMO and agencies developed a centralized Federal Docket Management System.

What specific qualitative benefits will be realized?

Qualitative benefits realized by both the General Public, and Federal Agencies that participate in the eRulemaking program are listed below:

General Public Benefits:

Ease of citizen access and participation in rulemaking by providing one central location to search, retrieve, read, and print all federal regulatory material without having to know the details of the rulemaking process.

Provide the ability for the public to clearly ascertain the status of each regulation, docket, or rulemaking within the rulemaking process.

Provide the ability to electronically file a comment on any docket that is currently open for comment (not via e-mail but directly via a Web-form).

Provide the ability to search, retrieve, read, and print all supporting regulatory documents (including

previously posted comments).

Enhance the public’s participation in the Federal rulemaking process by simplifying the process of identifying Federal rules open for comment, submitting comments, and reviewing supporting materials that form the basis for the actions being proposed and how conclusions were derived.

Reduce the expense and difficulty of responding to proposed federal government regulatory activity.

Provide high-quality customer service via phone and Web form.

Increase access for persons with disabilities to agency Web sites and E-Gov applications.

Federal Agency Benefits:

Improve the federal rulemaking process by utilizing an integrated, electronic rulemaking system for developing, and reviewing Federal regulations and similar documents--many federal agencies currently do not have this capability.

Provide each agency with the ability to efficiently analyze and aggregate all public comments related to a rulemaking under consideration.

Agencies will be able to quickly gain access to all public submissions and consider their viewpoints on a particular issue, and can therefore have the information they need to make better-informed decisions.

Provide each agency with the ability to economically maintain a Web-based regulatory and comment dialog with the public without having to focus on the technology required to administer this service.

Provide a central point to receive and create a repository for all public comments, similarly as the Federal Register serves as the central point for published rules and notices.

Economically store, maintain and manage docket content based on agency policies, and by 2008 manage it as records.

Reduce operating costs

*Quantitative Benefits BY08*

What specific quantitative benefits will be realized (using current dollars) Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table:

Federal Quantitative Benefits

	Budgeted Cost Savings	Cost Avoidance	Justification for Budgeted Cost Savings	Justification for Cost Avoidance

**IV.B: RISK MANAGEMENT BY08**

*Risk Management Plan BY08*

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan? Yes

What is the date of the risk management plan? 10/3/2005

Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

If "yes," describe any significant changes to the Risk Management Plan:

If there currently is no risk plan, will a plan be developed?

If "yes," what is the planned completion date of the risk plan?

If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

*Investment Risks BY08*

Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule:

**IV.C: COST AND SCHEDULE PERFORMANCE BY08**

*Earned Value BY08*

You should also periodically be measuring the performance of operational assets against the baseline established during the planning or full acquisition phase (i.e., operational analysis), and be properly operating and maintaining the asset to maximize its useful life. Operational analysis may identify the need to redesign or modify an asset by identifying previously undetected faults in design, construction, or installation/integration, highlighting whether

actual operation and maintenance costs vary significantly from budgeted costs, or documenting that the asset is failing to meet program requirements.  
 Are you using EVM to manage this investment? Yes  
 If "no," explain plans to implement EVM:

Please provide a brief summary of the operational analysis results.

This sub-sections questions are NOT applicable for capital assets with ONLY O&M

Answer the following questions about current cumulative cost and schedule performance. The numbers reported below should reflect current actual information. (Per OMB requirements Cost/Schedule Performance information should include both Government and Contractor Costs):

What costs are included in the reported Cost/Schedule Performance information (Government Only/Contractor Only/Both)? Contractor Only

EVMS "As of" date:

What is the Planned Value (PV)?

What is the Earned Value (EV)?

What is the actual cost of work performed (AC)?

What is the calculated Schedule Performance Index (SPI = EV/PV)?

What is the schedule variance (SV = EV-PV)?

What is the calculated Cost Performance Index (CPI = EV/AC)?

What is the cost variance (CV = EV-AC)?

EVM is required only on DME portions of investments. For mixed lifecycle investments, O&M milestones should still be included in the table (Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline). This table should accurately reflect the milestones in the initial baseline, as well as milestones in the current baseline.

Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard - 748?

*Cost/Schedule Variance BY08*

Is the CV% or SV% greater than 10%? (CV%= CV/EV x 100; SV%= SV/PV x 100)

If "yes," was it the CV or SV or both?

If "yes," explain the variance:

If "yes," what corrective actions are being taken?

What is the most current "Estimate at Completion"?

*Performance Baseline BY08*

Have any significant changes been made to the baseline during the past fiscal year?

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/"04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate 0 for any milestone no longer active.

If "yes," when was it approved by OMB? awaiting OMB approval

Answer the following questions about the status of this investment. Include information on all appropriate capital assets supporting this investment except for assets in which the performance information is reported in a separate exhibit 300.

Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline (EGov)

Milestone Number	Description of Milestone	Initial Baseline		Current Baseline				Current Baseline Variance		Percent Complete	Agency Responsible For Activity
		Planned Completion Date	Total Cost (Estimated)	Completion Date		Total Cost		Schedule (# days)	Cost		
				Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual				
1	Regs.gov Development & Deployment	03/30/2003	\$0.400	03/30/2003	03/30/2003	\$0.400	\$0.400	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
1.1	Planning	03/30/2003	\$0.020	03/30/2003	03/30/2003	\$0.020	\$0.020	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
1.2	Design, Testing, Deployment	01/31/2003	\$0.380	01/31/2003	01/31/2003	\$0.380	\$0.380	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

1.2.1	hardware	01/31/2003	\$0.150	01/31/2003	01/31/2003	\$0.150	\$0.150	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
1.2.2	software	01/31/2003	\$0.080	01/31/2003	01/31/2003	\$0.080	\$0.080	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
1.2.3	contractor support	01/31/2003	\$0.150	01/31/2003	01/31/2003	\$0.150	\$0.150	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2	FDMS Development & Deployment										Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.1	FY03 Planning/Acquisition	09/30/2003	\$0.250	09/30/2003	09/30/2003	\$0.250	\$0.250	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.2	Preliminary Design	09/30/2004	\$1.175	09/30/2004	09/30/2004	\$1.175	\$1.175	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.3	BPR/Functional Requirements	09/30/2004	\$0.115	09/30/2004	09/30/2004	\$0.115	\$0.115	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.4	Design	09/30/2005	\$4.225	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$4.225	\$1.028	0	\$3.197	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.4.1	Risk Assessment	09/30/2004	\$0.015	09/30/2004	09/30/2004	\$0.015	\$0.015	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.4.2	Coop Analysis	09/30/2004	\$0.010	09/30/2004	09/30/2004	\$0.010	\$0.010	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.4.3	FY04 Contractor Support	09/30/2004	\$3.200	09/30/2004	09/30/2004	\$3.200	\$0.003	0	\$3.197	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.4.4	FY05 Contractor Support	09/30/2005	\$1.000	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$1.000	\$1.000	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.5	Testing	09/30/2005	\$3.315	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$3.315	\$3.315	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.5.1	FY04 Hardware Purchases	09/30/2004	\$0.600	09/30/2004	09/30/2004	\$0.600	\$0.600	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.5.2	FY05 Hardware Purchases	09/30/2005	\$0.600	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$0.600	\$0.600	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.5.3	FY04 Software Purchases	09/30/2004	\$0.500	09/30/2004	09/30/2004	\$0.500	\$0.500	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.5.4	Vulnerability Testing	06/30/2005	\$0.030	06/30/2005	06/30/2005	\$0.030	\$0.030	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.5.5	FY04 Contractor Support	09/30/2004	\$0.065	09/30/2004	09/30/2004	\$0.065	\$0.065	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.5.6	FY05 Contractor Support	09/30/2005	\$1.520	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$1.520	\$1.520	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.6	Deployment/Production										Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.6.1	FY05 Hardware Purchases	09/30/2005	\$0.900	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$0.900	\$0.900	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.6.2	FY06 + 1st Qtr FY07 Hardware Purchases	12/31/2006	\$0.046	12/31/2006	06/01/2006	\$0.046	\$0.046	213	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.6.3	CY07 Hardware Purchases	12/31/2007	\$0.300	12/31/2007		\$0.300				%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.6.4	CY08 Hardware Purchases	12/31/2008		12/31/2008						%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

2.6.7	Software CY05	09/30/2005	\$0.650	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$0.650	\$0.650	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.6.8	FY04 Contractor Support	09/30/2004	\$0.400	09/30/2004	09/30/2004	\$0.400	\$0.400	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.6.9	FY05 Contractor Support	09/30/2005	\$0.850	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$0.850	\$0.850	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.7	Agency Implementation	09/30/2008	\$3.555	12/31/2008	09/30/2005	\$3.555	\$1.943	1188	\$1.612	54.12%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.7.1	FY05 Migration	09/30/2005	\$0.750	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$0.750	\$0.750	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.7.2	FY06 + 1st Qtr FY07 Migration	09/30/2006	\$1.505	12/31/2006		\$1.505	\$1.193		\$0.312	78%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.7.3	CY07 Migration	09/30/2007	\$1.300	12/31/2007		\$1.300				%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.7.4	CY08 Migration	09/30/2008		12/31/2008						%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.8	Enhancements										Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.8.1	FY06 + 1st Qtr FY07 Enhancements (Application Releases 4 & 5)	12/31/2006	\$2.000	12/31/2006		\$2.000	\$1.560		\$0.440	78%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.8.2	CY07 Enhancements (Application Releases 6 & 7)	12/31/2007	\$1.500	12/31/2007		\$1.500				%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.8.3	CY08 Enhancements (Application Releases 8 & 9)	12/31/2008		12/31/2008						%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.9	Security Line Of Business										Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.9.1	Develop an inventory of current sources and contractual obligations for information security products and services	12/31/2005	\$0.005	12/31/2005	12/31/2005	\$0.005	\$0.005	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.9.2	Develop migration plan for acquiring information security products and services from Centers of Excellence establish under the Information Systems Security Line of Business	09/30/2006	\$0.025	09/30/2006		\$0.025				%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.10	Program Management	09/30/2005	\$2.795	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$2.795	\$2.795	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.10.1	FY04 Program Mgmt	09/30/2004	\$1.795	09/30/2004	09/30/2004	\$1.795	\$1.795	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
2.10.2	FY05 Program Management	09/30/2005	\$1.000	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$1.000	\$1.000	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3	System O&M										Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.1	FY03 O&M	09/30/2003	\$0.145	09/30/2003	09/30/2003	\$0.145	\$0.145	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.2	FY04 O&M	09/30/2004	\$0.250	09/30/2004	09/30/2004	\$0.250	\$0.250	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.3	FY05 O&M	09/30/2005	\$3.220	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$3.220	\$3.220	0	\$0.000	100%	Environmental Protection

												Agency (EPA)
3.3.1	Operate Regs.gov	09/30/2005	\$0.200	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$0.200	\$0.200	0	\$0.000	100%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.3.2	FY05 FDMS Maintenance	09/30/2005	\$2.020	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$2.020	\$2.020	0	\$0.000	100%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.3.3	Hardware Maintenance	09/30/2005	\$0.100	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$0.100	\$0.100	0	\$0.000	100%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.3.4	FY05 Hosting Services	09/30/2005	\$0.600	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$0.600	\$0.600	0	\$0.000	100%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.3.5	FY05 Software Licenses	09/30/2005	\$0.200	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$0.200	\$0.200	0	\$0.000	100%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.3.6	FDMS Security Preparations (risk assessment, vulnerability analysis, security plan)	09/30/2005	\$0.100	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$0.100	\$0.100	0	\$0.000	100%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.4	FY06 O&M	12/31/2006	\$7.295	12/31/2006		\$7.295	\$5.691		\$1.604	78%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.4.1	FY06 + 1st Qtr FY07 Hosting Services	12/31/2006	\$0.700	12/31/2006		\$0.700	\$0.546		\$0.154	78%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.4.2	FY06 + 1st Qtr FY07 Software Licenses	12/31/2006	\$0.661	12/31/2006		\$0.661	\$0.516		\$0.145	78%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.4.3	FY06 + 1st Qtr FY07 FDMS Operations and Maintenance	12/31/2006	\$5.431	12/31/2006		\$5.431	\$4.236		\$1.195	78%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.4.4	FY06 + 1st Qtr FY07 Hardware Maintenance	12/31/2006	\$0.128	12/31/2006		\$0.128	\$0.100		\$0.028	78%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.4.5	FY06 + 1st Qtr FY07 Security Enhancement and Maintenance	12/31/2006	\$0.375	12/31/2006		\$0.375	\$0.293		\$0.082	78%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.5	FY07 O&M	12/31/2007	\$5.900	12/31/2007		\$5.900				%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.5.1	CY07 FDMS Operations and Maintenance	12/31/2007	\$3.759	12/31/2007		\$3.759				%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.5.2	CY07 Hardware Maintenance	12/31/2007	\$0.131	12/31/2007		\$0.131				%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.5.3	CY07 Hosting Services	12/31/2007	\$0.735	12/31/2007		\$0.735				%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.5.4	CY07 Software Licenses	12/31/2007	\$0.969	12/31/2007		\$0.969				%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.5.5	CY07 Security Enhancements and Maintenance	12/31/2007	\$0.306	12/31/2007		\$0.306				%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.6	FY08 O&M	12/31/2008		12/31/2008						%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.6.1	CY08 FDMS Operations and Maintenance	12/31/2008		12/31/2008						%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.6.2	CY08 Hardware Maintenance	12/31/2008		12/31/2008						%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.6.3	CY08 Hosting Services	12/31/2008		12/31/2008						%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
3.6.4	CY08 Software Licenses	12/31/2008		12/31/2008						%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

3.6.5	CY08 Security Enhancements and Maintenance	12/31/2008		12/31/2008							%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
4	Module 3										%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
5	Infrastructure											Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
5.1	FY03	09/30/2003	\$0.450	09/30/2003	09/30/2003	\$0.450	\$0.450	0	\$0.000	100%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
5.2	FY04	09/30/2004	\$1.000	09/30/2004	09/30/2004	\$1.000	\$1.000	0	\$0.000	100%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
5.3	FY05	09/30/2005	\$1.000	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$1.000	\$1.000	0	\$0.000	100%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
5.4	FY06 + 1st Qtr FY07	12/31/2006	\$0.700	12/31/2006		\$0.700	\$0.546		\$0.154	78%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
5.5	CY07	12/31/2007	\$0.800	12/31/2007		\$0.800					%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
5.6	CY08	12/30/2008		12/31/2008							%	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
6	EPA EDOCKET	09/30/2005	\$8.550	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$0.000	\$0.000	0	\$0.000	0%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
6.1	FY03 and Before Enhancements	09/30/2003	\$1.200	09/30/2003	09/30/2003	\$0.000	\$0.000	0	\$0.000	100%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
6.2	FY03 and Before O&M	09/30/2003	\$5.350	09/30/2003	09/30/2003	\$0.000	\$0.000	0	\$0.000	100%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
6.3	FY04 O&M	09/30/2004	\$1.000	09/30/2004	09/30/2004	\$0.000	\$0.000	0	\$0.000	100%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
6.4	FY05 O&M	09/30/2005	\$1.000	09/30/2005	09/30/2005	\$0.000	\$0.000	0	\$0.000	100%		Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
<b>Project Totals</b>												