

EPA The Brownfields Economic Redevelopment Initiative

Proposal Guidelines
for Supplemental Assistance for
Brownfields Assessment Demonstration
Pilots

EPA's Brownfields Program Supplemental Assistance for Assessment Demonstration Pilots - FY2002

Introduction

As a part of the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Brownfields Economic Redevelopment Initiative, the Brownfields Assessment Demonstration Pilots are designed to empower States, communities, tribes, and other stakeholders in economic redevelopment to work together in a timely manner to prevent, assess, and safely cleanup brownfields to promote their sustainable reuse. EPA has awarded cooperative agreements to States, cities, towns, counties, and Tribes for demonstration pilots that test brownfields assessment models and facilitate coordinated public and private efforts at the Federal, State, tribal and local levels. To date, the Agency has funded 399 Brownfields Assessment Pilots.

Assessment pilot communities that have received EPA funding on or before September 30, 2000, may apply for supplemental funding during FY 2002. Pilots funded after that date will be eligible to apply for supplemental funding during FY2003, dependent upon appropriation of those funds. Recipients of supplemental assessment pilot funding in FY2001 are not eligible to apply.

The deadline for proposals for the supplemental assessment pilot funding is November 26, 2001.

All proposals must be postmarked by USPS or delivered at U.S. EPA Headquarters by other means, no later than November 26, 2001, and a duplicate copy sent to the appropriate U.S. EPA Regional Office.

EPA's Brownfields Initiative

EPA defines brownfields as abandoned, idled, or under-used industrial and commercial facilities where expansion or redevelopment is complicated by real or perceived environmental contamination. EPA's Brownfields Economic Redevelopment Initiative is an organized commitment to help communities revitalize such properties by addressing potential health risks, and, as a result, restoring economic vitality to areas where brownfields exist. Experience gained from the brownfields assessment pilots, along with partnerships and outreach activities, is providing a growing knowledge base to help direct EPA's Brownfields Initiative. Successful brownfields redevelopment is proof that economic development and the environment can, and indeed, must co-exist.

EPA's efforts under the Brownfields Initiative can be grouped into four broad and overlapping categories:

* Providing grants for brownfields pilot projects;

- * □ Clarifying liability and cleanup issues;
- * □ Building partnerships and outreach among federal agencies, states, tribes, municipalities, communities, and other entities; and
- * □ Fostering local job development and training initiatives.

The brownfields assessment pilots are a key component of the program, bringing together community groups, investors, lenders, developers, and other affected parties to address the issue of cleaning up sites contaminated with hazardous substances and preparing them for appropriate, productive use. The pilots serve as vehicles to explore a series of models for states and localities struggling with such efforts.

In fiscal year 2002 (FY02), EPA has determined that assessment pilots awarded on or before September 30, 2000, may apply for up to \$150,000 for continuance and expansion of their brownfields assessment efforts. This supplemental funding will be awarded on a competitive basis.

An additional \$50,000 may be awarded to an applicant to carry out assessment activities at a brownfields site(s) that is or will be used for greenspace purposes. Greenspace purposes may include, but are not limited to, parks, playgrounds, trails, gardens, habitat restoration, open space, and/or greenspace preservation. This \$50,000 is available only in addition to the \$150,000 that is available to continue and expand on existing pilot efforts. Please refer to Part II of the Evaluation Criteria section. Note that use of the additional \$50,000 must be in accordance with the authorities and guidelines described below.

These pilots focus on EPA's primary mission—protecting human health and the environment. However, it is an essential piece of the nation's overall community revitalization efforts. EPA works closely with other federal agencies through the Interagency Working Group on Brownfields and builds relationships with other stakeholders on the national, tribal, and local levels to develop coordinated approaches for community revitalization.

Guidelines for the Preparation of Proposals

Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Number: 66.811. Supplemental funding for the brownfields assessment pilots is authorized under Section 104(d)(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, (CERCLA or Superfund), 42 U.S.C. 9604(d)(1). States (including U.S. Territories), political subdivisions (including cities, towns, counties), and Federally recognized Indian Tribes which received a brownfields assessment pilot grant on or before September 30, 2000, are eligible to apply. Recipients of supplemental assessment pilot funding in FY2001 are not eligible to apply.

Through a brownfields cooperative agreement, EPA authorizes an eligible state, political subdivision, or Indian Tribe to undertake activities authorized under CERCLA section 104. Use of these supplemental assistance pilot funds must be in accordance with CERCLA, and all CERCLA restrictions on use of funds also apply to the assessment pilots. By awarding a cooperative agreement, EPA anticipates substantial involvement in the pilot activities. Brownfields demonstration pilot proposals for supplemental funding (including greenspace funding) should conform to the following guidelines:

- * Pilot activities must be directed toward environmental response activities preliminary to cleanup, such as site assessment, site identification, site characterization, and site response or cleanup planning and design. Site identification in this case means the identification of sites at which such contamination may be an issue of concern.
- * Brownfields assessment pilot funds may be used to assess, identify, characterize, and plan response activities at contaminated sites targeted for redevelopment. These funds may not be used to pay for development activities that are not CERCLA response activities (*e.g.*, construction of a new facility, purchase of property).
- * Brownfields assessment pilot funds may be used for planning and/or studies regarding legal, fiscal, economic and other issues, so long as such plans and studies are necessary and appropriate to planning and directing an assessment or cleanup action.
- * Brownfields assessment pilot funds may only be used at sites where there is release, or substantial threat of release, of a CERCLA hazardous substance, or there is a release, or substantial threat of release of a pollutant or contaminant which may present an imminent and substantial danger to the public health or welfare. In addition, funds may be used at sites where there is a reason to believe that a release has occurred or is about to occur (*e.g.* based on past historical uses).
- * Brownfields assessment pilot funds may be used for public/community involvement activities to explain site selection, assessment, characterization, or cleanup planning activities at a site or set of

sites. These activities should be directed toward obtaining more effective public involvement in decisions regarding environmental assessment and cleanup at such sites. These funds may not be used for general education activities (e.g., grants to schools for development of curriculum).

- * Brownfields assessment pilot funds may not be used for activities at any sites listed or proposed to be listed on CERCLA's National Priorities List, base realignment and closure (BRAC) sites, or any areas undergoing cleanup under RCRA corrective action authorities. If there are any other federal or state enforcement actions in place at a site proposed to be included in this pilot project, please describe (in the Work To Be Performed section) the enforcement action, its environmental response requirements, and how the brownfields project would supplement the environmental work required.
- * Brownfields assessment pilot funds may not be used for actual cleanup or other response activities associated with such cleanups (e.g., landscaping and ground-water extraction and treatment). Site cleanups should be carried out through other means, such as state voluntary cleanup programs, state government grants, state tax incentive programs, tribal funds, contributions from responsible parties, developers, or a loan from a federally funded revolving loan fund.
- * Brownfields assessment pilot funds may not be used for job training of community members. Support for job training activities may be available through the Hazardous Material Training and Research Institute, EPA programs, other federal agency programs, and state, local, and tribal programs. However, specific training related to tasks to be conducted by the applicant under the grant are allowable (e.g., database training of grant personnel to create a site inventory would be allowable).
- * Brownfields assessment pilot funds may not be used to support lobbying efforts of the grantee (e.g., lobbying members of Congress, or lobbying for other federal grants, cooperative agreements, or contracts). Federal grant funds may not be used for fund-raising purposes.
- * Brownfields assessment pilot funds may not be used for assessment, identification, characterization, or cleanup planning at sites contaminated by petroleum products, unless they are believed to be commingled with a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant (e.g., used oil).
- * Brownfields assessment pilot funds may not be used to address, identify, characterize, or plan for the cleanup of products that are part of the structure of and result in exposure within residential buildings or business or community structures (e.g., interior lead-based paint or asbestos which results in indoor exposure).
- * Brownfields assessment pilot funds may not be used to match any other federal funds without specific statutory authority.

Evaluation of the Proposals

The Brownfields Supplemental Assistance for Assessment Pilots are administered on a competitive basis. To ensure a fair selection process, EPA Regional and Headquarters staff will evaluate the proposals. The evaluators will assess how well the proposals meet the selection criteria outlined below. The evaluation panels make recommendations to EPA senior management. Final award decisions are made by EPA senior management, and may take into account policy considerations such as geographic distribution of funds.

Proposals must be clear and concise, strictly follow the criteria, and provide sufficient detail for the panels to compare the merits of each and decide which proposal best supports the intent of the pilot program. Vague descriptions and unnecessary redundancy may reduce the chance of a favorable rating. Proposals providing the best evidence of a true need, a quality project, appropriate use of funds and demonstrated success under the original brownfields pilot project will have the best chance of being recommended by the panels. **Applicants are strongly encouraged to contact and, if possible, meet with their EPA Regional Brownfields Representative prior to submission of the proposal** (see contact list on page 15).

The evaluators will review the proposal for Brownfields Supplemental Assistance and for the additional greenspace funding. The evaluators will make recommendations to EPA Senior Management for final selection. Note that an applicant may be selected to receive Brownfields Supplemental Assistance of up to \$150,000 even if the applicant is not selected to receive the additional \$50,000 for greenspace.

If a proposal is selected, applicants will receive a confirmation letter, and the appropriate EPA Regional Brownfields Coordinator and Regional Grants Specialist will be informed. The applicant will then be asked to submit a formal cooperative agreement amendment or application package. This package will include a formal work plan that provides a project overview (goals and objectives), describes the work/tasks to be performed, a final budget, deliverables (i.e., quarterly progress reports, etc.), and the required certification forms. If work done under this cooperative agreement includes environmental sampling, a Quality Assurance Project Plan must be submitted and approved by EPA prior to performing the sampling work. The work plan submitted as part of the proposal will be the basis for the formal work plan submittal and should substantially reflect the work to be performed. The EPA Regional Brownfields Coordinator and Regional Grants Specialist will work closely with the successful applicant to process and finalize the cooperative agreement amendment or cooperative agreement package. EPA reserves the right to reject all proposals and make no awards.

EPA strongly encourages pilot proposal applicants to contact their State Intergovernmental Review Office early so that the required intergovernmental review process may begin immediately upon selection by EPA. If the State does not have an Intergovernmental Review Office, the successful applicant must provide notice of the proposed agreement directly to affected State, area-wide, regional, and local entities. EPA will provide further guidance, if needed.

Applicants with proposals that are not selected will be informed in writing. These applicants may choose to revise the proposal for submittal by a future deadline announced by EPA at a later date.

Structure of the Proposal

Proposals for brownfields pilot projects should consist of the following sections:

* □ Cover page /project summary	[1 page]
* □ Responses to Evaluation Criteria	
Part I, #1-3 (required)	[up to 3 pages]
Part I, #4 (required)	[3 - 5 pages suggested]
Part II (optional)	[2 pages]
* □ Attachments	[provide a list; see below for acceptable items]

Attachments should be kept to a minimum. Attachments that are most important to EPA during proposal evaluation are commitment, support, or partnership from other government or private entities and maps of the project area. Examples of attachments that EPA will give little weight to during proposal evaluation include reports, strategies or plans developed for other programs, advertising brochures, newspaper articles, statutes, and videotapes. Information in these types of attachments should be distilled and incorporated into the responses to criteria.

To ensure fair and equitable evaluation of the proposals, please **do not** exceed the above, single-sided page limitations. There is no guarantee that pages submitted beyond the limitations will be reviewed by the evaluation panels and doing so could reduce your chances of a favorable rating. In addition, all materials included in the proposal (including attachments) must be printed on letter-sized paper (8½" by 11") and font sizes may be **no smaller** than 11 points. Please submit two copies of your proposal materials, including attachments, to EPA headquarters. In addition, please submit one copy of your proposal including attachments, to your EPA Regional Brownfields Representative (see list on page 15).

Applicants should clearly mark information they consider confidential. EPA will make final confidentiality decisions in accordance with Agency regulations at 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B.

Cover Page/Project Summary

The cover page is intended to identify the brownfields pilot applicant and a point of contact for communication with EPA. This should be on a single page and include basic information such as:

- Project title
- Project contact, phone, email address and fax.
- Date submitted (when the proposal is postmarked or sent to EPA via registered or tracked mail).
- Project period (the project period must not exceed two years).
- Project summary.

Evaluation Criteria - Part I (Required)

Your response to each of the following criteria will be the primary basis on which EPA selects or rejects your proposal for supplemental assistance. The proposal evaluation panels will review the proposals carefully and assess each response based on how well it addresses each criterion. Applicants should address all of the evaluation criteria. Responses to the evaluation criteria will be utilized to determine whether to make an award and the amount of funds to be awarded. All evaluation criteria are equally important. There is no guarantee of an award. If a particular criteria is not relevant to your proposal, please acknowledge and explain why it does not apply.

1. Established Brownfields Program

The purpose of this section is to show commitment and accomplishments from the city, county, tribe, and/or state to the cooperative agreement recipient s Brownfields Program. This section should describe how the overall governing body where the Brownfields program is housed has committed to the overall success of the program.

- * Describe the Brownfields program in your community (e.g. State, city, Council of Government, County, etc.) including overall program strategy, local Brownfields policy development, lead office for brownfields work, other offices that are involved in the Brownfields process, designated staff, roles of the various governmental departments, and funding sources.
- * Provide examples of commitments that the local governing agency has made to continuing a successful brownfields program. This may include a description of staff or offices dedicated specifically to the Brownfields program and/or additional funding to supplement original EPA grant funds. Describe what your Brownfields program has done to streamline governmental processes to promote redevelopment of brownfields sites.
- * Discuss any success and accomplishments that were funded *outside* of the Brownfields Demonstration Pilot funding. For instance, has your community funded community involvement and/or environmental justice activities, Phase I/ Phase II/ Phase III site assessment work, environmental cleanup, or redevelopment with its own money or sought assistance from other sources (i.e. the state, other Federal agencies, private entities, or non-profit organizations?)

2. Accomplishments under Existing Brownfields Assessment Pilot

The purpose of this section is to show demonstrated performance under the existing brownfields assessment pilot. This section should describe progress made toward meeting the original goals of the brownfields pilot project and specific accomplishments. The focus of this section are activities that were funded by EPA.

- * ☐ Describe brownfields activities that have been completed under the brownfields pilot project that were funded by EPA. This may include progress made in site inventory, site assessment, cleanup design and site reuse planning that was done to facilitate cleanup decisions.
- * ☐ Describe progress made toward community involvement and environmental justice commitments that were made under the original pilot workplan. This may also include an update on state, federal, tribal, local government, community and private partnerships.
- * ☐ The applicant may also provide quantitative information on specific measures of success for work funded by EPA. This may include items such as: number of sites identified, number of site assessments completed, number of sites that have been redeveloped in the project area, and economic indicators of success (e.g., jobs created, etc.). EPA will evaluate this information against data submitted by the existing assessment pilot in the quarterly reports.

3. Demonstrated Ability to Administer Existing Brownfields Assessment Demonstration Pilot

Applicants must demonstrate that they have effectively administered their existing Brownfields Assessment Demonstration Pilot to be selected for supplemental funding. Each applicant will be evaluated on: 1) their adherence to the executed cooperative agreement and subsequent amendments, including the timeliness and accuracy of deliverables and reporting requirements, 2) adherence with the agreed upon schedule for project activities, and 3) the use of the previously provided assessment pilot funds.

- * ☐ Describe your performance with respect to meeting the administrative requirements of your existing brownfields assessment demonstration pilot cooperative agreement. At a minimum, please describe:
 - a) the budget status including how much of the pilot funding has been spent, a summary of how the money has been spent, and how the remaining funds will be spent;
 - b) whether the required deliverables (including quarterly progress reports, quality assurance plans, financial status reports, final project reports, or other applicable deliverables) have been submitted to EPA; and
 - c) whether the assessment pilot proceeded as agreed upon in the cooperative agreement schedule. If not, please provide an explanation for the delays and their impacts on the pilot project.

4. Work to be Performed

This section will describe the work to be performed using the Supplemental Assistance funds. If this proposal is selected for funding, you will be asked to adapt and resubmit this section as a cooperative workplan or amendment. Therefore, pilots should make every effort to utilize the structure in their original cooperative agreement work plan.

- * **Introduction** - Provide a general discussion of the project and new site(s) in the project area and the problems and needs associated with redevelopment. Explain the goals and objectives of the supplemental project. Discuss flow of ownership of each identified site.
- * **Management and Coordination** - Identify the management structure responsible for coordinating the execution of the tasks that comprise the pilot program. Identify the project coordinator and all the other specific position titles such as environmental consultants, interns, and others that will charge time to the pilot. Identify project partners (e.g., state, other federal agencies.) Any anticipated changes to the current management structure should be clearly identified.

In addition to the tasks identified, the pilot recipient will continue to be responsible for preparing quarterly reports on the progress of the work plan, including reporting on accomplishments, and a final summary report.

- * **Tasks and Schedule** - Describe tasks that will be performed, a schedule of planned activities and a list of deliverables that will be submitted to EPA upon completion of each task. This could include tasks such as: site identification and inventory, site assessment and planning, identification of cleanup options, and community outreach/public involvement. Where several tasks are identified, a chart showing activity, schedule, and deliverables should be included. In the box below is an example of a suggested format for this chart. When environmental sampling is identified as a task, a site-specific sampling plan must be developed, along with a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP), and a site-specific health and safety plan. NOTE: Tasks for which an applicant is seeking the additional \$50,000 for greenspace should be included in the response to Part II.

**EX
AM
PL
E**

Task 3: Phase II Environmental Assessment at 3 Properties		
Narrative		
<u>Activities</u>	<u>Deliverables</u> _	<u>Submittal Date to EPA</u>
ASTM Phase II	Field Sampling Plan QA Project Plan Health & Safety Plan 3 Phase II reports	mm/dd/yy mm/dd/yy mm/dd/yy mm/dd/yy

* □

Budget - Provide a detailed budget of anticipated expenditures of U.S. EPA cooperative agreement dollars according to task. The budget should show the distribution of the demonstration pilot funds, including cost estimates for each of the proposed pilot activities. A clear and concise budget is a critical element of the package. If you are applying for the additional \$50,000 for greenspace, please provide a separate budget for the \$50,000 in your response to Part II.

A significant portion of the budget should be for site-specific activities (e.g., site assessment and cleanup planning), while administrative costs should be kept to a minimum. In cases where site-specific activities are not planned, the applicant must clearly explain why this project does not incorporate site-specific activities and provide a detailed project plan and budget for the other planned tasks. Although these are estimated costs, the logic that was used to estimate these costs must be included in the budget write-up.

Please utilize the following format for your budget:

	Project Tasks*				
Budget Categories	Task 1	Task 2	Task 3	Task 4	Total
Personnel					
Fringe Benefits					
Travel					
Equipment					
Supplies					
Contractual					
Other					
Total					

*Example: Task 1, Phase I Environmental Assessment(s)

* Measures of Success - List specific benchmarks that will be used to measure progress and success within the two year project period. Typical Measures of Success can include:

- "Number of properties with brownfields assessments started;
- "Number of properties with brownfields assessments completed with pilot funding;
- "Number of properties with brownfields assessments completed with other funding;
- "Number of properties with brownfields assessments that do not require cleanup;
- "Number of properties with brownfields redevelopment activities underway;
- "Number of cleanup/construction jobs leveraged;
- "Number of cleanup dollars leveraged;
- "Number of redevelopment jobs leveraged;
- "Number of redevelopment/construction dollars leveraged;
- "Number of properties with brownfields cleanup activities started;
- "Number of properties with brownfields cleanup activities completed.

Evaluation Criteria (Part II -- Optional)

5. Greenspace

Those proposals selected to receive up to \$150,000 may also be selected to receive \$50,000. An additional \$50,000 may be awarded to an applicant to carry out assessment activities for a brownfields site(s) that is or will be used for greenspace purposes. Greenspace purposes include, but are not limited to, parks, playgrounds, trails, gardens, habitat restoration, open space, and/or greenspace preservation. This \$50,000 is available only in addition to the \$150,000 that is available to continue and expand on existing pilot efforts.

As with all other Assessment Demonstration Pilot funds, the greenspace funding may be used for assessment activities, such as site investigation, site characterization, planning for reuse, so as to facilitate cleanup decisions, other cleanup planning activities, and community involvement related to the site. Use of the greenspace funds must be in accordance with CERCLA, and all CERCLA restrictions and assessment program guidelines also apply to greenspace funds.

*This is an **optional** portion of the assessment pilot proposal. All proposals will be reviewed based on Part I, Evaluation Criteria #1-4. The projects that are selected that include a request for greenspace funding will be further evaluated on Part II, Evaluation Criteria #5. Based on this evaluation, some, but not all, greenspace proposals are likely to be funded.*

An applicant may still be selected to receive a Brownfields Supplemental Assistance Assessment Demonstration pilot grant of up to \$150,000 even if the applicant is not selected to receive the additional \$50,000 for greenspace.

Authority and Context

- * □ Describe the local agency(ies) responsible for greenspace and explain the partnership with the pilot lead office. Attach letters of support documenting partnership.
- * □ Describe existing open space/greenspace plans, activities, and resource needs with respect to brownfields redevelopment in your community. Explain if this site is near, on, or adjacent to any brownfields sites described in Part I of your proposal or to the sites in your initial brownfields pilot project funded by EPA. Describe public and private commitment to this greenspace project. Describe the potential impact on the surrounding community.

Community Involvement

- * □ Describe community involvement efforts planned or underway near the site(s). Describe environmental justice considerations, measures to involve environmental justice populations, and anticipated benefits to low income and/or people of color communities disproportionately burdened by environmental pollution. Describe how affected communities were involved in the selection of the site(s), and how they will be involved in future land use decisions.

Site Identification, Site Assessment Plan, Flow of Ownership, and Reuse Planning

- * □ Identify the specific site(s) you plan to assess and describe the process for selecting the site(s). Describe the site(s) and the brownfields issues associated with the site(s).
- * □ Describe the site assessment activities that will be conducted on your site(s). Please be sure to differentiate these activities from any greenspace activities proposed in Part I. If your project involves more than one site, describe the activities for each site and the number of sites undergoing the various phases of site assessment. Identify additional potential site assessment funding sources.
- * □ Describe site control, acquisition, and flow of ownership.
- * □ Describe the proposed end use of the site(s) being assessed and the anticipated benefits to the community. Provide a brief summary of any feasibility studies, if available.
- * □ Describe potential funding sources and public/private partners that will be involved in the cleanup, redevelopment, and maintenance of the site.
- * □ Describe work to be performed, a schedule of planned activities and a list of deliverables that will be submitted to EPA upon completion of each task. Where several tasks are identified, a chart showing activity, schedule, and deliverables should be included. Please refer to page 10 for an example of a suggested format for this chart. When environmental sampling is identified as a task, a site-specific sampling plan must be developed, along with a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) and a site specific health and safety plan.

Schedule for Selecting Supplemental Assistance for Brownfields Assessment Pilots

The Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response will accept proposals postmarked or sent to EPA via registered or tracked mail by November 26, 2001. A detailed activity timeline corresponding to the proposal deadline is outlined below. *For assistance with your brownfields assessment pilot proposal, please call your Regional Brownfields Representative (see list on page 15).*

Activity Timeline for Supplemental Assistance Proposals

November 26, 2001	Deadline for proposals for EPA 2002 supplemental assessment awards.
December 2001	Evaluation of proposals.
April 2002	Announcement of EPA 2002 awards.

All proposals must be postmarked by USPS or delivered at U.S. EPA Headquarters by other means, no later than November 26, 2001, and a duplicate copy sent to the appropriate U.S. EPA Regional Office.

If sending via an overnight express delivery service, please send to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
OSWER Outreach and Special Projects Staff (5105)
Attn: Becky Brooks
401 M Street, SW Room SE 385
Washington, DC 20460
Phone 202-260-8474

If sending via first class mail, please send to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
OSWER Outreach and Special Projects Staff (5105)
Attn: Becky Brooks
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20460

An additional copy must be sent to the EPA Regional Representative listed on the next page.

EPA Regional Brownfields Representatives

If you have questions regarding the proposal guidelines, you may call your Regional representative presented below:

Regions and States		Address and Phone Number
EPA Region 1 Diane Kelley kelley.diane@epa.gov	CT, ME, MA, NH, RI, VT	One Congress Street, Suite 1100 (Mailcode HI0) Boston, MA 02114-2023 Phone (617) 918-1424 Fax (617) 918-1291
EPA Region 2 Larry D'Andrea dandrea.larry@epa.gov	NJ, NY, PR, VI	290 Broadway 18th Floor New York, NY 10007 Phone (212) 637-4314 Fax (212) 637-4360
EPA Region 3 Tom Stolle stolle.tom@epa.gov	DE, DC, MD, PA, VA, WV	1650 Arch Street (3HS34) Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029 Phone (215) 814-3129 Fax (215) 814-5518
EPA Region 4 Mickey Hartnett hartnett.mickey@epa.gov	AL, FL, GA, KY, MS, NC, SC, TN	Atlanta Federal Center 61 Forsyth Street Atlanta, GA 30303 Phone (404) 562-8661 Fax (404) 562-8628
EPA Region 5 Laura Ripley ripley.laura@epa.gov	IL, IN, MI, MN, OH, WI	77 West Jackson Boulevard (SE-4J) Chicago, IL 60604-3507 Phone (312) 886-6040 Fax (312) 886-6741
EPA Region 6 Monica Smith smith.monica@epa.gov	AR, LA, NM, OK, TX	First Interstate Bank Tower at Fountain Pl. 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, TX 75202-2733 Phone (214) 665-6736 Fax (214) 665-6660
EPA Region 7 Chuck Williams williams.chucke@epa.gov	IA, KS, MO, NE	901 N. 5th Street Kansas City, KS 66101 Phone (913) 551-7943 Fax (913) 551-8688
EPA Region 8 Kathie Atencio atencio.kathie@epa.gov	CO, MT, ND, SD, UT, WY	999 18th Street, Suite 500 (EPR) Denver, CO 80202-2466 Phone (303) 312-6803 Fax (303) 312-6067
EPA Region 9 Jim Hanson hanson.jim@epa.gov	AZ, CA, HI, NV, AS, GU	75 Hawthorne Street, SFD 1-1 San Francisco, CA 94105 Phone (415) 744-2237 Fax (415) 744-1796
EPA Region 10 Susan Morales morales.susan@epa.gov	AK, ID, OR, WA	1200 Sixth Avenue (ECL-115) Seattle, WA 98101 Phone (206) 553-7299 Fax (206) 553-0124
EPA Headquarters Becky Brooks brooks.becky@epa.gov		401 M Street, SW (5105) Washington, D.C. 20460 Phone (202) 260-8474 Fax (202) 260-6606