

DRAFT

**Great Lakes Binational Toxics Strategy
Pesticide Report**

**Prepared by
Battelle Memorial Institute**

**for
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Great Lakes National Program Office**

December 31, 1998

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	vii
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Regulatory Framework and Background for the BNS	1-1
1.2 BNS US and Canadian Challenges	1-2
1.3 Reasons for Listing of Level I Pesticides	1-2
1.4 Other Related National and International Programs Addressing Reduction of Pesticides in the Environment	1-3
1.5 National and International Programs for Tracking Pesticides in the Environment	1-4
2.0 OVERVIEW OF FEDERAL AND STATE REGULATIONS GOVERNING PESTICIDES	2-1
2.1 Historical Perspective of Federal Regulations	2-1
2.2 Cancellation of a Pesticide Registration	2-1
2.3 Suspension of a Pesticide Registration	2-2
2.4 Delegation, Cooperation and Authority of States	2-2
2.5 The Role of TSCA	2-2
2.6 Import/Export Status	2-3
3.0 REVIEW OF DOMESTIC USE STATUS BY PESTICIDE	3-1
3.1 Aldrin and Dieldrin	3-1
3.1.1 Registration and Classification Status of Aldrin and Dieldrin	3-1
3.1.2 Manufacture and Distribution of Aldrin and Dieldrin	3-9
3.1.3 Aldrin and Dieldrin Uses	3-9
3.1.4 Description of Product Components/Structure, Manufacturing Byproducts/Degradation	3-11
3.1.5 Product Export and Import	3-12
3.2 Mirex	3-12
3.2.1 Registration and Classification Status of Mirex	3-12
3.2.2 Manufacture and Distribution of Mirex	3-14
3.2.3 Mirex Use	3-14
3.2.4 Description of Structure/Manufacturing Byproducts (Contaminants) and Post Manufacturing Degradation Products	3-15
3.2.5 Product Import/Export	3-16
3.3 Chlordane	3-16
3.3.1 Registration and Classification Status of Chlordane	3-17
3.3.2 Manufacture and Distribution of Chlordane	3-19
3.3.3 Chlordane Use	3-19
3.3.4 Description of Structure/Manufacturing Byproducts (Contaminants) and Post Manufacturing Degradation Products	3-20
3.3.5 Product Imports and Exports	3-21

CONTENTS (continued)

3.4	DDT	3-22
3.4.1.	U.S. Registration and Classification Status of DDT	3-22
3.4.2	Manufacture and Distribution of DDT	3-24
3.4.3	Product Use	3-24
3.4.4	Product Components/Structure, Manufacturing Byproducts (Contaminants) and Post Manufacture Degradation Products	3-27
3.4.5	Product Imports and Exports	3-28
3.5	Toxaphene	3-29
3.5.1	Registration and Classification Status of Toxaphene	3-29
3.5.2	Manufacture and Distribution of Toxaphene	3-29
3.5.3	Toxaphene Use	3-29
3.5.4	Structure/Manufacturing Byproducts (Contaminants) and Post-Manufacture Degradation Products	3-31
3.5.5	Past and Present Products Imports and Exports	3-32
3.6	Overview of Current Global Use and Production of the Level Pesticides	3-32
4.0	ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND TRANSPORT, TRENDS AND IMPACTS	4-1
4.1	Overview of Pesticide Transportation Vectors (Environmental Loadings) to the Great Lakes	4-1
4.4.1	Atmospheric Transport and Flux	4-2
4.1.2	Surface Water and Sediment	4-4
4.1.3	Soil and Groundwater	4-6
4.1.4	Biota	4-7
4.1.5	Hazardous Waste Sites	4-7
4.2	Documented Effects, Transport, Fate and Trends of Level I Pesticides in the Great Lakes	4-15
4.2.1	Aldrin and Dieldrin	4-15
4.2.1.1	Sources of Aldrin and Dieldrin	4-15
4.2.1.2	Transport and Fate of Aldrin an Dieldrin	4-15
4.2.1.3	Aldrin Trends in Fish and Birds	4-19
4.2.1.4	Dieldrin Trends in Fish and Birds	4-19
4.2.2	Mirex Transport and Fate	4-22
4.2.2.1	Sources of Mirex to the Great Lakes	4-22
4.2.2.2	Transport and Fate of Mirex	4-22
4.2.2.3	Mirex Trends in Biota	4-25
4.2.3	Chlordane	4-26
4.2.3.1	Source of Chlordane to the Environment	4-26
4.2.3.2	Transport and Fate of Chlordane in the Environment	4-26
4.2.3.3	Chlordane Trends in Fish and Birds	4-28
4.2.4	DDT	4-33
4.2.4.1	Sources of DT to the Environment	4-33
4.2.4.2	Transport and Fate of DDT in the Environment	4-33
4.2.4.3	DDT Trends in Fish and Birds	4-37

CONTENTS (continued)

4.2.5	Toxaphene	4-42
4.2.5.1	Sources of Toxaphene to the Great Lakes	4-42
4.2.5.2	Transport and Fate of Toxaphene in the Great Lakes	4-43
4.2.5.3	Toxaphene Trends in Fish and Birds	4-46
4.2.6	Virtual Elimination	4-46
4.3.	Implications of Current Loadings of Level I Pesticides in the Great Lakes	4-48
4.3.1	Human and Ecological Health Effects	4-48
4.3.2	Current Human Health and Environment Criteria and their Relevance	4-50
4.4	Waste Pesticide Collections (Clean Sweeps)	4-59
4.4.1	Total Pesticides Collected	4-59
4.4.2	Level I Pesticides Collected	4-60
4.4.3	Comparison of Clean Sweeps Collections to Current Great Lakes Water Column Loadings	4-61
5.0	CONCLUSION	5-1

TABLES

Table 3-1.	Pesticide Names, Descriptions, Uses, and Status	3-2
Table 3-2.	Aldrin Registration and Classification History	3-8
Table 3-3.	Dieldrin Registration and Classification History	3-8
Table 3-4.	Physical Properties of Aldrin	3-11
Table 3-5.	Physical Properties of Dieldrin	3-12
Table 3-6.	Mirex Registration and Classification History	3-13
Table 3-7.	Amounts of Mirex Sold to US Companies	3-14
Table 3-8.	Physical Properties of Mirex	3-16
Table 3-9.	Chlordane (CAS 57-47-9) Registration and Classification History	3-18
Table 3-10.	Physical Properties of Technical Chlordane	3-21
Table 3-11.	Mexican Chlordane Imports from the United States	3-22
Table 3-12.	DDT (CAS #50-29-3) Registration and Classification History	3-24
Table 3-13.	Physical Properties of DDT	3-27
Table 3-14.	Toxaphene (CAS 8001-35-2) Registration and Classification History	3-30
Table 3-15.	Physical Properties of Toxaphene	3-31
Table 3-16.	Summary of Global and Use	3-32
Table 4-1.	Summary of NPL Sites Nationwide with Level I Pesticide Contamination	4-8
Table 4-2.	Summary of Hazardous Waste Sites in the Great Lakes Region with Level I Pesticide Contamination	4-8
Table 4-3.	Areas of Concern (AOCs) Listing Pesticides as Chemicals of Concern	4-13
Table 4-4.	Concentration Ranges in ng/L	4-17
Table 4-5.	Air/Water Exchange Rates of DDT in the Great Lakes	4-34
Table 4-6.	DDT Concentration Ranges in ng/L	4-35

TABLES (continued)

Table 4-7. Water Concentrations of Toxaphene in the Great Lakes	4-43
Table 4-8. Global Water Concentrations of Toxaphenes	4-44
Table 4-9. Toxaphene Surficial and Subsurface Maxima Sediment Concentrations from Lakes Superior, Michigan and Ontario	4-44
Table 4-10. Potential Human Health Effects Associated with Level I Pesticides	4-49
Table 4-11. Potential Effects of Level I Pesticides on Aquatic Life and Wildlife	4-49
Table 4-12. Summary of Relevant Toxicity and Environmental Data	4-50
Table 4-13. Comparison of Water Concentrations (ng/L) to Great Lakes and National Water Quality Guidelines and Criteria	4-54
Table 4-14. Comparison of Measured Sediment Levels to Relevant Sediment Quality Guidelines	4-55
Table 4-15. Comparison of Measured Biota Concentrations to Relevant Guidelines	4-56
Table 4-16. Fish Consumption Advisories in the Great Lakes Region	4-58
Table 4-17. Weight of Pesticides Collected during 1990 to 1997 by Great Lakes States	4-60
Table 4-18. Clean Sweep Collection of BNS Level I Pesticides in the Great Lakes Drainage Basin (1990-98)	4-61
Table 4-19. Comparison of Current (>1990) Great Lakes Water Column Loads of Level I Pesticides to Masses Collected in Clean Sweeps	4-62

FIGURES

Figure 3-1. Average Range of Measured Concentrations of (A) Aldrin and (B) Dieldrin in Air and the Detection Frequency	3-10
Figure 3-2. Aldrin Molecular Structure	3-11
Figure 3-3. Dieldrin Molecular Structure	3-12
Figure 3-4. Mirex Molecular Structure	3-16
Figure 3-5. Chlordane Molecular Structure	3-21
Figure 3-6. Average Range of Measured Concentrations <i>p,p'</i> in Air and the Detection Frequency at each Sampling Site of Kutz and Others (1976)	3-25
Figure 3-7. DDT Molecular Structure	3-27
Figure 3-8. Dicofol Estimated Agricultural Use and Application Quantities	3-28
Figure 3-9. Toxaphene Molecular Structure	3-32
Figure 4-1. Pesticide Environmental Transports and Sinks	4-3
Figure 4-2. Great Lakes Watershed and 1, 3, and 5-Day Airsheds Source	4-4
Figure 4-3. Toxaphene Use Distribution (in metric tons) and Back Trajectories at 850 mb Showing Potential Sources of Toxaphene to the IADN Satellite Site, Egbert, Ontario	4-5
Figure 4-4. Back Trajectories at 850 mb Showing Potential sources of <i>p,p'</i> - DDT to IADN sites at Egbert and Point Petre, Ontario	4-5
Figure 4-5. Frequency of NPL Sites with Aldrin Contamination	4-10
Figure 4-6. Frequency of NPL Sites with Chlordane Contamination	4-10
Figure 4-7. Frequency of NPL Sites with Dieldrin Contamination	4-11

FIGURES (continued)

Figure 4-8.	Frequency of NPL Sites with DDT, DDE, or DDD Contamination	4-11
Figure 4-9.	Frequency of NPL Sites with Mirex Contamination	4-12
Figure 4-10.	Frequency of NPL Sites with Toxaphene Contamination	4-12
Figure 4-11.	Designated Areas of Concern (AOC) in the Great Lakes	4-14
Figure 4-12.	Back Trajectories of Dieldrin at Two Locations in Lake Michigan	4-16
Figure 4-13.	Pesticide Concentrations vs Date Profiles for Lake Michigan Sediment Cores	4-18
Figure 4-14a.	Dieldrin Concentrations in Lake Trout for the Great Lakes	4-20
Figure 4-14b.	Dieldrin Concentrations in Eagle and Herring Gull Eggs for the Great Lakes	4-21
Figure 4-15.	Comparison of Mirex Sediment Profiles from Lake Ontario with Production and Usage History	4-24
Figure 4-16a.	Changes in Mirex Levels in Spottail Shiners from Lake Ontario, 1977-1990	4-25
Figure 4-16b.	Mirex in Herring Gull Eggs for the Great Lakes	4-26
Figure 4-17.	Chlordane Concentrations vs Date Profiles for Lake Michigan Sediment Cores	4-30
Figure 4-18a.	Oxychlordane Concentrations in Lake Trout for the Great Lakes	4-31
Figure 4-18b.	Chlordane Concentrations in Herring Gull Eggs	4-32
Figure 4-19.	DDT Metabolite Concentrations vs Date Profiles for Lake Michigan Sediment Cores	4-36
Figure 4-20a.	Concentrations of DDT in Lake Trout for the Great Lakes	4-39
Figure 4-20b.	Concentrations of DDE in Herring Gull Eggs for the Great Lakes	4-40
Figure 4-20c.	Concentrations of DDT in Bald Eagle Eggs for the Great Lakes	4-41
Figure 4-21.	Sediment Toxaphene Profiles	4-45
Figure 4-22.	Mean Concentration of Toxaphene in Lake Trout, Walleye, and Smelt in the Great Lakes in 1982 and 1992/1994	4-46
Figure 4-23.	Virtual Elimination Dates	4-47

This page intentionally left blank

THE LEVEL I PESTICIDES IN THE BINATIONAL STRATEGY

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Binational Toxics Strategy (BNS) identified twelve bioaccumulative substances having sufficient toxicity and presence in water, sediments and/or aquatic biota of the Great Lakes system to warrant concerted action to eliminate their input to the Great Lakes. They are called "Level I substances." Six of the substances are formerly used pesticides, and are the primary focus of the two governments' commitments related to pesticides. The Level I pesticides are aldrin, dieldrin, chlordane, DDT (plus metabolites DDE and DDD), mirex, and toxaphene. The BNS documents combine aldrin and dieldrin because aldrin is readily oxidized to dieldrin, and is rarely found in the environment. These Level I pesticides are the subject of this report, which is in response to the "Challenge," written in the BNS:

Confirm by 1998 that there is no longer use or release from sources that enter the Great Lakes Basin of five bioaccumulative pesticides (chlordane, aldrin/dieldrin, DDT, mirex, and toxaphene).

These Level I Pesticides are highly chlorinated compounds, with five or more chlorine atoms per molecule. They are bioaccumulative, and concentrate in fish and piscivorous birds, having been found to produce several negative effects on birds, including impaired reproduction due to egg shell thinning. They all have been shown to be probable carcinogens based upon laboratory studies with animals.

Historical Usage

The past usage of these pesticides was large enough to cause significant environmental contamination during the years of their use. DDT, the first large scale pesticide, reached peak annual usage of some 80-85 million Kg in 1962. Toxaphene use peaked in 1972-75 at close to 30 million Kg per year. Other estimated peak annual use rates were chlordane at 12 million Kg in 1971, aldrin plus dieldrin at 9 million Kg in 1966, and mirex at 300-400,000 Kg in 1963-68. Again, the use rates of aldrin and dieldrin are combined because of the conversion of aldrin to dieldrin in the environment. The pesticide uses were the only significant application for the Level I pesticides with the exception of mirex. About 25% of the mirex production was for pesticidal uses, the balance being used as a flame retardant.

Because of the negative environmental effects of these substances, the pesticide uses of all of the Level I pesticides have been canceled for domestic use in the U.S. The flame retardant uses of mirex were curtailed in the 1970's and replaced by more effective products. All but chlordane have not been in production in the U.S. for many years. Chlordane continued to be produced in the U.S. for export by the product's sole manufacturer, Velsicol Corporation. In 1997 Velsicol announced that the production of both chlordane and heptachlor would cease. Velsicol expected to complete the shipment of existing stocks from its Memphis, Tennessee plant by the end of 1997.

Trends in Environmental Loadings

While domestic production has ceased and pesticide uses have been canceled, these pesticides continue to have an environmental presence. That is not surprising, considering the large use rates of the 1960's and '70's coupled with their persistence and atmospheric deposition from long range sources. These pesticides continue to be produced and used in other countries, contributing to the atmospheric deposition. The environmental concentrations, however, have shown a general decline in most media over the years, with a few exceptions.

Surface Water. The following quantities of Level I pesticides that remain in the Great Lakes water were calculated from the most recent water concentration data (1994 - 1996):

aldrin + dieldrin	2,747 Kg	chlordane	680 Kg
DDT + metabolites	950 Kg	mirex	246 Kg
toxaphene	17,476 Kg		

All of these levels represent reductions over time with the exception of toxaphene. Lake Superior accounts for about 77% of the toxaphene calculated to be in the water of all five of the Great Lakes. The current water concentration level in Lake Superior can not be expected to change rapidly for several reasons; past inputs have remained in the lake because the low lake water temperature reduces vaporization loss, the low particulate volume in the lake water reduces the removals to sediments, and losses through outflow are small relative to the large lake volume (191 years average water residence time). Estimates calculated from the Pearson, Swackhamer data indicate that when net atmospheric inputs fall to zero (that is just equaling the vaporization loss) it would require over 40 years to reduce the toxaphene concentrations by one half.

Sediment. In general, the sediment core data are limited, and do not cover all substances in all lakes. Most cores analyzed for the Level I pesticides show the expected pattern of rising concentrations from the time of introduction to the peak use years, followed by declining concentrations thereafter. A few cores showed exceptions to this pattern. A recent analysis of toxaphene in sediment cores (Pearson, Swackhamer, et al, 1997) showed the expected concentration of toxaphene rising to a peak in the 1970-80 period, followed by a continued decline. These scientists concluded that atmospheric input is currently the dominant source of toxaphene to the Great Lakes, with the exception of Northern Lake Michigan, which may have a non-atmospheric source. In 1997, in search of the non-atmospheric source, a number of tributaries were sampled at locations that were felt most promising to elucidate the elevated toxaphene concentrations based upon past pesticide use and current industrial activity. Although final data have not been published, preliminary information indicates that non-atmospheric sources of toxaphene were not found. Another anomalous finding involved two of five Lake Michigan cores analyzed for chlordane, DDT and dieldrin which showed rising dieldrin concentrations in recent years. However, one of these, from the northern part of the Lake is inconclusive, as the chlordane and DDT peaks came in at about the year 1900, long before the commercial introduction of the pesticides. The other core from the southern part of the Lake needs confirmation, as DDT concentrations are 10 times those for chlordane and dieldrin.

Atmosphere. Environmental concentrations of the Level 1 pesticides in the Great Lakes Basin are affected by atmospheric transport. Atmospheric concentrations around the Great Lakes are being measured by the Integrated Atmospheric Deposition Network (IADN). Concentration data have been taken at the five master stations covering the Great Lakes. Time trend data are not available for all substances, but measurements for dieldrin, DDT and its metabolites, and three principal components of commercial chlordane are available for 4 to 5 year periods from 1990 through 1995. The data were corrected for temperature, and subjected to regression analysis; decreasing concentration trends over time were calculated for all of these compounds. Using the data that were significant at the 95% confidence level, rate constants were calculated and used to estimate the time required for the atmospheric concentration to reach the detection limit of 0.1 pg/m³. The detection limit is one way to define "Virtual Elimination." Using this definition, the estimates of future dates to reach virtual elimination ranged from about 2010 for DDT to about 2060 for the DDT metabolite DDE, with dieldrin and chlordane falling in between. Aside from the overall decreasing trend, unusually high seasonal atmospheric levels of DDT and its metabolites were measured near South Haven, MI. This area is presently under study in attempt to elucidate the reasons for the elevated concentrations, which might include vaporization from soils of past DDT use, inadvertent releases, or the present use of the pesticide dicofol, which contains DDT as a contaminant.

Bioaccumulation. Level I pesticides are still present in the tissues of fish and birds in the Great Lakes Basin. However, concentrations in fish and herring gull eggs have shown an overall decline over the years. An example is the reduction in the concentration of DDT in Lake Michigan lake trout from about 20 ppm to 1 ppm over the period 1970 to 1992. An exception again, however, is the concentration of toxaphene in Lake Superior lake trout, which showed no significant change from 1982 to 1992; this is most likely a result the higher and stable concentrations of toxaphene in Lake Superior water.

While environmental concentrations in the Great Lakes Basin media have been generally declining for the past twenty years, current contamination levels remain a concern as reflected by water concentrations that exceed national water quality standards, sediment concentrations that exceed sediment guidelines, and fish consumption advisories based on unacceptable levels of these pesticides in sport and commercial fish.

Reservoirs and Stockpiles

There are some 212 National Priority Level Superfund sites within the eight Great Lakes States which show contamination by one or more of the six pesticides. A former Velsicol Chemical production site in St. Louis, Michigan, now under remediation, has considerable DDT contamination, and carp taken from the adjacent Pine River have high levels of DDT. These sites represent point sources that are being addressed through the U.S. EPA Superfund Program. In spite of these point sources, evidence of significant contaminant introduction to the Great Lakes beyond site boundaries has not been confirmed.

Overall removals of Level I pesticides at waste pesticide collections (so called Clean Sweeps) have resulted in significant recoveries of unused stockpiles. A simple illustration of their

significance is the fact that the quantities collected have exceeded the total quantities in the Lake waters, and the quantities of chlordane, aldrin/dieldrin, and DDT collected are many times those levels. Although mirex has not been identified in Clean Sweeps, some mirex may have been collected in New York, as those collections identified all organo chlorines as DDT, and all cyclodienes as chlordane.

Has The U.S. Met The Challenge?

All pesticide uses for all Level I pesticides have been canceled. The production facilities within the U.S. have been closed. No evidence of purposeful releases have been identified. In spite of these facts, one can not conclude that the challenge has been met. This is because of the potential for "use or release from sources that enter the Great Lakes Basin" from the following:

- Remaining stockpiles. As significant quantities of the Level I pesticides continue to be collected in Clean Sweeps in the Great Lakes Region, we believe that additional stored quantities exist. From that point of view, we can not guarantee that stored materials will not be used or released to the environment.
- Continued production and use internationally. The contribution of atmospheric deposition to concentrations in the Great Lakes, the potential of these pesticides to be transported long distances, and their continued use and release in other countries suggests that international sources continue to contribute to concentrations in the Great Lakes.
- Release from reservoir sources. Sediments, soil, and localized contaminated industrial sites (NPL Superfund) remain a source of potential release to the Great Lakes Basin.

The declining concentration trends for these substances is encouraging, but the task is not over. Anomalous results of sediment core analysis need to be confirmed to clarify whether non-atmospheric sources of toxaphene, DDT and dieldrin are entering the lakes. The South Haven, MI study must be assessed in an effort to gain more information about releases to the atmosphere from soils. The remediation and/or clean up of identified waste sites must continue. The continuation of Clean Sweep collections has been clearly justified, as the amounts collected would have caused significant increases in contamination of the lakes, had such quantities been released. Finally, the vigilance of the monitoring programs to record progress, and to alert us to continuing and emerging problems created by the presence of these Level 1 pesticides in the environment must continue.