

**ENHANCING ENERGY  
RECOVERY FROM  
LANDFILLS USING THE  
BIOREACTOR TECHNOLOGY**

**5<sup>TH</sup> ANNUAL**

**LMOP CONFERENCE AND  
PROJECT EXPO**

**WASHINGTON, D.C.**

**Patrick S. Sullivan, R.E.A., C.P.P**

**SCS ENGINEERS**

# **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

- **Compare Conventional “Dry” Landfills to Anaerobic Bioreactor Landfills:**
  - **LFG/Methane Generation and Recovery**
  - **LFG-Related Emissions**
  - **Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Reductions**
  - **Energy Recovery**
- **Compare Project Economics for LFGTE Projects (electricity generation)**

# LFG MODELING REFRESHER

- EPA's LFG generation model (LANDGEM)
- Annual waste input ("M<sub>i</sub>" value) = Mg
- Age of waste ("T<sub>i</sub>" value) = yr
- Methane generation potential ("L<sub>0</sub>" value) = m<sup>3</sup>/Mg
- Refuse decay constant ("k" value) = yr<sup>-1</sup>
- Equation:  $Q_m = \sum 2 k L_0 M_i (e^{-kti})$

# GENERAL LFG MODELING INPUTS

- **LANDGEM** developed for “dry” sites
- $M_i$  and  $T_i$  are site-specific
- $L_0 = 100$  to  $170 \text{ m}^3/\text{Mg}$
- $k = 0.02$  to  $0.05 \text{ yr}^{-1}$  (conventional); rainfall dependent
- $k = 0.1$  to  $0.25 \text{ yr}^{-1}$  (bioreactor); derived from limited lab and pilot scale studies

# STUDY MODELING INPUTS

- **Conventional Landfill: 25 million tons, 25 years of life**
- **Bioreactor: 30% density increase**
- **Conventional Landfill:  $k = 0.05$  (wet climate)**
- **Bioreactor:  $k = 0.12$  (average)**

## STUDY MODELING INPUTS (cont.)

- No adjustments made to  $L_0$  (= 100  $m^3/Mg$ )
- Issue of “ultimate”  $L_0$  and “effective”  $L_0$
- Site modeled over 100 years of operational and post-closure life

# STUDY MODELING INPUTS (cont.)

- **NMOC Concentration = 595 ppmv  
(AP-42)**
- **75% Collection Efficiency**
- **NO<sub>x</sub> = 0.06 lb/ MM BTU**
- **98% Destruction Efficiency**

# **STUDY MODELING INPUTS (cont.)**

- **Conventional Landfill: GCCS Installed (Year 8) and Turned Off (Year 75) per the NSPS**
- **Bioreactor: GCCS Installed Early (Year 2)**
- **Bioreactor: GCCS Turned Off 10 Years Sooner (Year 65)**

# MODELING RESULTS

LFG Flow	Conventional	Bioreactor
Maximum	6362	10,631
LFG (scfm)		
LFG Flow in Year 2 (scfm)	650	1265
LFG Flow in Year 8 (scfm)	2633	6358

# MODELING RESULTS (cont.)

LFG Flow	Conventional	Bioreactor
LFG Flow in Year 65 (scfm)	905	99
LFG Flow in Year 75 (scfm)	549	49
LFG Flow – 25 yr. Average (scfm)	~4500	~7500

# MODELING RESULTS (cont.)

Pollutant	Conventional	Bioreactor
Maximum NMOC (tpy)	108	124
Maximum NO <sub>x</sub> (tpy)	50	84
Maximum CO <sub>2</sub> Reduced (Mg/yr)	496,253	829,202

# MODELING RESULTS (cont.)

Pollutant	Conventional	Bioreactor
Total NMOC (tons/100 yr.)	3121	3283
Total NO <sub>x</sub> (tons/100 yr.)	1607	2199
Total CO <sub>2</sub> Reduced (Mg/100 yr.)	15.9 MM	21.8 MM

# MODELING RESULTS (cont.)

Energy Value	Conventional	Bioreactor
Maximum Heat (MM BTU/hr)	191	319
Maximum Power (MW)	19	30
25-Year Average Power (MW)	12	20

# DATA SUMMARY

- Bioreactor will collect over 37% more methane and produce 37% more energy
- Bioreactor will reduce over 5.9 million more Mg of GHG
- Bioreactor will increase NMOC emissions by 162 tons (1.6 tpy)
- Bioreactor will increase NOx emissions by 592 tons (5.9 tpy; 34 tpy in peak year)

# ELECTRICITY GENERATION

- Proforma Assumptions
  - 10-Year Analysis Based on Average Gas Recovery Rates
  - Plant Sizes: 12 MW for Conventional and 20 MW for Bioreactor
  - Power Sales Rate = \$0.05/kW-hr

# ELECTRICITY GENERATION (cont.)

- Proforma Assumptions (cont.)
  - No Subsidies or Tax Credits Assumed
  - Power Generation Technology = Gas Turbines
  - 95% Capacity Factor
  - Economies of Scale for Capital and O&M Costs

## ELECTRICITY GENERATION (cont.)

- Economics Comparison
  - Average Gross Revenue = \$4.1 million/yr for conventional; \$6.9 million/yr for bioreactor
  - Average Net Cash Flow = \$2.0 million/yr for conventional; \$4.0 million/yr for bioreactor

# ELECTRICITY GENERATION (cont.)

- Economics Comparison (cont.)
  - Internal Rate of Return = 7.3% for conventional and 16% for bioreactor
  - Simple Payback: 6.7 years for conventional and 4.6 years for bioreactor

# CONCLUSIONS

- Obvious Energy Benefit
- More Energy for Less Years of Plant Operation
- Gas/Generation Recovery Rates are More Constant
- Gas Generation/Recovery Rates Remain at Peak for Longer Periods of Time
- Additional GHG Reductions (another source of revenue)
- Increases in NMOC/VOC and Combustion Emissions