




UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAY 12 2009

**MEMORANDUM**

**SUBJECT:** Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program Tentative State and Tribal Grant Allocation for FY2010

**FROM:**   
Stephen F. Heare, Director  
Drinking Water Protection Division, OGWDW (4606M)

**TO:** Water Division Directors  
Regions I-X

We have completed gathering information necessary to calculate the tentative FY2010 Underground Injection Control (UIC) State Grant Allotments. The tentative state-by-state allotments are based on the President's budget request of \$10,891,000 and are attached for your use in planning for FY2010. Consistent with grant regulations found in 40 CFR 35.192, allotments were based on population, geographic area, extent of underground injection practices, and other relevant factors.

Regions may provide non-direct implementation funds to States and Tribes that have primacy to implement and maintain UIC programs. The Regional allotment of the FY2010 Headquarters reserve funds for UIC Tribal Class V implementation is also presented in the attached table. Please refer to my email memorandum on 01/08/2008 for additional information on the use of Headquarters reserve funds for implementing the UIC Class V program on tribal lands. If you need a separate copy of the memorandum please contact Jyl Lapachin of my staff.

When we receive the FY2010 Appropriation and an operating plan, we will calculate the final FY2010 allotments. The final allotments may be the same as the tentative allotments unless the funds we receive for the program differ from the President's budget request. Should funding levels change, we will recalculate the allotments, using the same criteria used to calculate the tentative allotments.

EPA Order 5700.7, "Environmental Results Under Assistance Agreements," applies to all funding packages/funding recommendations submitted to the Grants Management Offices after January 1, 2005. The Order requires EPA Program Offices to: 1) link proposed assistance agreements to the Agency's Strategic Plan/GPRA architecture at the goal, objective, and where appropriate, sub-objective level; 2) ensure that well-defined outputs and, to the maximum extent practicable, well-defined outcomes are appropriately addressed in assistance agreement work plans and funding recommendations; and 3) ensure that progress in achieving agreed-upon outputs and outcomes is adequately addressed in recipient progress reports and advanced monitoring activities. When you negotiate the States' work plans/grant agreements you should ensure that the State programs are focused toward achieving the goals outlined in EPA's FY2010 National Water Program Guidance and this Order.

Specifically, the work plans/grant agreements should address the Program Activity Measures (PAMs) for FY2010. As a reminder, the UIC-based PAMs for FY2010 are:

**SDW-7a, 7b, and 7c:**

Separately for Classes I, II and III (salt solution mining wells):

- I Percent of deep injection wells that are used to inject industrial, municipal, or hazardous waste (Class I) that have lost mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water.
- II Percent of deep injection wells that are used to enhance oil/natural gas recovery, or for the injection of other (Class II) fluids associated with oil and natural gas production, or that are used for the disposal or storage of other oil production related activities (Class II) that have lost mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water.
- III Percent of deep injection wells that are used for salt solution mining (Class III) that have lost mechanical integrity and are returned to compliance within 180 days thereby reducing the potential to endanger underground sources of drinking water.

**SDW-8:**

Percent of high priority Class V wells identified in sensitive ground water protection areas that are closed or permitted (cumulative). [Note: "high priority Class V wells" are defined by the UIC primacy program director, but at minimum must include motor vehicle waste disposal wells, large capacity cesspools and industrial wells. "Sensitive ground water protection areas" are defined by the UIC primacy program director, but at a minimum must include ground-water based community water system source water areas.]

In accordance with EPA Order 5700.6A2, CHG2, revised on September 24, 2007, you must develop and carry out a post-award monitoring plan and conduct basic monitoring for every award. This monitoring should ensure satisfaction of five core areas: (1) compliance with all programmatic terms and conditions; (2) correlation of the recipient's work plan/application and actual progress under the award; (3) availability of funds to complete the project, (4) proper management of and accounting for equipment purchased under the award, and (5) compliance with all statutory and regulatory requirements of the program.

Given the variations among the Regions, you may determine what level of monitoring is appropriate for the UIC grants as long as you comply with the Office of Water and Agency requirements. Advanced monitoring activities must be documented in the official grant file and the Grantee Compliance Database.

If you have any questions about the State grant calculation, please give me a call or have your staff call Jyl Lapachin at (202) 564-0327.

Attachments

Addressees:

Region I	Jane Downing	Region VI	Larry Wright
Region II	Nicole Kraft	Region VII	Mary Mindrup
Region III	Karen Johnson	Region VIII	Steven Pratt
Region IV	Fred McManus	Region IX	David Albright
Region V	Rebecca Harvey	Region X	Peter Contreras

# FY10 UIC Tentative Program Grant Allocation

**State**

**3/11/2009**

Region	State	2010 Primacy Allotment	2010 DI Allotment	1422 Program Allotment	1425 Program Allotment	Total 2010 State Allotment
1	CT	\$ 56,500	\$ -	\$ 56,500	\$ -	\$ 56,500
	MA	\$ 68,800	\$ -	\$ 68,800	\$ -	\$ 68,800
	ME	\$ 61,900	\$ -	\$ 61,900	\$ -	\$ 61,900
	NH	\$ 56,300	\$ -	\$ 56,300	\$ -	\$ 56,300
	RI	\$ 46,700	\$ -	\$ 46,700	\$ -	\$ 46,700
	VT	\$ 54,800	\$ -	\$ 54,800	\$ -	\$ 54,800
	<b>Regional Total</b>		<b>\$ 345,000</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 345,000</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
2	NJ	\$ 76,700	\$ -	\$ 76,700	\$ -	\$ 76,700
	NY	\$ -	\$ 245,200	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 245,200
	PR	\$ 67,100	\$ -	\$ 67,100	\$ -	\$ 67,100
	VI	\$ -	\$ 36,800	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 36,800
	<b>Regional Total</b>		<b>\$ 143,800</b>	<b>\$ 282,000</b>	<b>\$ 143,800</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
3	DC	\$ -	\$ 38,800	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 38,800
	DE	\$ 46,900	\$ -	\$ 46,900	\$ -	\$ 46,900
	MD	\$ 87,400	\$ -	\$ 87,400	\$ -	\$ 87,400
	PA	\$ -	\$ 209,200	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 209,200
	VA	\$ -	\$ 122,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 122,500
	WV	\$ 156,200	\$ -	\$ 63,500	\$ 92,700	\$ 156,200
	<b>Regional Total</b>		<b>\$ 290,500</b>	<b>\$ 370,500</b>	<b>\$ 197,800</b>	<b>\$ 92,700</b>
4	AL	\$ 129,500	\$ -	\$ 66,900	\$ 62,600	\$ 129,500
	FL	\$ 252,900	\$ 31,800	\$ 252,900	\$ -	\$ 284,700
	GA	\$ 80,200	\$ -	\$ 80,200	\$ -	\$ 80,200
	KY	\$ -	\$ 232,600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 232,600
	MS	\$ 177,000	\$ -	\$ 70,400	\$ 106,600	\$ 177,000
	NC	\$ 79,600	\$ -	\$ 79,600	\$ -	\$ 79,600
	SC	\$ 74,800	\$ -	\$ 74,800	\$ -	\$ 74,800
	TN	\$ -	\$ 80,600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 80,600
<b>Regional Total</b>		<b>\$ 794,000</b>	<b>\$ 345,000</b>	<b>\$ 624,800</b>	<b>\$ 169,200</b>	<b>\$ 1,139,000</b>
5	IL	\$ 340,600	\$ -	\$ 76,000	\$ 264,600	\$ 340,600
	IN	\$ 114,600	\$ 126,200	\$ -	\$ 114,600	\$ 240,800
	MI	\$ -	\$ 306,800	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 306,800
	MN	\$ -	\$ 89,900	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 89,900
	OH	\$ 300,100	\$ -	\$ 139,300	\$ 160,800	\$ 300,100
	WI	\$ 84,600	\$ -	\$ 84,600	\$ -	\$ 84,600
	<b>Regional Total</b>		<b>\$ 839,900</b>	<b>\$ 522,900</b>	<b>\$ 299,900</b>	<b>\$ 540,000</b>
6	AR	\$ 188,900	\$ -	\$ 82,800	\$ 106,100	\$ 188,900
	LA	\$ 361,700	\$ -	\$ 194,400	\$ 167,300	\$ 361,700
	NM	\$ 338,200	\$ -	\$ 141,500	\$ 196,700	\$ 338,200
	OK	\$ 372,400	\$ -	\$ 82,800	\$ 289,600	\$ 372,400
	TX	\$ 973,900	\$ -	\$ 351,800	\$ 622,100	\$ 973,900
	<b>Regional Total</b>		<b>\$ 2,235,100</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 853,300</b>	<b>\$ 1,381,800</b>

## FY10 UIC Tentative Program Grant Allocation

<b>7</b>	IA	\$	-	\$	78,600	\$	-	\$	-	\$	78,600
	KS	\$	529,000	\$	-	\$	177,900	\$	351,100	\$	529,000
	MO	\$	135,600	\$	-	\$	75,000	\$	60,600	\$	135,600
	NE	\$	164,300	\$	-	\$	76,100	\$	88,200	\$	164,300
	<b>Regional Total</b>	\$	<b>828,900</b>	\$	<b>78,600</b>	\$	<b>329,000</b>	\$	<b>499,900</b>	\$	<b>907,500</b>
<b>8</b>	CO	\$	97,300	\$	108,600	\$	-	\$	97,300	\$	205,900
	MT	\$	108,400	\$	59,800	\$	-	\$	108,400	\$	168,200
	ND	\$	170,500	\$	-	\$	67,900	\$	102,600	\$	170,500
	SD	\$	39,400	\$	61,000	\$	-	\$	39,400	\$	100,400
	UT	\$	150,000	\$	-	\$	79,900	\$	70,100	\$	150,000
	WY	\$	370,800	\$	-	\$	174,000	\$	196,800	\$	370,800
<b>Regional Total</b>	\$	<b>936,400</b>	\$	<b>229,400</b>	\$	<b>321,800</b>	\$	<b>614,600</b>	\$	<b>1,165,800</b>	
<b>9</b>	AS	\$	-	\$	33,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	33,000
	AZ	\$	-	\$	149,600	\$	-	\$	-	\$	149,600
	CA	\$	503,900	\$	177,800	\$	-	\$	503,900	\$	681,700
	GU	\$	38,800	\$	-	\$	38,800	\$	-	\$	38,800
	HI	\$	-	\$	57,100	\$	-	\$	-	\$	57,100
	MP	\$	34,100	\$	-	\$	34,100	\$	-	\$	34,100
	NV	\$	85,500	\$	-	\$	56,500	\$	29,000	\$	85,500
<b>Regional Total</b>	\$	<b>662,300</b>	\$	<b>417,500</b>	\$	<b>129,400</b>	\$	<b>532,900</b>	\$	<b>1,079,800</b>	
<b>10</b>	AK	\$	130,300	\$	136,000	\$	-	\$	130,300	\$	266,300
	ID	\$	85,000	\$	-	\$	85,000	\$	-	\$	85,000
	OR	\$	108,900	\$	-	\$	90,200	\$	18,700	\$	108,900
	WA	\$	116,600	\$	-	\$	103,200	\$	13,400	\$	116,600
<b>Regional Total</b>	\$	<b>440,800</b>	\$	<b>136,000</b>	\$	<b>278,400</b>	\$	<b>162,400</b>	\$	<b>576,800</b>	
<b>TOTALS</b>		\$	<b>7,516,700</b>	\$	<b>2,381,900</b>	\$	<b>3,523,200</b>	\$	<b>3,993,500</b>	\$	<b>9,898,600</b>

### Primacy Tribal

Region	Tribe	2010 Primacy Allotment	2010 DI Allotment	1422 Program Allotment	1425 Program Allotment	Total 2010 Primacy Tribal Allotment
8	Fort Peck	\$ 22,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22,000	\$ 22,000
9	Navajo	\$ 64,200	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 64,200	\$ 64,200
<b>TOTALS</b>		\$ 86,200	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 86,200	\$ 86,200

## FY10 UIC Tentative Program Grant Allocation

<b>Tribal</b>		(with frozen Class V inventory)	
Region	2010 DI Allotment	2010 HQ Reserve Allotment	
1		\$	4,700
2		\$	7,400
3		\$	-
4	\$ 7,800	\$	8,200
5	\$ 52,800	\$	51,200
6	Incl. Osage \$ 209,800	\$	63,200
7		\$	7,500
8	\$ 109,300	\$	98,000
9		\$	153,500
10	\$ 56,900	\$	75,900
<b>Totals</b>		<b>\$ 436,600</b>	<b>\$ 469,600</b>

<b>Total</b>	
Recipient	Allotment
State	\$ 9,898,600
Primacy Tribal	\$ 86,200
Tribal	\$ 436,600
HQ Reserve	\$ 469,600
<b>TOTAL</b>	
	<b>\$ 10,891,000</b>