

May 15, 2009

Via Express Mail

Mr. Richard Kinch
US Environmental Protection Agency
Two Potomac Yard
2733 S. Crystal Dr.
5th Floor; N-5738
Arlington, VA 22202-2733

Re: Request for Information Under Section 104(e) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9604(e)

Dear Mr. Kinch:

Enclosed is the response of Kansas City Power & Light Company (KCP&L) to EPA's Section 104 (e) request for information not dated that was received May 4, 2009 regarding an ash settling pond at KCP&L's Montrose Generating Station. The ash is periodically removed from the pond and beneficially used off-site or deposited in an on-site permitted landfill.

I certify that the information contained in this response to EPA's request for information and the accompanying documents is true, accurate, and complete. As to the identified portions of this response for which I cannot personally verify their accuracy, I certify under penalty of law that this response and all attachments were prepared in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations.

If you have any questions regarding this response, please contact me at 660-885-2284.

Sincerely,



Gregory R. Lee
Plant Manager
Montrose Generating Station

Enclosure A

Enclosure A

**Kansas City Power & Light Company
Montrose Generating Station
Management Unit: Ash Settling Pond**

May 15, 2009

Please provide the information requested below for each surface impoundment or similar diked or bermed management unit(s) or management units designated as landfills which receive liquid-borne material for the storage or disposal of residuals or by-products from the combustion of coal, including, but not limited to, fly ash, bottom ash, boiler slag, or flue gas emission control residuals. This includes units that no longer receive coal combustion residues or by-products, but still contain free liquids.

1. Relative to the National Inventory of Dams criteria for High, Significant, Low, or Less-than-Low, please provide the potential hazard rating for each management unit and indicate who established the rating, what the basis of the rating is, and what federal or state agency regulates the unit(s). If the unit(s) does not have a rating, please note that fact.

The Management Unit does not have a known rating. The Missouri Department of Natural Resources - Solid Waste Management Program regulates solid waste facilities in Missouri.

2. What year was each management unit commissioned and expanded?

The Management Unit was commissioned approximately in 1958 and has not been expanded. The ash is periodically removed from the Management Unit and beneficially used off-site or deposited into an on-site permitted landfill.

3. What materials are temporarily or permanently contained in the unit? Use the following categories to respond to this question: (1) fly ash; (2) bottom ash; (3) boiler slag; (4) flue gas emission control residuals; (5) other. If the management unit contains more than one type of material, please identify all that apply. Also, if you identify "other," please specify the other types of materials that are temporarily or permanently contained in the unit(s).

Fly ash and bottom ash.

4. Was the management unit(s) designed by a Professional Engineer? Is or was the construction of the waste management unit(s) under the supervision of a Professional Engineer? Is inspection and monitoring of the safety of the waste management unit(s) under the supervision of a Professional Engineer?

The Management Unit was not designed by a known Professional Engineer nor was the construction of the Management Unit under the supervision of a known Professional Engineer. Inspection and monitoring of the safety of the Management Unit is not completed under the supervision of a Professional Engineer.

5. When did the company last assess or evaluate the safety (i.e., structural integrity) of the

management unit(s)? Briefly describe the credentials of those conducting the structural integrity assessments/evaluations. Identify actions taken or planned by facility personnel as a result of these assessments or evaluations. If corrective actions were taken, briefly describe the credentials of those performing the corrective actions, whether they were company employees or contractors. If the company plans an assessment or evaluation in the future, when is it expected to occur?

The Management Unit is visually inspected on approximately a weekly basis by operational or security personnel. There has been no known assessment or evaluation of the safety (i.e., structural integrity) of the Management Unit beyond the visual inspection. There have been no known actions taken or planned by facility personnel as a result of the visual inspections of the Management Unit. There are no planned assessments or evaluation of this Management Unit in the future beyond the visual inspections.

6. When did a State or a Federal regulatory official last inspect or evaluate the safety (structural integrity) of the management unit(s)? If you are aware of a planned state or federal inspection or evaluation in the future, when is it expected to occur? Please identify the Federal or State regulatory agency or department which conducted or is planning the inspection or evaluation. Please provide a copy of the most recent official inspection report or evaluation.

There have been no known State or Federal regulatory official inspection or evaluation of the safety (structural integrity) the Management Unit. We are not aware of a planned state or federal inspection or evaluation in the future.

7. Have assessments or evaluations, or inspections conducted by State or Federal regulatory officials conducted within the past year uncovered a safety issue(s) with the management unit(s), and, if so, describe the actions that have been or are being taken to deal with the issue or issues. Please provide any documentation that you have for these actions.

There have been no known assessments or evaluations, or inspections conducted by State or Federal regulatory officials conducted within the past year that uncovered a safety issue(s) with the Management Unit.

8. What is the surface area (acres) and total storage capacity of each of the management units? What is the volume of material currently stored in each of the management unit(s)? Please provide the date that the volume measurement(s) was taken. Please provide the maximum height of the management units(s). The basis for determining the maximum height is explained later in this Enclosure.

The Management Unit's surface area is approximately 4.4 acres and the total storage capacity is approximately 21,300 cubic yards. The capacity measurements were made as of 2009. The volume of material currently stored in the Management Unit is estimated today to be approximately 7,500 cubic yards. The Management Unit's Dam Height, pursuant to Enclosure A, is 6 feet.

9. Please provide a brief history of known spills or unpermitted releases from the unit within the

last ten years, whether or not these were reported to State or federal regulatory agencies. For purposes of this question, please include only releases to surface water or to the land (do not include releases to groundwater).

There have been no known spills or unpermitted releases from the Management Unit within the last ten years.

10. Please identify all current legal owner(s) and operator(s) at the facility.

The current legal owner of the Montrose Generating Station is Kansas City Power & Light Company. The current operator of Montrose Generating Station is Kansas City Power & Light Company.