



MEMORANDUM ON SWG-2023-00284

Summary

For SWG-2023-00284, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works (OASACW) at the U.S. Department of the Army are returning the draft approved jurisdictional determination (JD) to the Galveston District for any revisions that may be necessary, consistent with the factors in this memorandum regarding when non-relatively permanent ditches and culverts, alone or in combination, can meet the continuous surface connection requirement for wetlands evaluated as paragraph (a)(7) adjacent wetlands under the pre-2015 regulatory regime.¹

On May 25, 2023, the Supreme Court decided *Sackett v. EPA* and concluded that the *Rapanos* plurality established the proper jurisdictional standard under the Clean Water Act (CWA) for relatively permanent waters and adjacent wetlands. 598 U.S. 651 (2023). To be covered under the CWA, adjacent wetlands must satisfy the standard first established by a plurality in *Rapanos v. United States*, 547 U.S. 715 (2006), and now adopted by a majority of the Court in *Sackett*—that the wetlands have a continuous surface connection to waters that are "waters of the United States" in their own right. The direction in this memorandum is consistent with the CWA and the agencies' regulations under the pre-2015 regulatory regime at 33 CFR 328.3 (2014) and 40 CFR 230.3 (2014), consistent with *Sackett*. In providing this direction, we have also utilized relevant case law and existing guidance, including the legal memorandum *Clean Water Act Jurisdiction Following the U.S. Supreme Court's Decision in Rapanos v. United States & Carabell v. United States ("Rapanos Guidance"), consistent with <i>Sackett*.²

_

¹ The "pre-2015 regulatory regime" refers to the agencies' pre-2015 definition of "waters of the United States," implemented consistent with relevant case law and longstanding practice, as informed by applicable guidance, training, and experience. The pre-2015 definition of "waters of the United States" is also referred to as the Corps' 1986 regulations and EPA's 1988 regulations, inclusive of the exclusion for prior converted cropland, which both agencies added in 1993. See 33 CFR 328.3 (2014) and 40 CFR 230.3(s) (2014). Additionally, the agencies are interpreting the phrase "waters of the United States" consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in Sackett v. Environmental Protection Agency, 598 U.S. 651 (2023). It is this regulatory regime that is currently operative in the State of Texas. The Clean Water Act and EPA and Corps regulations, interpreted consistent with the Sackett decision, contain legally binding requirements. This memorandum does not substitute for those provisions or regulations, nor is it a regulation itself. Thus, this memorandum does not impose legally binding requirements on EPA, the Corps, Tribes, States, or the regulated community, and may or may not apply to a particular situation based upon the circumstances.

² There are two regulatory regimes that are operative across the country due to ongoing litigation: the amended 2023 rule

I. Assessment of "Adjacent" Wetlands Consistent with Sackett

Under the pre-2015 regime, and consistent with the Rapanos plurality and Sackett, adjacent wetlands are jurisdictional when they have a continuous surface connection with traditional navigable waters, the territorial seas, interstate waters, relatively permanent jurisdictional impoundments, or relatively permanent tributaries. See 33 CFR 328.3 (2014) and 40 CFR 230.3 (2014). Sackett: (1) adopted the familiar "continuous surface connection" requirement from the Rapanos plurality; (2) held that adjacent wetlands must have a "continuous surface connection" with covered waters to qualify as "waters of the United States"; and (3) explained that wetlands are "as a practical matter indistinguishable from waters of the United States"—and therefore are themselves covered—"when" there is a "continuous surface connection" between wetlands and covered waters, "so that there is no clear demarcation between 'waters' and wetlands." 598 U.S. at 678 (quoting Rapanos, 547 U.S. at 742, 755). Under Sackett, the word "indistinguishable" is not a separate element of adjacency, nor is it alone determinative of whether adjacent wetlands are "waters of the United States"; rather, the term (among others the Supreme Court uses) informs the application of the "continuous surface connection" requirement. The Rapanos plurality (which Sackett followed) uses phrases like continuous physical connection to describe the continuous surface connection requirement. See Rapanos, 547 U.S. at 747, 751 n.13, 755. Sackett does not require the agencies to prove that wetlands and covered waters are visually identical. Indeed, as Sackett notes, courts have long regarded wetlands that abut covered waters as meeting the continuous surface connection requirement. Further, as judicial decisions applying the familiar test since 2006 illustrate, see, e.g., United States v. Cundiff, 555 F.3d 200, 212-13 (6th Cir. 2009), the demonstration that wetlands have a continuous surface connection and so are indistinguishable is a fact-specific one.

As noted above, precedent and the agencies' experience applying the continuous surface connection requirement demonstrate that the continuous surface connection requirement can be met by a wetland abutting a jurisdictional water. In addition, while the CWA does not require a continuous surface water connection between wetlands and covered waters, such evidence can suffice to meet the continuous surface connection requirement. *See, e.g., United States v. Lucas,* 516 F.3d 316, 326-27 (5th Cir. 2008) (considering evidence of kayaking in relatively permanent tributaries and their connected wetlands). Further, depending on the factual context, the requirement can be met when a channel, ditch, swale, pipe, or culvert (regardless of whether such feature would itself be jurisdictional) serves as a physical connection that maintains a continuous surface connection between an adjacent wetland and a relatively permanent water. *See, e.g., Cundiff,* 555 F.3d at 212-13 (considering evidence of a channel with surface water flow and surface connections between wetlands and relatively permanent water bodies "during storm events, bank full periods, and/or ordinary high flows" and also concluding that "it does not make a difference whether the channel by which water flows from a wetland to a navigable-in-fact waterway or its tributary was manmade or formed naturally").

-

which is the "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States," (88 FR 3004, January 18, 2023; "January 2023 rule") as amended by the final rule "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'; Conforming" (88 FR 61964, September 8, 2023; "conforming rule") (33 CFR 328.3; 40 CFR 120.2); and the pre-2015 regulatory regime. Because the agencies are interpreting both regulatory regimes that are operative across the country consistent with *Sackett* and the direction in this memorandum is consistent with both operative regulatory regimes, the direction in this memorandum with respect to when a non-relatively permanent ditches can serve as a continuous surface connection for adjacent wetlands is also applicable to the amended 2023 rule.

MEMORANDUM ON SWG-2023-00284

II. Depending on the Factual Context, Culverts and Non-Relatively Permanent Ditches, Alone or in Combination, Can Meet the Continuous Surface Connection Requirement

The draft approved JD covers an approximately 0.58-acre site located in Corpus Christi, Nueces County, Texas at 27.647783 North latitude and -97.283015 West longitude. The draft approved JD covers two wetlands, but this memorandum focuses on Wetland 2 (0.077 acres). The Galveston District coordinated this draft approved JD with EPA Region 6, and Region 6 subsequently elevated the draft approved JD to the agencies' Headquarters offices for review. EPA Headquarters subsequently requested that the draft approved JD be coordinated with OASACW.

The draft approved JD concluded that Wetland 2 is adjacent to the Laguna Madre,³ a traditional navigable water located outside of the review area and is jurisdictional as a paragraph (a)(7) adjacent wetland under the pre-2015 regulatory regime. As a basis for this finding, the draft approved JD indicates that Wetland 2 has a continuous surface connection through a discrete feature to a paragraph (a)(1) traditional navigable water (the Laguna Madre). The continuous surface connection between the wetlands and Laguna Madre is described in the draft approved JD as being through a drainage ditch (which is a water that is not a subject of the draft approved JD) that flows eastward along Lola Johnson Road for a length of approximately 115 feet, including through two culverts underneath driveways, to the Laguna Madre. The drainage ditch is described in the draft approved JD as a non-relatively permanent tributary to a traditional navigable water that appears to carry only ephemeral flow after precipitation events and to be developing bed and bank characteristics.

Non-relatively permanent ditches, other non-relatively permanent channels, and culverts are features that can serve as all or part of a continuous surface connection depending on the factual context, because these features often have physical indicators of flow (e.g., bed and bank and other indicators of an ordinary high water mark) that provide evidence that the features physically connect wetlands to jurisdictional waters, including during storm events, bank full periods, and/or ordinary high flows. Depending on the factual context, including length of the connection and physical indicators of flow, more than one such feature can serve as part of a continuous surface connection where they together provide an unimpaired, continuous physical connection to a jurisdictional water. Wetland 2 is connected to the Laguna Madre by the non-relatively permanent drainage ditch and the two culverts that convey surface flow from the wetlands to the traditional navigable water. Indicators of an ordinary high water mark, including bed and bank, in the non-relatively permanent drainage ditch provides additional evidence that flow is occurring between the wetland and the relatively permanent water. Roadside ditches are often created alongside roads to prevent water from accumulating on the surface of the road and underneath the road, directing water to flow through the ditch and away from the road and helping to reduce flooding and road degradation. In addition, culverts are typically built under roads and driveways to help maintain hydrologic connection from the aquatic resource on one side of the road to the other to support the structural integrity of the road by preventing flooding, overtopping, undercutting, and erosion from the aquatic resource. Without the culvert, the flow of water from the wetland and from the roadside ditch could result in a road being degraded or washed away. Thus, in this case, the ditch and the culverts are types of features that provide evidence that

-

³ The traditional navigable water that the drainage ditch flows to is a tidally-influenced ditch that is an extension of the Laguna Madre. Thus, the traditional navigable water is referenced as the Laguna Madre in both this memorandum and in the draft approved JD.

MEMORANDUM ON SWG-2023-00284

sufficient levels of surface flow are occurring between the wetland and the traditional navigable water during storm events, bank full periods, and/or ordinary high flows to warrant construction of these features. The 115-foot length of the physical connection via the ditch and the culverts is relatively short. Considering these factors together, and consistent with *Sackett*, the agencies concur with the District that in the factual context of Wetland 2, the ditch and culverts directly connecting Wetland 2 to the Laguna Madre together serve as a physical connection that meets the continuous surface connection requirement for Wetland 2 and the wetland is therefore "adjacent" to the Laguna Madre, a traditional navigable water.

III. Conclusion

The agencies concur with the District that Wetland 2 has a continuous surface connection to a traditional navigable water. The agencies are returning the draft approved JD to the Galveston District for any revisions that may be necessary, consistent with the factors in this memorandum regarding when ditches and culverts, alone or in combination, can meet the continuous surface connection requirement for wetlands evaluated as paragraph (a)(7) adjacent wetlands under the pre-2015 regulatory regime.

Russell L. Kaiser
Date: 2024.06.25 15:23:55
-04'00'

Russell Kaiser, Chief

Program Development and Jurisdiction Branch Oceans, Wetlands, and Communities Division Office of Water

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Milton Boyd, Acting Director of Policy and Legislation

Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works)

U.S. Department of the Army