

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27711

OCT 1 3 2010

OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY PLANNING AND STANDARDS

Mr. Kenneth R. Comey III BP America, Inc. Environmental Compliance & Advocacy Team 12317 Aralia Ridge Dr Austin, TX 78739-1940

Dear Mr. Comey:

In your August 2, 2010 letter, you requested permission to use an alternative procedure to measure the flow rate of gas exiting the flares from refinery, terminal, chemical plant, or other flares subject to 40 CFR Part 60.18 and 63.11(b). You would like to use an ultrasonic flow meter in place of Method 2, 2A, 2C, or 2D to satisfy the volumetric flow rate and exit gas velocity requirements of §§60.18(f)(4) and 63.11(b)(7)(i). You note that a copy of the flow meter specifications and calibration certificate will be attached to the relevant test reports.

We approve your use of the ultrasonic flow meter in place of Method 2, 2A, 2C or 2D to measure the flare flow rate for exit velocity at regulated flares as long as the calibration certification is in compliance with the manufacturer recommended frequency. You may use this alternative at flares subject to the applicable provisions in §§60.18(f)(4) and 63.11(b)(7)(i). Since this alternative method is applicable to other similar facilities in this source category, we will be posting this letter on our website at http://www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/approalt.html for use by other interested parties.

If you have questions or would like to discuss the matter further, please call Foston Curtis at (919) 541-1063, or you may e-mail him at curtis.foston@epa.gov.

Sincerely,

Sue B. Oldham

Conniesue B. Oldham, Ph.D., Group Leader Measurements Technology Group

cc: Foston Curtis (E143-02)