#### **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

#### 40 CFR Part 300

[SW H-FRL-3113-6]

#### **Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Programs**

**AGENCY: U.S. Environmental Protection** 

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Interim final rule.

**SUMMARY:** Section 302 of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA), signed into law on October 17, 1986, requires the Administrator of EPA to publish a list of extremely hazardous substances within 30 days. The Administrator is also required to simultaneously publish an interim final regulation establishing a threshold planning quantity for each substance on the list and initiate a rulemaking to finalize these regulations. The list and planning quantities trigger emergency planning in States and local communities under SARA. The purpose of this rule is to publish the statutorily prescribed list of extremely hazardous substances and the corresponding threshold planning quantities for those substances. This rule also codifies the reporting and notification requirements under SARA for facilities at which extremely hazardous substances are present. Finally, a companion proposed rule, published elsewhere in today's Federal Register, initiates a rulemaking to revise the list of substances, the threshold planning quantities and reporting regulations.

**EFFECTIVE DATES:** This rule becomes effective on: November 17, 1986. Other dates relevant to this rule include the following:

- 1. The emergency release notification requirements become effective on November 17, 1986.
- 2. State emergency response commissions should be established by April 17, 1987.
- 3. Facility notifications for emergency planning are required by May 17, 1987.
- 4. State commissions should establish emergency planning districts by July 17, 1987.
- 5. State Commissions should establish local emergency planning committees by August 17, 1987.
- 6. Facility notifications to local committees concerning facility representatives are due by September 17, 1987.

**COMMENTS:** Written comments should be submitted on or before January 2, 1987.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments: Written comments should be submitted in triplicate to Preparedness Staff. Superfund Docket Clerk, Attention: Docket Number 300PQ, Superfund Docket Room Lower Garage, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Stop WH 548D, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460.

Docket: Copies of materials relevant to this rulemaking are contained in the Superfund Docket located in Room Lower Garage at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460. The docket is available for inspection by appointment only between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday. excluding federal holidays. The docket phone number is (202) 382-3046. As provided in 40 CFR Part 2, a reasonable fee may be charged for copying services.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard A. Horner, Chemical Engineer, Preparedness Staff, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, WH-548. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460, or the Chemical Emergency Preparedness Hotline at 1-800/535-0202, in Washington, DC at 1-202/479-2449

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The contents of today's preamble are listed in the following outline:

- 1. Introduction
  - A. Statutory Authority
  - B. Background
  - 1. Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA)
  - 2. Title III
  - 3. Subtitle A
- 4. Section 302
- II. Analysis of the Interim Final Rule
- A. Emergency Planning Program 1. Purpose of the List and Threshold
- **Planning Quantities**
- 2. Responsibilities of Facilities Under Subtitle A
- 3. Applicability
- 4. Responsibilities of the States and Local Communities Under Title III
- B. List of Extremely Hazardous Substances and Threshold Planning Quantities
- 1. List of Extremely Hazardous Substances
- a. Statutory Requirement
- b. Criteria for the list
- i. basis for the criteria
- ii. criteria
- iii. application of the criteria
- iv. other toxic chemicals
- c. List of 402 Chemicals
- 2. Threshold Planning Quantities
- a. Statutory Requirement
- b. Development of Threshold Planning Quantities
- c. Methodology
- C. Statutory Requirement of Interim Final Rulemaking and Solicitation of Public Comment
- III. Relationship to CERCLA
  - A. Relationship of Title III to CERCLA
  - B. Relationship of This Rulemaking to the National Contingency Plan
  - C. Relationshio of This Rule to CERCLA Reporting Requirements Section 103

- IV. Regulatory Analyses
  - A. Regulatory Impact Analysis
- B. Regulatory Flexibility Analysis
- C. Paperwork Reduction Act
- V. Supporting Information A. List of Subjects

#### I. Introduction

#### A. Statutory Authority

These regulations are issued under Title III of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, (Pub. L. 99-499), ("SARA" of "the Act"). Title III of SARA is known as the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-know Act of 1986.

#### B. Background

1. Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA)

On October 17, 1986, the President signed into law the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 ("SARA") which revises and extends the authorities established under the Comprehensive **Environmental Response, Compensation** and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA"). Commonly known as "Superfund," CERCLA provides authority for federal cleanup of abandoned toxic waste sites and response to releases of hazardous substances. Title III of SARA establishes new authorities for emergency planning and preparedness, community right to know reporting, and toxic chemical release reporting.

#### 2. Title III

Title III of SARA, also known as the "Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986", is intended to encourage and support emergency planning efforts at the State and local level and provide residents and local governments with information concerning potential chemical hazards present in their communities.

The emergency planning requirements of this Act recognize the need to establish and maintain contingency plans for responding to chemical accidents which can inflict health and environmental damage as well as cause significant disruption within a community.

Title III is organized into three subtitles. Subtitle A, which establishes the framework for local emergency planning, will be described in more detail in the following section. Subtitle B provides the mechanism for community awareness with respect to hazardous chemicals present in the locality. This information is critical for effective local contingency planning. Subtitle B includes requirements for the submission of material safety data sheets and emergency and hazardous

chemical inventory forms to State and local governments, and the submission of toxic chemical release forms to the States and the Agency. Subtitle C contains general provisions concerning trade secret protection, enforcement, citizen suits, and public availability of information.

#### 3. Subtitle A

Subtitle A of Title III is concerned primarily with emergency planning programs at the State and local levels. Section 301 requires each State to establish an emergency response commission by April 17, 1987. The State emergency response commission will have several tasks critical to the implementation of local contingency planning and response efforts. It will be responsible for establishing emergency planning districts and appointing local emergency planning committees. The Commission will also be responsible for the supervision and coordination of the activities of the local emergency planning committees.

Section 302 requires the Administrator of EPA to publish a list of extremely hazardous substances and threshold planning quantities for such substances. Any facility where an extremely hazardous substance is present in an amount in excess of the threshold planning quantity is required to notify the State commission by May 17, 1986. Other facilities may also be designated by the Commission or the Governor.

Section 303 governs the development of comprehensive emergency response plans by the local emergency planning committees and provision of facility information to the committee. Section 304 establishes requirements for immediate reporting of certain releases of hazardous substances to the local planning committees and the State emergency response commission, similar to the release reporting provisions under section 103 of CERCLA. Section 304 also requires follow up reports on the release, its effects, and response actions taken.

Finally, section 305 addresses emergency preparedness and training, with special emphasis on hazardous chemicals. The Administrator is also required under section 305 to conduct a review of emergency systems.

#### 4. Section 302

Section 302 defines the specific list of extremely hazardous substances and requires EPA to publish the list within 30 days after the enactment of SARA. The list of extremely hazardous substances is defined in section 302 as "the list of substances published in November, 1985 by the Administrator in Appendix A of

the Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program Interim Guidance". This list was established by EPA to identify chemical substances which could cause serious irreversible health effects from accidential releases.

Section 302 further requires EPA to establish threshold planning quantities for each of the 402 extremely hazardous substances through an interim final regulation. At the same time, EPA must initiate a rulemaking effort to finalize these threshold planning quantities. This threshold planning quantity is the total amount of any listed extremely hazardous substance present at any one time at a facility, regardless of location, number of containers, or storage method, which will trigger the planning notification. Section 302 gives the Administrator broad flexibility in establishing these quantities. If EPA does not publish interim final rules establishing the threshold planning quantities by thirty days after enactment of SARA, then the threshold planning quantity becomes two pounds for each extremely hazardous substance.

Under section 302(a)(4) the Administrator may make revisions to the list and threshold planning quantities. Any revisions must take into account the toxicity, reactivity, volatility, dispersibility, combustibility, or flammability of a substance.

Toxicity must include any short- or long-term effect resulting from a short-term exposure to the substance in question. Thus, extremely hazardous substances are characterized as those which can cause serious health effects with only a single exposure.

A facility is subject to the emergency planning requirements of section 302 if any extremely hazardous substance is present at the facility in a quantity greater than the threshold planning quantity established for that substance. The Governor or the State emergency response commission may designate additional facilities to be covered if such designation is made after public notice and opporunity for comment.

Within seven months after enactment of SARA, May 17, 1987, the owner/operator of each facility subject to the provisions of section 302 must notify the State emergency response commission of the state in which it is located that it is subject to that Section. After May 17, 1987 an owner/operator must notify the State emergency response commission within sixty days after the facility begins handling an extremely hazardous substance. Failure to comply with these reporting provisions may, under section 325, result in injunctive relief or the imposition of a civil penalty in an

amount of up to \$25,000 for each day in which the violation continues.

Lastly, the State emergency response commission must provide the Administrator of EPA with information concerning the notifications received from any facility under section 302.

Today's rule publishes the list of extremely hazardous substances and corresponding threshold planning quantities, as required by section 302. This rule also codifies related statutory reporting requirements applicable to facilities at which extremely hazardous substances are present. Finally, today's rule represents an initiation of an Agency rulemaking to revise this rule as appropriate in response to public comment. A companion proposed rule, published elsewhere in today's Federal Register, specifically sets out for public comment all aspects of this final rule and proposes revisions to the list published today.

#### II. Analysis of the Interim Final Rule

#### A. Emergency Planning Program

The emergency planning program is a first step toward chemical emergency planning for extremely hazardous substances. After the enactment of Superfund, it became apparent that emergency response to accidental releases of hazardous substances, although vital to the protection of public health and the environment, was not enough protection against the possibility of the release of extremely hazardous substances. For many chemicals the magnitude of the endangerment to surrounding populations upon release is such that it is not sufficient merely to plan for cleanup of spills once they have occurred. Rather, it is important to facilitate emergency planning which can help prevent the accident and to prepare facilities and the surrounding or adjacent community for the contingency of a release and the resulting emergency response.

Particularly after the Bhopal, India disaster of December, 1984, it became clear that substances which are highly acutely toxic and have a high potential for becoming airborne posed a special problem for emergency response. In many cases, by the time any emergency response personnel can arrive on the scene of a release, the cloud has already done its damage to public health or the environment and dissipated. For such extremely hazardous substances, early comprehensive emergency planning for the possibility of a release is vital to effective public and environmental protection.

#### 1. Purpose of the List and Threshold Planning Quantities

The extremely hazardous substances list of 402 substances was developed as part of the Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program (CEPP) and is the result of over a year of EPA effort. EPA initiated the CEPP as part of its ongoing preparedness responsibilities for hazardous substance releases under CERCLA and for addressing toxic substances under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). The program was announced in June, 1985, as part of the Agency's Air Toxics Strategy for addressing both continuing and accidental releases of toxic substances into the air. CEPP is designed to increase public awareness of chemical hazards in communities and to assist States and communities in developing preparedness programs and response capabilities for releases of hazardous chemicals into the environment.

The Agency first developed the extremely hazardous substances list as part of the CEPP along with guidance materials to aid localities in focusing on these chemicals to address the development of community emergency response plans. The list and guidance materials (issued November, 1985) were designed to enable a community to obtain information on the location of potential chemical hazards in the community. This information could be used to help the community take preventive actions and plan responses to accidental releases of these extremely hazardous substances. A notice of availability of the CEPP Interim Guidance was published in the Federal Register on December 17, 1985.

Title III of SARA mandates the type of program advocated by the Agency's CEPP. It requires State and local governments to establish the infrastructure needed to facilitate emergency planning and provides technical support to these programs. It also requires certain facilities to supply the information on chemicals present at the facility which is necessary for contingency planning.

The extremely hazardous substances list and its threshold planning quantities are intended to help the local community focus on the chemicals and facilities of the most immediate concern from a community emergency planning and response perspective. EPA strongly emphasizes, however, that while the list published today includes many of the chemicals which may pose an immediate hazard to a community upon release, it is not to be considered a list of all chemicals which are hazardous enough to require community emergency response planning. There are tens of thousands of compounds and mixtures in commerce in the United States, and in specific circumstances, many of them could be considered toxic or otherwise dangerous. The list published today represents only a first step towards development of an effective emergency response planning effort at the community level. Without a preliminary list of this kind, it would be very difficult for most communities to know where to begin identification of potential chemical hazards among the many chemicals present in any locality.

Similarly, the threshold planning quantities are not absolute levels above which the extremely hazardous substances are dangerous and below which they pose no threat at all. Rather, the threshold planning quantities are intended to provide a "first cut" for community emergency response planners where these extremely hazardous substances are present. After identification of facilities at which extremely hazardous substances are present in quantities greater than the threshold planning quantities, the local community will have the basis for further analysis of the potential danger posed by these facilities. Also, they will be able to identify other facilities posing potential chemical risks to the locality, and develop contingency plans to protect its citizens from releases of hazardous chemicals. Sections 311 and 312 of Title III provide a mechanism through which a community will receive Material Safety Data Sheets and other information on extremely hazardous substances, as well as many other chemicals, from facilities which handle them. A community can then assess and initiate planning activities, if desirable, for quantities below the threshold planning quantity.

In addition to the assistance provided by the extremely hazardous substance list and the threshold planning quantities, community emergency response planners will be further aided by the National Response Team's proposed Hazardous Materials Emergency Planning Guide which is required under section 303(f) of Title III. This document will be available for public review and comment in December. A separate notice of availability will be published in the Federal Register at that time. The guidance document will be supplemented in 1987 with a technical publication developed by EPA to assist local emergency planning committees in the technical evaluation of potential chemical hazards and the prioritization of sites.

2. Responsibilities of Facilities Under Subtitle A

Subtitle A established several notification responsibilities for facilities at which hazardous substances are present or from which hazardous substances are released. First, under section 302, each facility where any extremely hazardous substance is present at any one time in a quantity equal to or above the threshold planning quantity established for that substance, must notify the State emergency response commission for the State in which it is located.

This notification must be provided within seven months after the enactment of SARA (May 17, 1987) or within 60 days from the time that the facility first becomes subject to the notification requirements in section 302, whichever is later.

Second, under section 303(d), these facilities must also designate a facility representative who will participate in the local emergency planning effort as a facility emergency response coordinator. This designation must be made by September 17, 1987 or 30 days after establishment of the local emergency response committee, whichever is earlier. Section 303(d) also requires facilities to provide the committee with information relevant to development or implementation of the local emergency response plan.

Section 304 requires notification by a facility at which a hazardous chemical is produced, used, or stored to the local planning committee and the State emergency response commission upon release of a reportable quantity (RO) of any extremely hazardous substance or other hazardous substance identified under CERCLA section 101(14). This notification is required even if a threshold planning quantity of a substance is not present at the facility. Those extremely hazardous substances for which an RQ has not been established under CERCLA are given an RQ of one pound under section 304 of SARA. These RQ's will be adjusted in later regulation by EPA. Section 304 requires both an immediate release notification to the local committee and State commission and a follow-up report providing additional information on the release, its impacts, and any actions taken in response.

Under section 325, failure to comply with these responsibilities may result in the imposition of civil or criminal penalties. States, local governments, and citizens may also bring suit to enforce many sections of the Act.

#### 3. Applicability .

The emergency planning requirements under section 302 are applicable to all facilities which store, manufacture. process, use, or otherwise handle at any time an extremely hazardous substance in an amount above the threshold planning quantity established for that substance in today's rule. Additionally, after public notice and the opportunity for comment, the Governor may designate other facilities that will be subject to these regulations. "Facility", for the purposes of Title III, is defined as "all buildings, equipment, structures, and other stationary items which are located on a single site or on contiguous or adjacent sites and which are owned or operated by the same person (or by any person which controls, is controlled by, or under common control with, such person).'

For purposes of emergency release notification, under section 304 "facility" is defined in section 329 to also include transportation vessels or facilities. However, section 304 notification requirements apply only to facilities at which hazardous chemicals are produced, used, or stored and at which there is a release of an extremely hazardous substance or a CERCLA hazardous substance.

### 4. Responsibilities of the States and Local Communities Under Title III.

Title III also prescribes several requirements with respect to emergency planning for States and localities. First, under section 301(a) the Governor of each State is to appoint, within six months of the enactment of SARA, April 17, 1987, a State emergency response commission or designate a state agency to have this responsibility. Until the Governor appoints such a commission or state agency, responsibilities of the Commission under Title III remain with the Governor.

Section 301(b) further provides that the State emergency response commission will be responsible for the establishment of emergency planning districts in which local emergency planning committees will be formed. One month after the emergency planning districts are established, the State commission is responsible for appointing the local emergency planning committees.

Under section 301(c) local emergency planning committees will have the initial responsibility for establishing the community emergency response plans specified in section 303, and the ongoing responsibility for updating, revising, and exercising these plans.

B. List of Extremely Hazardous Substances and Threshold Planning Quantities

### 1. List of Extremely Hazardous Substances

a. Statutory Requirement: As stated above, the list of extremely hazardous substances is defined in section 302 to be the "same as the list of substances published in November 1985 by the Administrator in Appendix A of the Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program (CEPP) Interim Guidance." Section 302 requires the EPA to publish the list within 30 days of the enactment of SARA.

One of the goals of the CEPP was to increase community awareness of chemical hazards, specifically acutely toxic chemicals. To satisfy this goal, the Agency developed the toxicity criteria to assist communities in identifying acutely toxic chemicals present in their midst. Through identification of these chemicals, communities could establish priorities for developing comprehensive emergency response plans. To further assist the communities, the Agency applied toxicity criteria to develop a representative, but not exhaustive, list of acutely toxic chemicals. It is this representative list of 402 chemicals that is presently designated in section 302 as the list of extremely hazardous substances.

The following sections discuss the criteria for identifying extremely hazardous substances.

b. Criteria for the List-i. Basis for the Criteria. Considering the large number of chemicals in commerce and the variable nature of their individual inherent acute toxicities, the Agency assumed for the purposes of the CEPP. that it would be impractical for communities to evaluate all of them. The CEPP list was also based on the assumption that communities would want to focus emergency planning efforts on the most acutely toxic chemicals rather than on nontoxic chemicals or those exhibiting lesser acute toxicity. In an attempt to direct community planning efforts to these chemicals which, because of their inherent acute toxicity, are most likely to induce serious acute reactions following short term exposure, the Agency has specified selection criteria that can be applied to toxicity data to identify acutely toxic chemicals (referred to as "extremely hazardous substances" under Title III).

In defining the criteria, the Agency had to identify the health effects of concern and the data to be used. Because there are very few human acute toxicity data, the Agency elected to use

acute toxicity data derived from experiments with animals to infer potential for acute toxic effects in humans. The Agency assumed that humans and animals (mammals), on the average, are similar in intrinsic susceptibility to toxic chemicals and that animal data can be used as a surrogate for human data. This assumption forms one basic premise of modern toxicology and is a key component in the regulation of toxic chemicals.

The Agency chose to utilize data on lethality because it represents the most immediate concern in an emergency situation. Additionally, such data can be used as a comparison among many substances whose mechanisms and sites of action may be markedly different. Moreover, acute lethality data for many chemicals are the most commonly reported toxicity information and are available in accessible databases. Lethality data from animal toxicity tests are generally expressed as the median lethal concentration (LC50) when the substance has been administered by inhalation or the median lethal dose (LD50) when the substance has been administered orally or dermally. These data represent dose levels or concentrations of a chemical that resulted in the death of 50 percent of the test animals exposed at the indicated dose level.

ii. Criteria. The Agency adopted the specific criteria shown in Table 1 to identify extremely hazardous substances that may present severe health hazards to humans following short term exposure to chemicals during a chemical accident or other emergency. The selection criteria are only screening tools to identify highly acutely toxic chemicals. Under these criteria, a chemical is to be considered a potential acute human toxicant if animal test data in any mammalian species are identified with a value less than or equal to that stated for the LC50 or LD50 criteria for any one of three exposure routes. Extremely hazardous substances are those defined with inhalation LC50 values of less than or equal to 0.5 milligrams per liter of air, dermal LD50 values of less than or equal to 50 milligrams per kilogram of body weight, or oral LD50 values of less than or equal to 25 milligrams per kilogram of body weight. The specific values chosen are recognized by the scientific community as indicating a high potential for actute toxicity, and chemicals meeting the toxicity criteria are considered potential hazards.

TABLE 1—CRITERIA TO IDENTIFY ACUTELY TOXIC CHEMICALS THAT MAY PRESENT SEVERE HEALTH HAZARDS TO HUMANS EXPOSED DURING A CHEMICAL ACCIDENT OR OTHER EMERGENCY

Route of Exposure <sup>1</sup>	Acute Toxicity Measure *	Value
Dermal	Median Lethal Concentration in Air (LC <sub>50</sub> )	Less than or equal to 50 milligrams per kilogram of body weight.
Orai	Median Lethal Dose (LD <sub>so</sub> )	Less than or equal to 25 milligrams per kilogram of body weight.

 $^1$  The route by which the test animals absorbed the chemical, i.e., by breathing it in air (inhalation), by absorbing it through the skin (dermal), or by ingestion (oral).  $^2$  LC<sub>50</sub>: The concentration of the chemical in air at which 50 percent of the test animals died. LD<sub>50</sub>: The dose which killed 50 percent of the test animals. In the absence of LC<sub>50</sub> or LD<sub>50</sub> data, LC<sub>LO</sub> or LD<sub>LO</sub> data should be used. LC<sub>LO</sub>: Lethal Concentration Low, the lowest concentration in air at which any test animals died. LD<sub>LO</sub>: Lethal Dose Low, the lowest dose at which any test animals died.

The primary route of exposure with which the Agency is concerned is inhalation. In using data on oral and dermal acute lethality to infer concern about inhalation toxicity, the Agency was not as much concerned with these specific routes of exposure in humans as with identifying compounds with inherent high potential for acute toxicity.

Even with the amount of animal data that are available, there exist chemicals for which there are no standard acute toxicity test data. In those cases where toxicity testing has not determined an LD<sub>50</sub> or LC<sub>50</sub>, the Agency selected an alternative measure of acute toxicity: The lowest dose or concentration at which some animals died following exposure (LDLO or LCLO). These values may be more variable than those provided from median lethality tests, but for the purposes of screening large numbers of chemicals, it was deemed necessary to provide a second level screening tool in preference to missing potentially toxic chemicals because they were not adequately tested.

The Agency chose to use data from the most sensitive mammalian species instead of data from only one specific species because at present it is not possible to predict which species is the appropriate surrogate for humans for a given chemical.

Acute inhalation toxicity testing depends upon the concentration of the chemical in air and the duration of the exposure periods. Because of this, LC50 and LC<sub>LO</sub> values for a chemical may vary depending upon how long the animals were exposed to the substance. The Agency chose also to make maximum use of available acute toxicity data to screen for acutely toxic chemicals and, therefore, chose to use LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>10</sub> values with exposure periods up to 8 hours or with no reported exposure period. The Agency recognizes that this may be a conservative approach.

The screening criteria selected by the Agency are basically consistent with internationally accepted criteria used by both the European Economic Community and the World Bank. However, the Agency has adopted a more conservative approach by modifying the selection criteria in three ways:

1. Lethality data are not limited to data on rats, but include data on the most sensitive mammalian species tested;

2. LC<sub>50</sub> data with inhalation exposure periods up to 8 hours are included as compared to using only data from 4 hour exposure tests; and

3. LD<sub>LO</sub> and LC<sub>LO</sub> data are used when LD<sub>50</sub> or LC<sub>50</sub> data are unavailable.

iii. Application of the Criteria. The screening criteria can be applied to any experimental data or data base on chemical substances that includes acute animal toxicity data. The Agency applied the criteria to a specific toxicity data base, the Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances (RTECS), maintained by the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). The RTECS data base was used as the principal source of toxicity data for identifying acutely toxic chemicals because it represents the most comprehensive repository of acute toxicity information available with basic toxicity information and other data on more than 79,000 chemicals. It is widely accepted and used as a toxicity data source by industry and regulatory agencies alike. Although RTECS is not formally peer-reviewed, the data presented are from scientific literature which has been edited by the scientific community before publication. The Agency recognizes the limitation associated with the lack of peer-review, but for the purposes of screening acute toxicity data, RTECS represents the single best source of information.

In addition, the Agency selected only those chemicals considered to be in current production by reviewing the non-confidential 1977 Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Inventory and the current EPA list of active pesticide ingredients. The TSCA Inventory is a listing of chemicals in production at the time the Inventory was compiled. Chemicals entering commerce since 1977 through the Premanufacturing Notice (PMN) review process under Section 5 of TSCA also were screened for acute toxicity data and compared to the criteria for possible inclusion on the list.

Radioactive materials and chemical substances in research and development stages, as well as those manufactured, processed, or distributed in commerce for use as food additives, drugs, or cosmetics are not listed in the TSCA inventory and hence, were not considered. If research chemicals that meet the criteria are produced for commercial use under TSCA or for pesticide use under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), EPA will identify such chemicals through its PMN review program or pesticide registration program and list them under section 302 in future rulemakings. The Agency solicits comments concerning the addition of chemicals in food, drugs, cosmetics and radioactive materials to the list of extremely hazardous substances.

iv. Other Toxic Chemicals. Chemicals with acute lethality values not meeting the criteria values discussed in the previous section are not necessarily safe. In fact, many may be toxic to humans and may represent hazards to the community in accidental release situations. The Agency identified some of these potentially toxic chemicals using criteria based on factors such as high production volume, acute lethality, and known risk, as indicated by the fact that these chemicals have caused death and injury in accidents.

c. List of 402 Chemicals: Application of the criteria discussed above to the RTECS data base and subsequent review of the TSCA Inventory and the FIFRA active pesticide ingredient list led to the identification of 378 chemicals. In addition, one chemical meeting the toxicity criteria was identified from the Premanufacture Notices. Twenty-three additional chemicals were identified as potentially hazardous, using the criteria described above for "other toxic chemicals". These chemicals were added to the list on the basis of toxicity, high production volume, and known risk. The list of 402 extremely hazardous substances is set forth in Appendices D and E.

The Agency recognizes that the criteria used to establish the extremely hazardous substance list address only lethality, and do not account for all effects that may be associated with acute exposure to chemicals. Criteria are being considered for other health

effects after acute exposures to toxic chemicals. In addition, section 302 requires the Agency to also consider long-term health effects resulting from short-term exposures to these chemicals. The Agency does not presently have sufficient data on such effects and requests data from commenters on chronic effects from short-term exposures and comments on how these effects should be incorporated into criteria for revisions to the list. The Agency also requests any other comments on the appropriate criteria for additions to or deletions from the list.

A companion proposed rule, published elsewhere in today's Federal Register, specifically proposes the addition and deletion of certain substances from Appendices D and E.

### 2. Threshold Planning Quantities

A. Statutory Requirement: Under section 302 the Agency is required to develop threshold planning quantities for each of the 402 chemicals on the list of extremely hazardous substances and publish interim final quantities simultaneously with publication of the list. The threshold planning quantity is used to trigger reporting by facilities to the State emergency response commission. Any facility that has one or more of the chemicals on the list of extremely hazardous substances in quantities equal to or greater than the threshold planning quantity must provide notification to State emergency response commissions by April 17, 1987.

Section 302 specifies that the planning quantities may be based upon classes or categories of chemicals. If the Agency fails to develop threshold planning quantities for the chemicals on the extremely hazardous substances list, a quantity of two pounds is automatically established for each chemical.

b. Development of Threshold Planning Quantities: For many substances the potential for a serious accidental release resulting from an on-site quantity of two pounds is extremely remote. Therefore, threshold planning quantities of two pounds for all of the extremely hazardous chemicals could result in many unnecessary notifications, diverting the attention of emergency planners from facilities which may be of higher concern.

Because the Agency believes that the two pound threshold planning quantity for all 402 substances would overwhelm local emergency planning efforts and would not relate to the endangerment posed by individual substances, it is today establishing threshold planning quantities in lieu of the statutory level. The threshold planning quantities are designed to help State and local officials

identify those sites where there is a greater potential for harm to the surrounding community if a release were to occur, thereby focusing resources on the priority emergency planning problems.

c. Methodology: The Agency considered four alternative approaches for development of the threshold

planning quantities:

Approach 1. Specific Quantity
Prediction. Under this approach the
Agency would determine the specific
quantity of each chemical that, if
accidentally released, would result in
significant acute health effects at a fixed
distance from the release site.

Approach 2. Dispersion/Toxicity Ranking Method. Under this approach the Agency would assign chemicals to threshold planning quantity categories based on an index that accounts for the toxicity, the potential to become airborne, and the downwind dispersion of each chemical in an accidental release.

Approach 3. Toxicity Ranking Method. Under this alternative the Agency would assign categories of threshold planning quantities based solely on a toxicity index.

Approach 4. Two Pound Quantity for All Chemicals. Under this option, the default quantity of two (2) pounds would

be used.

After considerable analysis, the Agency has chosen to develop threshold planning quantities using Approach 2. The methodology used in each approach is presented below along with a discussion of the approaches and the reasons why the Agency believes Approach 2 is the most appropriate for establishing threshold planning quantities. For details on the methodologies employed, refer to the Threshold Planning Quantities Technical Support Document, which is available in the public docket for this rule. Comments are solicited on the various approaches and the methodologies. Information on alternative approaches also is being sought by the Agency for consideration in the development of a revised final

Methodology for Approach 1—Specific Quantity Prediction

The methodology for this approach is derived from the site specific guidance developed for the CEPP Interim Guidance. The methodology consists of initially determining a maximum short-term exposure concentration level in air ("level of concern") for each chemical that would not lead to serious health effects. The quantity of each chemical that would have to be released to the air

to reach the "level of concern" is estimated using techniques for atmospheric dispersion and assessing physical/chemical properties.

This approach is a complex process designed to provide a specific threshold planning quantity for each of the 402 extremely hazardous substances. This section discusses the derivation of levels of concern, assumptions, concerning distance and release circumstances, and the dispersion modeling techniques used in the development of the threshold planning quantities under this approach.

To perform this analysis, a level of concern must be selected for each chemical, a representative distance from the release site to the exposed population must be determined, and the conditions and modeling techniques for release and dispersion must be selected for each chemical.

A level of concern was considered to be the maximum concentration of an extremely hazardous substance in air that will not cause serious irreversible health effects in the general population when exposed to the substance for relatively short duration. At present, no such exposure levels have been established specifically for the general public. The National Academy of Sciences and others have been developing guidelines for estimating such levels for toxic chemicals. However, at this time, values for only a few chemicals have been established.

In lieu of a value developed for the general public, the Agency has identified a surrogate measure of such an exposure level. This approximation is the Immediately Dangerous to Life and Health (IDLH) level which is available for 92 of the chemicals on the list of extremely hazardous substances. This level established by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) represents the maximum concentration of a substance in air to which a healthy worker can be exposed for 30 minutes and escape without suffering irreversible health effects or impairing symptoms.

The Agency recognizes that the IDLH may have some limitations as a measure for protecting the general population. First, the IDLH is based upon the response of a healthy, male worker population and does not take into account exposure of more sensitive individuals such as the elderly, children, or people with various health problems. Second, the IDLH is based upon a maximum 30 minute exposure period which may not be realistic for accidental airborne releases. Third, the

IDLH may not indicate the

concentration that could result in serious but reversible injury. Based on these considerations, the development of more appropriate chemical emergency exposure levels for the general public has been identified as a high priority for the Agency.

However, the IDLH value, or an estimation of this value for substances that do not have a published IDLH, appears at present to be the best approximation of a level of concern available for planning purposes. IDLH values for those substances with published values were used in the calculations for establishing threshold planning quantities.

Levels of concern were estimated from acute animal toxicity test data for the substances that did not have published IDLH values.

In these instances, the concentration used to establish threshold planning quantities is determined from LC50, LC10. LD<sub>50</sub>, or LD<sub>LO</sub> data. The following equations show how these data are converted to air concentrations to approximate the IDLH level: (1) Estimated level of concern =  $LC_{50} \times$ 0.1; (2) estimated level of concern = LC<sub>LO</sub>; (3) estimated level of concern =  $LD_{50} \times 0.01$ ; and (4) estimated level of concern =  $LD_{Lo} \times 0.1$ . As new information and methodologies become available in the future, the level of concern and the value derived for chemicals on the list should be re-

evaluated. A second critical input to the analysis is the distance from the source of the release to the exposed population. For the purposes of establishing planning quantities, the Agency chose a distance of 100 meters (330 feet) to represent the distance from a source inside a chemical facility to the point where the community might be exposed. The Agency believes that this distance is representative of the point at which the community might first be impacted for most situations. The Agency recognizes that it may be shorter than that found at large manufacturing facilities, (particularly those that also have a 'buffer zone") or farther than that found at facilities located within urban centers. For example, an informal survey of chemical facilities in the Kanawha Valley (West Virginia) by the National Institute for Chemical Studies in Charleston, West Virginia, showed that the distances between storage vessels and residential housing may be as close as 25 feet (Meyer, 1986). However, there are limitations associated with atmospheric dispersion modeling techniques at distances less than 100 meters. Additionally, the **Netherlands Safety Report Legislation** 

indicates that releases that travel more than 100 meters are judged to be major accidents (Van Deputte, 1982).

Once the level of concern for each chemical was determined and a fixed distance was established, dispersion modeling techniques were used to calculate the quantity of airborne chemical required to generate the level of concern at 100 meters. Although techniques have long been available and used to address air pollution and nuclear fallout, the uses of dispersion modeling techniques to simulate the behavior of chemicals released under accidental conditions for very short time spans are largely still under development. The Agency's comparison of the several available dispersion modeling techniques is described in the Threshold Planning Quantities Technical Support Document available in the public docket for this rule.

A third critical aspect in the development of the methodology is the assumption made concerning the release of the chemical. An accidental release could be caused by a number of events such as a process upset (e.g. runaway reactions, temperature or pressure excursions leading to release), equipment failures (such as pipe rupture, equipment seal failure, valve leaks), handling accidents (such as overfilling containers and puncturing drums with a forklift), or fires and explosions that affect nearby containers or storage vessels of toxic substances. The release scenario generally determines the nature of the emission source and source strength which are critical to the dispersion consequences. Therefore analysis of potential release scenarios in complex and critical to the outcome.

The chemicals on the list were segregated by ambient physical state and grouped as gas, liquid or solid. Gases and liquids represent about half of the 402 chemicals on the list; the remainder are solids. In analyzing the chemicals released, scenarios were developed as follows: Gases were assumed to be stored under pressure such that if a leak, rupture or process upset occurred, a relief valve would open or a rupture would occur, causing a gas jet to be released. Liquids were assumed to be spilled on the ground at ambient conditions and allowed to volatilize. Liquified gases were also evaluated. Because neither of the two release scenarios above are appropriate for solids unless the solids are handled in molten or vaporized state, solids were assumed to be dispersed in powered form as an aerosol by some mechanical means (e.g. filtration unit failure, dust explosion, or other explosion) because this represents a more realistic

emergency release scenario. The sublimation of a solid as a result of a spill was considered and rejected because volatilization of solids is so slow that it does not present an emergency release hazard.

Advantages and Limitations of Approach 1

Approach 1 was designed to determine a specific individual quantity for each chemical for purposes of emergency planning. The quantities calculated using this approach ranged from below one pound (for certain extremely toxic gases) to millions of pounds (for relatively involatile substances). The apparent rigor of the methodology, however, is somewhat misleading due to the uncertainty in the level of concern (IDLH), the release scenarios selected, the source strength inputs, and the ability to model both the release and dispersion. Also, most dispersion techniques are compatible with only a limited number of the many potential release events that could occur; the Agency has no data to show whether these events represent typical or worst case situations.

Assumptions used with the modeling techniques also cause wide variations in the results. In the case of gases, variations of several orders of magnitude are possible depending on the pressure at which the gas is stored, size of the release opening, density and velocity of the escaping gas. An accidental release is an extremely dynamic event. The dynamics associated with accidental releases are not considered in this analysis since little information is available for the potential release conditions likely for the chemicals on the list.

Finally, even if the Agency was fully confident of the release scenario, emission source modeling and dispersion techniques, a number of key parameters in the analysis are sitespecific. These parameters include the distance from the source to the community or fenceline, the way in which the chemical is actually handled at the facility (e.g., at high temperatures and pressures, refrigerated, etc.), the topography of the area around the site, and prevailing meteorological conditions which can cause wide variation in the dispersion of airborne chemicals. In the absence of a valid empirical data base. the Agency must make assumptions concerning "reasonable" or "credible" characteristics of these site-specific factors. These assumptions are influenced by modeling capabilities as well as general knowledge of chemical manufacturing and processing

operations and greatly affect the accuracy of results.

For these reasons, the Agency has not used this approach to establish the threshold planning quantities in today's rule. However, because the selected methodology (Approach 2) relies on Approach 1 technical analyses, the Agency seeks comments and suggestions on the methodology used here for revision prior to issuance of a revised final rule.

### Approach 2—Dispersion/Toxicity Ranking Method

The methodology for this approach makes use of the same technical analyses used in Approach 1 but uses them only to produce a ranking of the chemicals according to their potential to become airborne, dispersion potential and toxicological properties. This approach provides a basis for relative measures of concern rather than absolute values. Under Approach 2, the levels of concern are used as an index of toxicity, and physical state and volatility are used to assess their dispersion potential. The two indices are combined to produce an overall risk score or "ranking factor". Once the chemicals have been ranked, categories of quantity are assigned based on their relative ranking. The lowest rank (highest risk) are assigned low quantities and the highest rank (lowest risk) are assigned higher quantities.

To achieve this, the list of chemicals is again segregated by ambient physical state such as gas, liquid or solid. An index value is obtained by assuming that the level of concern is divided by the factor V, which represents the extent to which the material can become airborne and dispersed:

#### Index = Level of Concern/V

where V is the extent to which the chemical can become airborne. V is assumed to be 1 for chemicals that are gaseous at ambient conditions and for solids in powder form (e.g., flour, talc), that is, in an accidental release all of the chemical could become airborne. For liquids, V represents the extent of volatilization of a spilled quantity of liquid and is estimated by knowing the chemical's molecular weight and vapor pressure. See Attachment I at the end of this preamble for a derivation of the equations used to estimate V.

Once all the chemicals have been ranked, quantities are assigned to groups of chemicals on the list. In the Agency's evaluation of all of the chemicals, only nickel carbonyl is assigned a quantity of "any amount" and must be reported in any quantity because of its extremely high acute

toxicity. Other chemicals with a low index factor, based on the Agency's technical review, are assigned a quantity of two pounds, the default quantity given by the Congress. With the exception of nickel carbonyl, it is believed that the two-pound quantity represents a reasonable lower limit for the most extremely hazardous substances on the list. Chemicals with the highest index factors (or rank) were assigned a threshold planning quantity of 10,000 pounds. This ensures that any facility with as much as a tank wagon or truck load of any extremely hazardous substances would be required to notify the State commission. Between the limits of two pounds and 10,000 pounds. chemicals were assigned to intermediate categories of 100, 500 or 1,000 pounds based on order of magnitude ranges in the index values. The selection of the intermediate categories was based on standard container sizes between two and 10,000 pounds. In summary, the allocations were as follows:

Index value:	Thresh- old quantity (lb)
<1×10 <sup>-2</sup>	2
>10 <sup>-2</sup> to <10 <sup>-1</sup>	100
>10 <sup>-1</sup> to <1	500
>1 to <10	
>10	

### Advantages and Limitations of Approach 2

The methods utilized in constructing the exposure and toxicity indices for Approach 2 are based upon, and therefore share the limitations of the methodologies utilized in Approach 1. In particular, NIOSH's IDLH or the Agency's estimated level of concern is an imperfect measure or an approximation of acute toxicity for emergency release situations involving the general public. In addition, the dispersion index is based upon specific release event assumptions. Changes in such assumptions could lead to changes in the rankings to a certain degree. Nevertheless, the Agency believes that this approach provides a consistent relative ranking of the extremely hazardous substances.

The selection of the particular cutoff values for the quantities is based wholly on the relative ranking among all of the substances on the list. Since this is a relative ranking scheme, there is no precision associated with the numbers and they should not be construed as "safe" levels. Because the Agency cannot evaluate every release scenario, it is possible that a serious event could

occur with any quantity lower than the threshold planning quantity given by this approach. Conversely, some chemicals may be unlikely to cause serious events even at quantities significantly above their thresholds. However, the Agency believes that this approach yields threshold planning quantities which will focus initial community planning on those situations which present the greatest risk.

### Methodology for Approach 3—Toxicity Ranking Method

This approach is similar to Approach 2 except that the chemicals on the list of extremely hazardous substances are ranked using only their level of concern as an index. As in Approach 2, the chemicals are assigned quantities ranging from any quantity for nickel carbonyl to 10,000 pounds with intermediate categories of 100, 500, and 1,000 pounds based upon a ranking of level of concern values.

### Advantages and Limitations of Approach 3

By ignoring the potential for the chemical to become airborne, this approach simplifies the analysis but it may also distort local planning priorities. Although the Agency cannot assess all of the ways in which releases can occur, it is clear that physical state and vapor pressure greatly influence how much of the chemical actually gets into the air. Therefore, the Agency believes that consideration of the potential should be included in the development of a threshold planning quantity.

#### Approach 4—Default to 2 Pounds

Under this approach, EPA could take no action and allow the statutory thresholds to become effective.

### Advantages and Limitations of Approach 4

A two pound quantity for each chemical is simple and straight-forward and ensures notification by facilities handling those chemicals that are deemed extremely hazardous in nature. However, it again ignores the potential for the chemical to actually become airborne, distorts local planning priorities and may cause local planning authorities to be overburdened by unnecessary notifications.

#### Conclusions

The Agency believes that Approach 2 is most appropriate for development of the threshold planning quantities because the quantities developed depend primarily on the toxicity of the chemical (level of concern) and degree

to which the chemical will become airborne; factors which are very important in deciding which chemicals are the most important from an emergency planning standpoint. The potential for the chemicals to become airborne is not considered in Approach 3. Although Approach I also addresses these factors, the apparent rigor of this methodology is not supported by the uncertainty of the assumptions and the models which must be applied. Therefore, the planning quantities derived from Approach 1 suggest a level of accuracy or precision that cannot reasonably be relied upon.

Technical support documents, which contain additional information on the approaches presented here and the outcome of applying the approaches, are available in the public docket. A list of these documents is set forth in Attachment II. Approach I provides a much broader range (from less than one pound to over one million pounds, depending upon the assumptions and models used) than the other approaches. The Threshold Planning Quantity **Technical Support Document includes** the results of applying Approach 1, using varying release scenarios and assumptions, for a representative group of chemicals. Approaches 2 and 3 result in a narrower range, with five planning quantity categories, and "any quantity" planning quantity for nicket carbonyl. Of these two latter approaches, only Approach 2 considers the degree to which the chemical will become

The Agency believes that limited State and local resources should be focused on those substances that potentially will cause the greatest harm should an accidental release occur. The quantities developed in Approach 2 meet the objective such that those that are most likely to cause serious problems (extremely toxic gases, solids likely to be readily dispersed, or highly volatile liquids) have lower quantities that those that might be toxic but are not likely to be released to the air.

The Agency applied the ranking methodology described in Approach 2 to the 402 extremely hazardous substances. Recognizing that a strictly mechanical application of this approach could lead to errors based on specific characteristics of individual chemicals, the Agency then subjected each chemical to a limited additional review to evaluate the technical reasonableness of the assignments. The threshold planning quantity allocations determined by the ranking methodology were examined and where appropriate changes to higher or lower threshold

classifications were made based upon other toxicity data, rapid absorption chemical reactivity, specific handling, formulation, and use considerations and related factors. For example, sarin and tabun, which were assigned to the 100 pound category by the methodology applied, were assigned to the two pound category because information on their toxicity suggested that they may be even more toxic under conditions of an accidental release than is indicated by the estimated level of concern. Thirty chemicals were reassigned based on this review. The rationale for each such decision is being included in the public docket for this rulemaking. Finally one chemical, nickel carbonyl, had a ranking value so low the Agency decided that any quantity could be a potential problem. The threshold for this chemical was therefore set at "any quantity".

Further, in the case of Approach 2, it was decided that if a chemical in solid form is not handled or stored as a powder at a site and it is not reactive with air or water to become airborne or to form airborne toxic products or byproducts (e.g., sodium cyanide), then it would be assigned a quantity of 10,000 lb. Although the Agency cannot identify which chemicals are stored or handled in powder form, it has identified 15 substances that are reactive with water or air which cannot be assigned a threshold planning quantity of 10,000 pounds regardless of their physical form. These substances are identified in the list of extremely hazardous substances and are discussed in the Technical Support Document on Reactive Solids, which is available in the public docket for this rule. The Agency solicits comments on whether nonreactive solids not handled as a powder should be deleted from the list of extremely hazardous substances, instead of assigning a default value of 10,000 pounds.

Many of the extremely hazardous chemicals are transported, used and stored in formulated products, which contain mixtures of chemicals. The potential hazard associated with extremely hazardous chemicals in mixtures depends on the concentration of the material as well as many factors specific to the composition of the formulations. The Agency has noted in the threshold planning quantities list, one case where it believes that common commercial formulations should not be considered for the purpose of notification under this regulation. In the case of hydrogen peroxide, the Agency does not believe that there is cause for concern with aqueous concentrations of equal to or less than 52 percent and

designates this exception on the list of extremely hazardous substances. The Agency solicits comments on this concept, which is discussed in more detail in the technical document which addresses response to public comments on the CEPP interim guidance.

In all other cases, and in the absence of more specific information, the Agency believes that mixtures of formulations containing one (1) percent or more of an extremely hazardous substance should be evaluated for notification purposes. This means a mixture containing less than 1% of an extremely hazardous substance need not be factored into the calculation of the threshold planning quantity. The rationale for the 1% rule is the low probability of the release of such a mixture delivering the threshold planning quantity of the extremely hazardous substance to the environment. OSHA has selected this cutoff value of its Hazard Communication Rule (29 CFR 1900.1200) for all hazards except carcinogens.

In evaluating whether to notify for mixtures, facility owners or operators should compare the appropriate threshold quantity with the weight of the extremely hazardous substance in the mixture. For example, if the threshold for a given chemical on the list is 100 pounds and that chemical is 20 percent by weight of a mixture, notification would be necessary if 500 pounds or more of that mixture is present at a facility. Note, however, that no such deminimis exemption exists for emergency release reporting under section 304.

The Agency seeks comments on the methodology chosen to determine threshold planning quantities. Specifically, the Agency seeks comments on:

- --Whether the ranking methodology selected (Approach 2) is appropriate for the categorization of the extremely hazardous substances by threshold quantity, and if not, which other approach might be preferable and why.
- —Whether the specific toxicity and exposure indices, the IDLH (or calculated level of concern), and V, respectively, chosen are appropriate for constructing the index.
- —Whether the Agency has set the threshold planning quantities for the extremely hazardous chemicals (ranging from any to 10,000 pounds) too high or too low in order to provide state and local planning authorities the information with which to effectively begin their emergency planning activities.

- —Whether it is appropriate to establish a percentage below which extremely hazardous components of mixtures do not have to be considered and, if so, whether one percent or some other percentage is an appropriate cutoff level.
- —Whether the chemical specific quantity adjustments to the determinations made under Approach 2 properly considered the individual characteristics of the adjusted chemicals, and whether other chemicals on the list also require quantity adjustments.

—Whether assigning threshold planning quantities of 10,000 pounds to nonpowder, non-reactive solids adequately addresses concerns for these materials.

- —Whether it is appropriate not to consider certain common commercial formulations for purposes of notification under this regulation and whether the designated reporting limit of greater than 52 percent aqueous hydrogen peroxide is appropriate.
- C. Statutory Requirement of Interim Final Rulemaking and Solicitation of Public Comment

Section 302 of Title III of SARA requires the Administrator to publish a list of extremely hazardous substances within 30 days of enactment. The initial list is required to be the same as the list of substances published in November, 1985 by the Administrator in Appendix A of the Chemical Preparedness Program Interim Guidance. In addition, section 302 specifically requires the Administrator to publish interim final regulations establishing a threshold planning quantity for each substance on the list, and to initiate a rulemaking to revise these threshold planning quantities. Failure to establish the threshold planning quantities results in statutorily established threshold planning quantities of two pounds.

Although this rule is statutorily required to be effective immediately, the Agency is also, soliciting comment on all aspects of today's rule. In a companion proposed rule published elsewhere in today's Federal Register, the Agency is specifically initiating a rulemaking to revise today's rule as appropriate in response to public comment.

In addition, certain portions of today's rule have previously received the benefit of public scrutiny and comment. At the time the list of 402 extremely hazardous substances was first published by the Agency, it was part of a voluntary program to encourage localities to begin the process of planning for chemical contingencies occurring in their communities. Appendix A of the

Chemical Emergency Preparedness
Program Interim Guidance document
was made public in November, 1985.
That guidance identified those
substances for which it was not enough
to merely focus attention on cleanup of
releases. Rather, because these
substances, upon release, posed
immediate and serious threats to the
surrounding community, emergency
planning and release prevention was
necessary for effective protection of
human health and the environment.

At the time of publication, comments were requested on the methodology for establishing the CEPP list. EPA received comments on the toxicity data used for specific chemicals, and revisions based on those comments are discussed in section II.B.2.c. above. A summary of these comments and the Agency's response has been incorporated into the public docket for this rule.

Today we are requesting comments on all aspects of this rule and are specifically soliciting comments on the criteria for establishing the extremely hazardous substance list, the threshold planning quantities and the methodologies for establishment of the quantities.

Comments must be submitted within 45 days of the publication of this regulation in the Federal Register. Upon completion of the 45 day comment period, the threshold planning quantities and supporting regulations will be finalized in a subsequent final rule as required by section 302, using the comments received as guidance in revision of this interim final rule. The comment period is shorter than that provided for many Agency rules, but is essential in order to allow a final rule to be published before May 17, 1987, when facility notifications are due.

#### III. Relationship to CERCLA

#### A. Relationship of Title III to CERCLA

Title III is a free-standing Title within SARA and thus is separate from, though closely related to, CERCLA. Because the Agency's CEPP effort was developed originally under CERCLA and because Title III emergency response and planning are closely linked to the hazardous substance release response program under CERCLA, the authorities and requirements created by Title III will be largely incorporated into the existing National Contingency Plan, established under CERCLA section 105.

#### B. Relationship of This Rulemaking to the National Contingency Plan

This rulemaking is a new Subpart I within the existing National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution

Contingency Plan (NCP) (40 CFR 300). The NCP provides for an efficient, coordinated and effective response to discharges of oil and releases of hazardous substances, pollutants and contaminants in accordance with the authorities of CERCLA and section 311 of the Clean Water Act. The NCP establishes the national organization, policy and procedures for preparedness and response to environmental incidents. The Agency is now in the process of developing a rulemaking to comprehensively revise the NCP to incorporate other changes under SARA and will evaluate placement of Title III rules.

#### C. Relationship of this Rule to CERCLA Section 103 Reporting Requirements

Under section 103 of CERCLA, any person in charge of a facility at which there is a release of a hazardous substance as defined in CERCLA section 101(14) equal to or in excess of its reportable quantity must report immediately to the National Response Center. The National Response Center will then alert the appropriate federal emergency response personnel of the release. This notification includes transportation incidents as well as fixed facility emergencies.

The notification to the State emergency response commission under section 302 is not triggered by a release incident but rather by the presence of certain quantities an extremely hazardous substance at a facility. No release or event of any kind is required for a section 302 report. This notification is an initial action in a process that culminates in the development of community emergency response plans. Section 304 in contrast, establishes reporting requirements similar to CERCLA Section 103 release reporting. However, instead of requiring notification only to the National Response Center when certain quantities of certain chemicals are released, facilities must under section 304 also notify State and local emergency response officials of these releases.

A comparison of the reportable quantities established by the Agency under CERCLA for the purposes of emergency response with the threshold planning quantities in today's rule indicates that the quantities established under these lists are not entirely comparable. In fact, 26 adjusted reportable quantities were higher than the threshold planning quantities for the same extremely hazardous substance. As a result, emergency planning would be required for an amount on the plant

site which, if entirely released, would not require a reporting to the National Response Center or to the State commission. This has occurred as a result of the use of two different approaches for establishing reportable quantities and threshold planning quantities. Unlike CERCLA reportable quantities, the threshold planning quantities are based upon exposure potential. CERCLA reportable quantities are based solely on the intrinsic chemical and physical properties, or toxicity, of a hazardous substance.

During rulemakings to revise the final rule and to adjust reportable quantities under CERCLA and Title III, the Agency intends to evaluate and address, as appropriate, inconsistencies between the two methodologies, the underlying data base of each, and the resulting quantities.

#### IV. Regulatory Analyses

#### A. Regulatory Impact Analysis

Rulemaking protocol under Executive Order 12291 requires that regulations be classified as "major" or "non-major" for purposes of review by the Office of Management and Budget. According to E. O. 12291, major rules are regulations that are likely to result in (1) An annual adverse (cost) effect on the economy of \$100 million, (2) a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, federal, state, or local government, or geographical regions, or (3) significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of United States based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

Because this rule was required by statute to be published in 30 days no further economic or regulatory impact analysis could be conducted by the Agency prior to the publication of this interim final rule. However, analyses of economic and regulatory impact will be completed for the revised final rule.

#### B. Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 requires that an analysis be performed for all rules that are likely to have a "significant impact on a substantial number of small entities." Based on the limited time available, the Agency did not conduct a formal flexibility analysis. However, the Agency has considered the impact on small entities and does not believe that this rule will have significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The reporting and notification requirements contained in this rule have

been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq. and have been assigned OMB control number 2050–0046.

#### V. Supporting Information

#### A. List of Subjects

Chemicals, hazardous substances, extremely hazardous substances, intergovernmental relations, community right-to-know, natural resources, Superfund, Superfund. Amendments and Reauthorization Act, air pollution control, chemical accident prevention, chemical emergency preparedness, threshold planning quantity, community emergency response plan, contingency planning, reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: November 12, 1986.

#### Lee M. Thomas,

Administrator.

#### Attachment I

Technical Details for Approach 2 Determination of the Threshold Planning Quantity

In Approach 2, the index for ranking the chemicals on the list is:

Index = Level of Concern/V

where V represents the extent to which the chemical can become airborne and dispensed. For gases and solids V equals one, meaning all of the chemical once released can be potentially airborne. For liquids, V is calculated by estimating the rate of volatilization (mass vaporized per time) per mass of liquid spilled. The V may be generated as follows using equations from Clements (1981) (see also TRC, 1986)

The evaporation rate of a liquid into stagnant air may be estimated by:

#### $G = (1.74 \times 10^{-4} \text{ MKAP})/(RT)$

where G is the generation rate in pounds/
minute; M, the molecular weight; K, mass
transfer coefficient (cm/sec); A, surface
area of the spill (cm²); P is the vapor
pressure of the chemical (mm Hg); R is
the Universal Gas Constant (82.05 atm
cm³/g-mole °K) and T is the temperature
of the liquid in °K. The mass transfer
coefficient may be approximated by
referencing the unknown chemical to
water:

 $K=0.83 (18/M)^{0.33}$ 

Combining equations gives:

 $G=(3.78\times10^{-4} M^{2/3} A P)/(R T)$ 

The surface area of a spill (or pool) is primarily a function of spilled quantity provided the spill occurs on a flat, non-absorbing surface. The depth of the pool is assumed to be 1 cm; although if the area around a storage vessel is diked or not flat where puddling could take

place, deeper levels could occur for the same surface area of spilled material. In the absence of specific information about the size of diked area for each liquid, we assume that the spill is 1 cm deep and has density about that of water (1 gm/cm<sup>3</sup>):

Area  $(cm^2)=454 (gm/1b) Q (lb) / 1 (gm/cm^3)$ 1 (cm) = 454 Q

Substituting and assuming the liquid is at its boiling temperatures (P=760, T>boiling point):

G/Q = V = 1.6 M/0.67 / (T + 273)

where G/Q represents the rate of volatilization per mass of liquid spilled. Note that V was estimated for liquids at their boiling point rather than at ambient temperatures. Conditions during accidental releases are likely to vary and to involve heat (e.g. fires, exothermic runaway reactions or reactions with air or water) causing more rapid volatilization of the liquid. The Agency recognizes that spills at ambient temperatures are also likely and that the rate of volatilization may be impacted by heat from the surroundings, subcooling due to evaporation and flashing from superheated conditions. However, for purposes of developing a relative ranking between substances volatilization at boiling points was utilized and consideration of other conditions for all chemicals is not expected to greatly reorder the ranking of chemicals.

#### References

Van de Putte, 1982. "The Safety Report Legislation and its Application in the Netherlands", T. Van de Putte, Directorate General of Labour, P.O. Box 69, 2273 KH, Voorburg (The Netherlands). Journal of Hazardous Materials, 7(1983) 131–144; July 16, 1982.

Turner, 1970. "Workbook of Atmospheric Dispersion Estimates", NTIS Environmental Health Series, PB191482, USDHEW, NAPCA, Cincinnati, OH, 1970.

Meyer, 1986. Telephone discussion with G.I. Meyer, National Institute for Chemical Studies (NICS) Charleston, W.VA. July, 1986.

TRC, 1986. "Evaluation and Assessment of Models for Emergency Response Planning" prepared for CMA, TRC Environmental Consultants, Inc. April 1986.

Clements, 1981. "Mathematical Models for Estimating Workplace Concentration Levels: A Literature Review" USEPA, Clement Associates, October 1981.

#### Attachment II

List of Technical Support Documents

- 1. Responses to Public Comments on the Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program Interim Guidance and Chemical Profiles
- 2. Proposed Changes to the List of Extremely Hazardous substances
- 3. Chemicals that were Assigned Different Threshold Planning

- Quantities from the Calculated Index Value
- 4. Reactive Solids Whose Threshold Planning Quantities Should Not Become 10,000 Pounds
- 5 Alphabetical Listing of Synonyms for the List of Extremely Hazardous Substances
- 6. Threshold Planning Quantities Technical Support Document
- 7. Technical Support Document for Determination of Levels of Concern
- 8. The Criteria Used to Identify
  Extremely Hazardous Substances
- 9. Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program Interim Guidance— November, 1986
- 10.Chemical Profiles on the List of 402 Extremely Hazardous Substances

For the reasons set out in the Preamble, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

# PART 300—NATIONAL OIL AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES POLLUTION CONTINGENCY PLAN

1. The authority citation for Part 300 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: Sec. 105 Pub. L. 98–510, 94 Stat. 2764, 42 U.S.C. 9505 and sec. 311(c)(2), Pub. L. 92–500 as amended, 86 Stat. 865, 33 U.S.C. 1321(c)(2) and secs. 302, 303, 305, 325 and 328, Pub. L. 99–499; E.O. 12316, 46 FR 42237 (August 20, 1981); E.O. 11735, 38 FR 21243 (August 1973).

2. The table of contents of Part 300 is amended by adding a new Subpart I as follows:

## PART 300—NATIONAL OIL AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES POLLUTION CONTINGENCY PLAN

### Subpart I—Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know

Sec.

300.91 Purpose.

300.92 Definitions.

300.93 Emergency planning.

300.94 Emergency release notification.

300.95 Penalties.

3. Following Subpart H in Part 300, a new Subpart I is added as follows:

### Subpart I—Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know

#### § 300.91 Purpose.

This regulation establishes the list of extremely hazardous substances, threshold planning quantities, and facility notification responsibilities necessary for the development and implementation of State and local emergency response plans.

#### § 300.92 Definitions.

Terms not specifically defined in this section have the same meaning as in Subpart A of this part.

Act means the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986.

CERCLA Hazardous Substance means a substance listed in Table 302.4 of 40 CFR Part 302.

Commission means the State of emergency response commission (or, for the purpose of emergency planning, the Governor if there is no commission) for the State in which the facility is located.

Environment includes water, air, and land and the interrelationship which exists among and between water, air, and land and all living things.

Extremely hazardous substance means a substance listed in Appendix D

of this part.

Facility means all buildings, equipment, structures, and other stationary items which are located on a single site or on contiguous or adjacent sites and which are owned or operated by the same person (or by any person which controls, is controlled by, or under common control with, such person). For purposes of emergency release notification, the term includes motor vehicles, rolling stock, and aircraft.

Hazardous Chemical means any hazardous chemical as defined under § 1910.1200(c) of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations, except that such term does not include the following substances:

(1) Any food, food additive, color additive, drug, or cosmetic regulated by the Food and Drug Administration.

(2) Any substance present as a solid in any manufactured item to the extent exposure to the substance does not occur under normal conditions of use.

(3) Any substance to the extent it is used for personal, family, or household purposes, or is present in the same form and concentration as a product packaged for distribution and use by the general public.

(4) Any substance to the extent it is used in a research laboratory or a hospital or other medical facility under the direct supervision of a technically qualified individual.

(5) Any substance to the extent it is used in routine agricultural operations or is a fertilizer held for sale by a retailer to the ultimate customer.

Person means any individual, trust, firm, joint stock company, corporation (including a government corporation), partnership, association, State, municipality, commission, political subdivision of a State, or interstate body.

Release means any spilling, leaking, pumping, pouring, emitting, emptying, discharging, injecting, escaping, leaching, dumping, or disposing into the environment (including the abandonment or discarding of barrels, containers, and other closed receptacles) of any hazardous chemical, extremely hazardous substance, or CERCLA hazardous substance.

Reportable quantity means, for any CERCLA hazardous substance, the reportable quantity established in Table 302.4 of 40 CFR Part 302, for such substance; for any other substance, the reportable quantity is one pound.

Threshold planning quantity means for a substance listed in Appendix D, the quantity listed in the column "threshold planning quantity" for that substance.

#### § 300.93 Emergency planning.

- (a) Applicability. The requirements of this section apply to any facility at which there is present an amount of any extremely hazardous substance in excess of its threshold planning quantity, or designated, after public notice and opportunity for comment, by the Commission or the Governor for the State in which the facility is located.
- (b) Emergency Planning Notification. The owner or operator of a facility subject to this section shall provide notification to the commission that it is a facility subject to the emergency planning requirements of this subpart. Such notification shall be provided: (1) On or before May 17, 1987 or (2) within sixty days after a facility first becomes subject to the requirements of this section, whichever is later.
- (c) Facility Emergency Coordinator. The owner or operator of a facility subject to this Section shall designate a facility representative who will participate in the local emergency planning process as a facility emergency response coordinator. The owner or operator shall notify the local emergency planning committee (or the Governor if there is no committee) of the facility representative on or before September 17, 1987 or 30 days after establishment of a local emergency planning committee, whichever is earlier.
- (d) Provision of Information. (1) The owner or operator of a facility subject to this section shall inform the local emergency planning committee of any changes occurring at the facility which may be relevant to emergency planning.
- (2) Upon request of the local emergency planning committee, the owner or operator of a facility subject to this section shall promptly provide to

the committee any information necessary for development or implementation of the local emergency plan.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under the control Number 2050–0046)

#### § 300.94 Emergency release notification.

(a) Applicability. The requirements of this Section apply to any facility: (1) At which a hazardous chemical is produced, used, or stored and (2) at which there is release of a reportable quantity of any extremely hazardous substance or CERCLA hazardous substance which results in exposure to persons outside of the boundaries of the facility. This Section does not apply to any such release which is a federally permitted release.

(b) Notice Requirements. (1) The owner or operator of a facility subject to this Section shall immediately notify the local emergency coordinator for the local emergency planning committee of any area likely to be affected by the release and the State emergency planning commission of any State likely to be affected by the release. If there is no local emergency planning committee or State emergency planning commission, notification shall be provided under this section to relevant local or state emergency response personnel.

(2) The notice required under this Section shall include the following to the extent known at the time of notice and so long as no delay in notice or emergency response results:

(i) The chemical name or identity of any substance involved in the release.

(ii) An indication of whether the substance is on the list referred to in section 302(a).

(iii) An estimate of the quantity of any such substance that was released into the environment.

- (iv) The time and duration of the release.
- (v) The medium or media into which the release occurred.
- (vi) Any known or anticipated acute or chronic health risks associated with the emergency and, where appropriate, advice regarding medical attention necessary for exposed individuals.
- (vii) Proper precautions to take as a result of the release, including evacuation (unless such information is readily available to the community emergency coordinator pursuant to the emergency plan).
- (viii) The name and telephone number of the person or persons to be contacted for further information.
- (3) As soon as practicable after a release which requires notice under (b)(1) of this section, such owner or operator shall provide a written follow-up emergency notice (or notices, as more information becomes available) setting forth and updating the information required under paragraph (b)(2) of this section, and including additional information with respect to—
- (i) Actions taken to respond to and contain the release.
- (ii) Any known or anticipated acute or chronic health risks associated with the release, and,
- (iii) Where appropriate, advice regarding medical attention necessary for exposed individuals.
- (4) Exceptions. (i) In lieu of the notices specified in paragraphs (b) (2) and (3) of this section, any owner or operator of a facility subject to this section from which there is a release of a CERCLA hazardous substance which is not an extremely hazardous substance and has a statutory reportable quantity may provide the same notice required under CERCLA section 103(a) to the local emergency planning committee.

(ii) In lieu of the notices specified in paragraphs (b) (2) and (3) of this section, any owner or operator of a facility subject to this section from which there is a release during transportation or storage incident to transportation, may provide notice by dialing 911 or, in the absence of a 911 emergency telephone number, calling the operator.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under the control number 2050–0046)

#### § 300.95 Penalties.

- (a) Civil Penalties. Any person who fails to comply with the requirements of § 300.94 shall be subject to civil penalties of up to \$25,000 for each violation in accordance with section 325(b)(1) of the Act.
- (b) Civil Penalties for Continuing Violations. Any person who fails to comply with the requirements of § 300.94 shall be subject to civil penalties of up to \$25,000 for each day during which the violation continues, in accordance with section 325(b)(2) of the Act. In the case of a second or subsequent violation, any such person may be subject to civil penalties of up to \$75,000 for each day the violation continues, in accordance with section 325(b)(2) of the Act.
- (c) Criminal Penalties. Any person knowingly and willfully fails to provide notice in accordance with § 300.94 shall, upon conviction, be fined not more than \$25,000 or imprisoned for not more than two (2) years, or both (or, in the case of a second or subsequent conviction, shall be fined not more than \$50,000 or imprisoned for not more than five (5) years, or both, in accordance with 325(b)(4) of the Act.
- 3. Following Appendix C of Part 300 new Appendix D and Appendix E are added as follows:

APPENDIX D.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES
[Alphabetical Order]

Chemical name	CAS No.	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quantity (pounds)
Acetone cyanohydrin	75-86-5	Liquid	1,000	10
Acetone thiosemicarbazide	1752-30-3	Solid		. 14
Acrolein	107-02-8	Liquid	.,	- ;
Acrylamide	79-06-1	Solid		5.000
Acrylonitrile	107-13-1	Liquid		² 100
Acrylyl chloride	814-68-6	Liquid		11
Adiponitrile	111-69-3	Liquid		11
Aldicarb	116-06-3	Solid	a 100	
Aldrin	309-00-2	Solid		11
Allyl alcohol	107-18-6	Liquid		100
Allylamine	107-11-9	Liquid		11
Aluminum phosphide	20859-73-8	Solid		100
Aminopterin	54-62-6	Solid		1.1
Amiton	78-53-5	Liquid		1.1
Amiton oxalate	3734-97-2	Solid	100	1.1
Ammonia	7664-41-7	Gas	500	100
Ammonium chloroplatinate	<sup>6</sup> 16919-58-7	Solid	10,000	1.1
Amphetamine	300-62-9	Liquid	1,000	1.1
Aniline	62-53-3	Liquid	1,000	5,000

### APPENDIX D.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES—Continued [Alphabetical Order]

Chemical name	CAS No.	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quantity (pounds)
Aniline, 2,4,6-trimethyl-	88-05-1	Liquid	500	1.1
Antimony pentaflouride		Liquid	500	11
Antimycin A		Solid	3 1,000 500	1 1 100
Arsenic pentoxide	1303-28-2	Solid	100	2 5,000
Arsenous oxide	1327-53-3	Solid	500	2 5,000
Arsenous trichloride		Liquid	500	<sup>2</sup> 5,000
Azinphos-ethyl		Solid	100	1
Azinphos-methyl	86-50-0	Solid	2	1
Bacitracin	5 1405-87-4(a)	Solid	10,000	11
Benzal chloride	98-87-3 98-16-8	Liquid	500	5,000
Benzene, 1-(chloromethyl)-4-Nitro-	100-14-1	Solid		11
Benzenearsonic acid	98-05-5	Solid	2	11
Benzenesulfonyl chloride	\$ 98-09-9 98-07-7	Liquid		100 2 1
Benzyl chloride	100-44-7	Liquid		² 100
Benzyl cyanide	140-29-4	Liquid		11
Bicyclo(2.2.1)heptane-2-carbonitrile, 5-chloro-6-((((methylamino)Carbonyl)oxy)lm		Solid	500	11
Bitoscanate	4044-65-9	Solid	-	11
Boron trichloride	10294-34-5	Liquid	500	11
Boron trifluoride		Gas		11
Bromadiolone		Solid		1 1
Bromine	7726-95-6	Liquid	500	1.1
Butadiene		Gas		11
Butyl vinyl ether	6 111-34-2	Liquid		1 3
C.I. basic green 1	633-03-4	Solid	10,000	11
Cadmium oxide		Solid		11
Cadmium stearate		Solid	. 3 1,000 500	2 1,000
Camphechlor	8001-35-2	Solid	500	2 1
Cantharidin		Solid	. 100	!!
Carbachol chloride	51-83-2 26419-73-8	Solid	. 500	11
Carbofuran	1563-66-2	Solid	2	10
Carbon disulfide		Liquid	10,000	100
Carbophenothion		Liquid	. 500	11
Chlordane		Liquid		2 1
Chlorfenvinfos	470-90-6	Liquid	. 500	11
Chlorine	7782-50-5	Gas		10
Chlormequat chloride	24934-91-6 999-81-5	Liquid		1
Chloroacetaldehyde	• 107-20-0	Liquid	10,000	1,000
Chloroacetic acid	79-11-8	Solid	. 100	! 1
Chloroethanol	107-07-3 627-11-2	Liquid		11
Chloroform	67-66-3	Liquid	10,000	² 5,000
Chloromethyl ether		Liquid		<sup>2</sup> 1
Chloromethyl methyl ether		Liquid	100	21
Chloroxuron		Solid		. , ;
Chlorthiophos		Liquid	1,000	11.
Chromic chloride	10025-73-7 5 7440-48-4	Solid	10,000	11
Cobatt carbonyl	10210-68-1	Solid	100	1
Cobalt, ((2,2'-(1,2-ethanediylbis (nitrilomethylidyne))bis(6-fluorophenolato))(2)	62207-76-5	Solid	100	11
Colchicine		Solid	100	11
Coumaphos		Solid	10,000	10
Cournatetralyl	5836-29-3	Solid	. 500	11
Cresol, o-		Solid	1,000	<sup>2</sup> 1,000
Crotonaldehyde	535-89-7 4170-30-3	Solid		100
Crotonaldehyde, (E)-	123-73-9	Liquid	1,000	100
Cyanogen bromide				1,000
Cyanogen iodide	506-78-5 2636-26-2	Solid		11
Cyanuric fluoride	675-14-9			1.1
Cycloheximide		Solid		1 11
Cyclohexylamine	108-91-8 5 287-92-3	Liquid		
Decaborane (14)	17702-41-9			11
Demeton	8065-48-3	Liquid	500	11
Demeton-s-methyl	919-86-8 10311-84-9			11
Diborane	19287-45-7	Gas		11
Dibutyl phthalate	5 84-74-2	Liquid	. 10,000	10
Dichlorobenzalkonium chloride	8 8023-53-8 111-44-4			11
Dichloromethylphenylsilane				1 . 1
Dichlorvos	62-73-7	Liquid	1,000	10
Dicrotophos				1 1 2 1
Diethyl chlorophosphate	1464-53-5 814-49-3			] ;;
Diethyl chlorophosphate	l 814-49-3	l Liquid	.l 1,000	

## APPENDIX D.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES—Continued [Alphabetical Order]

Chemical name	CAS No.	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quantity (pounds)
Diethyl-p-phenylenediamine	\$ 93-05-0	Liquid	10,000	11
Diethylcarbamazine citrate		Solid	100	1 1
Digitoxin	71–63–6	Solid	³ 100	11
Diglycidyl ether		Liquid	1,000 100	11
Digoxin		Solid	500	11
Dimethoate		Solid	500	10
Dimethyl phosphorochloridothioate		Liquid	500	11
Dimethyl phthalate	<sup>6</sup> 131–11–3	Liquid	10,000	5,000
Dimethyl sulfate		Liquid	500	2 1
Dimethyl sulfide		Liquid	100	1 1
Dimethyl-p-phenylenediamine		Liquid	10,000	11
Dimethylhydrazine		Liquid	1,000	21
Dimetilan		Solid	500	* 1
Dinitrocresol		Solid	2	10
Dinoseb		Solid	100 500	1,000
Dinoterb		Solid	10,000	5,000
Dioctyl phthalate		Liquid	500	11
Dioxolane		Liquid	10,000	1.1
Diphacinone		Solid	2	1 1
Diphosphoramide, octamethyl-	152-16-9	Liquid		100
Disulfoton		Liquid	500	1
Dithiazanine iodide		Solid	500	1 1 100
Dithiobiuret		Solid	100	100
Emetine, dihydrochloride		Solid	1,000	1
Endothion		Solid	500	- 1
Endrin		Solid	500	1
Epichlorohydrin	106-89-8	Liquid	1,000	* 1,000
EPN		Solid	100	11
Ergocalciferol		Solid	3 1,000 500	11
Ergotamine tartrate		Solid	500	11
Ethanesulfonyl chloride, 2-chloro- Ethanol, 1,2-dichloro-, acetate		Liquid	1,000	11
Ethion		Liquid	1,000	10
Ethoprophos		Liquid	1,000	11
Ethyl thiocyanate	542-90-5	Liquid	10,000	11
Ethylbis(2-chloroethyl)amine		Liquid	10,000	11
Ethylene fluorohydrin		Liquid	1,000	*1
Ethylene oxide		Liquid	10,000	5.000
Ethyleneimine		Liquid		2 1
Ethylmercuric phosphate		Solid	10,000	<sup>1</sup> 1
Fenamiphos	22224-92-6	Solid	. 2	11
Fenitrothion		Liquid	. 500	11
Fensulfothion		Liquid	1,000	11
Fluenetil		Gas	100	10
Fluoroacetamide		Solid		100
Fluoroacetic acid				1.1
Fluoroacetyl chloride	359-06-8	Liquid	32	1 1
Fluorouracil	51-21-8	Solid		11
Fonofos		Liquid		1 1 2 1,000
Formaldehyde				- 1,000
Formaldehyde cyanohydrinFormetanate		Solid	1 '	1 1
Formothion		Liquid	1	11
Formparanate		Solid	. 100	11
Fosthietan	21548-32-3	Liquid	. 500	11
Fuberidazole		Solid		1 1 100
Furan		Liquid		100
Gallium trichloride	77-47-4	Liquid	1	2 1
Hexachloronaphthalene		Solid		11
Hexamethylenediamine, N,N'-dibutyl-		Liquid	500	11
Hydrazine	302-01-2	Liquid	. 1,000	21
Hydrocyanic acid			. 100	10
Hydrogen chloride	7647-01-0		. 500 100	5,000 100
Hydrogen fluoride		Liquid		. 11
Hydrogen selenide				1 1
Hydrogen sulfide		Gas	. 500	100
Hydroquinone	123-31-9			!!
Indomethacin		Solid		11
Iridium tetrachloride				11
Iron, Pentacarbonyi- Isobenzan			1	11
Isobetizan			1	11
Isocyanic acid, 3,4-dichlorophenyl ester	102-36-3		. 500	1.1
Isodrin	465-73-6	Solid	. 100	1
Isofluorphate	55-91-4			100
Isophorone disocyanate				11
Isopropyl chloroformate				11
Isopropyl formate			1	11
			1	1
Lactonitrile				

### APPENDIX D.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES—Continued [Alphabetical Order]

· Chemical name	CAS No.	Amblent physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quantity (pounds)
Lewisite	541-25-3	Liquid	3 2	!1
Lindane	58-89-9	Solid	1,000	2 1 1 1
Lithium hydride	7580–67–8 : 109–77–3	Solid	4 100(b) - 500	1,000
Manganese, tricarbonyl methylcyclopentadienyl	12108-13-3	Liquid	10,000	1 1
Mechlorethamine	51-75-2	Liquid	3 2	11
Mephosfolan	950-10-7	Liquid	500	11
Mercuric acetate	1600-27-7 7487-94-7	Solid	500 500	11
Mercuric oxide	21908-53-2	Solid	500	11
Mesitylene	5 108-67-8	Liquid	10,000	11
Methacrolein diacetate	10476-95-6	Liquid	1,000	! 1
Methacrylic anhydride	. 760-93-0	Liquid	500 I	1,000
Methacrylonitrile	126-98-7 920-46-7	Liquid	10,000	1,000
Methacryloyloxyethyl isocyanate	30674-80-7	Liquid	500	11
Methamidophos	10265-92-6	Solid	100	11
Methanesulfonyi fluoride	558-25-8	Liquid	1,000	11
Methiocarb	950-37-8 2032-65-7	Solid	500 500	10
Methomyl	16752-77-5	Solid	1,000	100
Methoxyethylmercuric acetate	151-38-2	Solid	500	11
Methyl 2-chloroacrylate	80-63-7	Liquid	500	11
Methyl bromide	74-83-9 79-22-1	Gas	1,000 10,000	1,000
Methyl chloroformate	624-92-0	Liquid	100	1,000
Methyl isocyanate	624-83-9	Liquid	500	6.1
Methyl isothiocyanate	556-61-6	Solid	4 500	1.1
Methyl mercaptan	74-93-1	Gas	500	100
Methyl phenkapton	3735-23-7 676-97-1	Liquid	500 4 100	} ;}
Methyl phosphonic dichloride	556-64-9	Liquid	10,000	1 1
Methyl vinyl ketone	78-94-4	Liquid	2	11
Methylhydrazine	60-34-4	Liquid	500	10
Methylmercuric dicyanamide	502-39-6	Solid	500	11
Methyltrichlorosilane	75-79-6 1129-41-5	Liquid    Solid	10,000	1 1
Mevinphos	7786-34-7	Liquid	500	10
Mexacarbate	315-18-4	Solid	500	1,000
Mitomycin C	50-07-7	Solid	500	2 1
Monocrotophos	6923-22-4	Solid	500	1,000
Mustard gas	2763-96-4 505-60-2	Solid	1,000	1,000
Nickel	5 7440-02-0	Solid	10,000	21
Nickel carbonyl	13463-39-3	Liquid	<sup>3</sup> Any	*1
Nicotine	54-11-5	Liquid	3 100	100
Nicotine sulfate	65-30-5 7697-37-2	Solid	100	1,000
Nitric acid	10102-43-9	Gas	100	10
Nitrobenzene	98-95-3	Liquid	10,000	1,000
Nitrocyclohexane	1122-60-7	Liquid	500	11
Nitrogen dioxide	10102-44-0 62-75-9	Gas	100 500	10
Nitrosodimethylamine	991-42-4	Liquid	100	1
Organorhodium complex (PMN-82-147)	0	Solid	2	11
Orotic acid	<sup>6</sup> 65-86-1	Solid		11
Osmium tetroxide	° 20816-12-0	Solid	10,000	1,000
Ouabain	630-60-4 23135-22-0	Solid	3 100 100	]
Oxetane, 3,3-bis(chloromethyl)-	78-71-7	Liquid	500	1 1
Oxydisulfoton	2497-07-6	Liquid	1,000	11
Ozone	10028-15-6	Gas	. 100	!!
Paraquat	1910-42-5	Solid	2 2	11
Paraquat methosulfate	2074-50-2 56-38-2	Liquid	3 100	2 1
Parathion-methyl	298-00-0	Solid	a 100	100
Paris green	12002-03-8	Solid	500	<b>*</b> 100
Pentaborane	19624-22-7	Liquid		11
Pentachloroethane	. 576-01-7 587-86-5	Liquid	10,000	± 10
Pentadecylamine	2570-26-5	Solid	100	1 11
Peracetic acid		Liquid	500	11
Perchloromethylmercaptan	594-42-3		. 500	100
Phenol				1,000
Phenol, 2,2'-thiobis[4,6-dichloro- Phenol, 2,2'-thiobis[4-chloro-6-methyl-	. 97-18-7 4418-66-0	Solid	. 100 100	111
Phenol, 3-(1-methylethyl)-, methylcarbamate	64-00-6	Solid	500	11
Phenoxarsine, 10,10'-oxydi-	58-36-6	Solid	. 500	11
Phenyl dichloroarsine	. 696-28-6			*1
Phenylhydrazine hydrochloride	. '59-88-1 62-38-4	Solid	. 1,000 500	100
Phenylmercury acetate	1	Solid Solid		111
Phenylthiourea	103-85-5	Solid	3	100
Phorate	. 298-02-2	Liquid	. 2	
Phosacetim		Solid		
Phosfolan Phosgene	. 947-02-4 . 75-44-5		100	I
Phosmet	732-11-6		1	11
Phosphamidon	1 .			1 11

### APPENDIX D.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES—Continued [Alphabetical Order]

Chemical name	CAS No.	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quantity (pounds)
Phosphine	7803-51-2	Gas	500	100
Phosphonothioic acid, methyl-, O-ethyl O-(4-(methylthio)phenyl) ester	2703-13-1	Liquid	500	- 11
Phosphonothioic acid, methyl-, S-(2-(bis(1-methylethyl)amino)ethyl) O-ethyl ester	50782-69-9	Liquid	100 500	11
Phosphonothioic acid, methyl-,O-(4-nitrophenyl) O-phenyl ester	2665-30-7 3254-63-5	Liquid	500	
Phosphorous trichloride	7719-12-2	Liquid	1,000	1,000
Phosphorus	7723-14-0	Solid	4 500	1
Phosphorus oxychloride		Liquid	500	1,000
Phosphorus pentachloride	. 10026-13-8	Solid	1 500	11
Phosphorus pentoxide	. 1314-56-3 5 84-80-0	Solid	10,000	: 1
Phyloquinone		Solid	100	1 1
Physostigmine, salicylate (1:1)	57-84-7	Solid	100	11
Picrotoxin	124-87-8	Solid	500	11
Piperidine	110-89-4	Liquid	1,000	! 1 ! 1
PiprotalPinmifos-ethyl	. 5281-13-0 . 23505-41-1	Solid	1,000	- 11
Platinous chloride	10025-65-7	Solid	10,000	11
Platinum tetrachloride	. 5 13454-96-1	Solid	10,000	11
Potassium arsenite		Solid	500	² 1,000
Potassium cyanide	. 151-50-8 . 506-61-6	Solid	100 1500	10
Promecarb	2631-37-0	Solid	1,000	. i
Propargyl bromide	1	Liquid	2	1.1
Propiolactone, beta-	57-57-8	Liquid		11
Propionitrile		Liquid	500	10
Propionitrile, 3-chloro-		Liquid	. 1,000 500	1,000
Propyl chloroformate	. 109-61-5 . 5 1331-17-5	Liquid	1	11
Propylene oxide		Liquid		100
Propyleneimine	. 75-55-8	Liquid,		2 1
Prothoate		Solid	. 100	्र 1 १ 1
Pseudocumene	. 95-63-6 129-00-0	Liquid	. 10,000	5,000
Pyridine, 2-methyl-5-vinyl-		Liquid	500	3,000
Pyridine, 4-amino-	1	Solid	100	1,000
Pyridine, 4-nitro-, 1-oxide	. 1124-33-0	Solid	. 500	11
Pyriminil		Solid	1,000	11
Rhodium trichloride		Solid	. 10,000 . 500	11
Salcomine		Solid	300	11
Selenium oxychloride		Liquid	1 1	11
Selenous acid	. 7738-00-8	Solid	1,000	10
Semicarbazide hydrochloride	. 563-41-7	Solid		11
Silane, (4-aminobutyl)diethoxymethyl-		Liquid	. 1,000 10,000	11
Sodium anthraquinone-1-sulfonate Sodium arsenate	7631-89-2	Solid		<sup>2</sup> 1,000
Sodium arsenite		Solid	500	² 1,000
Sodium azide (Na(N3))	. 26628-22-8	Solid		1,000
Sodium cacodylate		Solid		<sup>1</sup> 1 10
Sodium cyanide (Na(CN)) Sodium fluoroacetate		Solid		10
Sodium pentacyhlorophenate		Solid		11
Sodium selenate		Solid	. 100	7.1
Sodium selenite	. 10102-18-8	Solid		100
Sodium tellurite		Solid		10
Strychnine Strychnine, sulfate		Solid	1 122	10
Sulfotep		Liquid	1 -11	100
Solfoxide, 3-chloropropyl octyl		Liquid	.] 500	1.1
Sulfur dioxide	7446-09-5	Gas	. 500	11
Sulfur tetrafluoride	7783-60-0 7446-11-9	Gas		11
Sulfur trioxide		Solid	1	1,000
Tabun		Liquid	1 '	3 1
Tellurium	13494-80-9	Solid	. 500	
Tellurium hexafluoride		Gas		11
Terputes		Liquid		10
Terbulos		Liquid	1	* 10
Tetraethyltin	597-64-8	Liquid		11
Tetramethyl lead	75-74-1	Liquid	. 3 100	!1
Tetranitromethane	509-14-8	Liquid		10
Theflier suitate	5 1314-32-5	Solid		100 100
Thallium sulfate		Solid	1	100
Thallous chloride		Solid		100
Thallous malonate	2757-18-8	Solid	. ° 100	7.1
Thallous sulfate	7446–18–6	Solid		100
Thiocarbazide	2231-57-4	Solid		11
Thiocyanic acid, 2-(benzothiazolylthio)methyl ester	\$21564-17-0 39196-18-4	Solid		100
Thiomaton		Liquid		11
Thionazin		Liquid		100
Thiophenol	108-98-5	Liquid	500	100
Thiosemicarbazide				100
	5344-82-1	Solid	100	100
Thiourea, (2-chlorophenyl)- Thiourea, (2-methylphenyl)-	614-78-8			1 1

#### APPENDIX D.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES—Continued [Alphabetical Order]

Chemical name	CAS No.	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quantity (pounds)
Toluene 2.4-diisocyanate	548-84-9	Liquid	500	100
Toluene 2,6-diisocyanate	91-08-7			100
Trans-1,4-dichlorobutene	110-57-6		500	11
Triamiphos	1031-47-6		***	1 1
Triazofos	24017-47-8	Liquid		1 1
Trichloro(chloromethyl)silane		Liquid		11
Trichloro(dichlorophenyl)silane	27137-85-5			11
Trichloroacetyl chloride	76-02-8	Liquid	500	11
Trichloroethylsilane	115-21-9	Liquid		- 11
Trichloronate	327-98-0	Liquid		11
Trichlorophenylsilane	98-13-5		2	11
Trichlorophon	⁵ 52–68–6	Solid	10.000	100
Triethoxysilane	998-30-1	Liquid		11
Trimethylchlorosilane	75-77-4	Liquid	1 ***	1 1
Trimethylolpropane phosphite	824-11-3	Solid		1 1
Trimethyltin chloride	1066-45-1	Solid	500	1 1
Triphenyltin chloride		Solid		
Tris(2-chloroethyl)amine				1 1
Valinomycin		Solid	3 1,000	11
Vanadium pertoxide	1314-62-1	Solid		1,000
Vinyl acetate monomer		Liquid		5,000
Vinylnorbornene	5 3048-64-4	Liquid		1 1
Warfarin				100
Warfarin sodium		Solid		1.1
Xylylene dichloride		Solid	100	1 1
Zinc phosphide	1314-84-7			100
Zinc, dichloro(4,4-dimethyl-5((((methylamino)carbonyl)oxy)imino)pentanenitrile)	58270-08-9	Solid	100	11

Statutory reportable quantity for purposes of emergency notification under SARA section 304(a)(2).
Indicates that the reportable quantity is subject to change when the assessment of potential carcinogenicity and/or chronic toxicity is completed.
The calculated threshold quantity changed after technical review as described in the text.
This material is a reactive solid. The threshold planning quantity will not become 10,000 pounds for the non-powder form.
This chemical is proposed for deletion from list. Threshold planning quantity is in the interim assigned to the category of lowest concern, 10,000 pounds.
The statutory one-pound reportable quantity for methyl isocyanate under CERCLA section 102(b) may be adjusted in a future rulemaking action.

#### APPENDIX E.-LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES [CAS Order]

CAS No.	Chemical name	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quantity (pounds)
)	Organorhodium complex (PMN-82-147)	Solid	. 2	
50-00-0		Gas	. 500	2 1,00
50-07-7			500	2
50-14-6			3 1,000	1 1.
51-21-8		Solid		1 1
51-75-2		Liquid		1 1
51-83-2			500	1 1
52-68-6 4	Trichlorophon	Solid	10.000	10
53-86-1 4		Solid	10.000	1
54-11-5			3 100	. 10
54-62-6			500	"
55-91-4			3 100	104
56-25-7			-1	1
56-38-2			3 100	
56-72-4			100	1
				, "
57-14-7			1,000 100	1
57-24-9		Solid		] ."
57–47–6			100	1
57-57-8		Liquid	500	
57-64-7				1
57-74-9				
58-36-6		Solid		!
58-89-9				
59-88-1				1
60-34-4			500	] 1
60-41-3			100	1
60-51-5				1
62-38-4				10
62-53-3				5,00
62-73-7			1,000	1
62-74-8			2	1
62-75-9			500	
64-00-6			500	1
64-86-8			100	,
65-30-5	Nicotine sulfate	Solid	100	
65-86-1 <del>*</del>	Orotic acid	Solid	10,000	
66-81-9			100	,
67 <i>–</i> 66–3		Liquid	10,000	2 5,00
71-63-6		Solid	3 100	
72-20-8			500	i
74-83-9			1,000	1,00
74-90-8			100	1
74-93-1			500	10
75-15-0			10,000	10
75-18-3			100	

### APPENDIX E.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES—Continued [CAS Order]

CAS No.	Chemical name	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quantity (pounds)
75-21-8	Ethylene oxide	Gas	1,000	. 21
75-44-575-55-8	Phospene	Gas	10,000	10
75-56-9	Propylene imine	Liquid	10,000	100
75-74-1	Tetramethyl lead	Liquid	a 100	11
75-77-4	. Trimethylchlorosilane	Liquid	1,000	11
75-78-575-79-6	Dimethyldichlorosilane	Liquid Liquid	10,000 10,000	11
75-86-5	Acetone cyanohydrin	Liquid	1,000	10
76 -01-7 4	Pentachloroethane	Liquid	10,000	2 1
76-02-8	. Trichloroacetyl chloride	Liquid	500 500	21
77-47-4	. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	Liquid	500	2 1
77-81-6	Tabun	Liquid	32	3 1
78-00-2	Tetraethyllead	Liquid	³ 100	2 10
78-34-2 78-53-5	Dioxathion Amiton	Liquid	500 500	1 11
78-71-7	Oxetane, 3,3-bis(chloromethyl)-	Liquid	500	1 1
78-82-0	Isobutyronitrile	Liquid	10,000	11
78-94-4	Methyl vinyl ketone	Liquid	2	11
78-97-7	Lactonitrie	Liquid	1,000	5,000
79-06-1 79-11-8	. Acrylamide	Solid	100	3,000
79–19–6	Thiosemicarbazide	Solid	100	100
79-21-0	Peracetic acid	Liquid	500	11
79-22-1 80-63-7	Methyl Chioroformate	Liquid	10,000	1,000
81-81-2	Warfarin	Solid	500	100
82-66-6		Solid	2	11
84-74-2 4	Dibutyl phthalate	Liquid	10,000	10
84-80-0 4	Phylloquinone	Solid	10,000	'1
86-50-0 86-88-4	Azinpnos-meuryi	Solid	500	100
87-86-5 *	Pentachlorophenol	Solid	10,000	2 10
88-05-1	Aniline, 2,4,6-trimethyl-	Liquid	500	11
88-65-7	Dinoseb	Solid	100	1,000
91-08-7 .93-05-0 •	Toluene 2,8-diisocyanate	Liquid	10,000	111
95-48-7	Cresol, o-	Solid	1,000	2 1,000
95-63-6 4	Pseudocumene	Liquid	10,000	11
97-18-7	Phenol, 2,2'-thiobis(4,6-dichloro-	Solid	100	11
98-05-5 98-07-7	Benzenearsonic acid	Solid	100	21
98-09-9 4	Benzenesulfonyl chloride	Liquid	10,000	100
98-13-5	Trichlorophenylsilane	Liquid	. 2	. ::
98-16-8	Benzenamine, 3-(trifluoromethyt)-	Liquid	. 500 500	5,000
98-87-3 98-95-3	Benzal chloride	Liquid	10,000	1,000
99-98-9	Dimethyl-p-phenylenediamine	Solid	. 2	11
100-14-1	Benzene, 1-(chloromethyl)-4-nitro-	Solid	. 500	1 1
100-44-7 102-36-3	Benzyl Chloride	Liquid	. 500 500	2 100
103-85-5	Phenytthiourea	Solid	1	100
106-89-8	Epichlorohydrin	Liquid	. 1,000	² 1,000
106-96-7	Propargyl bromide	. Liquid	. 2	11
106-99-0 <sup>4</sup>	Butadiene	Liquid	. 10,000 . 500	1 1
107-07-3	Chloroethanol	Liquid	500	11
107-11-9	Allylamine	Liquid	. 500	11
107-12-0	Propionitrile	. Liquid	. 500 10,000	10 2 100
107-13-1	Acrylonitrile	Liquid	10,000	5,000
107-16-4	Formaldehyde cyanohydrin	. Liquid	10,000	1.1
107-18-6	Allyl alcohol	. Liquid	. 1,000	100
107-20-0 *		Liquid	. 10,000	1,000
107-44-8	Sarin	Liquid		11
107-49-3	Терр	. Liquid	. ·a 100	10
108-05-4		. Liquid	. 1,000	5,000
108-23-6 108-67-8 <sup>4</sup>	Isopropyl chloroformate	LiquidLiquid	. 1,000	1
108-91-8	Cyclohexylamine	Liquid	10,000	11
108-95-2		.] Solid	. 500	1,000
108-98-5		Liquid	. 500	100
109-61-5	Butyl isovalerate	Liquid	. 500	1 11
109-77-3	Malononitrile	. Solid	500	1,000
110-00-9	Furan	Liquid	.) 500	100
110-57-6		Liquid	. 500 1,000	11
111-34-2 4		Liquid		11
111-44-4	Dichloroethyl ether	Liquid	10,000	2.1
111-69-3		Liquid		11
115-21-9		Liquid	10,000	;;
115-29-7		Solid	1,000	1

### APPENDIX E.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES—Continued [CAS Order]

CAS No.	Chemical name	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quantity (pounds)
117-52-2 4		Solid	10,000	1.1
117-84-0 *			10,000	5,000
119-38-0 122-14-5			500 <sup>4</sup>	11
123-31-9	Hydroquinone	Solid	500	' 1
123-73-9			1,000	-100
124-65-2 124-87-8			100 500	11
126-98-7	Methacrylonitrile		10,000	1,000
128-56-3 4	Sodium anthraquinone-1-sulfonate	Solid	10,000	1.1
129-00-0 129-06-6			3 1,000 1,000	5,000 1 1
131-11-3 1			10,000	5,000
131-52-2	Sodium pentachlorophenate	Solid	100	11
140-29-4			1,000	11
140-76-1			500 100	11
143-33-9			5 100	10
144-49-0	Fluoroacetic acid	Solid	2	11
149-74-6			1,000	11
151-38-2 151-50-8			500 5 100	1 1 10
151-56-4			500	2 1
152-16-9	Diphosphoramide, octamethyl-	Liquid	100	100
287-92-3 4			10,000	!1
297-78-9 297-97-2	Isobenzan Thionazin		100 500	100
298-00-0			3 100	100
298-02-2	Phorate	Liquid	2	10
298-04-4			500	.1
300-62-9			1,000	1 1 2 1
309-00-2	Aldrin		500	2 1
315-18-4			500	1,000
316-42-7 327-98-0			1,000	. ! 1
353-42-4			1,000	
359-06-8			3 2	11
371-62-0			3 2	!!
379-79-3 465-73-6			500 100	11
470-90-6			500	14
502-39-6	Methylmercuric dicyanamide	Solid		11
504-24-5			100	1,000
505-60-2 506-61-6			1,000 500	';
506-68-3			500	1,000
506-78-5	Cyanogen iodide	Solid	1,000	11
509-14-8			. 500	10
514-73-8 534-07-6	Dithiazanine iodide Bis (chloromethyl) ketone	Solid Solid	500	
534-52-1			. 2	10
535-89-7	Crimidine	Solid	. 100	11
538-07-8 541-25-3			10,000	11
541-53-7			100	100
542-76-7			1,000	1,000
542-88-1		Liquid	1,000	21
542-90-5 555-77-1			. 10,000 1,000	11
556-61-6			500	1 1
556-64-9	Methyl thiocyanate	Liquid	10,000	11
558-25-8 563-12-2			1,000 1,000	1 1 10
563-41-7			1,000	110
584-84-9	Toluene 2, 4-diisocyanate		500	100
594-42-3	Perchioromethylmercaptan	Liquid	. 500	100
597-64-8614-78-8			. 3 100 500	11
624-83-9			500	01
624-92-0	Methyl disulfide	Liquid	. 100	!1
625-55-8			. 500	11
627-11-2			. 1,000 3 100	11
633-03-4 1			10,000	11
639-58-7	Triphenyltin chloride	Solid	500	11
640-15-3 <sup>4</sup>	Thiometon		10,000	1 1
644-64-4		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	500	100
646-06-0 4		Liquid	. 10,000	11
675-14-9	Cyanwric fluoride	Liquid	. 100	11
676-97-1			. 5 100 1,000	1 1 8 1
696-28-6732-11-6	Phenyl dichloroarsine Phosmet			;;
760-93-0	Methacrylic anhydride	Liquid	. 500	11
786-19-6			. 500	1 1
814-49-3		Liquid		1 1

#### APPENDIX E.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES—Continued [CAS Order]

CAS No.	Chemical name	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quantity (pounds)
824-11-3		Solid	500	11
919-86-8		Liquid	500	! 1
920-46-7		Liquid		
944-22-9 947-02-4		Liquid		'1 '1
950-10-7	Phospfolan Mephostolan	Solid Liquid		1
950-37-8	Methidathion	Solid		1 1
991–42–4	Norbormide	Solid		1 1
998-30-1		Liquid		11
999-81-5	Chlormequat chloride			11
1031-47-6		Solid		11
1066-45-1	Trimethyltin chloride	Solid		1.1
1122-60-7		Liquid	500	! 1
I 124-33-0		Solid		11
1129-41-5 1303-28-2		Solid	100	<sup>1</sup> 1 2 5,000
1306-19-0				- 5,000
1314-32-5 4	Thallic oxide	Solid		100
1314-56-3				1 1
1314-62-1	Vanadium pentoxide			1,000
1314-84-7				100
1327-53-3	Arsenous oxide			² 5000
1331-17-5 4	Propylene glycol, allyl ether			. 11
I335–87–1 <sup>4</sup>	Hexachloronaphthalene	Solid	10,000	- 11
1397-94-0	Antimycin A	Solid		. 11
1405-87-4 1		Solid		11
1420-07-1				. !1
1464-53-5				* 1
1558-25-4 1563-66-2		Liquid		1 1
1600-27-7		Solid		10
1622-32-8	Mercuric acetate	Solid Liquid		1
1642-54-2				1 1
1752-30-3				, ,
1910-42-5	Paraquat			1 1
1982-47-4		Solid		11
2001-95-8	] Valinomycin			11
2032-65-7	Methiocarb	Solid		10
2074-50-2	Paraquat methosulfate	Solid	] 2	1 \$
2097-19-0		Solid		. 11
2104-64-5	EPN	Solid		! 1
2223-93-0				11
2231-57-4		Solid		11
2235-25-8 • 2238-07-5		Solid		11
2244-16-8 4		Liquid Liquid		11
2275-18-5		Solid		11
2497-07-6	Oxydisulfoton	Liquid	·· 1	11
2524-03-0		Liquid		1 1
2540-82-1		Liquid		1 1
2570–26–5	Pentadecylamine	Solid		11
2631-37-0	Promecarb	Solid		' 1
2636-26-2		Liquid		! 1
2642-71-9		Solid		1.1
2665-30-7				11
2703-13-1 2757-18-8		Liquid		<sup>1</sup> 1
2763-96-4		Solid Solid		1 000
2778-04-3	Endothion	Solid		1 1000
3037-72-7	Silane, (4-aminobutyl)diethoxymethyl-	Liquid		
3048-64-4 4	Vinylnorbornene	Liquid	.,	11
3254-63-5	Phosphoric acid, dimethyl 4-(methylthio)phenyl ester	Liquid	500	1.1
3569-57-1	Sulfoxide, 3-chloropropyl octyl	Liquid		1 1
3689-24-5				100
3691-35-8		Solid		11
3734-97-2				11
3735-23-7 3878-19-1		Liquid		.11
4044-65-9		Solid		11
4098-71-9		Solid Solid		, 1
4104-14-7			1	1 1
4170-30-3		Liquid		100
4301-50-2	Fluenetil	Solid		1 1
4418-66-0	Phenol, 2,2'-thiobis[4-chloro-6-methyl	Solid	100	, 1
4835-11-4		Liquid		! 1
5281-13-0	Piprotal	Solid		1 1
5344-82-1				100
5836-29-3 8533-73-0		Solid		111
6533-73-9 6923-22-4				100
7440-02-0 •				2 1
7440-48-4 4				1
7446-09-5		Gas		1 1
7446–11 <b>–</b> 9	Sulfur trioxide			, ,
7446-18-6	Thallous sulfate	Solid		100
7407 04 7	Mercuric chloride	Solid		11
7487-94-7 7550-45-0				

## APPENDIX E.—LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES—Continued [CAS Order]

CAS No.	Chemical name	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quantity (pounds)
7631-89-2		Solid	1,000	1,000 (d)
7637-07-2 7647-01-0			. 500 500	5,000
664-39-3			100	100
664-41-7			. 500	100
664-93-9	Sulfuric acid			1,000
697-37-2			1,000	1,000
719-12-2 722-84-1			. 1,000 1,000	1,000
723-14-0				1 1
726-95-6			. 500	. 11
778-44-1		Solid	. 500	² 1,000
782-41-4	Fluorine			10
782-50-5			. 100	10
783-00-8				100
783-06-4783-07-5				1 1
783-60-0				1 1
783-70-2			500	11
783-80-4				' 1
784-34-1				<sup>2</sup> 5,000
784-42-1			100	1 1
784-46-5			500 500	² 1,000 10
786-34-7 791-12-0				100
791-23-3			500	1 1
803-51-2				100
001-35-2			500	2 1
023-53-8 4	Dichlorobenzalkonium chloride	Solid		1.1
065-48-3	Demeton		500	1.1
0025-65-7 *				11
0025-73-7			500	1,000
0025-97-5 4				1,000
0026-13-8				1.1
0028-15-6				1.1
0031-59-1				100
0049-07-7 4				11
0102-18-8				100
0102-20-2  0102-43-9		1 _	- 111	10
10102-44-0		1 2 3		10
10124-50-2				2 1,000
0140-87-1	Ethanol, 1,2-dichloro-, acetate	Liquid		1 1
10210-68-1				!!
10265-92-6				11
10294-34-5 10311-84-9				1
10476-95-6				1 1
12002-03-8				² 100
12108-13-3		Liquid		1 1
13071-79-9				! ! !
13171-21-6				1 1 1
13194-48-4 13410-01-0				1
13450-90-3			1	1
13454-96-1 4		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1
13463-39-3		Liquid		2 1
13463-40-6			100	! ! !
13494-80-9			500 500	1 1
14167-18-1 15271-41-7			1	1
16752-77-5				100
16919-58-7 4				111
17702-41-9				1 .
17702~57-7		Solid		1.
19287-45-7	Diborane			1 .
19624-22-7				1,000
20816-12-0 <b>4</b> 20830-75-5			1	1,000
20859-73-8		1		100
21548-32-3				1
21564-17-0 *	Thiocyanic acid, 2-(benzothiazolylthio)methyl ester	Liquid	10,000	1
21609-90-5				1
21908-53-2				1
21923-23-9		1 - 1 -		1
22224-92-6 23135-22-0		1 =		1
23422-53-9				1
23505-41-1	Pirimifos-ethyl		1,000	1
24017-47-8	Triazofos	Liquid		1
24934-91-6	Chlormephos			1
26419-73-8				1
26628-22-8 27137-85-5			2.22	1,00
28347-13-9		I = 1		
28772-56-7		I =		1
			500	1

#### APPENDIX E.-LIST OF EXTREMELY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, THRESHOLD PLANNING QUANTITIES, AND REPORTABLE QUANTITIES-Continued [CAS Order]

CAS No.	Chemical name	Ambient physical state	Threshold planning quantity (pounds)	Reportable quantity (pounds)
53558-25-1: 58270-08-9	Phosphonothioic acid, methyl-, S-(2-(bis(1-methylethyl)amino)ethyl) O-ethyl ester	Solid	100 100 1,000 100 100	100 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1

[FR Doc. 86-25959 Filed 11-14-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

<sup>Statutory reportable quantity for purposes of emergency notification under SARA section 304(a)(2).
Indicates that the reportable quantity is subject to change when the assessment of potential carcinogenicity and/or chronic toxicity is completed.
The calculated threshold quantity changed after technical review as described in the text.
This chemical is proposed for deletion from list. Threshold planning quantity is in the interim assigned to the category of lowest concern, 10,000 pounds.
This material is a reactive solid. The threshold planning quantity will not become 10,000 pounds for the non-powder form.
The statutory one-pound reportable quantity for methyl isocyanate under CERCIA section 102(b) may be adjusted in a future rulemaking action.</sup>