

# **Assessing Port Emissions**

May 7, 2014

### Overview

• Why Ports? Why Now?

• Introduction to EPA Ports Initiative

• EPA Ports Assessment

• Next Steps

#### Why Ports, Why Now?

- Ports are the main gateway for U.S. trade and are critical to the economies of many cities and regions
- In recent years, growing emphasis on globalization of trade and transportation infrastructure needed to support
- It is important to consider what this growth means for the environment
- EPA has worked with ports in the past through a number of efforts, but additional work is needed

# Port of Houston

- Ozone
  - 8-Hour Ozone
    Nonattainment Area
  - 60% of Annual NOx Mobile Diesel Emissions from Marine Sources
- Particulate Matter
  - PM<sub>2.5</sub> Design Value is 12.4 ug/m<sup>3</sup>
  - 23,402: Population exposed to Harbor
     Emissions above 2.0 μg/m<sup>3</sup>



# Ports of South Louisiana

Annual NOx Emissions Mobile Diesel Sources Annual PM Emissions Mobile Diesel Sources



- Baton Rouge: Nonattainment
- New Orleans: Attainment
- Particulate Matter
  - All Areas: Attainment
  - 143,652: Population exposed to Harbor Emissions above 2.0 μg/m<sup>3</sup>
    - 9% of all parish's population
    - 22% of parish's around Port of South Louisiana



# Ports of South Florida

Port Everglades and Port of Miami

- Particulate Matter
  - PM Attainment
  - Potential PM Near-Roadway Issues
    - 70,591: Population exposed to Harbor Emissions above 2.0 μg/m<sup>3</sup>
    - 2% of counties' population





Annual PM Emissions Mobile Diesel Sources





#### Vision for EPA Ports Initiative

 To develop and implement an environmentally sustainable port strategy that identifies opportunities and finds solutions to help build a more sustainable ports system, one that creates healthy air quality for communities and reduces climate risk while supporting our economy and jobs.

# Key Elements of Ports Initiative

- Data & Tools Be a source of credible environmental data and tools to assess criteria and climate emissions, drive good local decision-making, and inform national policy
- Incentives Building upon HQ and Regional expertise with SmartWay, DERA, ports, and stakeholder relationships to develop a national ports incentive program
- Federal Coordination Look for opportunities to engage all EPA offices and federal partners on ports

## Why Conduct a Ports Assessment Now?

- Existing emissions and exposure data is useful, but additional work will improve our understanding
- Additional information from port assessment can:
  - Assess criteria and GHG emissions, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> population exposure, in nonattainment and maintenance areas
  - Evaluate impact of emission reduction strategies
  - Assess environmental impact of port growth, e.g., the expansion of Panama Canal
  - Develop a recommended methodology for ports and stakeholders to conduct their own emission assessments

### Previous Assessments We Reviewed

- San Pedro Bay Clean Ports Action Plan (LA and Long Beach)
- CARB Diesel PM Exposure Assessment Study for the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach
- 12 existing State Emission Inventories (partial and complete), and national criteria and GHG inventories
  - Beaumont/Port Arthur
    --Long Beach
  - Charleston
  - Corpus Christi
  - Houston/Galveston
  - Great Lakes Ports
  - Los Angeles

- --Long Beach --New York/New Jersey
- --Norfolk
- --Oakland
- --Puget Sound
- --San Diego
- 2008 OTAQ Port Study and ECA Analysis
- National Criteria and GHG Inventories

# 2008 OTAQ Port Study\*

- Approximated emission inventories for 45 ports
  - Trucks, locomotives, cargo handling equipment, harbor craft and marine vessels
- Used AERMOD to calculate 3-year average spatial distribution of diesel PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations
- Estimated populations affected by each port

	NY/NJ	National
Population Exposed (PM2.5 >2.0ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	178,400	636,000
Minority	59%	31%
Income <\$10,000	15%	10%

\*Published in docket for Locomotive Marine Rule and in American Journal of Public Health



### Port Assessment Scenarios

- Baseline port emissions for PM<sub>2.5</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> and BC
  - 2011: based on actual port activity in 2011
  - 2020, 2030 and 2050 ( $CO_2$  only): based on expected fleet turnover rates
- Implementation of best available technologies and operational strategies
- Health impacts
  - Population exposed to  $PM_{2.5}$  at 0.2 and 2.0 ug/m<sup>3</sup>
  - Monetized health benefits
- Other consideration for scenarios
  - Maximize cost-effective strategies
  - Impact of Panama Canal widening

### Next Steps

- Build on Ports Initiative efforts to date by engaging MSTRS and stakeholders to reduce criteria and climate emissions at ports
- Develop EPA Port Assessment, and provide MSTRS with updates on future progress
- Continue port-related Federal coordination work