



The reference substance and stock solutions in toluene or acetonitrile were prepared on the day of their use and stored in a refrigerator at 0-5 °C.

4.2 Sample material

Tap water was used for all determinations after fortification with different amounts of folpet.

4.3 Method summary

The procedure used for determination of folpet is based on a method provided by the sponsor [1].

Water samples are extracted with dichloromethane. After evaporation of the solvent, folpet is determined by HPLC with UV (photodiodearray) detection.





10.00.9	4 Folpet	94002/01-FOL
4.4	Procedure for folpet determination	
4 4 4	Apparatus Round-bottom flasks (250 ml) Separatory funnels (500 ml) Volumetric flasks (20 ml) Rotary vacuum evaporator with water bath Microsyringes, 10 µl to 1000 µl HPLC (Waters 600E with Waters Autosam diodearray detector (Waters 996)	(Büchi Rotavapor-R) pler 717) equipped with photo-
	Glassware (separatory funnels and flasks) v hydrochloric acid, pure water, acetone and di	was cleaned with approx. 5 % ichloromethane before use.

4.4.2 Reagents

Acetonitrile, HPLC grade (Baker No. 9017-54) Dichloromethane for residue analysis (Promochem No. 3023) Phosporic acid, p.a. 85 % (Merck No. 573) Toluene for chromatography (Merck No. 8327) Water (ultrapure) for HPLC

Hydrochloric acid (Riedel-de-Haën No. 30721)

Folpet stock solutions (0.5 or 1.0 mg/ml) were prepared by dissolving 5 to 25 mg folpet in 10 to 25 ml acetone or acetonitrile. Dilutions (0.1 to 10 μ g/ml) for fortification experiments were prepared with ethanol or HPLC solvent (see 4.4.5). Dilutions for gaschromatographic standards were prepared from the acetone stocks solution with toluene.

4.4.3 Sample preparation

The same batch of tap water (3 - 4 litres) was used for all fortification experiments and was stored in a refrigerator before use.

4.4.4 Extraction

200 ml of the water sample was extracted three times with each 25 ml dichloromethane by shaking for approx. 1 min in a separatory funnel. The dichloromethane phase was collected in a 100 ml or 250 ml flask and the solvent was removed on a vacuum rotary evaporator ($< 50 \, ^{\circ}C$ water bath temperature).

The residue was dissolved in 1 ml toluene (for gaschromatographic analysis) or 1 ml HPLC solvent (see 4.4.5). Since the toluene extract prepared for the first recovery experiment was used for HPLC analysis



16.06.9	94	Folpet	94002/01-FOL
	instead, the solver and the residue wa	nt was removed completely under a strea as dissolved in 1 ml HPLC solvent.	m of nitrogen
4.4.5	Analysis of folpet Folpet was analy conditions:	by HPLC sed by HPLC with UV detection under	the following
	HPLC system	Waters model 600E with Waters 717 aut	tosampler
	Column	Shandon Hypersil C18, 250 mm x 4 mm	i.d.
	Temperature	40 °C	
	Eluent	acetonitrile/water (60:40, v/v) with 5 adjusted to pH 4.5 (20 °C) with H_3PO_4	mM KH ₂ PO ₄ ,
	Flow	l ml/min	
	Injection volume	50 µl	
	Detector	Waters model 996 photodiodearray dete For routine analysis, spectra are recorded to 250 nm. Processing was done at 225 n	ctor 1 from 200 nm nm.
	Retention time	5.7 min	

The calibration of the system was performed at the same time as the analysis of the extracts. A fixed volume of six different standard solutions covering two orders of magnitude was injected and a calibration graph (peak area versus concentration of analyte in μ g/ml) was constructed by using the computer program "Microsoft Excel".

The area arising from the analyte peak was measured and the concentration in the solution was determined from the calibration graph.

Each sample was injected twice. During analysis of the extracts, injections of standard solutions were interspersed with sample injections to provide a continuous check of the instrument calibration.



16.06.9	4	Folpet	94002/01-FOL
4.4.6	Analysis of folpet by g	gas chromatography	
	Gas chromatograph	Perkin-Elmer Autosystem	
•••	Column	capillary column DB-1, 50 m μm film thickness or SE-54, 3 0.25 μm film thickness	x 0.32 mm i.d., 0.24 30 m x 0.32 mm i.d.,
*	Injector	PTV ("programmed temperate 60 °C, 200 °/min to 320 °C, h or 320 °C isothermal injection volume 1 µl splitless (sampling time 2 min	ure vaporiser"), old 15 min)
	Carrier gas	helium at 35 – 40 cm/s	
	Temperature program	for DB-1 column: 60 °C, 2 mi hold 10 min; for SE-54 colu 10 °/min to 280 °C	n, 8 °/min to 290 °C, 1mn: 80 °C, 1 min,
	Detector	⁶³ Ni electron capture detector make-up gas nitrogen (65 ml/r	r (ECD) at 320 ° C, nin)
	Retention time	on DB-1 column 34.9 min on SE-54 column 16.8 min	



16.06.94	Folpet	94002/01-FOL
----------	--------	--------------

4.5 Method validation

4.5.1 Recovery

The analytical procedure was validated by analysing tap water samples after fortification with folpet at the following concentrations: 0, 0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5 and 1.0 μ g/l. Each workup of fortified samples was done in duplicate according to the method described in 4.4.

4.5.2 Linear range of detector response

A fixed volume of six different standard solutions from 0.004 μ g/ml to 0.4 μ g/ml was injected and a calibration graph (peak area versus concentration of analyte in μ g/ml) was constructed by using the computer program "Microsoft Excel" to determine the linear range of the detector.

4.5.3 Precision of injections

The precision of HPLC injections was determined by injecting a sample extract (approx. 0.01 μ g/ml) eight times and calculating the standard deviation from the peak areas.



16.06.9	4	Folpet	94002/01-FOL
4.6	Calcul	ation	
4.6.1	Calcula	ation of folpet residues	
	The fo sample	llowing equation was used to calcula s:	ate the residue in the water
		$R = \frac{c \cdot 1000}{V}$	
	R	residue [µg/l]	
	c	concentration of folpet in the fin the calibration graph [µg/ml]	al extract, as calculated from
	V	sample volume used for extractio	n [m]]

ARBEITSGEMEINSCHAFT

4.6.2 Calculation of percentage recovery

The following equation was used for calculation of the percentage recovery from fortification experiments:

%Recovery =
$$\frac{R}{Ract} \cdot 100$$

R residue found after fortification [µg/l]

R_{act} actual residue (fortification level) [µg/l]

4.6.3 Calculation of detection limit and quantification limit

The limits of detection and quantification were calculated from the results of recovery experiments (4.5.1) according to the guidelines of the "Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft" (DFG) [3] with the aid of the computer program "KALIBO" (author: J. Vogelgesang, [4]).