APPENDIX C DATA QUALITY AUDIT CHECKLIST (EXAMPLE 1)

This page is intentionally left blank.

DATA QUALITY AUDIT CHECKLIST (EXAMPLE 1)

The Chicago Inventory QA Checklist was used to assess the quality of the planning documents, adequacy of the data gathering procedures, and thoroughness of the technical review process during the development of a toxics inventory from an existing inventory. This was a Level 4 inventory (see Chapter 2, Section 1 for explanation of inventory categories), and the objective was to develop a preliminary estimate of HAP emissions based on existing inventories. Determining the validity of the existing data would be critical to the accuracy and completeness of this inventory.

This page is intentionally left blank.

CHICAGO INVENTORY QA CHECKLIST

1.0 GENERAL PROCEDURES

- 1.1 Written Instructions, Plans
 - 1.1.1 Were project instructions prepared and distributed to all team members?

		Date:
	1.1.2	Was an Inventory Preparation Plan prepared?
		Reviewed, and if necessary, revised?
		Distributed to team members?
1.2	Data Gathering	
	1.2.1	Was project file set up?

Date:

1.2.2 Are separate files maintained for each of the following source categories?

Storage, Transportation, and Marketing of VOL	Consumer/Commercial Solvent Use
VOL Transfer	Agricultural Pesticide Application
Barge and Tanker Cleaning	Architectural Surface Coatings
Service Station Unloading	Automobile Refinishing
Vehicle Refueling	Traffic/Maintenance Coatings
Gasoline Tanker Truck Leaks	Landfills
Underground Tank Losses	TSDFs
Degreasing	POTWs
Dry Cleaning	Incinerators
Graphic Arts	Structure Fires
Asphalt Paving	

		1.2.3	Are sufficient document/access controls in place?				
		1.2.4	Are copies of completed calculation sheets placed in the appropriate files?				
2.0	TECH	INICAL	L REVIEW				
	2.1	Gener	al				
		2.1.1	Were "Source Category Review" forms completed (QC) for all categories?				
			If not, list those not completed.				
		2.1.2	Are project files complete?				
	2.2	Indivi	lividual Source Category Reviews				
		2.2.1	Storage, Transportation, and Marketing of Volatile Organic Liquids (VOL)				
			• Were emissions for the following categories completed?				
			Barge and Tanker Cleaning				
			Service Station Loading (Stage I)				
			Vehicle Refueling				
			Gasoline Tank Truck Leaks				
			UST Breathing				

. Were VOC emission factors adjusted for temperature and RVP before applying speciation profiles? Does QC review for this category include assessment of reasonableness of estimates? 2.2.2 Other Solvent Use Are assumptions used for HAP cleaning machine solvent use clearly stated and referenced? Were graphic arts estimates adjusted by subtracting emissions . from point sources <100 tons? Were asphalt paving emissions estimated using IL DOT data and assuming 95% diluent evaporation from rapid cure cutbacks, 70% from medium cure cutbacks? Was the consumer products emission estimate adjusted to include nonreactive VOC HAPs (like methylene chloride)? Was it done correctly? Did agricultural emissions of captan, carbaryl, lindane, and trifluoralin correctly address seasonality (i.e., confined to growing season) and application rate (i.e., accounted for frequency and amount applied)? .

٠

Verify the source of VOC emissions and speciation profiles for each of the following:

	Proposed VOC Emission Source	✓ if used, or show source	Proposed Speciation Profile	✓ if used, or show source
Architectural Surface Coatings	IL SIP		CARB (1991)	
Automobile Refinishing	IL SIP		CARB (1991)	
Traffic Markings	IL SIP		CARB (1992)	
Bridge Painting	IL SIP		CARB (1992)	

Explain any deviations from plan:

- Was information from the <u>Methane Recovery Yearbook</u> (1991) used to adjust uncontrolled landfill VOC emissions? ______ If not, how was the adjustment made? _____
 - For TSDF emissions, what was the source of growth factor used to adjust emissions?

Is it reasonable?

Was sufficient justification for its use given?

• The IPP methodology for POTW emissions estimation proposed use of emission factors from California. Was any information obtained and documented that shows the use of these factors is reasonable for Chicago?

•

Were the assumptions, methods, and data sources used to develop structure fires emission factors thoroughly described and referenced?

Are they reasonable?

This page is intentionally left blank.