#### DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

# **RCRA Corrective Action** Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

## **Current Human Exposures Under Control**

Facility Name:	E.I. duPont de Nemours & Co., Glasgow facility				
Facility Address:	Route 896, Glasgow, DE 19702				
Facility EPA ID #:	DED 042263764				
groundwater, su	e relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, arface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Wastenits (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been <b>considered</b> in nation?				
X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.				
	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or				
	if data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.				

## **BACKGROUND**

# **Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)**

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

### Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

## **Relationship of EI to Final Remedies**

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

# **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "**contaminated**" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	No	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater	X			VOCs at SWMU 13
Air (indoors) <sup>2</sup>		X		No contamination found.
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		X		See explanation below.
Surface Water		X		No contamination found above levels.
Sediment		X		See explanation below.
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)		X		See explanation below.
Air (outdoors)		X		N/A

If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.

X

If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

\_\_\_\_\_ If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

#### Rationale and Reference(s):

# Groundwater:

SWMU 13 - Volatile organic compound (VOC) contamination, primarily 1,1-dichloroethene (!,1-DCE), tetrachloroethylene (PCE), and methylene chloride, was identified above Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs). However, groundwater and sediment sampling in the area have shown the contamination is not impacting the surface water, the plume is not moving and there are no drinking water wells in the area. SWMU 12 - Groundwater previously contained VOC contamination above MCLs but currently all monitoring wells in the area have been remediated through a pump and treat system to below MCLs since 1997. For more information, please see Quarterly Hydrologic Assessment Reports for SWMU 12.

<u>Sub and Surface Soils:</u> VOC contamination was identified at SWMU 13 above EPA Soil Screening Levels. This area has been excavated to remove contaminated soils.

#### Footnotes:

<sup>1</sup> "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Page 3

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

#### Potential **Human Receptors** (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction Trespassers	Recreation	Food <sup>3</sup>
Groundwater	No	No	No	No		No
Air (indoors)						
Soil(surface, e.g., <2 ft)						
Surface Water						
Sediment						
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft)						
Air (outdoors)						

Instructions for **Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table**:

- 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2 above.
- 2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("\_\_\_\_"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

<u>X</u>	If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways).
	If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
	If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

## Rationale and Reference(s):

Groundwater: Samples taken in the adjacent stream of SWMU 13 show no contamination in sediments and surface water. No drinking water wells are in the area and the plume is not moving. In May 2000, EPA issued a Statement of Basis describing the in-situ degradation remedy for SWMU 13 groundwater contamination. As part of the implementation of the remedy, DuPont regularly monitors the groundwater in this area to document decreased levels of contaminants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Page 4

4.	Can the <b>exposures</b> from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be " <b>significant</b> " (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?			
		If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."		
		If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."		
	Rationale and Re	If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code		
	Nationale and Ne	ACTORCO(S).		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Page 5

5.	Can the "significant" <b>exposures</b> (identified in #4) be shown to be within <b>acceptable</b> limits?					
		If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).				
		If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")-continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.				
		If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code				
	Rationale and Re	eference(s):				

Page 6

6.	ssures Under Control EI event code I date on the EI determination below the facility):			
	<u>X</u>	review of the Exposures" a Glasgow fac reasonably e	"Current Human Exposures Under Control information contained in this EI Determance expected to be "Under Control" at the ility, EPA ID # DED 042 263 764, locate expected conditions. This determination we becomes aware of significant changes a	ination, "Current Human E.I. duPont de Nemours & Co., ed at Glasgow, DE under current and will be re-evaluated when the
		NO - "Curr	ent Human Exposures" are NOT "Under	Control."
		IN - More	information is needed to make a determi	nation.
	Completed by	(signature) (print)	Jennifer L. Shoemaker  Jennifer L. Shoemaker	Date 12/14/01
		(title)	Remedial Project Manager	-
	Supervisor	(signature) (print) (title) (EPA Region	Robert E. Greaves  General Operations Branch Chief on or State) EPA Region III	Date <u>1/2/02</u>
	Locations wher	e References r	nay be found:	
	U.S. EPA Region 1650 Arch Stre Philadelphia, P.	et		
	Contact tolonkov			
	Contact telephor	ie and e-mail i	lumoers	
	(name		er L. Shoemaker	
	(phone		814-2772	
	(e-mai	l) shoem	naker.jennifer@epamail.epa.gov_	

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.