

WOOL FIBERGLASS MANUFACTURING

Reporting Requirements

The inspector should gather the information sought below from the files of the appropriate regulatory agency before the site visit. If this is not possible, it should be obtained from facility records during the on-site inspection.

A. INITIAL NOTIFICATION REPORT

1. Did the facility submit	Yes [] No []
a. an initial notification form? [\$63.1386(a)/§63.9(b)]	
b. the notification within 120 calendar days after June 14, 2002? [\$63.9(b)(2)]	Yes [] No []
2. Was the following information included in the notification form	
a. that the facility is subject to the relevant standard where the initial startup is before June 14, 2002? [\$63.1386(a)(2)/§63.9(b)(2)]	Yes [] No [] NA []
b. that the facility is an area source that subsequently increases its emissions such that the source is a major source subject to the standard? [\$1386 (a)(1)/ §63.9(b)(1)(ii)]	Yes [] No [] NA []
c. name and address of the owner or operator? [\$63.9(b)(2)(i)]	Yes [] No []
d. physical location (address) of the affected sources? [\$63.9(b)(2)(ii)]	Yes [] No []
e. description of the relevant standard, or other requirements, that are the basis of the notification? [\$63.9(b)(2)(iii)]	Yes [] No []
f. the source's compliance date? [\$63.9(b)(2)(iii)]	Yes [] No []
g. a brief description of the nature, size, design, and method of operations of the source, including its operating and design capacity and a preliminary identification of emission sources? [\$63.9(b)(2)(iv)]	Yes [] No []
h. a statement indicating that the affected source is a major source? [\$63.9(b)(2)(v)]	Yes [] No []
3. Did the notification state that the facility is subject to the standard, where the source is new or has been reconstructed, the initial startup is AFTER June 14, 2002, and for which an application for approval of construction or reconstruction is not required? [\$63.1386 (a)(3)/ §63.9(b)(3)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
4.. Did the facility submit a notification of intention to construct a new major affected source, reconstruct a major affected source, or reconstruct a major source such that it becomes a major affected source? [\$63.1386(a)(4)/§63.9(b)(4)(i)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []

5.	If construction or reconstruction began BEFORE June 14, 2002, was the notification submitted with the facility's application for approval to construct or reconstruct, and did it indicate the date when construction or reconstruction began? [\$63.1386(a)(4)/\$63.9(b)(4)(ii)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
6.	If construction or reconstruction began AFTER June 14, 2002, did the notification indicate the date when construction began, and was the report postmarked no later than 30 days after such date? [\$63.1386(a)(4)/\$63.9(b)(4)(iii)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
7.	a. Did the facility submit a notification of the actual startup date? [\$63.9(b)(4)(v)]	Yes [] No []
	b. Was it delivered or postmarked within 15 days after that date? [\$63.9(b)(4)(v)]	Yes [] No []
8.	a. Did the facility submit a notification of the anticipated startup of the source? [\$63.9(b)(5)]	Yes [] No []
	b. Was it delivered or postmarked between 30 and 60 days before such date? [\$63.9(b)(5)]	Yes [] No []

Comments: _____

B. REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF COMPLIANCE

If the facility cannot comply with a relevant standard by the applicable compliance date for that facility, did it submit a request for an extension of compliance? [\$63.9(c)/\$63.6(i)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
--	---------------------------

Comments: _____

C. NOTIFICATION THAT SOURCE IS SUBJECT TO SPECIAL COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

If the facility is subject to special compliance requirements, did it notify the Administrator of its compliance obligations in a timely manner? [\$63.1386(a)(5)/\$63.9(d)/63.6(b)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
---	---------------------------

Comments: _____

D. NOTIFICATION OF PERFORMANCE TEST

1.	Did the facility conduct an initial performance test of all pollution control equipment for which it is required? [\$63.1384/63.7(a)]	Yes [] No []
2.	a. Did the facility notify the Administrator in writing of its intention to conduct a performance test? [\$63.1386(a)(6)/§63.9(e)]	Yes [] No []
	b. Was notification provided at least 60 calendar days before the performance test was scheduled to begin? [\$63.1386(a)(6)/§63.9(e)]	Yes [] No []
3.	Did the facility report the results of the initial performance test	
	a. as part of the notification of compliance status? [\$63.1386(b)/§63.9(h)/§63.10(d)(2)]	Yes [] No []
	b. to the appropriate authority before the close of business on the 60th day following the completion of the performance test? [\$63.9(h)/§63.10(d)(2)]	Yes [] No []

Comments: _____

E. ADDITIONAL NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS FOR SOURCES WITH CONTINUOUS MONITORING SYSTEMS

1.	Is the facility using continuous monitoring systems (CMS)? [\$63.9(g)] <i>Note: If the answer to this question is "No", skip to "F"</i>	Yes [] No []
2.	Did the facility	
	a. provide notification of the date the CMS performance evaluation under scheduled to begin? [\$63.7(b)/63.8(e)/[§63.9(g)(1)]	Yes [] No []
	b. submit such notification simultaneously with the notification of the performance test date? [\$63.7(b)/63.8(e)/[§63.9(g)(1)]	Yes [] No []
3.	If no performance test is required, or if the requirement to conduct a performance test has been waived, did the facility notify the Administrator in writing of the date of the performance evaluation at least 60 calendar days before the evaluation is scheduled to begin? [\$63.7(h)§63.9(g)(1)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
4.	Did the facility provide notification:	
	a. that COMS data results will be used to determine compliance with the applicable opacity emission standard during a required performance test in lieu of Method 9 or other opacity emissions test method data, if compliance with an opacity emission standard is required for the source by a relevant standard? [63.6(h)(7)(ii)/§63.7/§63.9(g)(2)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []

b. Was the notification submitted at least 60 calendar days before the performance test is scheduled to begin? [\$63.9(g)(2)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
c. that the criterion necessary to continue use of an alternative to relative accuracy testing, has been exceeded? [\$ 63.8(f)(6)/\$63.9(g)(3)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
d. Was the notification delivered or postmarked not later than 10 days after the occurrence of such exceedance? [\$63.9(g)(3)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
e. did it include a description of the nature and cause of the increased emissions? [\$63.9(g)(3)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []

Comments: _____

F. NOTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE STATUS

1. Did the facility submit to the Administrator a notification of compliance status, signed by the responsible official who shall certify its accuracy, attesting to whether the source has complied with the relevant standard? [\$63.1386(a)(7)/\$63.9(h)(2)(i)]	Yes [] No []
2. Did the facility submit the notification before a title V permit has been issued to this facility, and each time a notification of compliance status is required under this part? [\$63.9(h)(2)(i)]	Yes [] No []
3. Did the notification provide the following?	
a. methods that were used to determine compliance? [\$63.9(h)(2)(i)(A)]	Yes [] No []
b. results of any performance tests? [\$63.9(h)(2)(i)(B)]/\$63.1386(b)/\$63.1386 (a)(7)/\$ 63.10(d)(2)]	Yes [] No []
c. opacity or visible emission observations, continuous monitoring system (CMS) performance evaluations, and/or other monitoring procedures or methods that were conducted? [\$63.9(h)(2)(i)(B)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
d. methods that will be used for determining continuing compliance, including a description of monitoring and reporting requirements and test methods? [\$63.9(h)(2)(i)(C)]	Yes [] No []
e. the type and quantity of hazardous air pollutants emitted by the source (or surrogate pollutants if specified in the relevant standard), reported in units and averaging times and in accordance with the test methods specified in the relevant standard? [\$63.9(h)(2)(i)(D)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
f. an analysis demonstrating whether the affected source is a major source ? [\$63.9(h)(2)(i)(E)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []

g. a description of the air pollution control equipment (or method) for each emission point, including each control device (or method) for each hazardous air pollutant and the control efficiency (percent) for each control device (or method)? [\$63.9(h)(2)(i)(F)]	Yes [] No []
h. a statement by the owner or operator of the affected existing, new, or reconstructed source as to whether the source has complied with the relevant standard or other requirements? [\$63.9(h)(2)(i)(G)]	Yes [] No []
4. Was the notification sent before the close of business on the 60th day following the completion of the relevant compliance demonstration activity? [\$63.9(h)(2)(ii)]	Yes [] No []
5. After a title V permit has been issued to the facility of an affected source did the facility comply with all requirements for compliance status reports contained in the source's title V permit, including reports required under this part? [\$63.9(h)(3)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
6. After a title V permit has been issued to the facility, and each time a notification of compliance status is required under this part, did the facility submit the notification of compliance status to the appropriate permitting authority following completion of the relevant compliance demonstration activity specified in the relevant standard? [\$63.9(h)(3)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
7. If the facility submits estimates or preliminary information in the application for approval of construction or reconstruction required in place of the actual emissions data or control efficiencies, did the facility submit the actual emissions data and other correct information as soon as available but no later than with the initial notification of compliance status? [\$63.5(d)(1)(ii)(H)/\$63.5(d)(2)/\$63.9(h)(5)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []

Comments: _____

G. ADJUSTMENT TO TIME PERIODS OR POSTMARK DEADLINES FOR SUBMITTAL AND REVIEW OF REQUIRED COMMUNICATIONS

1. a. Did the facility request a change from the Administrator, in writing, in a time period or postmark deadline for a particular requirement as soon as practicable before the subject activity is required to take place? [\$63.9(i)(2)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
b. Did this request include whatever information it considers useful to convince the Administrator that an adjustment is warranted? [\$63.9(i)(2)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []

2. Did the facility a. request the adjustment each time it wishes to change an applicable time period or postmark deadline? [\$63.9(i)(1)(ii)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
b. receive approval from the Administrator for any requested adjustments? [\$63.9(i)(1)(i)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []

Comments: _____

H. CHANGE IN INFORMATION ALREADY PROVIDED

1. a. Was any change in the information already provided under this section provided to the Administrator? [\$63.9(j)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
b. Was it provided in writing within 15 calendar days after the change? [\$ 63.9(j)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []

Comments: _____

I. EXCESS EMISSIONS REPORT

1. Did the facility report semiannually if measured emissions were in excess of the applicable standard or a monitored parameter deviates from the levels established during the performance test? [\$63.1386(e)/\$63.10(e)(3)(v)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
2. Did the report contain the appropriate information as well as the additional required records? [\$63.1386(e)/\$63.10(c)(d)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []
3. When no deviations have occurred, did the facility submit a report stating that no excess emissions occurred during the reporting period? [\$63.1386(e)]	Yes [] No [] N/A []

Comments: _____
