

**Note to Users:** This Word table provides a crosswalk between the 2007 Exceptional Events Rule and the 2016 Exceptional Events Rule and shows how the regulatory elements relate. All of the content comes directly from the regulations.

**Regulatory Crosswalk between the 2007 and 2016 Exceptional Events Rules**

<b>Technical Criteria Under the 2016 EER</b>	<b>Related Criteria Under the 2007 EER</b>
Initial notification of potential exceptional event [40 CFR §50.14(c)(2)]	N/A
A narrative conceptual model that describes the event(s) causing the exceedance or violation and a discussion of how emissions from the event(s) led to the exceedance or violation at the affected monitor(s) [40 CFR §50.14(c)(3)(iv)(A)]	N/A
A demonstration that the event affected air quality in such a way that there exists a clear causal relationship between the specific event and the monitored exceedance or violation [40 CFR §50.14(c)(3)(iv)(B)]	The event affects air quality [40 CFR §50.1(j), 40 CFR §50.14(c)(3)(iv)(A)]
	There is a clear causal relationship between the measurement under consideration and the Event that is claimed to have affected the air quality in the area [40 CFR §50.14(c)(3)(iv)(B)]
	There would have been no exceedance or violation but for the event [40 CFR §50.14(c)(3)(iv)(D)]
Analyses comparing the claimed event-influenced concentration(s) to concentrations at the same monitoring site at other times to support the clear causal relationship requirement [40 CFR §50.14(c)(3)(iv)(C)]	The event is associated with a measured concentration in excess of normal historical fluctuations, including background [40 CFR §50.14(c)(3)(iv)(C)]
A demonstration that the event was both not reasonably controllable and not reasonably preventable [40 CFR §50.14(c)(3)(iv)(D)]	The event is not reasonably controllable or preventable [40 CFR 50.1(j), 40 CFR §50.14(c)(3)(iv)(A)]
A demonstration that the event was a human activity that is unlikely to recur at a particular location or was a natural event [40 CFR §50.14(c)(3)(iv)(E)]	The event is caused by human activity that is unlikely to recur at a particular location or a natural event [40 CFR 50.1(j), 40 CFR §50.14(c)(3)(iv)(A)]
Documentation that the State followed the public comment process and conducted at least a 30-day comment period [40 CFR §50.14(c)(3)(v)(A)]	The State must document that the public comment process was followed [40 CFR §50.14(c)(3)(v)]
Submit the public comments with the demonstration [40 CFR §50.14(c)(3)(v)(B)]	N/A
Address in the demonstration those comments disputing or contradicting factual evidence provided in the demonstration [40 CFR §50.14(c)(3)(v)(C)]	N/A