

**DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION**

Interim Final 2/5/99

**RCRA Corrective Action  
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)  
Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control**

**Facility Name:** Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation., Seventh North Service Center  
**Facility Address:** 7437 Henry Clay Boulevard, Liverpool, NY 13088  
**Facility EPA ID #:** NYD000730382

1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the groundwater media, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?

  X   If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.

       If no - re-evaluate existing data, or

       if data are not available, skip to #8 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

**BACKGROUND**

**Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)**

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.   

**Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI**

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

**Relationship of EI to Final Remedies**

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

**Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

**Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control  
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)**

2. Is **groundwater** known or reasonably suspected to be “**contaminated**”<sup>1</sup> above appropriately protective “levels” (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?

If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate “levels,” and referencing supporting documentation.

If no - skip to #8 and enter “YE” status code, after citing appropriate “levels,” and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not “contaminated.”

If unknown - skip to #8 and enter “IN” status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): **See Tables 1-3 which identifies Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs), Semi-volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs), Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and Inorganic (TAL) compounds in on-site groundwater monitoring wells.**

Footnotes:

<sup>1</sup>“Contamination” and “contaminated” describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate “levels” (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

**Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control  
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)**

3. Has the **migration** of contaminated groundwater **stabilized** (such that contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within “existing area of contaminated groundwater”<sup>2</sup> as defined by the monitoring locations designated at the time of this determination)?

If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the “existing area of groundwater contamination”<sup>2</sup>.

If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the “existing area of groundwater contamination”<sup>2</sup>) - skip to #8 and enter “NO” status code, after providing an explanation.

If unknown - skip to #8 and enter “IN” status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): **Final Statement of Basis**, July 1997, prepared by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Division of Solid & Hazardous Materials

**Groundwater Investigation**

The groundwater investigation consisted of installing and developing 13 groundwater monitoring wells, collecting groundwater samples, and conducting hydraulic conductivity testing. Ten groundwater monitoring wells were installed at the site during the RFI. Five of the groundwater monitoring wells are located adjacent to the site-wide storm/industrial sewer system, two of the monitoring wells are located in the vicinity of the electric meter shop acid crotch, two of the groundwater monitoring wells are located hydraulically down gradient of the facility, and one of the groundwater monitoring wells is located

hydraulically up gradient of the facility. Based on available information reviewed by NMPC, there is no industrial or residential use of groundwater in the vicinity of the facility. A comparison of the groundwater analytical results with the NYSDEC groundwater quality standards/guidance values<sup>1</sup> is presented below:

PCBs were not detected above laboratory detection limits in any of the groundwater samples collected.

1,1-dichloroethane and 1,1,1-trichloroethane were detected in groundwater samples collected during July 1995 and November 1995 from one groundwater monitoring well (MW-5) at concentrations above the Class GA groundwater quality standard. No other VOCs were detected in the groundwater samples at concentrations above the NYSDEC Class GA groundwater quality standards/guidance values.

Detected concentrations of SVOCs in the groundwater samples did not exceed groundwater quality standards/guidance values.

Inorganic constituents, including arsenic, barium, chromium, lead, manganese, selenium, sodium, thallium, and zinc, were detected in five of the groundwater samples, including the background well, at concentrations exceeding the 6NYCRR Part 703 Class GA groundwater quality standards and guidance values.

Because the magnitude and extent of groundwater contamination was limited, active remediation of the groundwater plume was not required.

<sup>2</sup> “existing area of contaminated groundwater” is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of “contamination” that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all “contaminated” groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of “contaminated” groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

**Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control  
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)**

4. Does “contaminated” groundwater **discharge** into **surface water** bodies?

\_\_\_\_\_ If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.

  X   If no - skip to #7 (and enter a “YE” status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater “contamination” does not enter surface water bodies.

\_\_\_\_\_ If unknown - skip to #8 and enter “IN” status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): **Concentrations in groundwater monitoring wells MW-12 and MW-20, located between the on-site plume and surface water in to which groundwater discharges, historically has been below NYSDEC groundwater quality standards . (See Figure 1a and Tables 1-3).**

**Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control  
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)**

---

<sup>1</sup> Water Quality Regulation for Surface and Groundwaters, 6NYCRR Part 703

5. Is the **discharge** of “contaminated” groundwater into surface water likely to be “**insignificant**” (i.e., the maximum concentration<sup>3</sup> of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their appropriate groundwater “level,” and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of discharging contaminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for unacceptable impacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?

\_\_\_\_\_ If yes - skip to #7 (and enter “YE” status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration<sup>3</sup> of key contaminants discharged above their groundwater “level,” the value of the appropriate “level(s),” and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.

\_\_\_\_\_ If no - (the discharge of “contaminated” groundwater into surface water is potentially significant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration<sup>3</sup> of each contaminant discharged above its groundwater “level,” the value of the appropriate “level(s),” and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations<sup>3</sup> greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater “levels,” the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.

\_\_\_\_\_ If unknown - enter “IN” status code in #8.

Rationale and Reference(s): \_\_\_ interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

#### **Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)**

6. Can the **discharge** of “contaminated” groundwater into surface water be shown to be “**currently acceptable**” (i.e., not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowed to continue until a final remedy decision can be made and implemented<sup>4</sup>)?

\_\_\_\_\_ If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site’s surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR 2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment,<sup>5</sup> appropriate to the potential for impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment “levels,” as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination.

\_\_\_\_\_ If no - (the discharge of “contaminated” groundwater can not be shown to be “**currently acceptable**”) - skip to #8 and enter “NO” status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.

\_\_\_\_\_ If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): \_\_\_\_\_

<sup>4</sup> Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

<sup>5</sup> The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

**Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control  
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)**

7. Will groundwater **monitoring** / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?"

**X** If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."

\_\_\_\_\_ If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.

\_\_\_\_\_ If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.

Rationale and Reference(s):

***POST- REMEDIAL ACTIVITIES***

The NMPC will continue to perform long-term monitoring of the groundwater, storm sewers and aquatic biota at the site to verify that the remedial criteria have been achieved. The NYSDEC will evaluate the monitoring data and any other available data to determine if additional investigative or remedial activities are warranted. Even though a best effort is being made to remove contamination in areas being remediated, it may not be practicable to remove all residual contamination. The NYSDEC has determined that the proposed remedial activities are protective of human health and the environment. To ensure that the corrective measures continue to be effective, NMPC will be required to perform long-term monitoring. Monitoring will be used to assess the impact, if any, that residual contamination may have on groundwater quality and aquatic organisms. To ensure proper future use of the property, deed restrictions will notify any future property owners of the presence of hazardous constituents.

***Groundwater Monitoring***

NMPC has implemented a groundwater monitoring program that will consist of collecting groundwater samples from existing groundwater monitoring wells MW-5, MW-6 and MW-13. Groundwater samples will be analyzed for PCBs and VOCs. The groundwater monitoring data for each annual monitoring event is presented to the NYSDEC in a letter report. If PCBs or VOCs are detected in the groundwater samples at concentrations exceeding NYSDEC groundwater quality standards or criteria, NYSDEC may require additional corrective measures.

***Storm Sewer Monitoring***



Paul J. Merges  
Director, Bureau of Radiation & Hazardous Site Management  
NYSDEC

---

Locations where References may be found:

NYSDEC

Division of Solid & Hazardous Materials

Rm 460

50 Wolf Road

Albany NY 12233

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

Timothy I. DiGiulio, P.E.

(518) 457-9253

[e-mail\) txdigiul@gw.dec.state.ny.us](mailto:txdigiul@gw.dec.state.ny.us)