# **AR-14**

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit Program

# FACT SHEET

Regarding an NPDES Permit To Discharge to Waters of the State of Ohio for ArcelorMittal Cleveland Inc.

Public Notice No.: 08-02-012 Public Notice Date: February 5, 2008 Comment Period Ends: March 7, 2008 OEPA Permit No.: 3ID00003\*OD Application No.: OH0000957

Name and Address of Applicant:

ArcelorMittal Cleveland 3060 Eggers Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44105 Name and Address of Facility Where Discharge Occurs:

ArcelorMittal Cleveland 3060 Eggers Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44105 Cuyahoga County

Receiving Water: Cuyahoga River

Subsequent Stream Network: Lake Erie

### Introduction

Development of a Fact Sheet for NPDES permits is required by Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section 124.8 and 124.56. This document fulfills the requirements established in those regulations by providing the information necessary to inform the public of actions proposed by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, as well as the methods by which the public can participate in the process of finalizing those actions.

This Fact Sheet is prepared in order to document the technical basis and risk management decisions that are considered in the determination of water quality based NPDES Permit effluent limitations. The technical basis for the Fact Sheet may consist of evaluations of promulgated effluent guidelines and other treatment-technology based standards, existing effluent quality, instream biological, chemical and physical conditions, and the allocations of pollutants to meet Ohio Water Quality Standards. This Fact Sheet details the discretionary decision-making process empowered to the director by the Clean Water Act and Ohio Water Pollution Control Law (ORC 6111). Decisions to award variances to Water Quality Standards or promulgated effluent guidelines for economic or technological reasons will also be justified in the Fact Sheet where necessary.

Effluent limits based on available treatment technologies are required by Section 301(b) of the Clean Water Act. Many of these have already been established by U.S. EPA in the effluent guideline regulations (a.k.a. categorical regulations) for industry categories in 40 CFR Parts 405-499. Technology-based regulations for publicly-owned treatment works are listed in the Secondary Treatment Regulations (40 CFR Part 133). If regulations have not been established for a category of dischargers, the director may establish technology-based limits based on best professional judgment (BPJ).

Ohio EPA reviews the need for water-quality-based limits on a pollutant-by-pollutant basis. Wasteload allocations are used to develop these limits based on the pollutants that have been detected in the discharge, and the receiving water's assimilative capacity. The assimilative capacity depends on the flow in the water receiving the discharge, and the concentration of the pollutant upstream. The greater the upstream flow, and the lower the upstream concentration, the greater the assimilative capacity is. Assimilative capacity may represent dilution (as in allocations for metals), or it may also incorporate the break-down of pollutants in the receiving water (as in allocations for oxygen-demanding materials).

The need for water-quality-based limits is determined by comparing the wasteload allocation for a pollutant to a measure of the effluent quality. The measure of effluent quality is called PEQ - Projected Effluent Quality. This is a statistical measure of the average and maximum effluent values for a pollutant. As with any statistical method, the more data that exists for a given pollutant, the more likely that PEQ will match the actual observed data. If there is a small data set for a given pollutant, the highest measured value is multiplied by a statistical factor to obtain a PEQ; for example if only one sample exists, the factor is 6.2, for two samples - 3.8, for three samples - 3.0. The factors continue to decline as samples sizes increase. These factors are intended to account for effluent variability, but if the pollutant concentrations are fairly constant, these factors may make PEQ appear larger than it would be shown to be if more sample results existed.

### Summary of Permit Conditions

Effluent limits for the final outfalls and internal monitoring stations are very similar to the current limits. Significant changes include new selenium limits for outfall 022, based on reasonable potential to exceed WQS. Current limits for dissolved solids at outfall 002 and zinc at outfall 023 would be removed because these discharges no longer have reasonable potential to contribute to WQS exceedances.

Ohio EPA has recommended renewal of the 301(g) variance limits for ammonia at internal monitoring station 604. Section 301(g) of the Clean Water Act allow variances from BAT treatment technology standards for ammonia and certain other pollutants if the discharge can meet BPT treatment standards and water quality-based effluent conditions. USEPA's public notice on approval of this variance will be concurrent with the public notice of this draft permit.

Monitoring requirements for low-level mercury have been included for outfalls 604/005, 017 and 622/022. Ohio EPA has been reviewing industrial discharges likely to contain mercury, and has identified primary industry processes, such as blast furnaces, and process that use steel scrap, such as steel making, as probable sources of mercury. Steel scrap may contain mercury because of the presence of automotive mercury switches in reclaimed steel.

Acute toxicity limits would be continued at outfall 002 in this renewal. The review of the effluent data under the federal Great Lakes Initiative rule indicates that this limit is still needed. Monitoring requirements would continue at outfalls 005 and 022.

Several changes to monitoring conditions at the final discharge points have been drafted in this permit renewal. Parameters were added or removed based on new effluent data and wasteload allocation results.

# **Table of Contents**

Introduction	Page
Table of Contents	3
Procedures for Participation in the Formulation of Final Determinations	5
Location of Discharge/Receiving Water Use Classification	6
Existing Facility Description	7
Description of Existing Discharge	7
Assessment of Impact on Receiving Waters	9
Development of Water Quality Based Limitations	11
Effluent Limits / Hazard Management Decisions	15
Whole Effluent Toxicity	23

# **List of Figures**

Figure 1.	Location of ArcelorMittal Cleveland	24
Figure 2.	Cuyahoga River Study Area	25

# List of Tables

Description of Existing Discharges	8
Effluent Characterization Using Ohio EPA Data and Form 2C data	.26
Effluent Characterization Using Self-Monitoring Data	.34
Summary of Effluent Acute Toxicity Test Results	.50
Biological Survey Results and Biocriteria	.55
Effluent Data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland	.58
Water Quality Criteria in the Study Area	.63
	Description of Existing Discharges Effluent Characterization Using Ohio EPA Data and Form 2C data Effluent Characterization Using Self-Monitoring Data Summary of Effluent Acute Toxicity Test Results Biological Survey Results and Biocriteria Effluent Data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland Water Quality Criteria in the Study Area

# Table of Contents (continued)

r

Table 30b.	Water Quality Criteria in the Study Area (ammonia)	.64
Table 30c.	Hardness-dependent Criteria and Dissolved Metal Translators	.65
Table 31.	Instream Conditions and Discharger Flow	.66
Table 32.	Summary of Effluent Limits to Maintain Applicable Water Quality Criteria	.72
Tables 33-40.	Parameter Assessment	.75
Tables 41-53.	Final Effluent Limits and Monitoring Requirements	.83

# Attachment

Effluent Guideline Calculations and 301(g	Variance Analysis	96
---	-------------------	----

### Procedures for Participation in the Formulation of Final Determinations

The draft action shall be issued as a final action unless the Director revises the draft after consideration of the record of a public meeting or written comments, or upon disapproval by the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Within thirty days of the date of the Public Notice, any person may request or petition for a public meeting for presentation of evidence, statements or opinions. The purpose of the public meeting is to obtain additional evidence. Statements concerning the issues raised by the party requesting the meeting are invited. Evidence may be presented by the applicant, the state, and other parties, and following presentation of such evidence other interested persons may present testimony of facts or statements of opinion.

Requests for public meetings shall be in writing and shall state the action of the Director objected to, the questions to be considered, and the reasons the action is contested. Such requests should be addressed to:

# Legal Records Section Ohio Environmental Protection Agency P.O. Box 1049 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1049

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments upon the discharge permit. Comments should be submitted in person or by mail no later than 30 days after the date of this Public Notice. Deliver or mail all comments to:

# Ohio Environmental Protection Agency Attention: Division of Surface Water Permits and Compliance Section P.O. Box 1049 Columbus, Ohio 43216-1049

The OEPA permit number and Public Notice numbers should appear on each page of any submitted comments. All comments received no later than 30 days after the date of the Public Notice will be considered.

Citizens may conduct file reviews regarding specific companies or sites. Appointments are necessary to conduct file reviews, because requests to review files have increased dramatically in recent years. The first 250 pages copied are free. For requests to copy more than 250 pages, there is a five-cent charge for each page copied. Payment is required by check or money order, made payable to Treasurer State of Ohio.

### Location of Discharge/Receiving Water Use Classification

ArcelorMittal Cleveland discharges to the Cuyahoga River at various points between River Mile (RM) 7.0 and RM 4.7. The approximate location of the facility is shown in Figure 1. Specific River Miles for each discharge are shown in Figure 2.

This segment of the Cuyahoga River is described by Ohio EPA River Code: 19-001, USEPA River Reach #: 04110002-001, County: Cuyahoga, Ecoregion: Erie-Ontario Lake Plain. The Cuyahoga River is presently designated for the following uses: For RMs 7.0 to 5.6, the Cuyahoga River is designated Warmwater Habitat (WWH), Agricultural Water Supply (AWS), Industrial Water Supply (IWS), and Primary Contact Recreation (PCR). For RMs 5.6 to 0.0 (the Cuyahoga Ship Channel), the Cuyahoga River is designated Limited Resource Water (LRW - navigation maintenance) during the months of June through January, and any remaining months when the river flow at the USGS stream gage at Independence (RM 13.0) is less than 703 cubic feet per second (CFS). During the months of February through May, whenever the river flow at the Independence gage is greater than or equal to 703 cfs, the aquatic life use is Fish Passage (FP). Other designated uses that apply to the Cuyahoga Ship Channel are Industrial Water Supply (IWS) and Primary Contact Recreation (PCR).

Use designations define the goals and expectations of a waterbody. These goals are set for aquatic life protection, recreation use and water supply use, and are defined in the Ohio WQS (OAC 3745-1-07). The use designations for individual waterbodies are listed in rules -08 through -32 of the Ohio WQS. Once the goals are set, numeric water quality standards are developed to protect these uses. Different uses have different water quality criteria.

Use designations for aquatic life protection include habitats for coldwater fish and macroinvertebrates, warmwater aquatic life and waters with exceptional communities of warmwater organisms. These uses all meet the goals of the federal Clean Water Act. Ohio WQS also include aquatic life use designations for waterbodies which can not meet the Clean Water Act goals because of human-caused conditions that can not be remedied without causing fundamental changes to land use and widespread economic impact. The dredging and clearing of some small streams to support agricultural or urban drainage is the most common of these conditions. These streams are given Modified Warmwater or Limited Resource Water designations.

Recreation uses are defined by the depth of the waterbody and the potential for wading or swimming. Uses are defined for bathing waters, swimming/canoeing (Primary Contact) and wading only (Secondary Contact - generally waters too shallow for swimming or canoeing).

Water supply uses are defined by the actual or potential use of the waterbody. Public Water Supply designations apply near existing water intakes so that waters are safe to drink with standard treatment. Most other waters are designated for agricultural and industrial water supply.

The lower Cuyahoga River study area is shown in Figure 2.

# **Facility Description**

ArcelorMittal Steel owns and operates integrated steel manufacturing facilities in Cleveland (w/o cokemaking). The facilities consist of two blast furnaces for the production of iron, two basic oxygen furnaces for the production of steel, and continuous casting and steel finishing processes. Facilities produce cast, cold-rolled and zinc plated flat rolled products.

The process operations performed at this facility are classified by the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) codes 3312, "Steel Works, Blast Furnace, Rolling". Discharges resulting from process operations are therefore subject to Federal Effluent Guideline Limitations, contained in Chapter 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 420, "Iron and Steel Manufacturing" and Part 433, "Metal Finishing" Industrial Categories. Appendix \_ of this fact sheet contains all of the effluent guideline calculations.

### **Description of Existing Discharge**

Table 1 provides a summary of the wastewater sources and treatment used for each of ArcelorMittal's outfalls. The draft permit contains monitoring and limits at several internal monitoring stations. Effluent guideline limits are applied at these stations to ensure that these treatment standards are met prior to combining with other wastestreams. If monitoring were not done at these locations, it would not be possible to verify compliance with federal effluent guideline standards due to dilution. Federal rules [40 CFR 125.3(f)] prohibit attaining these standards by dilution.

### Descriptions of the process outfalls:

Outfall 002 receives treated wastewater from outfalls 601 and 602, as well as storm water and groundwater. The following categorical wastestreams are treated at these outfalls: 84" Hydrochloric Acid Pickling (with fume scrubber), 84" Cold Rolling Tandem Mill, 84" Cold Rolling Temper Mill (all Iron&Steel categorical discharges) plus the AK/ArcelorMittal Electrogalvanizing Line (Metal Finishing categorical discharge). Outfalls 601 and 602 are monitored by ArcelorMittal; the sum pollutant loadings from these outfalls are reported under outfall 603, which contains the limits for these process discharges.

Outfall 005 contains process and non-contact cooling water from the C5 and C6 Blast Furnaces. The process wastewater is treated before being sent to the cooling tower for recycling. Blowdown from the cooling tower is monitored as outfall 604. Outfall 604 makes up approximately 0.4% of the outfall 005 flow. Outfall 005 also contains storm water, ground water, and combined sewer overflows from the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District (NEORSD).

Outfall 017 represents treated categorical process effluent from the Number 1 Basic Oxygen Furnace, vacuum degassing and continuous casting processes. Under extreme storm conditions partially treated wastewaters from these processes can bypass directly to the Cuyahoga River via outfall 011.

Outfall 022 contains the process water from the West Side steelmaking plants (#2 BOF, continuous casting), which is monitored at outfall 622. In addition to outfall 622 discharges, outfall 022 contains storm runoff and groundwater.

Outfall 023 contains storm water, ground water, and potentially leachate from the slag landfill area. Individual ponds in this area are monitored as outfalls 613, 633, 643 and 653. All of these ponds discharge via outfall 023.

Table 1. Description of Existing Discharges

<b>Outfall Number</b>	Sources to Outfall	Treatment of Discharge
001	Non-contact cooling water, ground water, storm runoff	None
002	601, 602, non-contact cooling water, ground water, storm runoff	<u>601</u> : grit removal, mixing, chemical precipitation, coagulation, flocculation, settling, rapid sand filters <u>602</u> : grit removal, settling, mixing, chemical precipitation, coagulation, flocculation, flotation
	Non-contact cooling water, ground water, storm runoff, steam condensate, emergency sanitary overflow	None
005	604, non-contact cooling water, ground water, storm runoff, emergency sanitary overflow	<u>604</u> : grit removal, chemical precipitation, coagulation, flocculation, settling <u>NCCW</u> : chlorination, de-chlorination
011	Ground water, storm runoff, steel plant emergency overflow	Overflow may be partially treated – see outfall 017 treatment
014	Non-contact cooling water, ground water, storm water, emergency sanitary overflow	NCCW: chlorination, de-chlorination
017	East side steel plant filter blowdown, continuous caser, vacuum degassing, BOR blowdown, ground water, storm runoff	Grit removal, screening, mixing, flocculation, settling, rapid sand filtration, chlorination
021	Ground water, storm runoff, process overflows not discharged via 622	None
022	622, non-contact cooling water, ground water, storm runoff	622: grit removal, mixing, flocculation, settling, coagulation, neutralization, chemical precipitation, chlorination, de-chlorination
023	613, 633, 643, 653, storm runoff, ground water	Settling
024	Non-contact cooling water, ground water, storm runoff	None

Tables 2-8 present summaries of analytical results for ArcelorMittal's effluent samples compiled from the NPDES application, and from bioassay tests done by Ohio EPA. The monthly average PEQ<sub>avg</sub> and daily maximum PEQ<sub>max</sub> decision criteria are also included on thes tables.

Tables 9-24 present summaries of unaltered monthly operation report data for the period January 2002 to December 2006 for the ArcelorMittal Cleveland as well as current permit limits, and monthly average PEQ<sub>avg</sub> and daily maximum PEQ<sub>max</sub> values.

Tables 25-27 present results from acute bioassay tests conducted on outfalls 002, 005 and 022, respectively. <u>Pimephales promelas</u> (fathead minnows), and <u>Ceriodaphnia dubia</u> (water flea) were the test organisms.

### **Receiving Water Quality / Environmental Hazard Assessment**

An assessment of the impact of a permitted point source on the immediate receiving waters includes an evaluation of the available chemical/physical<sup>1</sup>, biological<sup>2</sup>, and habitat data which have been collected by Ohio EPA pursuant to the Five-Year Basin Approach for Monitoring and NPDES Reissuance. Other data may be used provided it was collected in accordance with Ohio EPA methods and protocols as specified by the Ohio Water Quality Standards and Ohio EPA guidance documents. Other information which may be evaluated includes, but is not limited to:

- NPDES permittee self-monitoring data;
  - Effluent and mixing zone bioassays conducted by Ohio EPA, the permittee, or U.S. EPA.

In evaluating this data, Ohio EPA attempts to link environmental stresses and measured pollutant exposure to the health and diversity of biological communities. Stresses can include pollutant discharges (permitted and unpermitted), land use effects, and habitat modifications. Indicators of exposure to these stresses include whole effluent toxicity tests, fish tissue chemical data, and fish health biomarkers (for example, fish blood tests).

Use attainment is a term which describes the degree to which environmental indicators are either above or below criteria specified by the Ohio Water Quality Standards (WQS; Ohio Administrative Code 3745-1). Assessing use attainment status for aquatic life uses primarily relies on the Ohio EPA biological criteria (OAC 3745-1-07; Table 7-15). These criteria apply to rivers and streams outside of mixing zones. Numerical biological criteria are based on measuring several characteristics of the fish and macroinvertebrate communities; these characteristics are combined into multimetric biological indices including the Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI) and modified Index of Well-Being (MIwb), which indicate the response of the fish community, and the Invertebrate Community Index (ICI), which indicates the response of the macroinvertebrate community. Numerical criteria are broken down by ecoregion, use designation, and stream or river size. Ohio has five ecoregions defined by common topography, land use, potential vegetation and soil type.

<sup>1</sup> water column, effluent, and sediment chemistry, flows <sup>2</sup> fish and macroinvertebrate assemblages

Three attainment status results are possible at each sampling location -full, partial, or nonattainment. Full attainment means that all of the applicable indices meet the biocriteria. Partial attainment means that one or more of the applicable indices meet the biocriteria or one of the organism groups reflects poor or very poor performance. An aquatic life use attainment table (see Table 28) is constructed based on the sampling results and is arranged from upstream to downstream and includes the sampling locations indicated by river mile, the applicable biological indices, the use attainment status (*i.e.*, full, partial, or non), the Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI), and comments and observations for each sampling location.

### Cuyahoga River Lacustuary - Big Creek to Lake Erie

This section of the river contains the Cuyahoga River navigation channel which, because of the characteristics of the channel has its own unique use designation. The aquatic life use designation for the navigation channel is either limited resource water - navigation maintenance or fish passage based upon the season and/or flow in the river. Ohio EPA sampling indicates that adult fish are able to utilize the navigation channel for passage upstream to suitable habitat to continue their life cycles. Recent studies by the Cuyahoga River RAP, indicate significant die-off of larval fish in the navigation channel. It is unclear whether this larval fish die off is significantly greater in the Cuyahoga River channel than in other Lake Erie tributaries. In the navigation channel, cumulative loadings and flows from the 21 ArcelorMittal (formerly LTV) outfalls make it one of the largest point source discharges in the Cuyahoga River basin. However, few WWH chemical WQS exceedences were detected near the plant.

Other potential steel plant impacts were generally masked by conditions upstream and the poor habitat and water quality in the navigation channel. Poor and very poor biological communities coincide with the lack of suitable habitat, low dissolved oxygen, and chronically elevated ammonia and zinc levels between ArcelorMittal and Lake Erie. While ArcelorMittal appears to be a major source of zinc loadings, anaerobic decomposition of organic compounds in sediments may contribute to elevated ammonia-N levels. Under summer pH and temperature conditions, the average level of ammonia-nitrogen downstream from the ArcelorMittal complex could exceed chronic toxicity levels although no recent WQ exceedences have been documented at the monthly NAWQMN station downstream from ArcelorMittal.

The Big Creek to Navigation Channel segment evaluation used lacusturary sampling results from 1996 and 1999, and lotic sampling results immediately downstream from Big Creek in 1996 and 2000. Year 2000 sampling indicated significant improvement downstream from Big Creek since 1996 that likely coincides with CSO remediation work in the basin. Conversely, severely degraded fish communities found in 1999 may be the result of temporary bypasses of sanitary sewers authorized by Ohio EPA to allow construction of CSO controls.

The Total Maximum Daily Load report for the Lower Cuyahoga Watershed requires that ArcelorMittal's permit be written to meet all applicable water quality standards. The current permit does that, based on water quality based limits developed for that permit. A reassessment of those limits in light of the current water quality standards follows.

The TMDL for the Lower Cuyahoga Watershed can be found on the following web site: <a href="http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/tmdl/index.html">http://www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw/tmdl/index.html</a>

### Development of Water-Quality-Based Effluent Limits

Determining appropriate effluent concentrations is a multiple-step process in which parameters are identified as likely to be discharged by a facility, evaluated with respect to Ohio water quality criteria, and examined to determine the likelihood that the existing effluent could violate the calculated limits.

ArcelorMittal-Cleveland Steel is interactive with NEORSD Southerly WWTP. The CONSWLA (Conservative Substance Wasteload Allocation) program was used to allocate the available assimilative capacity of the Cuyahoga River among the various discharges. Small discharges were fixed at the Inside Mixing Zone Maximum (IMZM) to allow for an equitable division of the assimilative capacity among the larger discharges. Additionally, the use designation of the Cuyahoga River changes to Fish Passage at river mile 5.6 which is the beginning of the shipping channel. The Fish Passage designation requires that criteria for Warmwater Habitat be met during the months from February through May when the flow at USGS gage #04208000 equals or exceeds 703 cfs. Limited Resource Water conditions are applicable for any other time. The potential impact of the Fish Migratory flow (703 cfs) on Southerly WWTP's average preliminary effluent limitations (PELs) was evaluated. All PELs that are protective for the Warmwater Habitat use designation are also protective for the Fish Migratory use. Figure 2 shows the study area of this portion of the Cuyahoga River.

### Parameter Selection

Effluent data for ArcelorMittal-Cleveland Steel was used to determine what parameters should undergo wasteload allocation. ArcelorMittal requested from Ohio EPA that effluent data only be considered since June 2002 due to changes in operating procedures (May 2004 for outfall 022). The sources of effluent data are as follows:

Self-monitoring data (SWIMS)	June 2002 through August 2006
Self-monitoring data (outfall 022) (SWIMS)	May 2004 through August 2006
Form 2C data	2006
Ohio EPA data (outfall 002) (compliance, survey)	July/August 2005

The effluent data were checked for outliers and the following values were eliminated from the data set:

Outfall(s)	Units	N	/alues		8)
005	mg/L	1.5. 0.13, 27			
014		5.5			
014	mg/L	1.4, 1.5			4
014	mg/L	0.133			
017	mg/L	276	×		
002	ug/L	309			,
022		277		9	
002	ug/L	47			
023	mg/L	70, 184, 174, 53	50		
023	ug/L	664, 783			
024		282, 371, 386, 3	77		
	Outfall(s) 005 014 014 014 017 002 022 002 022 002 023 023 023 024	Outfall(s)         Units           005         mg/L           014         mg/L           014         mg/L           014         mg/L           014         mg/L           015         ug/L           016         ug/L           002         ug/L           002         ug/L           002         ug/L           023         ug/L           024         ug/L	Outfall(s)         Units         N           005         mg/L         1.5. 0.13, 27           014         5.5           014         mg/L         1.4, 1.5           014         mg/L         0.133           017         mg/L         276           002         ug/L         309           022         277           002         ug/L         47           023         mg/L         70, 184, 174, 535           023         ug/L         664, 783           024         282, 371, 386, 3	Outfall(s)UnitsValues $005$ mg/L1.5. 0.13, 27 $014$ $5.5$ $014$ mg/L $014$ mg/L $014$ mg/L $014$ mg/L $014$ mg/L $017$ mg/L $276$ $002$ ug/L $309$ $022$ $277$ $002$ ug/L $47$ $023$ mg/L $70, 184, 174, 5350$ $023$ ug/L $664, 783$ $024$ $282, 371, 386, 377$	Outfall(s)UnitsValues $005$ mg/L1.5. 0.13, 27 $014$ $5.5$ $014$ mg/L $014$ mg/L $014$ mg/L $014$ mg/L $014$ mg/L $017$ mg/L $276$ $002$ ug/L $309$ $022$ $277$ $002$ ug/L $47$ $023$ mg/L $70, 184, 174, 5350$ $023$ ug/L $664, 783$ $024$ $282, 371, 386, 377$

<sup>A</sup> TR = total recoverable

The average and maximum projected effluent quality (PEQ) values are presented in Table 29. For a summary of the screening results, refer to the parameter groupings in Tables 33-40.

PEQ values are used according to Ohio rules to compare to applicable WQS and allowable WLA values for each pollutant evaluated. Initially, PEQ values are compared to the applicable average and maximum WQS. If both PEQ values are less than 25% of the applicable WQS, the parameter does not have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to exceedances of WQS, and no wasteload allocation is done for that parameter. If either PEQavg or PEQmax is greater than 25% of the applicable WQS, a wasteload allocation is conducted to determine whether the parameter exhibits reasonable potential (and needs to be limited) or if monitoring is required.

Outfalls 001 and 014 contain only once-through non-contact cooling water drawn from the receiving stream upstream of the discharge. The Non-contact Cooling Water Reasonable Potential Rule [OAC 3745-33-07(A)(9)] indicates that the director shall not impose WQBELs for parameters from these cooling waters unless any one of six circumstances occurs. These circumstances include: (1) a determination that a WQBEL is necessary to protect uses, and that there are sources of pollutant other than the intake; (2) when the pollutant concentration in the cooling water is higher than ambient concentrations due to recirculation of the cooling water in the receiving water, and that a limit is necessary to protect designated uses; (3) biological index measurements in the receiving water indicate that the cooling water contributes to an instream impairment; (4) pollutants entering the cooling water system; (5) pollutants added for cooling water.

By comparing intake and effluent concentrations, Ohio EPA has determined that the following pollutants found in the outfall 001 effluent come from the plant intake, and are subject to this rule. These pollutants are not allocated as part of this wasteload allocation: aluminum, magnesium, nitrate/nitrite-N and phosphorus.

For outfall 014, the following pollutants come from the intake water, and are subject to the rule: aluminum, barium, boron, fluoride, iron, magnesium, manganese, molybdenum, nitrate/nitrite-N, and phosphorus.

The remaining pollutants at these outfalls are being evaluated in this wasteload allocation because the effluent concentrations are higher than those in the plant intake.

### Wasteload Allocation

For those parameters that require a wasteload allocation (WLA), the results are based on the uses assigned to the receiving waterbody in OAC 3745-1. The aquatic life use for the Cuyahoga River from river mile 13.1 to 5.6 is warmwater habitat. The aquatic life use for the ship channel (river mile 5.6 to the mouth) is based on fish migratory conditions and varies with time of year and flow in the river. During the months of February through May whenever the flow at the USGS gage #04208000 equals or exceeds 703 cfs, the aquatic life use is fish passage. For other times of the year, the aquatic life use is limited resource water. The applicable waterbody uses and the associated stream design flows are summarized in Table 31.

Allocations are developed using a percentage of stream design flow (as specified in Table 31), and allocations cannot exceed the Inside Mixing Zone Maximum criteria. The data used in the WLA are listed in Tables 30 and 31. The wasteload allocation results to maintain applicable criteria are presented in Table 32.

### **Dissolved Metals Translators**

A dissolved metals translator (DMT) is the factor used to convert a dissolved metal aquatic life criterion to an effective total recoverable aquatic life criterion with which a total recoverable aquatic life allocation can be calculated as required in the NPDES permit process. Currently, a DMT is based on site- or area-specific field data; each field data sample consists of a total recoverable measurement paired with a dissolved metal measurement. For the Cuyahoga River, there were 5 such paired samples available applicable to cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, and zinc. To account for the limited quantity of data, the DMT for each of these metals was determined as the lower end of the 95% confidence interval (1-tail) about the geometric mean of the total recoverable-to-dissolved ratios of the sample pairs. Each DMT is metal-specific and is applied by multiplying the dissolved criteria by the DMT, resulting in total effective recoverable criteria which can be used in the wasteload allocation procedures. A DMT for cadmium could not be determined due to shortcomings in the data.

In some cases, it is possible that the use of a DMT may result in instream concentrations of metals that may increase the risk of non-attainment of the use designation. This was evaluated for ArcelorMittal-Cleveland. The application of the dissolved metal translators resulted in effective total recoverable criteria that were higher than the total recoverable criteria listed in OAC 3745-1.

### **Reasonable Potential**

The preliminary effluent limits are the lowest average WLA (average PEL) and the maximum WLA (maximum PEL). To determine the reasonable potential of the discharger to exceed the WLA for each parameter, the facility's effluent quality is compared to the preliminary effluent limits. The average PEQ value (Table 29) is compared to the average PEL (Table 32), and the maximum PEQ value is compared to the maximum PEL. Based on the calculated percentage of the respective average and maximum comparisons, the parameters are assigned to "groups", as listed in Tables 33-40.

### Whole Effluent Toxicity WLA

Whole effluent toxicity or "WET" is the total toxic effect of an effluent on aquatic life measured directly with a toxicity test. Acute WET measures short term effects of the effluent while chronic WET measures longer term and potentially more subtle effects of the effluent.

Water Quality Standards for WET are expressed in Ohio's narrative "free from" WQS rule (OAC 3745-1-04(D)). These "free froms" are translated into toxicity units (TUs) by the associated WQS Implementation Rule (OAC 3745-2-09). Wasteload allocations can then be calculated using TUs as if they were water quality criteria.

The AET calculations are similar to those for aquatic life criteria: use the chronic toxicity unit  $(TU_c)$  and 7Q10 flow (or the fish migratory flow depending on outfall location) for average, and the acute toxicity unit  $(TU_a)$  and 1Q10 for maximum. For ArcelorMittal-Cleveland Steel, the AET values are:

Outfall(s)	Chronic AET (TU <sub>c</sub> )	Acute AET (TU <sub>a</sub> )
ArcelorMittal-001	1717	0.32
ArcelorMittal-002	39	0.32
ArcelorMittal-005	8.1 (FPC: Feb-May)	0.32
ArcelorMittal-014	9.8 (FPC: Feb-May)	0.32
ArcelorMittal-017	543 (FPC: Feb-May)	0.31
ArcelorMittal-022	66	0.32
ArcelorMittal-023	No limit (LRW)	0.30
ArcelorMittal-024	616	0.32

FPC=fish passage condition

LRW=limited resource water

The chronic toxicity unit (TU<sub>c</sub>) is defined as 100 divided by the IC<sub>25</sub>:

$$\mathsf{TU}_{c} = \frac{100}{\mathsf{IC}_{25}}$$

This equation applies outside the mixing zone for warmwater, modified warmwater, exceptional warmwater, coldwater, and seasonal salmonid use designations except when the following equation is more restrictive (<u>Ceriodaphnia dubia</u> only):

TUc = <u>100</u> geometric mean of NOEC and LOEC

The acute toxicity unit (TU<sub>a</sub>) is defined as 100 divided by the LC50 for the most sensitive test species:

This equation applies outside the mixing zone for warmwater, modified warmwater, exceptional warmwater, coldwater, and seasonal salmonid use designations.

When the calculated wasteload allocation is less than 1.0 TU<sub>a</sub>, the wasteload allocation is defined as:

Dilution Ratio (downstream flow to discharger flow)	Allowable Effluent Toxicity (percent effects in 100% effluent)
up to 2 to 1	30
greater than 2 to 1 but less than 2.7 to 1	40
2.7 to 1 to 3.3 to 1	50

The WLA is 30% mortality in 100% effluent based on the dilution ratio of <2 to 1 for the dischargers in this stream segment.

### Effluent Limits/Hazard Management Decisions

Federal and State laws/regulation require that dischargers meet both treatment technologybased limits and any more stringent standards needed to comply with state WQS. Permit limits are based on the more restrictive of the two. The listing in Tables 33-40 reflect the hazard assessment (or "groupings") done according to WLA procedures. Tables 41-53 show the draft NPDES limits for ArcelorMittal Cleveland. The draft limits include consideration of treatment technology-based limits, whole effluent toxicity reasonable potential evaluations and other portions of NPDES rules, as well as the water quality-based limits.

### Limits common to many outfalls:

All final outfalls except outfall 023 have pH limits of 6.5 to 9.0, based on Water Quality Standards (OAC 3745-1). Outfall 023 does not have pH limits because the pH of outfall 023 is governed by the slag that the landfill is built on, and is not considered a remediable condition.

Many of the final outfalls have oil&grease limits of 15 mg/l average and 20 mg/l maximum. These limits are considered discharge standards for well-operated oil/water separators under normal conditions. These treatment based standards are being continued from the current permit.

### Outfall 001:

The Ohio EPA risk assessment (Table 33) places zinc) in group 5 which recommends limits to protect water quality. The small data set available for this pollutant indicates that the PEQ values may not be representative of this discharge. Using the discretion allowed the Director under OAC 3745-33-07(A)(5), we are proposing monitoring, rather than limits, for these pollutants.

We propose to remove the ammonia-nitrogen monitoring requirement in the current permit because the effluent data shows that ammonia from this discharge does not have the reasonable potential to contribute to exceedances of WQS.

### Outfall 002:

The Ohio EPA risk assessment (Table 34) places zinc in group 5. This placement as well as the data in Tables 3, 10 and 29 indicate that the reasonable potential to exceed WQS exists and limits are necessary to protect water quality.

In drafting the NPDES permits for ArcelorMittal and the NEORSD Southerly WWTP, Ohio EPA has reallocated zinc loading between the NEORSD discharge and ArcelorMittal Outfall 002. When allocating multiple sources in a stream segment, the director may distribute the loading among the discharges using any appropriate method, based on site-specific considerations [OAC 3745-2-05(A)(8)]. A summary of this zinc wasteload allocation is shown below (all values are ug/l):

Ouțfall	Zinc Wasteload (avg./max.)	PEQ Values (avg./max)	Zinc Reallocation (avg./max.)
NEORSD 001	263 / 303	57/72	261 / 290
ArcelorMittal 002	383 / 303	325 / 574	450 / 765

The reallocation increases zinc concentrations at Outfall 002 more than it decreases concentrations at NEORSD because of the large difference in flow between the two outfalls (8 cfs for 002 vs. approx. 250 cfs for NEORSD).

As a result of this reallocation, Outfall 002 no longer has the reasonable potential to contribute to exceedances of WQS, and the permit contains a monitoring requirement, rather than limits.

The reallocation does not increase requirements for NEORSD because the assimilative capacity of the Cuyahoga River has increased since the last wasteload allocation was completed. Upstream zinc concentrations measured at the Independence Gage are significantly lower than they were 5-10 years ago; also, the latest flow analysis shows that critical low flows at Independence are slightly higher than earlier (see Table 31).

Note that this reallocation applies for this permit only. Ohio EPA may, in future permitting actions, return to the original wasteload allocation based on NEORSD's needs and requirements.

Ohio EPA risk assessment (Table 34) places total dissolved solids in group 4. This placement as well as the data in Tables 3, 10 and 29 support that these parameters do not have the reasonable potential to contribute to WQS exceedances, and limits are not necessary to protect water quality. Monitoring for Group 4 pollutants (where PEQ exceeds 50% of the WLA) is required by OAC Rule 3745-33-07(A)(2). The existing permit limits for TDS would be removed from the permit because there is no reasonable potential for TDS at this outfall to contribute to WQS exceedances.

Current monitoring requirements for ammonia, barium, cyanide, manganese, strontium and 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene would also be removed based on the reasonable potential analysis. Monitoring for total suspended solids would continue in the new permit to provide an on-going assessement of ArcelorMittal's contribution to the river's loading.

### Outfall 004:

The current monitoring requirements at this outfall (flow and pH) would be continued in the renewed permit.

### Outfall 005:

The Ohio EPA risk assessment (Table 35) places chlorine in group 5. This placement as well as the data in Tables 5, 11 and 29 indicates that the reasonable potential to exceed WQS exists and limits are necessary to protect water quality. Pollutants that meet this requirement must have permit limits under OAC Rule 3745-33-07(A)(1). The current maximum limit, which is based on past and current WLAs, would be continued in the renewed permit. The current average limit would be removed because there is no reasonable potential for the average WQS to be exceeded.

The Ohio EPA risk assessment (Table 35) places copper and free cyanide in group 5 which recommends limits to protect water quality. The copper determination is based on a very small data set; the cyanide determination is based on a very small number of detections in the final effluent (3 detections in 210 samples). In both of these cases, the PEQ values may not be

representative of the discharge – copper because of the small data set, and cyanide because of the possibility of false positive results with these few detections in a large data set. Using the discretion allowed the Director under OAC 3745-33-07(A)(5), we are proposing monitoring, rather than limits, for these pollutants.

Ohio EPA risk assessment (Table 35) places aluminum in group 4. This placement as well as the data in Tables 5, 11 and 29 support that these parameters do not have the reasonable potential to contribute to WQS exceedances, and limits are not necessary to protect water quality. Monitoring for Group 4 pollutants (where PEQ exceeds 50% of the WLA) is required by OAC Rule 3745-33-07(A)(2).

Monitoring requirements for ammonia-nitrogen, dissolved solids, lead and zinc would be continued in this permit to track the contributions of this outfall to the river. The permit also includes a new monitoring requirement for low-level mercury at this outfall. Mercury is associated with many primary industrial processes and those process that use steel scrap (because of mercury switches in automobiles). Ohio EPA is requiring that outfalls with these processes collect low-level mercury data to determine the amount of mercury from these processes.

The current monitoring requirements for bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and manganese would be removed in the renewed permit because these pollutants do not have the reasonable potential to contribute to WQS exceedances.

### Outfall 008:

The current permit requirements for outfall 008 would be carried over into the renewed permit.

### Outfalls 010/011:

Discharges from these outfalls is authorized only when the bypass conditions listed in Part III of the permit are met. The monitoring requirements for days when bypasses occur would be the same as those in the current permit.

### Outfall 014:

The Ohio EPA risk assessment (Table 36) places chlorine in group 5. This placement as well as the data in Tables 6, 12 and 29 indicate that the reasonable potential to exceed WQS exists and limits are necessary to protect water quality. Pollutants that meet this requirement must have permit limits under OAC Rule 3745-33-07(A)(1). The current maximum limit, which is based on past and current WLAs, would be continued in the renewed permit. The current average limit would be removed because there is no reasonable potential for the average WQS to be exceeded.

Ohio EPA risk assessment (Table 36) places copper and zinc in group 5 which recommends limits to protect water quality. This determination is based on a very small data set (1 sample result each for copper and zinc, and the PEQ values may not be representative of the discharge for this reason. Using the discretion allowed the Director under OAC 3745-33-07(A)(5), we are proposing monitoring, rather than limits, for these pollutants.

### Outfall 017:

Ohio EPA risk assessment (Table 37) places total dissolved solids in group 4. This placement as well as the data in Tables 7, 13 and 29 supports that these parameters do not have the reasonable potential to contribute to WQS exceedances, and limits are not necessary to protect water quality. Monitoring for Group 4 pollutants (where PEQ exceeds 50% of the WLA) is required by OAC Rule 3745-33-07(A)(2).

While zinc also falls into group 4, limits are required for zinc because it is one of the pollutants limited by the federal effluent guidelines for the Iron and Steel Industry (40 CFR 420). These treatment-technology-based limits are based on the kilograms of pollutant allowed to be discharged per 1000 kg. of production. The plant production rates used are the maximum 30-day average rates for the past five years. Limits are calculated as follows: zinc limits (kg./day) = effluent guidelines (kg./kkg.) x production (tons./day) x 0.908 kkg/ton, or

[(0.0000939 kg/kkg x 10,744 tons/day (steelmaking existing source prod.) x 0.908 kkg/ton) + (0.0000469 kg/kkg x 2,243 tons/day (vacuum degassing new source prod.) x 0.908 kkg/ton) + (0.0000469 kg/kkg x 2,335 tons/day (continuous casting new source prod.) x 0.908 kkg/ton)] =

1.47 kg/day as a 30-day average limit.

Effluent guideline limits for total suspended solids, oil&grease and lead are calculated in the same way. Not that the oil&grease limits at this outfall have an allowance for storm water that is treated at this steel plant treatment system. Storm water is held, treated and discharged from outfall 017 to prevent overflows of partially treated process water at outfalls 010 and 011. All of the effluent guideline calculations are shown in the attachment to this fact sheet.

This outfall also has maximum concentration limits for lead and zinc. These limits are necessary because the effluent guidelines authorize discharges that would exceed WLA values. The concentration limits are needed to ensure that permit limits meet both WQS and treatment-technology-based limits. Monitoring requirements for molybdenum would be removed because there is no reasonable potential to exceed WQS for molybdenum.

The permit also includes a new monitoring requirement for low-level mercury at this outfall. Mercury is associated with many primary industrial processes and those processes that use steel scrap (because of mercury switches in automobiles). Ohio EPA is requiring that outfalls with these processes collect low-level mercury data to determine the amount of mercury from these processes.

The existing permit limit for chlorine would be continued to ensure that treatment of cooling water additives continues effectively.

### Outfall 022:

The Ohio EPA risk assessment (Table 38) places selenium in group 5. This placement as well as the data in Tables 8, 15 and 29 indicates that the reasonable potential to exceed WQS exists and limits are necessary to protect water quality. Pollutants that meet this requirement must have permit limits under OAC Rule 3745-33-07(A)(1). The thirty day average limit for selenium is based on the current WLA. The permit contains a compliance schedule for selenium because ArcelorMittal can not consistently meet this limit at present.

The Ohio EPA risk assessment (Table 38) places copper and free cyanide in group 5 which recommends limits to protect water quality. The copper determination is based on a very small data set; the cyanide determination is based on a very small number of detections in the final effluent (3 detections in 217 samples). In both of these cases, the PEQ values may not be representative of the discharge – copper because of the small data set, and cyanide because of the possibility of false positive results with these few detections in a large data set. Using the discretion allowed the Director under OAC 3745-33-07(A)(5), we are proposing monitoring, rather than limits, for these pollutants.

Ohio EPA risk assessment (Table 38) places total dissolved solids in group 4. This placement as well as the data in Tables 8, 15 and 29 support that these parameters do not have the reasonable potential to contribute to WQS exceedances, and limits are not necessary to protect water quality. Monitoring for Group 4 pollutants (where PEQ exceeds 50% of the WLA) is required by OAC Rule 3745-33-07(A)(2).

In addition to these requirements, Ohio EPA would continue to require monitoring of lead and zinc to track contributions of this outfall to loadings in this segment. The current monitoring requirement for manganese would be removed because there is no reasonable potential for manganese to contribute to WQS exceedances.

Mercury monitoring would be included at outfall 022 because of the use of steel scrap in the steelmaking process. The existing permit limit for chlorine would be continued to ensure that treatment of cooling water additives continues effectively.

### Outfall 023:

The Ohio EPA risk assessment (Table 39) places ammonia-nitrogen, copper and fluoride in group 5 which recommends limits to protect water quality. Because of the small data set for each of these parameters, the PEQ values may not be representative of the discharge. Using the discretion allowed the Director under OAC 3745-33-07(A)(5), we are proposing monitoring, rather than limits, for these pollutants

Ohio EPA risk assessment (Table 39) places zinc in group 4. This placement as well as the data in Tables 7, 16 and 29 support that these parameters do not have the reasonable potential to contribute to WQS exceedances, and limits are not necessary to protect water quality. Monitoring for Group 4 pollutants (where PEQ exceeds 50% of the WLA) is required by OAC Rule 3745-33-07(A)(2). The current effluent limits for zinc would be removed because there is no reasonable potential for this outfall to contribute to WQS exceedances.

Monitoring requirements for CBOD5, COD, suspended solids, oil&grease and sulfate would continue in the renewed permit.

### Outfall 024:

The current monitoring requirements for flow, pH and zinc would continue in the renewed permit. Oil&grease monitoring requirements would be removed because O&G is rarely detected at this outfall, and there is no reasonable potential for this discharge to cause WQS exceedances for O&G.

The permit for ArcelorMittal contains limits and monitoring requirements at several in-plant sampling stations. Monitoring of these outfalls is necessary to measure compliance with federal treatment technology-based limits before the wastewater is diluted by cooling waters.

### Outfalls 601/602/603:

ArcelorMittal monitors outfalls 601 and 602 at the treatment plants for process wastewaters prior to mixing with cooling waters and discharging via outfall 002. Some of the treatment technology limits are imposed at stations 601 and 602, but most are included at station 603. Station 603 does not physically exist, but it a station in the permit that reflects the sum of loadings for outfalls 601 and 602.

The treatment technology limits for these outfalls are based on the federal effluent guidelines for the Iron and Steel Industry and the Metal Finishing Industry. As previously stated, treatment-technology-based limits for the Iron and Steel Industry, found in 40 CFR Part 420, are based on the kilograms of pollutant allowed to be discharged per 1000 kg. of production. The plant production rates used are the maximum 30-day average rates for the past five years. The treatment technology limits for the Metal Finishing Industry, found in 40 CFR Part 433, are concentration limits (mg/l); loadings are calculated by multiplying these concentration by flow and a conversion factor. Limits for Iron and Steel wastewaters are calculated as follows: TSS limits (kg./day) = BCT (kg./kkg.) x production (kkg./day); Limits for Metal Finishing flow (MGD) x 3.785 liters/gallon.

Using 30-day TSS limits for outfall 601 as an example, the TSS limits were calculated:

[(0.16 kg/kkg x 10, 920 tons/day (hot strip mill prod.) x 0.908 kkg/ton) + (0.035 kg/kkg x 7,656 tons/day (acid pickling prod.) x 0.909 kkg/ton) + 2.45 kg/day (acid pickling fume scrubber) + (31 mg/l x 0.5054 MGD (electrogalvanizing process flow) x 3.785 liters/gal.) = 1891 kg/day.

All of the effluent guideline calculations are shown in the attachment to this fact sheet.

The limitations for TSS, oil&grease, lead and zinc are expressed at outfall 603. The limits for O&G (average) and zinc are based on the effluent guidelines. The limits for TSS, O&G (maximum) and lead are based on existing permit limits. These limits are BPJ limits that have been in the permit for several permit cycles, and can not be relaxed due to antibacksliding rules.

The limits for outfall 603 are tiered using outfall numbers 603 and 693. The outfall 603 limits apply in months when the electrogalvanizing plant is running; outfall 693 limits apply when this plant is not in operation.

The limits for naphthalene and tetrachloroethylene are given at outfall 602, because these pollutants are limited only for cold rolling wastewaters, which are discharged only from outfall 602. Ohio EPA is granting a monitoring waiver under 40 CFR 122.44(a)(2) because these parameters are not detected above background levels in this waste stream.

Outfall 601 contains limitations for Total Toxic Organic pollutants because TTO is a limited parameter in the Metal Finishing effluent guidelines. The TTO limit in this permit is calculated as a mass balance of Metal Finishing wastewaters at the effluent guideline concentration (2130)

ug/l), and Iron & Steel wastewaters at a BPJ concentration of 350 ug/l. The BPJ figure comes from the 1982 USEPA Development Document – concentrations of organic pollutants in hot forming and acid pickling wastewaters.

The TTO limit was developed using the same method as in the current permit. The new limit is lower than the current limit due to the closure of the electrozinc line, which discharged via outfall 601 in the past.

### Outfall 604:

Effluent limits for TSS, cyanide, lead and total phenolics are based on the iron making blast furnace effluent guidelines in 40 CFR Part 420. The attachment to this fact sheet shows all of the effluent guideline calculations. Zinc limits are BPJ limits that can not be relaxed due to antibacksliding rules.

The ammonia-nitrogen limits at this outfall are based on the facility's 301(g) variance. Section 301(g) of the Clean Water Act allows a facility a variance from Best Available Treatment requirements for ammonia and certain other pollutants. To be approved under this variance, a facility must be able to show that it can meet both BPT treatment standards and water quality standards.

ArcelorMittal has made this demonstration previously, and had variance limits included in the current permit. ArcelorMittal has requested renewal of this variance, and Ohio EPA recommended that USEPA renew it; USEPA concurs with Ohio EPA on this variance renewal. The analysis showing that BPT and WQS are met is included in the attachment to this fact sheet.

### Outfall 622/632:

Outfall 622 is the treatment system for the steelmaking facilities located on the west side of the Cuyahoga River. In the current permit, pollutants are monitored at outfall 622; limits are imposed at calculated station 632 (wastewater effluent plus any authorized bypasses). In this permit, limits are given at outfall 622, because the bypass in this area has been eliminated.

Effluent limits for these discharges are based on Iron and Steel effluent guidelines and BPJ for non-iron/steel process discharges. Effluent loadings include New Source Performance Standards for the continuous caster, BPJ allowances for Basic Oxygen Furnace process waters, and storm runoff from the BOF process area, and BPJ allowances for cooling tower flows treated at this outfall.

Loading allowances for the Basic oxygen Furnace (semi-wet) and collected storm water and ground water from the production area have been included in these effluent limits based on Best Professional Judgment. While the BAT/BCT rules generally specify zero discharge for these wastewaters, USEPA revised the guidelines in October 2002 to allow discharges from this process when water is used in excess of evaporation rates due to safety considerations. Specifically the rules state:

"If the permittee demonstrates to the satisfaction of the permitting authority that safety considerations prevent attainment of these limitations, the permitting authority may establish alternative limitations on a best professional judgment basis." (40 CFR 420.43).

The off-gases from the BOF exit the vessel at temperatures of approximately 3000 degrees F. Off gases contain various combustible gases and ferric oxide dust that is captures in an electrostatic precipitator. The West Side BOF uses water to cool, clean and condition the gases prior to removal in the electrostatic precipitator. The water reduces the temperature of the gases to protect the precipitator chambers, and this conditioning also improves the gas cleaning capability. Quantities of water larger than amounts that are evaporated by this system are used for safety considerations to eliminate sparks, and remove large quantities of heavy solids that would otherwise cause duct work and/or precipitator chambers to clog. The BOF and semi-wet gas cleaning system with electrostatic precipitator was built in the late 1960's and became fully operational in 1970.

Ohio EPA believes that these considerations meet the requirements of the effluent guidelines for alternative limitations. The BPJ limitations are established using concentrations of treated BOF/caster effluent cited in USEPA's 1982 Development Document of the Iron and Steel effluent guidelines. These concentrations have also been applied to storm water and ground water from the process area that are collected in a scale pit and treated prior to discharge. An example of how these limits are calculated is: TSS limit = BPJ of BCT (mg/l) x (process flow + storm/ground water flow in MGD) x 3.785 liters/gallon, or for average TSS limits:

50 mg/l x (0.864 MGD + 0.36 MGD) x 3.785 liters/gal. = 232 kg/day

The BPJ allowances for oil&grease, lead, zinc and maximum TSS are calculated using the same formulas. All effluent guideline calculations are shown in the attachment to this fact sheet.

The current permit limits contain a BPJ allowance for the cooling tower blowdown that is routed to the outfall 622/632 treatment system. As this is a utility wastestream, we have used concentrations for cooling tower discharges that are based on past self-reporting data from steam electric power plants that use cooling towers, and effluent data from USEPA's 1982 Development Document for the Steam Electric Power effluent guidelines, and NPDES application form 2C data from other Ohio power plants that use cooling towers.

The cooling tower BPJ allowance is identical to the allowance used in the current permit. These values are shown in the attachment to this fact sheet. For lead and zinc monthly averages, the PEQaverage values (based on OEPA Method A) from the data base were used as the BPJ concentrations. For all maximum values, PEQmaximum concentrations were compared with the actual 99<sup>th</sup> percentile values from the data base, and the larger of the two values were used as the BPJ concentration. The 30-day average values for TSS and oil&grease originally requested by ArcelorMittal were used as BPJ because they were similar to the PEQ averages calculated from the effluent data. The maximum values for TSS and oil&grease are PEQmaximum values from the data set.

# Whole Effluent Toxicity Reasonable Potential

For the ArcelorMittal Cleveland, WLAs for toxicity are listed below.

Outfall(s)	Chronic AET (TU <sub>c</sub> )	Acute AET (TU <sub>a</sub> )	
ArcelorMittal-001	1652.7	0.32	
ArcelorMittal-002	37.8	0.32	
ArcelorMittal-005	8.1 (FPC: Feb-May)	0.32	
ArcelorMittal-014	9.7 (FPC: Feb-May)	0.32	
ArcelorMittal-017	537.6 (FPC: Feb-May)	0.31	
ArcelorMittal-022	63.6	0.32	
ArcelorMittal-023	No limit (LRW)	0.30	
ArcelorMittal-024	602.6	0.32	

FPC=fish passage condition

LRW=limited resource water

For dischargers in the Lake Erie Basin, toxicity is assessed by comparing this WLA value to a PEQ value calculated from the effluent toxicity data available. If the PEQ is greater than the WLA, toxicity limits are needed in the permit. This procedure was put in place by USEPA's promulgation of toxicity reasonable potential rules for Ohio on August 4, 2000. These rules replaced Ohio's rules for dischargers in the Lake Erie basin.

The only ArcelorMittal outfall that can have a reliable PEQ calculated is outfall 002 (see Table 25). Outfall 002 had 3 acutely toxic results in 22 samples, with several samples showing sublethal acute toxicity (25-50% mortality). The PEQ is calculated by taking the maximum reported acute toxicity (1.8 TUa) and multiplying by a statistical factor that is based on the number of samples:

### PEQmax = 1.8 TUa x 1.4 = 2.5 TUa

Because this PEQ value is greater than the acute toxicity WLA for this outfall, reasonable potential to exceed the narrative "no rapid lethality" standard exists. The draft permit would continue the acute toxicity limit of 1.0 TUa that is in the current permit. A limit of 1.0 TUa is the most stringent limit allowed under OAC Rule 3745-33-07(B)(10).

The test results for outfalls 005 and 022 showed that each outfall had one acutely toxic result in a large set of data (20 samples for 005; 23 samples for 023 – see Tables 26-27). Relatively few results showed sublethal acute effects. As a result, we are considering these two acutely toxic results to be outliers that are not representative of normal discharges. PEQ values could not be calculated for these outfalls. The draft permit contains monitoring requirements for outfalls 005 and 022 to ensure that acute toxicity remains at non-lethal levels.

The other outfalls were not evaluated for toxicity. None of the chemical data or historic toxicity data indicates that toxicity should be present at these outfalls. Chemical-specific limits should be sufficient to control any toxicity from these outfalls.

Chronic toxicity is not expected to be a limiting condition at ArcelorMittal's outfalls, given the WLA values and acute test results.

Figure 1. Approximate location of ArcelorMittal Cleveland.





# Table 2. Effluent Characterization and Decision Criteria

Summary of analytical results for the ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfall 3ID00003001. All values are in  $\mu$ g/l unless otherwise indicated. 2C = Data from application form 2C; OEPA = data from analyses by Ohio EPA; ND = below detection (detection limit); NA = not analyzed. Decision Criteria: PEQ<sub>avg</sub> = monthly averages; PEQ<sub>max</sub> = daily maximum analytical results.

			Arcelor Outfal	vittal Cleveland	d 2006 App	lication Form 2C _Intake	DECISIO	ON CRITERIA
PARAMETER		N	mean	maximum			PEQavg	PEQ <sub>max</sub>
Organic Carbon, To	ot ma/l	1	- 2	7.9		7.8		
Suspended Solids	ma/l	1	_	13		11		
Ammonia-N	ma/l	12	0.82	1.9		0.5	**	**
Nitrate/Nitrite-N	mg/l	1	-	0.35		1.19		۳.
Fluoride	ma/l	1	- 2	1.90		0.41	8.6	11.78
Phosphorus	mg/l	1	-	0.09		0.54		
Sulfate	mg/l	1	-	483		124	2186	2995
Aluminum	J	1	-	147		295		
Barium		1	_	104		53	471	645
Boron	<i></i>	1	-	245	2	197	1109	1519
Iron		1	-	2660		613	12039	16492
Magnesium	mg/l	1	-	8.15		20.2		
Manganese		1	-	467		106	2114	2895
Molybdenum		1	-	35		18	158	217
Zinc		1	-	82		69	371	508
Benzene		2	23.2	46.4		<5 ·	129	176

\*\* - ammonia-N PEQs: 1.69 mg/l avg., 2.86 mg/l max. (sum), 0.97 mg/l avg., 1.66 mg/l max. (win)

ArcelorMittal Cleveland 2006 NPDES Fact Sheet Page 26

AR-14

# Table 3. Effluent Characterization and Decision Criteria

Summary of analytical results for the ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfall 3ID00003002. All values are in  $\mu$ g/l unless otherwise indicated. 2C = Data from application form 2C; OEPA = data from analyses by Ohio EPA; ND = below detection (detection limit); NA = not analyzed. Decision Criteria: PEQ<sub>avg</sub> = monthly averages; PEQ<sub>max</sub> = daily maximum analytical results.

And the end of the standard standard and the standard standard standard standards		UNIO EFA	UNU EPA	Appli	cation Form 2	2C Outfall 002	2		DECISIC	N CRITERIA
PARAMETER		06/21/05	08/30/05	 N	mean	maximur	n Intake	1	PEQavg	PEQmax
BOD5 ma/l		3.3	15	1	_	6.0	4.0			
COD ma/l		26	69	1	-	<20	<20			
Organic Carbon, Tot.	ma/l	NA	NA	1	-	10.1	78			
Suspended Solids	ma/l	<5	<5	49	10	41	11			
Dissolved Solids	ma/l	662	1050	NA	NA	NA	NA		1385	1703
Chloride	ma/l	173	309	NA	NA	NA	NA		857	1174
Ammonia-N	ma/l	0.108	0.050	12	0.3	1.0	0.5		**	**
Nitrate/Nitrite-N	ma/l	4.66	8.98	1	_	0.75	1.19		19.67	26.94
Kieldahl Nitrogen	ma/l	1.29	1.46	1	-	<0.1**	0.7**			20101
Fluoride	ma/l	NA	NA	i	_	0.84	0.41		3.80	5 21
Oil&grease	mg/l	23	20	48	-20	24.3	~20		0.00	0.21
Phosphorus	mg/l	0.044	0.035	1	-	0 37	0.54		0.8	10
Sulfate	mg/l	NA	NA	i	_	168	124		760	1042
Hardness	mg/l	299	543	NA	NΔ	NA	NA		700	1042
Aluminum		<200	<200	1	-	95	295		430	589
Antimony		NA	NA	1	220	17	-10		77	105
Barium		30	42	12	40	57	53		154	241
Boron		NA	NA	1	-	89	107		403	552
Iron		521	230	i i		110	612		11/1	1562
Lead		29	<20	1		-10	-10		9.0	11
Magnesium	ma/l	15	13	1		0.84	20.2		22	15
Manganese	mg/i	44	32	10	45	110	106		00	140
manganooo			02	12	40	113	100		99	149
Molybdenum		NA	NA	1		29	18		131	180
Potassium	mg/l	8	8	NA	NA	NA	NA		22	30
Strontium	1.	773	577	NA	NA	NA	NA		759	1049
Zinc		108	81	96	152	684	69		325	574
Cyanide, T.	mg/l	< 0.005*	0.006*	1		< 0.010	< 0.010		0.017	0.023
Chloromethane		<0.5	0.78	1	_	<5	<5		2.16	2.96
Chloroform		0.71	0.55	1	-	<5	<5		1 97	2 70
Naphthalene		0.55	<0.5	1		~20	~20		1.57	2.09

\*\* - ammonia-N PEQs: 0.64 mg/l avg., 0.99 mg/l max. (sum), 0.77 mg/l avg., 1.48 mg/l max. (win)

# Table 4. Effluent Characterization and Decision Criteria

Summary of analytical results for the ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfalls 3ID00003601 and 3ID00003602. All values are in  $\mu$ g/l unless otherwise indicated. 2C = Data from application form 2C; OEPA = data from analyses by Ohio EPA; ND = below detection (detection limit); NA = not analyzed. Decision Criteria: PEQ<sub>avg</sub> = monthly averages; PEQ<sub>max</sub> = daily maximum analytical results.

		Appli	cation Form 20	C Outfall 601			Appli	ication Form 20	COutfall 602	
PARAMETER		N	mean	maximum	× 9	 	N	mean	maximum	 
BOD mg/l		1	-	3			1	-	8	
Organic Carbon, Tot.	ma/l	1	-	4.7			1	-	10.1	
Suspended Solids	mg/l	96	6	28			96	7	105	
Nitrate/Nitrite-N	mg/l	1	-	0.61			1	-	0.77	
Organic-N, Tot.	mg/l	1	-	0.5			1	-	0.8	
Fluoride	mg/l	1	-	0.86			1	-	0.81	
Oil&grease	mg/l	96	<2.0	14			96	1.2	62.7	
Phosphorus	mg/l	1		0.13			1	-	0.17	
Sulfate	mg/l	1	-	244			1	-	120	
Aluminum		1	2 <del>-</del>	71			1		247	
Antimony		1	-	<10			1	1 <u></u>	15	
Barium		1	-	29			1	-	35	
Boron		1	-	106			1	-	194	
Iron		1	-	513			1	-	306	
Lead		96	<10	16		3*3	96	0.65	15	
Magnesium	mg/l	1	-	15.1			1	-	15.7	
Manganese		1		10		9	1	_	53	
Molybdenum		1	-	39		*	1	-	32	
Zinc		96	162	644			96	34	80	
Phenolics, Tot.		1	-	10			1	-	19	

# Table 5. Effluent Characterization and Decision Criteria

Summary of analytical results for the ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfalls 3ID00003005 and 3ID00003604. All values are in  $\mu$ g/l unless otherwise indicated. 2C = Data from application form 2C; OEPA = data from analyses by Ohio EPA; ND = below detection (detection limit); NA = not analyzed. Decision Criteria: PEQ<sub>avg</sub> = monthly averages; PEQ<sub>max</sub> = daily maximum analytical results.

123		Appli	ication Form 20	C Outfall 604		Appl	ication Form 2	C Outfall 005		DECISIC	N CRITERIA
PARAMETER	- integra	N	mean	maximum	1	N I.	mean	maximum	Intake	PEQavg	PEQmax
BOD ma/l	18.	1	-	8		1	-	5.3	6.3		
COD ma/l		1	-	73		1	-	35	131		
Organic Carbon	mg/l	1	-	5.7		1	-	8.1	8.8		
Suspended Solids	ma/l	24	31	453		1	and an and a second	91	653		
Ammonia-N mg/l		48	24	58.7		48	1.4	27	1.0	**	**
Nitrate/Nitrite- N	mg/l	1	-	0.30		1 .	_'	1.27	1.13	5.75	7.87
Organic-N mg/l		1	1	1.6		1		<1.0	<1.0		
Fluoride mg/l		1	-	12.97		1	-	0.34	0.23	1.54	2.11
Phosphorus mg/l		1.	-	0.17		1	-	< 0.05	< 0.05		
Sulfate mg/l		1		266		1		69	75	312	428
Aluminum		1	-	579		1	-	3860	11600	17470	23932
Antimony		1	-	13		1	s <del></del> .	<10	<10		
Barium		1	-	104		1	-	56	102	253	347
Boron		1	÷ . *	691		1		64	55	290	397
Copper		1		<10		1	-	14	28	63	87
Iron		1	- 1	780		1	-	6230	22600	28197	38626
Lead	14	48	39	394		12	<10	12	23	11	17
Magnesium mg/l		1		56.8		1	-	13.6	15.8	61.6	84.3
Manganese		12	491	1090		12	106	262	543	166	226
Molybdenum		1	-	32		1	-	18	14	81	112
Titanium		1	-	<10		1.	-	46	122	208	285
Zinc		48	185	789		48	39	130	166	72	99
Cyanide, Tot.	mg/l	24	< 0.01	2.75		.1	-	<10	<10	0.036*	0.049*
Phenolics, Tot.		12	31	121		1	-	6	<7	27	37

\* - free cyanide data

\*\* - ammonia-N PEQs: 1.12 mg/l avg., 1.61 mg/l max. (sum), 1.06 mg/l avg., 1.47 mg/l max. (win)

# Table 6. Effluent Characterization and Decision Criteria

Summary of analytical results for the ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfall 3ID00003014. All values are in  $\mu$ g/l unless otherwise indicated. 2C = Data from application form 2C; OEPA = data from analyses by Ohio EPA; ND = below detection (detection limit); NA = not analyzed. Decision Criteria: PEQ<sub>avg</sub> = monthly averages; PEQ<sub>max</sub> = daily maximum analytical results.

			ArcelorN Outfall	/littal Cleveland 014	2006 Applicatio	n Form 2C <u>Intake</u>		DECISIO	ON CRITERIA	*
PARAMETER		N	mean	maximum				PEQavg	PEQmax	
Organic Carbon, To	ot. ma/l	1	<u> </u>	7.4		7.6				
Suspended Solids	ma/l	48	44.5	292		6		118	176	
Ammonia-N	ma/l	48	0.8	5.5		0.2		**	**	
Nitrate/Nitrite-N	ma/l	1	-	1.75		1.57				
Fluoride	ma/l	1	-	0.44		0.42				
Oil&arease	ma/l	48	<2.0	21		<2.0				
Phosphorus	ma/l	1	-	0.21		0.20				
Sulfate	ma/l	1	-	66		79				
Aluminum		1		714		850				
Barium		1	-	41		44	24	24		
Boron		1	-	128	32	120			*	
Copper		1.	-	10		<10		45	62	
Iron		1	-	811		1090				
Magnesium	mg/l	1	-	16.0		15.7				
Manganese	v	1	-	80	7	83				
Molybdenum		1	-	12		15				
Zinc		1	-	39		31		177	242	

\*\* - ammonia-N PEQs: 1.11 mg/l avg., 1.65 mg/l max. (sum), 1.02 mg/l avg., 1.46 mg/l max. (win)

# Table 7. Effluent Characterization and Decision Criteria

Summary of analytical results for the ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfalls 3ID00003017 and 3ID00003023. All values are in  $\mu$ g/l unless otherwise indicated. 2C = Data from application form 2C; OEPA = data from analyses by Ohio EPA; ND = below detection (detection limit); NA = not analyzed. Decision Criteria: PEQ<sub>avg</sub> = monthly averages; PEQ<sub>max</sub> = daily maximum analytical results.

	laaA	ication Form 2	C Outfall 017	DECISIC	N CRITERIA	ilaaA	cation Form 20	C Outfall 023	DECISIO	N CRITERIA
PARAMETER	N	mean	maximum	PEQavg	PEQmax	N	mean	maximum	PEQavg	PEQmax
	6									·
BOD mg/l	1	-	<2.0			12	13	24		
COD mg/l	1	-	<20			12	80	118		
Organic Carbon mg.	/1 1	-	4.7			1	-	20.7		
Suspended Solids n	ng/l 48	4	20	10	15	12	20	59	110	124
Ammonia-N mg/I	1	-	<0.1			1	1000 C	4.5	**	**
Nitrate/Nitrite-N mg/	1 1	-	< 0.05			1	-	0.28	1.27	1.74
Organic-N mg/l	1 .	-	<0.1			1	<u> </u>	3.0		
Fluoride mg/l	1	-	32.75	148	203	. 1	-	1.27	5.75	7.87
Oil&grease mg/l	48	<2.0	6.0			46	0.81	5.2	-	4
Phosphorus mg/l	• 1	-	0.70	3.17	4.34	1	-	0.36	1.63	2.23
Sulfate mg/l	1	2-12	190	860	1178	12	586	927	1056	1379
Aluminum	1	-	700	3168	4340	1	-	48	217	298
Antimony	1	-	32	145	198	1	-	<10		
Barium	1	-	160	724	992	1	100	22	100	136
Boron	1	3 <del></del>	205	928	1271	1	-	227	1027	1407
Copper	1	<u>ш</u>	<10			1	<u></u>	18	81	112
Iron	1	-	634	2869	3931	1	-	187	846	1159
Lead	96	<10	16	7.4	12	- 1	<u></u>	<10		
Magnesium mg/l	1	-	12.4	56.1	76.9	1		33.0	149	205
Manganese	1	-	21	95	130	1	( <b>H</b> )	21	95	130
Molybdenum	48	229 .	720	3173	4404	1	-	49	222	304
Zinc	96	107	289	154	235	12	121	422	214	345

\*\* - ammonia-N PEQs - no summer data available, 20.37 mg/l avg., 27.9 mg/l max. (win)

# Table 8. Effluent Characterization and Decision Criteria

Summary of analytical results for the ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfalls 3ID00003022 and 3ID00003622. All values are in  $\mu$ g/l unless otherwise indicated. 2C = Data from application form 2C; OEPA = data from analyses by Ohio EPA; ND = below detection (detection limit); NA = not analyzed. Decision Criteria: PEQ<sub>avg</sub> = monthly averages; PEQ<sub>max</sub> = daily maximum analytical results.

		Applica	ation Form 20	Outfall 62	2		Appli	cation Form 20	C Outfall 022		DECISIO	N CRITERIA
PARAMETER		N	mean	maximu	m		N	mean	maximum	Intake	PEQavg	PEQmax
COD ma/l		1	_	95			1		102	81		
Organic Carbon	ma/l	1	-	8.6			1	-	8.5	8.6		
Suspended Solids	ma/l	96	6	93			1		8	54		
Ammonia-N mg/l		1	<u> </u>	0.3			1	-	<0.1	0.5		
Nitrate/Nitrite-N	ma/l	1	-	0.33			1	-	1.93	0.67	8.74	11.97
Fluoride mg/l	5	1	-	6.03			1	-	5.99	0.24	27.11	37.14
Oil&grease mg/l		96	<2.0	2.8			96	<2.0	2.1	<2.0		
Phosphorus mg/l		1	-	0.06			1	-	< 0.05	0.26		
Sulfate mg/l		1	÷	135			1	<del></del>	163	74	738	1011
Aluminum		1	-	148	20		1	-	108	2650	489	670
Barium		1	-	22			1	-	19	56	86	118
Boron		1	-	122			1	-	103	76	466	639
Copper		1	-	11			1	-	10	19	45	62
Iron		1	-	1060		-	1	_	617	2830	2793	3825
Lead		96	<10	30			93	<10	31	<10	8.5	15
Magnesium mg/l		1	-	12.9			1	-	13.1	14.6	59.3	81.2
Manganese		1 .	-	56			1	*	52	112	128	189
Molybdenum		1	-	28			1		26	17	118	161
Titanium		1	-	10			1	1946-1	<10	87		
Zinc		96	82	301			93 .	41.5	155	37	65	105

# Table 9. Effluent Characterization and Decision Criteria

Summary of current permit limits and unaltered monthly operating report (MOR) data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfalls 3ID00003001 and 3ID00003004. All values are based on annual records unless otherwise indicated. N = Number of Analyses. \* = For pH, 5th percentile shown in place of 50th percentile; \*\* = For dissolved oxygen, 5th percentile shown in place of 95th percentile; A = 7 day average. Decision Criteria:  $PEQ_{avg}$  = monthly average;  $PEQ_{max}$  = daily maximum analytical results.

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=001

			CURRENT	PERMIT	PERIO	D = JAN01 THE	RU DEC05			DECISION CRIT	ERIA
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE	N	PEQavg	PEQmax
AMMONIA NH3-N	MAY-OCT	MG/L	Monitor		23	0.6	1.4	0-1.9	17	1.69	2.86
		KG/DAY			23	0.04164	0.2411	0-1.9939			
	NOV-APR	MG/L	Monitor		26	0.4	1.1	0-1.7	12	0.97	1.66
		KG/DAY			26	0.04428	0.14761	0-0.1681			
CONDUIT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		1382	0.022	0.074	0.0004-3.7897			
PH	ANNUAL	S.U.	6.5 to 9.0		124	6.7*	11.5	5.1-11.9			

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=004

PARAMETER		SEASON	UNITS	CURRENT PE 30 DAY	RMIT DAILY	PERIOE N	) = JAN01 THR 50 PCTL	U DEC05 95 PCTL	RANGE			
CONDUIT FLOW PH	ł	ANNUAL ANNUAL	MGD S.U.	Monitor 6.5 to 9.0		1825 131	0.022 6.8*	0.108 8.7	0-2.7974 4-9.6			

the second product in the second second

And the second se

# Table 10. Effluent Characterization and Decision Criteria

Summary of current permit limits and unaltered monthly operating report (MOR) data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfall 3ID00003002. All values are based on annual records unless otherwise indicated. N = Number of Analyses. \* = For pH, 5th percentile shown in place of 50th percentile; \*\* = For dissolved oxygen, 5th percentile shown in place of 95th percentile; A = 7 day average. Decision Criteria:  $PEQ_{avg}$  = monthly average;  $PEQ_{max}$  = daily maximum analytical results.

			CUBBENT F	PERMIT	PERIOD	= JAN01 THR	U DEC05			DECISION CRI	TERIA
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE	N	PEQavg	PEQmax
AMMONIA NH3-N	MAY-OCT	MG/L	Monitor		24	0.3	0.8	0-1	18	0.64	0.99
		KG/DAY			24	5.26872	13.3686	0-16.257			
	NOV-APR	MG/L	Monitor		26	0.3	0.7	0-1	11	0.77	1.48
		KG/DAY		1	26	6.61618	15.5865	0-19.425			
BARIUM TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		50	57	194	20-206	50	154	241
		KG/DAY			50	0.99538	3.32411	0.2674-3.5673			
<b>BIS(2-ETHYLHEXL)</b>	ANNUAL	UG/L			10 .	0	7.9	0-7.9			
		KG/DAY		*	10	0	0.19795	0-0.1979		30	
CONDUIT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		1826	4.6	6.63	0.0713-7.16			
CYANIDE FREE	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		217	0	0	0-0			(4)
		MG/L			40	0	0	0-0			
MANGANES TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/I	Monitor		42	49	170	0-309	35	99	149
		KG/DAY			42	0.86601	2.82475	0-5 2981			
MERCURY TOT REC	ANNITAL	LIG/I			88	0	0	0-0.4			
	/ IIIIO/IL	KG/DAY			88	õ	õ	0-0.0099			
OIL GBSE TOT		MG/L	15	20	257	õ	61	0-24 3		9	
OIE GIRDE TOT	ANNOAL	KG/DAY			257	õ	113 913	0-484 99			*
РН		SII	65 to 90		257	7 2*	82	68.89			
RESIDUE DIS-105C	ANNUAL	MG/I	2007	100	258	1076	1586	436-2788	210	1385	1704
TEODOE DIO 1000	ANNOAL	KG/DAY	12615	22	258	18729 5	32217 2	797 97-51074	210	1000	1704
BESIDI IE TOT NELT		MG/L	Monitor		258	10/20.0	30	0-155			
THEOROGE FOR THE ET	ANNOAL	KG/DAV	Montor	22	258	179 697	693 052	0-2767 8			
STRONTUM SR TOT		LIG/L	Monitor		50	477	935	47-1020	50	759	1049
	THIN ON LE	KG/DAY	monitor		50	8 05721	15 3226	0.6289-16.632	50	100	1040
TOX-UNIT AC-CEBLT		THA	1000	10	19	0.00721	0.4	0.1 1	2		
TOX-UNIT ACU-PIME	ANNUAL	TUA		1.0	19	01	0.7	0-1.8			
TOX-UNIT CHB-CEBI	ANNIIAI	TUC	2223 2223	1.0	3	2.8	5.6	0-5.6			
TOX-UNIT CHB-PIME	ANNUAL	TUC			ä	0	0.0	0-0			
1 2 4-TRIMETHYLEE	ANNULAL	LIG/I	Monitor		50	õ	0	0-6	50	1 38	6
	ANNOAL	KG/DAV	WOINTOI		50	õ	õ	0.0 1053	50	4.00	v
ZINC TOT REC		LIG/L	Monitor		512	156	503	0-011033	420	325	574
ZING TOT NEO	ANNOAL	KG/DAY	MONITOL		512	2 72746	0.67295	0.26 179	420	323	3/4
		ROIDAT	-		516 .	2.13/40	3.07303	0-30.170			

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=002

# Table 11. Effluent Characterization and Decision Criteria

Summary of current permit limits and unaltered monthly operating report (MOR) data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfalls 3ID00003005. All values are based on annual records unless otherwise indicated. N = Number of Analyses. \* = For pH, 5th percentile shown in place of 50th percentile; \*\* = For dissolved oxygen, 5th percentile shown in place of 95th percentile; A = 7 day average. Decision Criteria:  $PEQ_{avg}$  = monthly average;  $PEQ_{max}$  = daily maximum analytical results.

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=005

			CURRENT	PERMIT	PERIOD =	JAN01 THE	RU DEC05			DECISION CRITEI	AIF
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE	N	PEQavg	PEQmax
ADDTVY FACTR CARCI	ANNUAL				. 10	0	0	0-0			
AMMONIA NH3-N	MAY-OCT	MG/L	Monitor		130	0.7	1.4	0-27	65	1.12	1.61
		KG/DAY			130	108.285	242.291	0-5273			
	NOV-APR	MG/L	Monitor		126	0.7	1.5	0-2.3	76	1.06	1.47
		KG/DAY			126	97.4963	219.606	0-356.88			
BIS(2-ETHYLHEXL)	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		60	0	8.3	0-39.6			
		KG/DAY			60	0	1.05976	0-3.226		<b>K</b> )	
CHLORINE TOT RESD	ANNUAL	MG/L	0.018	0.022	209	0	0.04	0-0.462	208	0.019	0.026
		KG/DAY	3.49	4.23	209	0	3,9881	0-8.6866			
CONDUIT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		1825	40.59	50	0.202-79.922			
CYANIDE FREE	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		211	0	0	0-0.07	210	0.036	0.049
		KG/DAY			211	0	0	0-10.913	<ul> <li>•• The first</li> </ul>		
		MG/L	Monitor		45	0	õ	0-0			
HALOMETH SUM OF	ANNUAL	UG/L			. 10	õ	õ	0-0			
EAD TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		57	Ō	12	0-17	50	11	17
		KG/DAY			57	ō	1.61544	0-2.5556			1.5
ANGANES TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		50	110	262	36-330	50	166	226
		KG/DAY			50	14 4538	33,9018	0 0858-50 216		100	
PAHS	ANNUAL	LIG/L			2	0	0	0-0			
ч	ANNUAL	SU	65 to 90		255	7*	81	58.85			
ESIDUE DIS-105C	ANNUAL	MG/I	Monitor		211	556	1324	170-2458	200	873	1145
	7 WIND/IL	KG/DAY	Monto		211	81136 9	103599	000 00 000010	205	070	1145
IGH WATER TEMP	ANNUAL-	DEGE	Monitor		255	72	97	40-102			
OX-UNIT AC-CEBLT	ANNUAL	TUA	Monitor		16	0	0	0-0.2			
OX-LINIT ACLEPIME	ANNUAL	TLIA	Monitor		16	01	0.4	0.0.5			
OX-UNIT CHB-CEBI	ANNUAL	TUC			6	0	0.4	0-0.0			•
OX-UNIT CHB-PIME	ANNIIAI	TUC	12.01	1000	6	0	16	0-1.6			
INC TOT BEC	ΔΝΝΙΙΔΙ	LIG/I	Monitor	970	256	40	102	0-105	210	70	99
	AUTOAL	KG/DAV	WORITO		256	5 9522	16 9000	0.21.25	210	14	00
		NG/DAT			200	0.0020	10.9009	0-31.35			

THE 1 Y WHEN IN THE CASE OF A DRIVE THE PARTY OF

AR-14
#### Table 12. Effluent Characterization and Decision Criteria

Summary of current permit limits and unaltered monthly operating report (MOR) data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfalls 3ID00003008 and 3ID00003014. All values are based on annual records unless otherwise indicated. N = Number of Analyses. \* = For pH, 5th percentile shown in place of 50th percentile; \*\* = For dissolved oxygen, 5th percentile shown in place of 95th percentile; A = 7 day average. Decision Criteria:  $PEQ_{avg}$  = monthly average;  $PEQ_{max}$  = daily maximum analytical results.

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=008

7			CURRENT	PERMIT	PERIOD	= JAN01 THF	U NOV05	
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	Ν	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE
CBOD 5 DAY	MAY-OCT	MG/L	Monitor		10	0	8.2	0-8.2
		KG/DAY			10	0	2.57E-8	0-26E-9
<i>i</i> e	NOV-APR	MG/L	Monitor		22	0	8.6	0-19.9
		KG/DAY		· ·	22	0	0.00687	0-0.0125
FLOW RATE	ANNUAL	GPD	Monitor		32	432	1662	0-142857
OIL GRSE TOT	ANNUAL	MG/L	15	20	32	0	2.4	0-5.2
		KG/DAY			32	0	0.00491	0-0.0065
PH	ANNUAL	S.U.	6.5 to 9.0		33	7.1*	8.8	6.97-9
RESIDUE TOT NFLT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		32	11	125	0-224
		KG/DAY			32	0.0109	0.17986	0-2.1629

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=014

			CURRENT P	ERMIT	PERIO	D = JAN01 THF	IU DEC05		D	ECIS	ION CRITER	AIA
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE	Ν		PEQavg	PEQmax
AMMONIA NH3-N	MAY-OCT	MG/L	Monitor		130	0.6	1.1	0-5.5	76		1.02	1.46
		KG/DAY			130	48.2921	149.886	0-749.43		<u>8</u>		
	NOV-APR	MG/L	Monitor		127	0.6	1.1	0-2.2	50		0.86	1.19
	14 -	KG/DAY			127	48.2921	149.886	0-299.77				
CHLORINE TOT RESD	ANNUAL	MG/L	0.019	0.022	230	0	0.03	0-0.133	228		0.013	0.021
		KG/DAY			230	0	1.96214	0-8.6988				6
CONDUIT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		1826	17.28	36	0.115-61.897				
OIL GRSE TOT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		256	0	0	0-21				
		KG/DAY			256	0	0	0-2861.5				
PH	ANNUAL	S.U.	6.5 to 9.0		212	7.1*	7.9	6.2-10.5				
<b>RESIDUE DIS-105C</b>	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		257	580	1282	248-9810	209		898	1187
		KG/DAY			257	58341.1	116639	269-182316				
RESIDUE TOT NFLT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		257	28	159	0-490	209		118	176
		KG/DAY			257	2550.79	15453.5	0-39788				
HIGH WATER TEMP	ANNUAL	DEG F	Monitor		257	71	97	36-120	8			

ArcelorMittal Cleveland 2006 NPDES Fact Sheet Page 36

#### Table 13. Effluent Characterization and Decision Criteria

Summary of current permit limits and unaltered monthly operating report (MOR) data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfall 3ID00003017. All values are based on annual records unless otherwise indicated. N = Number of Analyses. \* = For pH, 5th percentile shown in place of 50th percentile; \*\* = For dissolved oxygen, 5th percentile shown in place of 95th percentile; A = 7 day average. Decision Criteria:  $PEQ_{avg}$  = monthly average;  $PEQ_{max}$  = daily maximum analytical results.

.

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=017

v 0:			CURRENT	PERMIT	PERIO	D = JAN01 THI	RU DEC05	ä		DECISION CRITER	IA	
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE	N	PEQavg	PEQmax	
CADMIUM TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L			45	. 0	0	0-13				
	·	KG/DAY			45	0	0	0-0.0164				
CHLORINE TOT RESD	ANNUAL	MG/L		0.038	211	0	0.02	0-0.1	209	0.011	0.015	
		KG/DAY		0.027	211	0	0.02706	0-0.1174				
CONDUIT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		1825	0.335	0.897	0.021-3.103				
LEAD TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L		5000	512	0	11	0-37	420	7.4	12	
		KG/DAY	0.848	2.54	512	0	0.00593	0-0.0593	10-10-10-10-1	24		
MOLY MO, TOT	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		212	569	3100	13-4490	210	3173	4404	
		KG/DAY			212	0.50711	2,63542	0.0268-16.325				
OIL GRSE TOT	ANNUAL	MG/L	15	20	258	0	2.4	0-9.4				
		KG/DAY	16.0	23.9	258	Ō	3,97349	0-18.009				
PH MAX	ANNUAL	S.U.		9.0	1212	7.6*	86	7 1-9				
PH MAX	ANNUAL	S.U.		9.0	610	83	8.6	7 6-9				
PH MIN	ANNUAL	S.U.		6.5	1212	7.2*	8.2	5-8.5				
PH MIN	ANNUAL	S.U.		6.5	610	8	8.3	6 6-8 4				
RESIDUE DIS-105C	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor	0.0	212	1084	1504	276-1896	209	1368	1683	
		KG/DAY			212	1176.98	3085.14	107 52-9912 7	 200	1000	1000	
RESIDUE TOT NFLT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		257	0	10	0-29	209	10	15	
the second second second second	n an	KG/DAY			257	õ	16 9568	0-39 758	200	10	10	
WATER TEMP.	ANNUAL	DEGE	Monitor		212	81	94.3	41-99 7				
ZINC TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L		470	512	102	280	13-849	120	154	225	
	And A State	KG/DAY	1.27	3.82	512	0.12484	0.49795	0.0068-6.4949	TLU	104	200	

# Table 14. Effluent Characterization

Summary of current permit limits and unaltered monthly operating report (MOR) data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfalls 3ID00003010 and 3ID00003011. All values are based on annual records unless otherwise indicated. N = Number of Analyses. \* = For pH, 5th percentile shown in place of 50th percentile; \*\* = For dissolved oxygen, 5th percentile shown in place of 95th percentile; A = 7 day average. Decision Criteria:  $PEQ_{avg}$  = monthly average;  $PEQ_{max}$  = daily maximum analytical results.

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=010

		,	CURRENT	PERMIT	PERIO	D = NOV01 THE	RU AUG03	
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE
CONDUIT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		3	0.096	0.512	0.078-0.512
LEAD TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		3	0	16	0-16
		KG/DAY	<del></del>		3	0	0.00472	0-0.0047
OIL GRSE TOT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		3	0	1.2	0-1.2
		KG/DAY			3	0	0.43603	0-0.436
ZINC TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		3	306	883	128-883
		KG/DAY	1		3	0.24805	0.26069	0.1112-0.2607

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=011

			CURRENT	PERMIT	PERIC	D = AUG01 TH	RU AUG01	
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE
CONDUIT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		1	0.215	0.215	0.215-0.215
LEAD TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		1	284	284	284-284
		KG/DAY			1	0.23111	0.23111	0.2311-0.2311
OIL GRSE TOT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		1	14.2	14.2	14.2-14.2
- *		KG/DAY			1	11.5556	11.5556	11.556-11.556
ZINC TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		1	4384	4384	4384-4384
		KG/DAY			1	3.56759	3.56759	3.5676-3.5676

# Table 15. Effluent Characterization and Decision Criteria

Summary of current permit limits and unaltered monthly operating report (MOR) data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfalls 3ID00003022. All values are based on annual records unless otherwise indicated. N = Number of Analyses. \* = For pH, 5th percentile shown in place of 50th percentile; \*\* = For dissolved oxygen, 5th percentile shown in place of 95th percentile; A = 7 day average. Decision Criteria:  $PEQ_{avg}$  = monthly average;  $PEQ_{max}$  = daily maximum analytical results.

			CURRENT	PERMIT	PERIO	D = JAN01 THI	<b>RU DEC05</b>			DECISION CRITE	RIA
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE	' N	PEQavg	PEQmax
CHLORINE TOT RESD	ANNUAL	MG/L	0.021	0.024	205	0	0.03	0-0.06	107	0	0
		KG/DAY			205	0	0.07434	0-0.3822			
CONDUIT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		1826	1.4	5.415	0.055-11.246			
CYANIDE FREE	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		414	0	0	0-0.2	217	0.1	0.14
		KG/DAY			414	0	0	0-0.4095			
		MG/L .	Monitor		45	0	0	0-0			
LEAD TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		425	0	- 11	0-132	217	8.5	15
		KG/DAY			425	0	0.04133	0-2.8209			
MANGANES TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L			253	105	210	24-877	253	128	189
		KG/DAY			253	0.24322	1.10299	0.0173-5.1783			
OIL GRSE TOT	ANNUAL	MG/L	15	20	502	0	2.4	0-11.4			
		KG/DAY			502	0	33.2111	0-193.34			
2H	ANNUAL	S.U.			502	7.2*	8.5	6.5-9.2	7		
RESIDUE DIS-105C	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		502	892	1542	220-3338	216	897	1159
		KG/DAY			502	3765.14	22227.1	340.57-39060			
<b>BELENIUM TOT REC</b>	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		51	0	21	0-101	27	34	47
		KG/DAY			51	0	0.09241	0-0.2659			
NATER TEMP.	ANNUAL	DEG F	Monitor		251	60.2	75.5	35-84			
OX-UNIT AC-CERI T	ANNUAL	TUA	Monitor		26	0	0.3	0-3.9			
OX-UNIT ACU-PIME	ANNUAL	TUA	Monitor		26	0	0.3	0-0.3			
INC TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		502	38	155	0-703	217	\$ 65	105
		KG/DAY			502	0.16109	2.88705	0-19.85		95 (59)70	1.2.2

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=022

ArcelorMittal Cleveland 2006 NPDES Fact Sheet Page 39

# Table 16. Effluent Characterization and Decision Criteria

Summary of current permit limits and unaltered monthly operating report (MOR) data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfalls 3ID00003023 and 3ID00003024. All values are based on annual records unless otherwise indicated. N = Number of Analyses. \* = For pH, 5th percentile shown in place of 50th percentile; \*\* = For dissolved oxygen, 5th percentile shown in place of 95th percentile; A = 7 day average. Decision Criteria:  $PEQ_{avg}$  = monthly average;  $PEQ_{max}$  = daily maximum analytical results.

#### ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=023

			CURRENT I	PERMIT	PERIOD =	NOV01 THR	U DEC05	4			DECIS	ION CRITER	IA
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE	10	Ν		PEQavg	PEQmax
BOD 5 DAY	MAY-OCT	MG/L	Monitor		24	20	43.8	0-49.5					
		KG/DAY		1 <del></del>	24	4.08791	10.1559	0-13.213	12				
	NOV-APR	MG/L	Monitor		26	23.5	38.9	6.9-40.1					
		KG/DAY			26	3.611	28.0836	0.0517-30.331					
COD	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		50	103	150	0-157					
		KG/DAY			50	15.6972	52.8973	.0-99.742					
CONDUIT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD '	Monitor		50	0.051	0.2315	0.0005-0.2541					
OIL GRSE TOT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		211	0	5	0-18					
		KG/DAY			211	0	0.46873	0-2.2892					
PH	ANNUAL	S.U.	Monitor		50	8.1*	9.7	7.9-9.8					
RESIDUE TOT NFLT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		55	0	53	0-132		52		110	124
		KG/DAY			55	0	11.4337	0-32.532					
SULFATE SO4	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor	10 U	50	850	1175	70-1500		46		1056	1379
		KG/DAY			50	141.559	557.057	1.492-1226.3					
ZINC TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L		540	52	48	313	0-664		50		214	345
		KG/DAY	( <b>••</b> •)		52	0.00742	0.09041	0-0.3698					

#### ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=024

			CURRENT	PERMIT	PERIO	D = JAN01 THF	RU DEC05			<b>DECISION CRITE</b>	RIA
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE	N	PEQavg	PEQmax
CONDUIT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		593	0.072	0.1	0 003-0 253			
OIL GRSE TOT	ANNUAL	MG/L	15	20	251	0	0	0-5		×.	
		KG/DAY			251	0	0	0-1.8925			
PH	ANNUAL	S.U.	6.5 to 9.0		249	7*	8.3	6.4-9.5			
ZINC TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		206	21	145	0-386	204	70	106
		KG/DAY	-		206	0.00654	0.03952	0-0.1084			

ArcelorMittal Cleveland 2006 NPDES Fact Sheet Page 40

### Table 17. Effluent Characterization

Summary of current permit limits and unaltered monthly operating report (MOR) data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfall 3ID00003601. All values are based on annual records unless otherwise indicated. N = Number of Analyses. \* = For pH, 5th percentile shown in place of 50th percentile; \*\* = For dissolved oxygen, 5th percentile shown in place of 95th percentile; A = 7 day average. Decision Criteria:  $PEQ_{avg}$  = monthly average;  $PEQ_{max}$  = daily maximum analytical results.

DADAMETER			CURRENT	PERMIT	PERIO	D = JAN01 THE	RU DEC05	
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE
						1		
CONDUIT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		1826	2.5	4.36	0.624-4.81
COPPER TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L			88	12	48	0-115
		KG/DAY			88	0.19217	0.76723	0-1.8382
CYANIDE FREE	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		424	0	0	0-0.03
		KG/DAY			424	0	. 0	0-0.4593
		MG/L	Monitor		87	0	0	0-0
LEAD TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		512	0	11	0-405
•		KG/DAY			512	0	0.09393	0-6.9181
OIL GRSE TOT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		512	0	5.2	0-26.4
		KG/DAY			512	0	76.3056	0-354.73
PH	ANNUAL	S.U.	Monitor		512	7.2*	8.3	6.5-10.2
RESIDUE DIS-105C	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		512	1248	2088	132.4-3344
:=		KG/DAY			512	12872.5	23090.4	1178.2-32788
RESIDUE TOT NFLT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		512	7	22	0-72
		KG/DAY			512	77.6379	293.527	0-759.76
TTO	ANNUAL	UG/L	4 <u>6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6</u>	800	24	0	0	0-0
ZINC TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		512	146	425	0-1040
		KG/DAY		-	512	1.55698	5.49085	0-13.019

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=601

## Table 18. Effluent Characterization

Summary of current permit limits and unaltered monthly operating report (MOR) data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfall 3ID00003602. All values are based on annual records unless otherwise indicated. N = Number of Analyses. \* = For pH, 5th percentile shown in place of 50th percentile; \*\* = For dissolved oxygen, 5th percentile shown in place of 95th percentile; A = 7 day average. Decision Criteria:  $PEQ_{avg}$  = monthly average;  $PEQ_{max}$  = daily maximum analytical results.

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=602

			CURRENT	PERMIT	PERIO	D = JAN01 THF	U DEC05	
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE
CONDUIT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor	-	1826	1.732	2.202	0.113-2.72
COPPER TOT REC	<ul> <li>ANNUAL</li> </ul>	UG/L			88	0	48	0-124
		KG/DAY			88	0	0.06534	0-0.1525
CYANIDE FREE	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		424	0	0	0-0.03
	There are a second second	KG/DAY			424	0	0	0-0.2094
3	÷/	MG/L	Monitor		88	0	0.02	0-0.03
		KG/DAY			88	0	0.02142	0-0.055
LEAD TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		512	0	0	0-50
2		KG/DAY			512	0	0	0-0.3715
NAPTHALENE	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		14	0	17.4	0-18.5
		KG/DAY		•	14	0	0.01169	0-0.0195
OIL GRSE TOT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		512	0	25	0-105.2
		KG/DAY			512	0	61.317	0-482
PH	ANNUAL	S.U.	Monitor		512	7.1*	8.3	6.6-11.86
RESIDUE DIS-105C	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		512	810	1502	266-3558
		KG/DAY			512	3964.7	7864.93	374.2-17949
RESIDUE TOT NFLT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		512	6	28	0-158
	10 Augusta and a second	KG/DAY			512	22.199	142.789	0-740.4
ZINC TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		512	39	230	0-766
		KG/DAY			512	0.21757	0.97517	0-2.7978
5						· *		

ArcelorMittal Cleveland 2006 NPDES Fact Sheet Page 42

### Table 19. Effluent Characterization

CONTRACTOR AND (OLDOGOOD) OUTFALL COO

Summary of current permit limits and unaltered monthly operating report (MOR) data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfall 3ID00003603. All values are based on annual records unless otherwise indicated. N = Number of Analyses. \* = For pH, 5th percentile shown in place of 50th percentile; \*\* = For dissolved oxygen, 5th percentile shown in place of 95th percentile; A = 7 day average. Decision Criteria:  $PEQ_{avg}$  = monthly average;  $PEQ_{max}$  = daily maximum analytical results.

AICEIONNILLAI OLEVELAI	VD (31000003)	OUTFALL=00	5					
		1	CURRENT	PERMIT	PERIC	D = JAN01 THE	RU DEC05	
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE
CONDUIT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		1826	4.295	4.87	1.145-5.576
COPPER TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L		(	86	12	53.69	0-115.64
		KG/DAY			86	0.20453	0.96833	0-1.9906
CYANIDE FREE	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		416	0	0	0-0.027
		KG/DAY			416	0	0	0-0.4521
		MG/L	Monitor		82	0	0.001	0-7
		KG/DAY			82	0	0.0178	0-130.28
LEAD TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		495	0	9	0-358.7
	•	KG/DAY	3.40	9.01	495	0	0.14917	0-6.9187
OIL GRSE TOT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor	141	509	1	7	0-45
		KG/DAY	527	672	509	16.8319	123.785	0-846.52
RESIDUE DIS-105C	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		512	960.02	1546	3.42-2153
	1	KG/DAY			512	15220.3	27027.7	44.297-34821
RESIDUE TOT NFLT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		511	7.34	23	0-66
		KG/DAY	632	1284	511	120.446	388.046	0-902.54
ZINC TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		513	119.06	347.65	13.83-737.89
		KG/DAY	7.88	17.7	513	1.89111	5.90037	0.2106-13.416
							All all and a second second	

And the second se

#### ArcelorMittal Cleveland 2006 NPDES Fact Sheet Page 43

### Table 20. Effluent Characterization

Summary of current permit limits and unaltered monthly operating report (MOR) data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfall 3ID00003604. All values are based on annual records unless otherwise indicated. N = Number of Analyses. \* = For pH, 5th percentile shown in place of 50th percentile; \*\* = For dissolved oxygen, 5th percentile shown in place of 95th percentile; A = 7 day average. Decision Criteria:  $PEQ_{avg}$  = monthly average;  $PEQ_{max}$  = daily maximum analytical results.

			CURRENT F	PERMIT	PERIOD =	JAN01 THR	U DEC05	4	
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE	
AMMONIA NH3-N	MAY-OCT	MG/L	Monitor		127	19.9	58.5	0.8-90.7	
		KG/DAY	62.4	85.6	127	15.5336	46.9401	1.1567-65.227	
	NOV-APR	MG/L	Monitor	011	108	22.8	58.7	5.2-76	
		KG/DAY	81.6	211	108	21.5631	57.5596	2.598-88.65	
CONDULT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		1670	0.23	0.452	0.003-1.092	
CYANIDE TOT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		98	0.04	1.08	0-21.5	
		KG/DAY	7.40	14.8	98	0.02252	0.89462	0-4.0174	
		MG/L	Monitor		22	0.02	0.32	0-9.3	
		KG/DAY	7.40	14.8	. 22	0.01158	0.27615	0-4.8577	
LEAD PB, TOT	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		45	27	93	0-176	
		KG/DAY	0.74	2.22	45	0.01882	0.08364	0-0.152	
LEAD TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		190	24	66	0-394	
	*	KG/DAY	0.74	2.22	190	0.01902	0.07811	0-0.5712	
MANGANES TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		46	569	1820	39-2470	
	-	KG/DAY			46	0.41512	1.18819	0.0252-1.5044	
PH MAX	ANNUAL	S.U.	Monitor		607	8.1	8.3	7.3-9.1	
PH MAX	ANNUAL	S.U.	Monitor		1063	7.6*	8.4	6.8-9.8	
PH MIN	ANNUAL	S.U.	Monitor		607	7.9	8.1	7-8.3	
PH MIN	ANNUAL	S.U.	Monitor		1063	6.9*	8	4.8-8.9	
PHENOLIC 4AAP TOT	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		56	0	84	0-580	
		KG/DAY	0.246	0.493	56	0	0.08342	0-0.1754	
RESIDUE TOT NFLT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		118	19	48	0-453	
		KG/DAY	219	660	118	14.0802	45.5563	0-317.2	
ZINC TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		178	197	837	0-2670	
		KG/DAY	1.00	2.83	178	0.17576	0.9219	0-2.6781	
ZINC ZN, TOT	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		61	251	1800	25-3820	
		KG/DAY	1.00	2.83	61	0.16424	1.7305	0.017-3.6911	

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=604

### Table 21. Effluent Characterization

Summary of current permit limits and unaltered monthly operating report (MOR) data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfall 3ID00003622. All values are based on annual records unless otherwise indicated. N = Number of Analyses. \* = For pH, 5th percentile shown in place of 50th percentile; \*\* = For dissolved oxygen, 5th percentile shown in place of 95th percentile; A = 7 day average. Decision Criteria:  $PEQ_{avg}$  = monthly average;  $PEQ_{max}$  = daily maximum analytical results.

			CURRENT	PERMIT	PERIO	D = JAN01 THF	RU DEC05	
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE
CONDUIT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		1826	0.68	4	0.032-7.89
LEAD PB.TOT	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		511	0	11	0-71
		KG/DAY			511	0	0.01132	0-1.0723
OIL GRSE TOT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		511	0	2.4	0-8.6
		KG/DAY			511	0	12.7736	0-100.62
MANGANES TOT REC	ANNUAL	UG/L			130	127	227	0-832
		KG/DAY			130	0.12218	0.73633	0-4.8087
PH MAX	ANNUAL	S.U.	Monitor		579	7.9	8.4	6.9-8.8
PH MAX	ANNUAL	S.U.	Monitor		1241	7.4*	8.68	7-11.7
PH MIN	ANNUAL	S.U.	Monitor		579	7.8	8.3	6.7-8.5
PH MIN	ANNUAL	S.U.	Monitor		1093	6.9*	8.2	4-8.5
RESIDÜE DIS-105C	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		511	904	1656	198-3424
		KG/DAY			511	2294	14536.7	64.451-30372
RESIDUE TOT NFLT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		511	6	19	0-93
		KG/DAY			511	12.7706	226.858	0-640.01
ZINC ZN, TOT	ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		511	48	220	0-920
7		KG/DAY		-	511	0.09669	2.22747	0-11.794

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=622

AR-

# Table 22. Effluent Characterization

Summary of current permit limits and unaltered monthly operating report (MOR) data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfall 3ID00003632. All values are based on annual records unless otherwise indicated. N = Number of Analyses. \* = For pH, 5th percentile shown in place of 50th percentile; \*\* = For dissolved oxygen, 5th percentile shown in place of 95th percentile; A = 7 day average. Decision Criteria: PEQ<sub>avg</sub> = monthly average; PEQ<sub>max</sub> = daily maximum analytical results.

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=632

		CURRENT	PERMIT	PERIO	D = JAN01 THF	U DEC05	
SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE
ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		1826	0.68	4	0.032-7.89
ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		495	0	11	0-71
	KG/DAY	1.64	4.91	495	0	0.03759	0-1.0723
ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		500	0	2.4	0-8.6
	KG/DAY	84.4	246	500	0	14.0053	0-100.62
ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		511	904	1656	198-3424
	KG/DAY			511	2294	14536.7	64.451-30372
ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		509	6	19	0-93
	KG/DAY	279	817	509	12.7706	229.712	0-640.01
ANNUAL	UG/L	Monitor		505	49	230	0-638
	KG/DAY	2.51	7.44	505	0.0992	2.59246	0-11.794
	SEASON ANNUAL ANNUAL ANNUAL ANNUAL ANNUAL	SEASON UNITS ANNUAL MGD ANNUAL UG/L KG/DAY ANNUAL MG/L KG/DAY ANNUAL MG/L KG/DAY ANNUAL MG/L KG/DAY ANNUAL UG/L KG/DAY	SEASONUNITSCURRENTANNUALMGDMonitorANNUALUG/LMonitorKG/DAY1.64ANNUALMG/LMonitorKG/DAY84.4ANNUALMG/LMonitorKG/DAY84.4ANNUALMG/LMonitorKG/DAY279ANNUALUG/LMonitorKG/DAY2.51	SEASONUNITSCURRENT PERMIT 30 DAYDAILYANNUALMGDMonitor MonitorANNUALUG/LMonitor KG/DAY1.644.91ANNUALMG/LMonitor KG/DAY84.4246ANNUALMG/LMonitor KG/DAYMonitor KG/DAYANNUALMG/LMonitor KG/DAYANNUALMG/LMonitor KG/DAY279817ANNUALUG/LMonitor KG/DAY2.517.44	SEASONUNITSCURRENT PERMIT 30 DAYPERIOL DAILYANNUALMGDMonitor1826ANNUALUG/LMonitor495KG/DAY1.644.91495ANNUALMG/LMonitor500KG/DAY84.4246500ANNUALMG/LMonitor511KG/DAY84.4246500ANNUALMG/LMonitor511KG/DAY279817509KG/DAY279817505ANNUALUG/LMonitor505KG/DAY2.517.44505	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

## Table 23. Effluent Characterization

Summary of current permit limits and unaltered monthly operating report (MOR) data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfalls 3ID00003613 and 3ID00003633. All values are based on annual records unless otherwise indicated. N = Number of Analyses. \* = For pH, 5th percentile shown in place of 50th percentile; \*\* = For dissolved oxygen, 5th percentile shown in place of 95th percentile; A = 7 day average. Decision Criteria:  $PEQ_{avg}$  = monthly average;  $PEQ_{max}$  = daily maximum analytical results.

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=613

			CURRENT	PERMIT	PERIO	D = JAN01 THE	RU APR04	
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE
BOD 5 DAY	MAY-OCT	MG/L	Monitor		5	0	14.6	0-14.6
	14	KG/DAY			5	· 0	0.96972	0-0.9697
	NOV-APR	MG/L	Monitor		17	0	4.8	0-5.5
		KG/DAY			17	0	0.3028	0-0.3634
COD	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		22	33	50	0-64
		KG/DAY			22	1.52611	30.227	0-36.347
CONDUIT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		21	0.02	0.242	0.001-0.291
OIL GRSE TOT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		39	0	0	0-0
PH ·	ANNUAL	S.U.	Monitor		22	7.2*	8.7	7.2-8.9
RESIDUE TOT NFLT	ANNUAL	MG/L	30	45	40	З	30	0-102
		KG/DAY			40	0	4.40574	0-54.05
SULFATE SO4	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		22	205	360	36-370
		KG/DAY			22	14.7161	114.496	0-269.79

#### ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=633

	-		CURRENT	PERMIT	PERIO	D = JAN01 THF	RU APR04	
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE
BOD 5 DAY	NOV-APR	MG/L	Monitor		12	0	2.6	0-8
		KG/DAY			12	0	0.06718	0-0.0787
COD	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		12	37	44	0-50
		KG/DAY			12	1.17335	2.22513	0-4.8338
CONDUIT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		12	0.008	0.018	0.001-0.0297
OIL GRSE TOT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		18 .	0	0	0-0
PH	ANNUAL	S.U.	Monitor		12	7.6*	8.9	7.6-8.9
RESIDUE TOT NFLT	ANNUAL	MG/L	30 -	45	17	0 -	6	0-12
		KG/DAY			17	0 .	0.20439	0-0.6745
SULFATE SO4	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		12	360	500	75-525
		KG/DAY		-	12	11.7184	30.6585	0.2839-39.345

#### ArcelorMittal Cleveland 2006 NPDES Fact Sheet Page 47

## Table 24. Effluent Characterization

Summary of current permit limits and unaltered monthly operating report (MOR) data for ArcelorMittal Cleveland outfall 3ID00003613 AND 3id00003633. All values are based on annual records unless otherwise indicated. N = Number of Analyses. \* = For pH, 5th percentile shown in place of 50th percentile; \*\* = For dissolved oxygen, 5th percentile shown in place of 95th percentile; A = 7 day average. Decision Criteria:  $PEQ_{avg}$  = monthly average;  $PEQ_{max}$  = daily maximum analytical results.

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=643

				CUBRENT	PERMIT	PERIOD =	JAN01 THRU	JUL05	
PARAMETER		SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	N	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE
BOD 5 DAY		MAY-OCT	MG/L	Monitor		7	6.1	50.2	0-50.2
			KG/DAY			7	0.19001	5.98454	0-5.9845
		NOV-APR	MG/L	Monitor		11	5.5	50.7	0-54.9
			KG/DAY			11	0.1042	20.7251	0-62.838
COD		ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		19	66	150	23-209
			KG/DAY			19	7.4943	136.205	0.0871-194.19
CONDUIT FLOW	3	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		19	0.022	0.31086	0.001-0.583
OIL GRSE TOT	-	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		21 .	0	2.6	0-14
			KG/DAY			21	0	2.747	0-10.015
PH		ANNUAL	S.U.	Monitor		19	7.3*	8.8	7.3-9.3
RESIDUE TOT NFLT		ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		21	8	45	0-49
			KG/DAY			21	0.07948	56.0846	0-63.993
SULFATE SO4		ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		19	85	125	35-475 .
			KG/DAY			19	23.4367	147.076	0.1325-209.63

ArcelorMittal CLEVELAND (3ID00003) OUTFALL=653

		CURRENT PERMIT		PERIO	U DEC05			
PARAMETER	SEASON	UNITS	30 DAY	DAILY	Ν.	50 PCTL	95 PCTL	RANGE
BOD 5 DAY	MAY-OCT	MG/L	Monitor		29	3.4	37.5	0-40.4
		KG/DAY			29	0.15594	2.47728	0-3.0517
	NOV-APR	MG/L	Monitor		30	5.9	19.3	0-40.8
		KG/DAY			30	0.23316	2.38315	0-7.5052
COD	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		60	52	80	0-133
		KG/DAY			60	2.16502	24.4655	0-38.929
CONDUIT FLOW	ANNUAL	MGD	Monitor		60	0.014	0.1026	0.0004-0.216
OIL GRSE TOT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		245	0	0	0-8
7.		KG/DAY			245	0	0	0-0.0777
PH	ANNUAL	S.U.	Monitor		60	7.3*	. 8.9	7-9.9
RESIDUE TOT NFLT	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		104	4	27	0-90
		KG/DAY			104	0	4.0878	0-30,435
SULFATE SO4	ANNUAL	MG/L	Monitor		60	85	265	45-1550
		KG/DAY		-	60	4.09915	42.4677	0-87.121

TEST		Fathead Minnows 48 hour										
DATE(a)	UP	C°		%M'	TUa <sup>g</sup>	NF <sup>h</sup>	U₽⁵	C°	LC <sub>50</sub> <sup>d</sup>	%M <sup>i</sup>	TUa <sup>g</sup>	NF <sup>h</sup>
01/16/02 (E)	NT	NR.	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT
04/22/02 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	5	<1.0	NT
07/24/02 (E)	NT	NR	>100	5 ;	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	10	<1.0	NT
10/08/02 (E)	NT	NR	>100	5	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	15	<1.0	NT
01/14/03 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	, <1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	10	<1.0	NT
04/23/03 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT
07/29/03 (E)	NT	NR	>100	10	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	6 5	<1.0	NT
10/22/03 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	. NR	>100	5	<1.0	NT
01/13/04 (E)	NT	NR	91	>50	1.1	NT	NT	NR	>100	30	<1.0	NT
04/19/04 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	35	<1.0	NT
07/19/04 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	30	<1.0	NT
10/15/04 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	56	>50	1.8	NT

Table 25. Summary of ACUTE toxicity test results on the ArcelorMittal Cleveland effluent from outfall 3ID00003002.

 ${}^{a}$  O = EPA test; E = entity test  ${}^{b}$  UP = upstream control water  ${}^{c}$  C = laboratory water control  ${}^{d}$  LC<sub>50</sub> = Median Lethal Concentration  ${}^{e}$  EC<sub>50</sub> = Median effects concentration NR = not reported in OEPA data base

<sup>1</sup>%A = Percent Adversely Affected in 100% effluent
 <sup>9</sup> TUa = Acute Toxicity Units
 <sup>h</sup> NF = Near Field Sample In the Cuyahoga River
 <sup>i</sup>%M = Percent Mortality in 100% effluent
 ND = not determined

NT = not tested

AR-14

TEST	TEST Ceriodaphnia dubia 48 hour							Fathead Minnows 48 hour						
DATE(a)	U₽ <sup>⊳</sup>	C°.	LC <sub>50</sub> <sup>d</sup>	%M <sup>i</sup>	TUa <sup>g</sup>	NF <sup>h</sup>	U₽ <sup>b</sup>	C°		%M <sup>1</sup>	TUa <sup>g</sup>	NF <sup>h</sup>		
01/20/05 (E)	NT	NR	>100	20	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT		
04/06/05 (E)	NT	NR	>100	15	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	5	<1.0	NT		
06/21/05 (O)	0	0-10	85.6	100	1.16	0	0	• 0	>100	0-5	<1.0	0		
07/26/05 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT `		
08/30/05 (O)	0	0	>100	45	<1.0	NT	0	0	>100	0-5	<1.0	0		
10/05/05 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT		
01/18/06 (E)	NT	NR	>100	15	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	10	<1.0	NT		
04/20/06 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT		
07/12/06 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR '	>100	0	<1.0	NT		
10/18/06 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	25	<1.0	NT		

Table 25. Summary of ACUTE toxicity test results on the ArcelorMittal Cleveland effluent from outfall 3ID00003002 - continued.

<sup>a</sup> O = EPA test; E = entity test <sup>b</sup> UP = upstream control water <sup>c</sup> C = laboratory water control <sup>d</sup> LC<sub>50</sub> = Median Lethal Concentration <sup>e</sup> EC<sub>50</sub> = Median effects concentration NR = not reported in OEPA data base

<sup>1</sup>%A = Percent Adversely Affected in 100% effluent <sup>9</sup> TUa = Acute Toxicity Units <sup>h</sup> NF = Near Field Sample In the Cuyahoga River <sup>1</sup>%M = Percent Mortality in 100% effluent

ND = not determined NT = not tested

**AR-14** 

TEST	TEST Ceriodaphnia dubia 48 hour							d Minnow	s 48 hour			
DATE(a)	UP <sup>b</sup>	C°	LC <sub>50</sub> <sup>d</sup>	%M'	TUa <sup>g</sup>	NF <sup>h</sup>	U₽⁵	C°	LC <sub>50</sub> <sup>d</sup>	%M <sup>1</sup>	TUa <sup>9</sup>	NF <sup>h</sup>
01/16/02 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT
04/30/02 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0 ·	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	6	<1.0	NT.
07/24/02 (E)	NT	NR ·	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	20	<1.0	NT
10/25/02 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	20	<1.0	NT
01/14/03 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	5	<1.0	NT
04/25/03 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	3	·<1.0	NT
07/29/03 (E)	NT	NR	>100	10	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	5	<1.0	NT
10/24/03 (E)	NT	NR	>100	• 0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	16	<1.0	NT
01/13/04 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	15	<1.0	NT
04/19/04 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT
07/19/04 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	15	<1.0	NT
10/15/04 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	8	<1.0	NT .
01/26/05 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT
04/06/05 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	5	<1.0	NT
07/26/05 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT
10/05/05 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	25	<1.0	NT
01/18/06 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	10	<1.0	NT
04/20/06 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	15	<1.0	NT
07/12/06 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	15	<1.0	NT
10/18/06 (E)	NT	NR	36	0	2.8	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT

Table 26 Summary of ACUTE toxicity test results on the ArcelorMittal Cleveland effluent from outfall 3ID00003005.

<sup>a</sup> O = EPA test; E = entity test <sup>b</sup> UP = upstream control water <sup>c</sup> C = laboratory water control <sup>d</sup> LC<sub>50</sub> = Median Lethal Concentration <sup>e</sup> EC<sub>50</sub> = Median effects concentration NR = not reported in OEPA data base

<sup>1</sup>%A = Percent Adversely Affected in 100% effluent <sup>g</sup> TUa = Acute Toxicity Units <sup>h</sup> NF = Near Field Sample In N/A <sup>i</sup>%M = Percent Mortality in 100% effluent ND = not determined NT = not betterd.

NT = not tested

TEST	Ceriodaphnia dubia 48 hour							Fathead Minnows 48 hour				
DATE(a)	U₽ <sup>⊳</sup>	C°		%M <sup>i</sup>	TUa <sup>g</sup>	NF <sup>h</sup>	UP <sup>b</sup>	C°	LC <sub>50</sub> d	%M <sup>i</sup>	TUa <sup>g</sup>	NF <sup>h</sup>
05/23/02 (E)	NT	ŃR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT
06/11/02 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT
07/24/02 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	15	<1.0	NT
08/14/02 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT
_09/17/02 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT
10/21/02 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT
11/13/02 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	5	<1.0	NT
12/10/02 (E)	NT	NR'	>100	5	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	10	<1.0	NT
01/04 /03 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT
04/23/03 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT
07/29/03 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0.	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT
10/22/03 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT .	NT	NR	>100	5	<1.0	NT
01/13/04 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0 '	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	5	<1.0	NT
04/19/04 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT
07/19/04 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT
10/15/04 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	5	<1.0	NT
01/20/05 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	10	<1.0	NT

Table 27. Summary of ACUTE toxicity test results on the ArcelorMittal Cleveland effluent from outfall 3ID00003022.

<sup>a</sup> O = EPA test; E = entity test <sup>b</sup> UP = upstream control water <sup>c</sup> C = laboratory water control <sup>d</sup> LC<sub>50</sub> = Median Lethal Concentration <sup>e</sup> EC<sub>50</sub> = Median effects concentration NR = not reported in OEPA data base

<sup>1</sup>%A = Percent Adversely Affected in 100% effluent
<sup>9</sup> TUa = Acute Toxicity Units
<sup>h</sup> NF = Near Field Sample In N/A
<sup>i</sup>%M = Percent Mortality in 100% effluent
ND = not determined

NT = not tested

TEST	TEST Ceriodaphnia dubia 48 hour							Fathead Minnows 48 hour					
DATE(a)	U₽Þ	C°	LC <sub>50</sub> <sup>d</sup>	%M <sup>i</sup>	TUa <sup>9</sup>	NF <sup>h</sup>	UP <sup>b</sup>	C°	LC <sub>50</sub> <sup>d</sup>	%M <sup>1</sup>	TUa <sup>g</sup>	NF <sup>h</sup>	
04/06/05 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	ŇT	NT	NR	>100	15	<1.0	NT	
07/26/05 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	
10/05/05 (E)	NT	NR	>100	5	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	10	<1.0	NT	
01/18/06 (E)	NT	NR	24	>50	4.1	NT	NT	NR	>100 '	5	<1.0	NT	
04/20/06 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	5	<1.0	NT	
07/12/06 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	15	<1.0	NT	
10/18/06 (E)	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	NT	NR	>100	0	<1.0	NT	

Table 27. Summary of ACUTE toxicity test results on the ArcelorMittal Cleveland effluent from outfall 3ID00003022 - continued...

<sup>a</sup> O = EPA test; E = entity test <sup>b</sup> UP = upstream control water <sup>c</sup> C = laboratory water control <sup>d</sup> LC<sub>50</sub> = Median Lethal Concentration <sup>e</sup> EC<sub>50</sub> = Median effects concentration NR = not reported in OEPA data base

<sup>1</sup>%A = Percent Adversely Affected in 100% effluent
 <sup>9</sup> TUa = Acute Toxicity Units
 <sup>h</sup> NF = Near Field Sample In N/A
 <sup>i</sup>%M = Percent Mortality in 100% effluent
 ND = not determined

NT = not tested

Table 28. Summary of the aquatic life use attainment status for the Warmwater Habitat use designation in Cuyahoga River, lacustuary (RM 7.0-0.0), and navigation channel (RM 5.6-0.0) based on data collected by the Ohio EPA from June through September 1984-2000.

<b>RIVER MILE</b>		Mod.			Use Attain-	
Fish/Macro.	IBI	lwb I	CI QH	IEI	Ment Status	Comments
No. of the other states of						
Cuyahoga Riv	er (200	0)				
	Erie	-Ontario I	Lake Plai	n - WWH	Use Designation	on (Existing)
7.2/7.1	26*	7.5* 4	2 63	.0	PARTIAL	Dst. Big Creek
Lake	e Erie La	acustuary	(Interim I	Biocriteria	a - WWH Use d	esignation (Existing)
6.2/	6*	4.1* -			NON	Ust. Navigation Channel
						0
Cuyahoga Riv	rer (199	6)				
	Erie	e/Ontario I	ake Plai	1 - WWH	Use Designation	on (Existing)
7.2/7.1	<u>14</u> *	<u>4.0</u> * 2	24* 68	.5	NON	Dst. Big Creek
Lake	Erie La	custuary	(Interim E	Biocriteria	) - WWH Use D	Designation (Existing)
7.0/	13*	5.5*	77	.5	NON	Dst. Big Creek
5.6/5.8	.21*	5.8* 4	41	.5	NON	Dst LTV, ust. Nav. Channel
Navigation C	Channel	(Interim E	Riocriteria	) - LRW	Use Designatio	n (Existing) (All Scores Very
<b>J</b>			Poor	= NON A	ttainment)	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
4.8/5.0	18*	4.7*	28*		PARTIÁL	Dst. LTV
4.2/4.3	31*	5.8*	14*	33.0	FULL	Dst. LTV
3.1/3.3	22*	4.8*	10*	33.0	PARTIAL	Dst. LTV & Kingsbury Run
1.3/1.2	21*	4.8*	10*	40.0	PARTIAL	Ust. Detroit Ave.
0.5/	24*	5.2*		32.0	(FULL)	Near mouth
010,					()	
Cuvahoga Riv	rer (199	4)				
			Lake Er	ie Lacust	uarv - WWH	
7.0/6.9	14*	5.3*	36*	69.5	NON	Dst. Big Creek
/6.6			34*		(NON)	Dst. LTV
			Naviga	tion Cha	nnel - LRW	
4.8/5.0	19*	5.5*	22*	31.0	FULL	Dst. LTV
0 2/0 5	22*	4.9*	22*		FULL	Near mouth
0.120.0		110				
Cuvahoga Riv	ver (199	1)				
<i></i>	(		Erie/Onta	ario Lake	Plain - WWH	
7.1/7.1	21*	6.9*	34	73.5	NON	Dst. Big Creek
			Lake Er	ie Lacust	uary - WWH	
58/58	14*	5.1*	38*	55.5	NON	Ust Nav Channel
0.0/0.0	11	0.1	Naviga	tion Cha	nnel - I RW	oot. Huv. onamor
50/50	20*	5 2*	14*	27 0	FUL 1	Det ITV
2 2/2 2	14*	5.5*	14*	25.0	PARTIAL	Det LTV & Kingebury Run
1 1/1 2	16*	5.1*	12*	48.0	PARTIAL	List Detroit Ave
1.4/1.2	10	<u>J.4</u>	14	40.0	TAITIAL	Ust. Delivit Ave.
Cuvahona Riv	or (100	8)				
Suyanoya niv	ei (190	0)	lako Er	ia Lacuet	Uary - MMH	
6 8/6 7 east	15*	5 1*	2Q*	MΔ	NON	Lower Harvard Ave
6.8/6.7 wort	15*	5.1*	16	NA	PADTIAL	Lower Hanvard Avo
0.0/0.7 West	10	0.1	40	INA	FARIAL	LUWEI Haivalu Ave.

Table 28. (continued).

<b>RIVER MILE</b>		Modified			Attainment	
Fish/Invert.	IBI	Mlwb	ICI <sup>a</sup>	QHEI	Status <sup>c</sup>	Comment
Cuvahoga Biv	or /1988	continue	Н			
/5 8 east			32*		(NON)	List Nav Channel
/5 7 west			38*		(NON)	Ust Nav Channel
10.1 11001			Naviga	tion Chan	nel - LRW	oot. Huv. onannor
5.6/5.6	18*	4.9*	22*	NA	PARTIAL	Dst. N&SS RR Bridge
5.1/5.3	14*	4.1*	20*	NA	PARTIAL	Dst. LTV
3.4/4.0	10*	4.7*	16*	NA	PARTIAL	Dst. LTV & Kingsbury Run
1.4/1.2	16*	3.6*		NA	(NON)	Detroit Ave.
0.8/	13*	3.4*		NA	(NON)	@ "The Flats"
Cuvahoga Riv	ver (198	7)				in the second
oujunogu ini		.,	Erie/Onta	ario Lake I	Plain - WWH	a second s
7.1/	17*	4.4*		48.0	NON	Dst. Big Creek
			Lake Er	ie Lacustu	ary - WWH	3
6.8/6.7 east	11*	3.2*	34*	52.5	NON	Lower Harvard Ave.
6.8/6.7 west	11*	.3.2*	.36*		NON	Lower Harvard Ave.
/5.7 west			26*		(NON)	Dst. LTV; Ust. Nav. Channel
			Naviga	ation Char	nnel - LRW	
5.5/	<u>12</u> *	<u>5.1</u> *		34.5	PARTIAL	Dst. N&SS RR Bridge
5.0/5.0	9*	3.4*	<u>10</u> *	20.0	NON	Dst. LTV
3.4/	<u>3</u> *	1.6*		20.0	(NON)	Dst. LTV & Kingsbury Run
1.4/1.2	<u>12</u> *	<u>3.4</u> *	<u>16</u> *	NA	PARTIAL	Detroit Ave.
0.8/	<u>9</u> *	<u>2.7</u> *		36.0	(NON)	@ "The Flats"
Cuyahoga Ri	iver (198	34)	10			
			Erie/On	tario Lake	Plain - WWH	
7.1/7.1	<u>16</u> *	4.2*	P*	43.0	NON	Dst. Big Creek
			Navig	ation Chai	nnel - LRW	
5.1/	<u>11</u> *	<u>4.1</u> *		20.0	(NON)	Dst. LTV
3.4/	<u>5</u> *	2.3*		22.0	(NON)	Dst. LTV & Kingsbury Run
1.5/	1*	· <u>0</u> *		23.0	(NON)	Ust. Detroit Ave.
0.8/	<u>0</u> *	<u>0</u> *		26.5	(NON)	@ "The Flats"
					2	

\* - significant departure from interim biocriteria; poor and very poor results are underlined. Very poor results from the Navigation Channel are in **BOLD**.
 <sup>ns</sup> - nonsignificant departure from interim biocriteria for WWH or EWH (4 IBI or ICI units; 0.5 Mlwb units)
 <sup>a</sup> - Narrative evaluation used in lieu of ICI when artificial substrate samplers were lost (P=Poor). (Does

not include lacustuary samples)

<sup>b</sup> - Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI) values based on the new version (Rankin 1989).

<sup>c</sup> - Attainment status based on one organism group is parenthetically expressed.

Table 28. (continued	).				
Ecoregion Bloc	criteria: Eri	e-Ontario	Lake Plai	n (EOLP) and Lake E	rie Lacustuaries <sup>a</sup>
				L Erie Lacustuary RMs 7.0-5.6	Navigation Channel RMs 5.6-0.0
INDEX - Site Type	<u>WWH</u>	<u>EWH</u>	<u>MWH</u> <sup>e</sup>	(WWH/EWH)	(LRW) <sup>f</sup>
IBI - Boat	40	48	24	42/50	>17
Mod. Iwb - Boat	8.7	9.6	5.8	8.5/9.5	>5.0
ICI	34	46	22	42/50	14

<sup>d</sup> - Lake Erie lacustuary communities are evaluated using an alternative set of metric scoring criteria based on sampling from other flooded river mouths in the drainage. Excepting Attainment/Non Attainment status, the scores are not directly comparable to biocriteria for lotic streams and rivers.
 <sup>e</sup> - Modified Warmwater Habitat for channel modified areas.

 f - The use designation for the navigation channel between June and January is Limited Resource Water. The criteria listed exceed "Very Poor" conditions.

AR-14

Parameter <sup>B</sup>	units	# samples	# >MDL	PEQ average	PEQ maximum
Outfall 001 (RM 6.82)					
Self-monitoring (SWIMS) data:					
Ammonia (summer)	ma/L	17	16	1,6944	2.8577
Ammonia (winter)	mg/L	12	9	0.97095	1.6621
Form 2C data:					
Barium	μg/L	1	× 1	471	645
Benzene <sup>B</sup>	ug/L	2	1	129	176
Boron	ug/L	1	1	1109	1519
Eluoride	ma/l	1	1	8 60	11 78
Iron	ug/L	i	i	12039	16492
Manganese TB	ug/1	1	i i	2114	2895
Molybdenum	ug/L	i	1	158	217
Sulfate	ma/L	i	1	2186	2995
Zinc, TB	ug/L	5	1	138	189
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		2	100	
Outfall 002 (RM 6.68)	18 18 18 18	SP 11	2.4		
Self-monitoring (SWIMS) data:					
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	μg/L	50	1	4.38	6
Ammonia (summer)	mg/L	18	16	0.6425	0.98997
Ammonia (winter)	mg/L	11	6	0.77386	1.4764
Barium	μg/L	50	50	153.63	241.25
Dissolved Solids	mg/L	210	210	1385.3	1703.8
Manganese, TR	μg/L	35	34	99.344	148.61
Strontium	μg/L	50	50	758.62	1049.4
Tetrachloroethylene c	μg/L	0	0		
Zinc, TR	μg/L	420	417	325.28	573.59
Ohio EPA and Form 2C data:					
Aluminum	μg/L	3	1	430.0	589
Antimony	μg/L	1	1	76.9	105
Boron	μg/L	1	1	402.8	552
Chloride	mg/L	2	2	857.2	1174
Chloroform <sup>B</sup>	μg/L	3	. 2	1.97	2.70
Chloromethane <sup>B</sup>	μg/L	3	.1	2.16	2.96
Cyanide, total	mg/L	3	1	0.017	0.023
Fluoride	mg/L	1	1	3.80	5.21
Iron	μg/L	3	3	1141	1563

Table 29. Effluent data for ArcelorMittal-Cleveland Steel.

<sup>A</sup> TR=total recoverable
 <sup>B</sup> Carcinogen
 <sup>C</sup> Parameter lacks effluent monitoring data but an allocation was requested by Permits Section.

Parameter <sup>A</sup>	units	# samples	# >MDL	PEQ average	PEQ maximum
Outfall 002 (RM 6.68) (continued)					
Ohio EPA and Form 2C data:					
Lead, TR	μg/L	3	1	8.0	11.0
Magnesium	mg/L	΄ 3	3	32.85	45.00
Molybdenum	μg/L	1	1	131	180
Naphthalene	μg/L	3	1	1.5	2.09
Nitrate+Nitrite-N	mg/L	3	3	19.67	26.94
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	3	3	0.8	1
Potassium	μg/L	2	. 2	22	30
Sulfate	mg/L	1	1	760	1042
Outfall 005 (RM 5.39)					
	ar an	· · · · ·		The second second	ante de 19
Self-monitoring (SWIMS) data:					
Ammonia (February-May)	mg/L	65	65	1.1205	1.6077
Ammonia (summer)	mg/L	76	73	1.0612	1.4681
Ammonia (winter)	mg/L	45	45	1.278	1.8446
Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate <sup>B</sup>	μg/L	48	7	5.295	7.3818
Chlorine, total residual	mg/L	208	37	0.018699	0.025878
Cyanide, free	mg/L	210	3	0.03577	0.049
Dissolved Solids	mg/L	209	209	873.3	1144.6
Lead, TR	µg/L	50	9	11.309	17.116
Manganese, TR	µg/L	50	50	166.4	225.95
Zinc, TR	μg/L	210	208	71.519	99.107
					4
Form 2C data:					
Aluminum	μg/L	1	1	17470	23932
Barium	μg/L	1	1	253	347
Boron	μg/L	1	1	290	397
Copper, TR	μg/L	9	2	18	25
Fluoride	mg/L	1	1	1.54	2.11
Iron	μg/L	1	1	28197	38626
Magnesium	mg/L	1	1	61.6	84.3
Molybdenum	μg/L	1	1	81	112
Nitrate+Nitrite-N	mg/L	- 1	1	5.75	7.87
Phenolics, total	μg/L	1	1	27	37
Sulfate	mg/L	, 1	1	312	428
Titanium	μg/L	1	1	208	285

Table 29 (continued). Effluent data for ArcelorMittal-Cleveland Steel.

<sup>A</sup> TR=total recoverable <sup>B</sup> Carcinogen

Parameter <sup>A</sup>		units	# samples	# >MDL	PEQ average	PEQ maximum
Outfall 014 (RM 4.81)	, <sup>т</sup> з	· · · ·	•••••			
Self-monitoring (SWIMS) data:		680 AU				
Ammonia (February-May)	590	ma/l	66	62	1 113	1 6506
Ammonia (summer)		mg/L	76	71	1 0234	1 4604
Ammonia (winter)		ma/L	50	49	0.86442	1.1883
Chlorine, total residual		ma/L	228	41	0.013183	0.021004
Suspended Solids		ma/L	209	203	118.17	176.17
Dissolved Solids		mg/L	209	209	897.5	1187
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		J				
Ohio EPA and Form 2C data:						
Copper, TR		μg/L	9	2	17	23
Zinc, TR		μg/L	5	5	80	110
Outfall 017 (RM 4.7)						
from the bar						
Self-monitoring (SWIMS) data:						
Chlorine, total residual		mg/L	209	25	0.010759	0.015489
Dissolved Solids		mg/L	209	209	1367.8	1683
Suspended Solids		mg/L	209	107	10.338	15.341
Lead, TR		μg/L	420	33	7.354	12.406
Molybdenum		μg/L	210	210	3173.2	4403.9
Zinc, TR		μg/L	420	420	154.14	235.48
Form 2C data:						
Antimony		μg/L	1	1	145	198
Aluminum		μg/L	1	1	3168	4340
Barium		μg/L	1	1	724	992
Boron		μg/L	1	1	928	1271
Fluoride		mg/L	1	1	148.23	203.05
Iron		μg/L	1	1	2869	3931
Magnesium		mg/L	1	1	56.1	76.9
Manganese, TR		μg/L	1	1	95	130
Phosphorus, total		mg/L	1	. 1	3.17	4.34
Sulfate		mg/L	600	1	860	1178

Table 29 (continued). Effluent data for ArcelorMittal-Cleveland Steel.

A TR=total recoverable

Parameter <sup>A</sup>	units	# samples	# >MDL	PEQ average	PEQ maximum
Outfall 022 (RM 5.9)					
Self-monitoring (SWIMS) data:	50°				
Chlorine, total residual	ma/L	107	. 0		
Cvanide, free	mg/L	217	3	0.1022	0.14
Dissolved Solids	ma/L	216	215	896.6	1159.2
Lead, TR	ua/L	217	29	8,5006	14.626
Manganese, TR	ug/L	253	253	128.29	188.67
Selenium TR	µg/		2	34.16	46.8
Zine TP	µg/L	217	200	65 132	105 36
	µg/L	217	203	00.102	105.00
Form 2C data:					
Aluminum	ua/L	1	1	489	670
Barium	ug/L	1	1	. 86	118
Boron	ug/L	1	1	466	639
Copper TB	µg/L	-	-	45	62
Eluoride	ma/L	i	- i	27 11	37.14
Iron	ug/L	-	i i	2793	3825
Magnesium	mg/L	1	1	59.3	81.2
Manganese TR	ug/L	1	, t	235	322
Malyanese, ITT	µg/L	4	4	119	161
Nitrata Nitrita N	μy/L mg/L	4	4	874	11 07
Sulfate	mg/L	i	1	738	1011
Outfall 023 (Burke Br; RM 5.39)	lar ger fe	د در اند فود دو در اند			
Self-monitoring (SWIMS) data:					
Suspended Solids	ma/L	52	27	109.54	123.62
Sulfate	ma/L	46	46	1056.4	1378.6
Zinc TB	ug/L	50	50	214.39	344.84
			2		
Form 2C data:	1			-17	
Aluminum	μg/L	1	1	217	298
Ammonia (summer)	mg/L	0	0		
Ammonia (winter)	mg/L	1	1	20.37	27.9
Barium	μg/L	1	- 1	100	136
Boron	μg/L	. 1	1	1027	1407
Copper, TR	μg/L	. 7	1	26	36
Fluoride	mg/L	1	1	5.75	7.87
Iron	μg/L	1	1	846	1159
Magnesium	mg/L	1	1	149.4	204.6
Manganese, TR	μg/L	1	1	95	130
Molybdenum	μg/L	1	1	222	304
Nitrate+Nitrite-N	mg/L	1	1	1.27	1.74
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	· 1	1	1.63	2.23
			21.		

Table 29 (continued). Effluent data for ArcelorMittal-Cleveland Steel.

<sup>A</sup> TR=total recoverable

Parameter <sup>A</sup>	units	# samples	# >MDL	PEQ average	PEQ maximum
Outfall 024 (RM 5.65)	;				
Self-monitoring (SWIMS) data:					
Aluminum <sup>B</sup>	μg/L	0	0		
Iron <sup>B</sup>	μg/L	. 0	0	<u> </u>	
Nitrate+Nitrite-N <sup>B</sup>	mg/L	0	0		
Zinc, TR	μg/L	204	179	70.435	106.26

1

Table 29 (continued). Effluent data for ArcelorMittal-Cleveland Steel.

<sup>A</sup> TR=total recoverable <sup>B</sup> WLA requested by permit section.

Table Sud. Water quality criteria in the study are	Table 30	0a. Water	guality	criteria	in t	he stu	dy area
----------------------------------------------------	----------	-----------	---------	----------	------	--------	---------

		put till to be a second to be a seco	Outsid	de Mixing Zone C	Criteria	AND DO NOT	
а. Г		Maria	Av	erage			
Parameter <sup>D</sup>	Units	Human Health <sup>A</sup>	Wildlife	Agriculture <sup>F</sup>	Aquatic Life <sup>A</sup>	Maximum Aquatic Life <sup>A</sup>	Inside Mixing Zone Maximum <sup>A</sup>
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	μg/L	86 <sup>C</sup>			15 <sup>B</sup>	140 <sup>B</sup>	280 <sup>B</sup>
Aluminum	μg/L	4,500 <sup>B</sup>					
Antimony	µg/L	780			190 <sup>B</sup>	900 <sup>B</sup>	1,800 <sup>B</sup>
Barium	µg/L	160,000		-	220 <sup>B</sup>	2,000 <sup>B</sup>	4,000 <sup>B</sup>
Benzene	µg/L	310			160 <sup>B</sup>	700 <sup>B</sup>	1,400 <sup>B</sup>
Bis (2-ethylhexyl)			×.			-	_
phthalate	μg/L	32		·	8.4 <sup>B</sup>	1,100 <sup>B</sup>	2,100 <sup>B</sup>
Boron	μg/L	200,000		<del>, -</del>	950 <sup>B</sup>	8,500 <sup>B</sup>	17,000 <sup>B</sup>
Cadmium, TR	μg/L	730		50		see Table 3c	
Chlorine, total residual	μg /L	ID			11	19	38
Chloroform	μg/L	1,700			140 <sup>B</sup>	1,300 <sup>B</sup>	2,600 <sup>B</sup>
Chloromethane	μ̈́g/L	7,300 <sup>B</sup>					
Chromium, TR	μg/L	14,000		100		see Table 3c	
Copper, TR	μg/L	64,000		500		see Table 3c	
Cyanide, free	mg/L	48			0.0052	0.022	0.044
Cyanide, total	mg/L	48					
Dissolved Solids	mg/L	ID			1,500	ID	ID
Fluoride	μg /L	ID		2,000			
Iron	μg/L			5,000		( <del>77</del> )	
Lead, TR	μg/L	ID		100		see Table 3c	
Manganese, TR	μg/L	61,000					
Mercury <sup>-</sup> , TR	μg/L	0.0031	0.0013	10	0.91	1.7	3.4
Molybdenum	μg/L	10,000			20,000	190,000 <sup>b</sup>	370,000 <sup>B</sup>
Naphthalene	μg/L	1,200			21 <sup>B</sup>	170 <sup>B</sup>	340 <sup>B</sup>
Nickel, TR	μg/L	43,000		200	620	see Table 3c	
Nitrate+Nitrite-N	mg/L	ID		100			
Selenium, TR	μg/L	3,100		50	5.0		
Silver, TR	μg/L	11,000				see Table 3c	
Strontium	-µg/L	1,400,000			5,300 <sup>B</sup>	48,000 <sup>B</sup>	95,000 <sup>B</sup>
Tetrachloroethylene	μg/L	1,800			53 <sup>B</sup>	430 <sup>B</sup>	850 <sup>B</sup>
Zinc, TR	μg/L	35,000		25,000		see Table 3c	

<sup>A</sup> Human Health and Aquatic Life criteria are Tier I unless otherwise indicated.
 <sup>B</sup> Tier II criterion.
 <sup>C</sup> Screening Value criterion.
 <sup>D</sup> TR=total recoverable.
 <sup>E</sup> Bio-accumulative chemical of concern (BCC).
 <sup>F</sup> Agricultural water supply use-designation applies to outfalls 001, 002, 022, 023, and 024.

Table 30b. Water quality criteria for ammonia.

Location	Outfall(s)	Season	Average Aquatic Life <sup>B</sup>	Maximum Aquatic Life <sup>B</sup>
Cuyahoga R DST Southerly WWTP	Southerly WWTP 001	Summer Winter	1.8 7.1	12.9 13
Cuyahoga R @ Ship	ArcelorMittal 001,	Summer	1.1	10.35
Channel Boundary (from RM 10.57 to RM 5.6)	ArcelorMittal 002	Winter	4.2	11.7
		Summer		12.8
Cuyahoga R @ W 3rd St	ArcelorMittal 005,	Winter	Winter	
(from RM 5.6 to RM 3.26)	ArcelorMittal 014	(s) Season Aqua Life VTP 001 Summer Winter 001, Summer 002 Winter 005, Winter 014 February-May <sup>A</sup> 023 Summer Winter 023 Summer	- 2.1	12.9
Burke Br <sup>C</sup>	ArcelorMittal 023	Summer		12.8
		Winter		11.7

<sup>A</sup> During fish passage condition.
 <sup>B</sup> All units are mg/L.
 <sup>C</sup> Aquatic life use designation for Burke Branch is limited resource water.

- 1		Hardness <sup>J</sup> (mg/L)	Cadmium	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Nickel	Silver	Zinc
Arceloi	Mittal-Clevel	and Steel	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.						
DMT	ArcelorMitt al 001 <sup>H</sup> ArcelorMitt al 002 <sup>H</sup>	-	<1 <sup>D</sup>	1.13	1.22	4.05	1.06		1.06
	all other ArcelorMitt al outfalls		c	1.43	1.36	10.58	1.09		1.1
OMZA	Arcelor Mittal 001 Arcelor Mittal 002	227	4.7	170	22 <sup>F</sup>	58 <sup>F</sup>	110 <sup>F</sup>	1.3	240
	Arcelor Mittal 022 Arcelor Mittal 024	223	4.6	200 <sup>F</sup>	24 <sup>F</sup>	149 <sup>F</sup>	110 <sup>F</sup>	1.3	260 <sup>F</sup>
OMZA FPC <sup>G</sup>	<ul> <li>Arcelor Mittal 005 Arcelor Mittal 014</li> </ul>	226	4.7	210 <sup>F</sup>	24 <sup>F</sup>	152 <sup>F</sup> .	110 <sup>F</sup>	1.3	260 <sup>F</sup>
	Arcelor Mittal 017	a		•				0	
OMZM	Arcelor Mittal 001 Arcelor Mittal 002	227	11	3500	35 <sup>F</sup>	1110 <sup>F</sup>	990 <sup>F</sup>	6.5	240
	Arcelor Mittal 005 Arcelor Mittal 014 Arcelor Mittal 017 Arcelor Mittal 022 Arcelor Mittal	223	. 11	3500	39 <sup>F</sup>	2840 <sup>F</sup>	1010 <sup>F</sup>	6.3	250 <sup>F</sup>

Table 30c. Hardness- and DMT-dependent water quality criteria A,B.

				3				
Arcelor Mittal 024								
Arcelor								
Mittal			-	To F	Fees	ener F		FIS F
001	223	22	7000	78.	5690.	2000	13	510
Arcelor								
Mittal	tto F	1.		Los F	1 F	F F		ana F
002	412 -	43	11000	135	12000	3300	35	830.
Arcelor								
Mittal	0.10		7700	a t F	an in F	ana F		FFO F
005	243	25	7500	84 '	6340	2200	15	550 '
Arcelor								
Mittal			7000	To F	Frank F	F F		FIS F
014	226	23	7000	79.	5780	2000	13	510
Arcelor								
Mittal	000			74 F	FodoF	tooo F		170 F
017	202	. 20	6400	/1 ·	5010	1900	11	4/0
Arcelor								
Mittai	000	00	0.400	oo F	TOOD F	o roo F	10	ana F
022	280	29	8400	96	7600	2400	19	620
Arcelor								
Milla	000	00	7000	70 F	FCOD F	oooo F	10	CIO F
023	223	22	7000	78	5690	2000	13	510
Arcelor								
024	196	19	6300	69 F	4830 F	1800 F	10	460
021	100	10	0000	00	4000	1000	10	100
rly WWTP							*1	
		<1 <sup>D</sup>	1.13	1.22	4.05	1.06		1.06
	227	4.7	170	22 F	58 <sup>F</sup>	110 F	1.3	240
	227	11	3500	35 F	1110 F	990 F	6.5	240
	227	23	7100	71 F	2230 F	2000 F	13	480
						1000	.0	.0.
	Arcelor Mittal 024 Arcelor Mittal 001 Arcelor Mittal 005 Arcelor Mittal 014 Arcelor Mittal 017 Arcelor Mittal 017 Arcelor Mittal 022 Arcelor Mittal 022 Arcelor Mittal 023 Arcelor Mittal 024	Arcelor Mittal 024 Arcelor Mittal 001 223 Arcelor Mittal 002 412 <sup>E</sup> Arcelor Mittal 005 243 Arcelor Mittal 014 226 Arcelor Mittal 017 202 Arcelor Mittal 022 280 Arcelor Mittal 022 280 Arcelor Mittal 023 223 Arcelor Mittal 023 223 Arcelor Mittal 024 196 	Arcelor Mittal 024         Arcelor Mittal 001       223       22         Arcelor Mittal       223       22         Arcelor Mittal       412 e       43         002       412 e       43         Arcelor Mittal       005       243       25         Arcelor Mittal       226       23         014       226       23         Arcelor Mittal       202       20         Arcelor Mittal       202       20         Arcelor Mittal       223       22         Arcelor Mittal       223       22         Arcelor Mittal       196       19         1924       196       19         1924       196       19         1927       11       227       23	Arcelor Mittal 024       Arcelor Mittal 001       223       22       7000         Arcelor Mittal       223       22       7000         Arcelor Mittal       412 E       43       11000         Arcelor Mittal       243       25       7500         Arcelor Mittal       226       23       7000         Arcelor Mittal       202       20       6400         Arcelor Mittal       202       20       6400         Arcelor Mittal       202       20       6400         Arcelor Mittal       223       22       7000         Arcelor Mittal       203       223       22       7000         Arcelor Mittal       196       19       6300       6300 <i>rly WWTP</i> <1 D	Arcelor Mittal 024       Arcelor Mittal 001       223       22       7000       78 $^{\text{F}}$ Mittal 002       412 $^{\text{E}}$ 43       11000       135 $^{\text{F}}$ Mittal 002       412 $^{\text{E}}$ 43       11000       135 $^{\text{F}}$ Arcelor Mittal 005       243       25       7500       84 $^{\text{F}}$ Arcelor Mittal 014       226       23       7000       79 $^{\text{F}}$ Arcelor Mittal 017       202       20       6400       71 $^{\text{F}}$ Arcelor Mittal 022       280       29       8400       96 $^{\text{F}}$ Mittal 023       223       22       7000       78 $^{\text{F}}$ Mittal 024       196       19       6300       69 $^{\text{F}}$ <i>rly WWTP</i> <1 $^{\text{D}$ 1.13       1.22         227       4.7       170       22 $^{\text{F}}$ 227       23       7100       71 $^{\text{F}}$	Arcelor Mittal 024       Arcelor Mittal 001       223       22       7000       78 $^{\rm F}$ 5690 $^{\rm F}$ Arcelor Mittal 002       412 $^{\rm E}$ 43       11000       135 $^{\rm F}$ 12000 $^{\rm F}$ Arcelor Mittal 005       243       25       7500       84 $^{\rm F}$ 6340 $^{\rm F}$ Mittal 005       243       25       7500       84 $^{\rm F}$ 6340 $^{\rm F}$ Arcelor Mittal 014       226       23       7000       79 $^{\rm F}$ 5780 $^{\rm F}$ Arcelor Mittal 022       280       29       8400       96 $^{\rm F}$ 7600 $^{\rm F}$ 023       223       22       7000       78 $^{\rm F}$ 5690 $^{\rm F}$ 023       223       22       7000       78 $^{\rm F}$ 5690 $^{\rm F}$ 023       223       22       7000       78 $^{\rm F}$ 5690 $^{\rm F}$ 024       196       19       6300       69 $^{\rm F}$ 4830 $^{\rm F}$ r/vWWTP	Arcelor Mittal 024       Arcelor Mittal 001       223       22       7000 $78^{\text{ F}}$ $5690^{\text{ F}}$ $2000^{\text{ F}}$ Arcelor Mittal 002       412 <sup>E</sup> 43       11000       135 <sup>F</sup> 12000 <sup>F</sup> 3300 <sup>F</sup> Arcelor Mittal 005       243       25       7500       84 <sup>F</sup> 6340 <sup>F</sup> 2200 <sup>F</sup> Arcelor Mittal 014       226       23       7000       79 <sup>F</sup> 5780 <sup>F</sup> 2000 <sup>F</sup> Arcelor Mittal 017       202       20       6400       71 <sup>F</sup> 5010 <sup>F</sup> 1900 <sup>F</sup> Arcelor Mittal 022       280       29       8400       96 <sup>F</sup> 7600 <sup>F</sup> 2400 <sup>F</sup> O23       223       22       7000       78 <sup>F</sup> 5690 <sup>F</sup> 2000 <sup>F</sup> Arcelor Mittal 024       196       19       6300       69 <sup>F</sup> 4830 <sup>F</sup> 1800 <sup>F</sup> <i>rly WWTP</i> <1 <sup>D</sup> 1.13       1.22       4.05       1.06         227       4.7       170       22 <sup>F</sup> 58 <sup>F</sup> 110 <sup>F</sup> 990 <sup>F</sup> 227       23       7100       71 <sup>F</sup> 2230 <sup>F</sup> 2000 <sup>F</sup> 106	Arcelor Mittal 024       Arcelor Mittal 001       223       22       7000 $78^{F}$ $5690^{F}$ $2000^{F}$ 13         Arcelor Mittal 002       412 <sup>E</sup> 43       11000       135 <sup>F</sup> 12000 <sup>F</sup> 3300 <sup>F</sup> 35         Arcelor Mittal 005       243       25       7500       84 <sup>F</sup> 6340 <sup>F</sup> 2200 <sup>F</sup> 15         Arcelor Mittal 005       243       25       7500       84 <sup>F</sup> 6340 <sup>F</sup> 2000 <sup>F</sup> 13         014       226       23       7000       79 <sup>F</sup> 5780 <sup>F</sup> 2000 <sup>F</sup> 13         Arcelor Mittal       014       226       23       7000       79 <sup>F</sup> 5780 <sup>F</sup> 2000 <sup>F</sup> 13         017       202       20       6400       71 <sup>F</sup> 5010 <sup>F</sup> 1900 <sup>F</sup> 11         Arcelor Mittal       023       223       22       7000       78 <sup>F</sup> 5690 <sup>F</sup> 2000 <sup>F</sup> 13         024       196       19       6300       69 <sup>F</sup> 4830 <sup>F</sup> 1800 <sup>F</sup> 10         re-       <1 <sup>D</sup> 1.13       1.22       4.05       1.06          227       4.7

 <sup>A</sup> Hardness and DMT (when applicable) factors have been incorporated into values presented.
 <sup>B</sup> All units are µg/L unless otherwise specified.
 <sup>C</sup> DMT measurement has a large probable error and is not used.
 <sup>D</sup> DMT measurement <1 and is not used.</li>
 <sup>E</sup> Criteria calculations are applicable only for hardness values up to 400; therefore, a value of 400 was wood for the second sec used for these calculations are applicable only for hardness values up to 400, therefore, a value of 400 v used for these calculations. <sup>F</sup> Effective total recoverable criterion; effective criterion = DMT\*dissolved criterion . <sup>G</sup> FPC=fish passage condition <sup>H</sup> DMT developed for Southerly WWTP applied to ArcelorMittal 001 and ArcelorMittal 002 outfalls. <sup>J</sup> Basis (source) for hardness described in Table 4.

Parameter	Units	Period	Value	Source	
Upstream Design I	Flow				
Cuyahoga River ju	st UST Se	outherly WW	TP (includes	s Cuyahoga R @ Independence, Mill Ck,	West
Ck, and in	tervening	drainage, an	d canal ove	rflow [7 cfs])	
1Q10	cfs	annual	98.3	USGS gauge #04208000: 1957-2006	
7Q10	cfs	annual	117.3	USGS gauge #04208000; 1957-2006	
<sub>7</sub> Q <sub>10</sub> (summer)	cfs	May-Nov	117.3	USGS gauge #04208000; 1957-2006	
7Q10 (winter)	cfs	Dec-Feb	204.5	USGS gauge #04208000; 1957-2006	
30Q10 (summer)	cfs	May-Nov	144.6	USGS gauge #04208000; 1957-2006	
30Q10 (winter)	cfs	Dec-Feb	268.6	USGS gauge #04208000; 1957-2006	
90Q10	cfs	annual	185.6	USGS gauge #04208000; 1957-2006	
HMF .	cfs	annual	446.2	USGS gauge #04208000; 1957-2006	
FPC	cfs	Feb-May	745.6	USGS gauge #04208000; 1957-2006	
Big Creek @ mout	h (include	s Big Ck @ (	Cleveland al	nd intervening drainage)	
.0	ofe	annual	3.8	USGS dauge #0/208502: 1072-86	
-0.0	cfs	annual	5.3	USGS gauge #04208502; 1972-86	
-Ore (summer)	cfs	May-Nov	5.3	USGS gauge #04208502; 1972-86	
$_{7}O_{10}$ (winter)	cfs	Dec-Feb	10.7	USGS gauge #04208502; 1972-86	
<sup>20</sup> O <sub>10</sub> (summer)	cfs	May-Nov	12.8	USGS gauge #04208502; 1972-86	
anOne (winter)	cfs	Dec-Feb	13.8	USGS gauge #04208502; 1972-86	
30Q10 (mintor)	cfs	annual	21.3	USGS gauge #04208502; 1972-86	
HMF	cfs	annual	227	USGS gauge #04208502; 1972-86	
FPC	cfs	Feb-May	26.7	USGS gauge #04208502: 1972-86	
Morgana Run @ m	nouth			,	
norgana rian e n	,ouur	4	0.04	1000	
1Q10	CIS	annual	0.21	USGS gauge #04208502; 19/2-86	
7Q10	cts	annual	0.29	USGS gauge #04208502; 19/2-86	3
<sub>7</sub> Q <sub>10</sub> (summer)	CIS	May-Nov	0.29	USGS gauge #04208502; 19/2-86	
<sub>7</sub> Q <sub>10</sub> (winter)	CIS	Dec-Feb	0.58	USGS gauge #04208502; 1972-86	
$_{30}Q_{10}$ (summer)	CIS	May-Nov	0.70	USGS gauge #04208502; 1972-86	
$_{30}Q_{10}$ (winter)	CIS	Dec-Feb	0.75	USGS gauge #04208502; 19/2-86	
90Q10	cfs	annual	1.16	USGS gauge #04208502; 19/2-86	
HMF	cfs	annual	1.24	USGS gauge #04208502; 19/2-86	
FPC	CIS	Feb-May	1.45	USGS gauge.#04208502; 1972-86	
Burke Branch @ n	nouth		4		
1Q10	cfs	annual	0.45	USGS gauge #04208502; 1972-86	
7Q10	cfs	annual	0.62	USGS gauge #04208502; 1972-86	
7Q10 (summer)	cfs	May-Nov	0.62	USGS gauge #04208502; 1972-86	
7Q10 (winter)	cfs	Dec-Feb	1.25	USGS gauge #04208502; 1972-86	
30Q10 (summer)	cfs	May-Nov	1.50	USGS gauge #04208502; 1972-86	
30Q10 (winter)	cfs	Dec-Feb	1.62	USGS gauge #04208502; 1972-86	
90Q10	cfs	annual	2.50	USGS gauge #04208502; 1972-86	
HMF	cfs ·	annual	2.66	USGS gauge #04208502; 1972-86	
FPC	cfs	Feb-Mav	3.13	USGS gauge #04208502: 1972-86	

HMF = harmonic mean flow FPC = fish passage condition

ArcelorMittal Cleveland 2006 NPDES Fact Sheet Page 66

Parameter	Units	Period	Value	Source	
Mixing Assumption					
average	percent		25.0	Chronic default criterion (Lake Erie basin).	
maximum	percent		100.0	Stream-to-discharge ratio.	
NH <sub>3</sub> average	percent		100.0	Stream-to-discharge ratio.	
Discharger (Facilit	y) Flow			A CONTRACTOR OF	
Southerly WWTP	cfs	1	270.8	Design flow.	
ArcelorMittal 001	cfs	1	0.178	Form 2C application (max 30-d avg).	
ArcelorMittal 002	cfs	1	8.0	SWIMS, 48 values, 95th pct, Jun02-May06	
ArcelorMittal 005	cfs	1	67.8	SWIMS, 48 values, 95 <sup>th</sup> pct, Jun02-May06	
ArcelorMittal 014	cfs	- 1	55.7	SWIMS, 48 values, 95 <sup>th</sup> pct, Jun02-May06	
ArcelorMittal 017	cfs	1	0.902	SWIMS, 48 values, 95 <sup>th</sup> pct, Jun02-May06	
ArcelorMittal 022	cfs	1	4.7	SWIMS, 25 values, 95th pct, May04-May06	
ArcelorMittal 023	cfs	1	0.324	SWIMS, 48 values, 95th pct, Jun02-May06	
ArcelorMittal 024	cfs	L	0.497	SWIMS, 367 values, 95 <sup>th</sup> pct, Jun02-Jul06	
ArcelorMittal 800 (intake)	cfs	I	8.2	Equivalent to discharge sum (001 and 002).	
ArcelorMittal 801 (intake)	cfs	- 1	67.818	Equivalent to discharge (005).	
ArcelorMittal 804 (intake)	cfs	, / I	0.902	Equivalent to discharge (017).	
ArcelorMittal 806 (intake)	cfs	1	5.166	Equivalent to discharge sum (022 and 024).	
ArcelorMittal 808 (intake)	cfs	1	55.7	Equivalent to discharge (014).	

I: instantaneous flow measurement

4

Source of Hardness Determinations

Southerly WWTP ArcelorMittal 001 ArcelorMittal 002	227	annual	SWIME (001 Southerly) (median 120 she 1
A		annudi	<mdl) 2001-06<="" td=""></mdl)>
ArcelorMittal 022 ArcelorMittal 024	223	annual	STORET #502140, Cuy R @ W 3rd St (RM 3.26) 1999-2004 (median, 60 obs)
ArcelorMittal 005 ArcelorMittal 014 ArcelorMittal 017	226	annual	STORET #502140, Cuy R @ W 3rd St (RM 3.26) 1999-2004 (mean, 8 obs) <sup>C</sup>
Southerly WWTP ArcelorMittal 001 ArcelorMittal 002	227	annual	SWIMS (901 Southerly), (median, 139 obs, 1 <mdl) 2001-06<="" td=""></mdl)>
ArcelorMittal 005 ArcelorMittal 014 ArcelorMittal 017 ArcelorMittal 022 ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 024	223	annual	STORET #502140, Cuy R @ W 3rd St (RM 3.26) 1999-2004 (median, 60 obs)
Southerly WWTP ArcelorMittal 001 ArcelorMittal 002 ArcelorMittal 005 ArcelorMittal 014 ArcelorMittal 017 ArcelorMittal 022 ArcelorMittal 023	227 223 412 <sup>D</sup> 243 226 202 280 223	annual annual annual annual annual annual annual annual	Used OMZA/OMZM value. Employed downstream ambient value (OMZA). ArcelorMittal quarterly monitoring, 2001-06 October 1998 PSD (source unknown). October 1998 PSD (source unknown). October 1998 PSD (source unknown). ArcelorMittal quarterly monitoring, 2001-06 Employed ambient value (OMZA).
	ArcelorMittal 005 ArcelorMittal 014 ArcelorMittal 017 Southerly WWTP ArcelorMittal 001 ArcelorMittal 002 ArcelorMittal 005 ArcelorMittal 017 ArcelorMittal 017 ArcelorMittal 022 ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 024 Southerly WWTP ArcelorMittal 001 ArcelorMittal 002 ArcelorMittal 001 ArcelorMittal 002 ArcelorMittal 014 ArcelorMittal 017 ArcelorMittal 017 ArcelorMittal 022 ArcelorMittal 012 ArcelorMittal 014 ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 024	ArcelorMittal 005 ArcelorMittal 014 ArcelorMittal 017226Southerly WWTP ArcelorMittal 001 ArcelorMittal 002227ArcelorMittal 005 ArcelorMittal 014 ArcelorMittal 017 ArcelorMittal 022 ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 024223Southerly WWTP ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 024223Southerly WWTP ArcelorMittal 024227Southerly WWTP ArcelorMittal 024227ArcelorMittal 017 ArcelorMittal 024223ArcelorMittal 011 223 ArcelorMittal 005 ArcelorMittal 014 ArcelorMittal 017 202 ArcelorMittal 017 ArcelorMittal 022 280 ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 024	ArcelorMittal 005 ArcelorMittal 014 ArcelorMittal 017226 annualSoutherly WWTP ArcelorMittal 001 ArcelorMittal 002227 annualArcelorMittal 005 ArcelorMittal 017 ArcelorMittal 017 ArcelorMittal 022223 annualArcelorMittal 017 ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 024223 annualSoutherly WWTP ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 024223 annualSoutherly WWTP ArcelorMittal 024227 annualSoutherly WWTP ArcelorMittal 024227 annualArcelorMittal 024223 annualArcelorMittal 005 ArcelorMittal 005 ArcelorMittal 017 ArcelorMittal 014 ArcelorMittal 017 ArcelorMittal 017 ArcelorMittal 017 ArcelorMittal 022 ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 023 ArcelorMittal 024

<sup>A</sup> All units are mg/L.
 <sup>B</sup> FPC = fish passage condition
 <sup>C</sup> Restricted to hardness measurements taken during a flow range of 600-800 cfs.
 <sup>D</sup> Criteria calculations are applicable only for hardness values up to 400; therefore, a value of 400 was used for these calculations.

Parameter	Units	Period	Value	Source	
Background Water Quality					
Cuyahoga River DST	Mill Creek				
Aluminum Ammonia (summer) Ammonia (winter)	μg/L mg/L mg/L	annual annual annual	1,220 0.07 0.15	STORET (#F01A25), 10 values, 1 <mdl, 00<br="" 1987="">SWIMS (801), 47 values, 0<mdl, 2001-06<br="">SWIMS (801), 36 values, 0<mdl, 2001-06<="" td=""></mdl,></mdl,></mdl,>	
Arsenic	μg/L	annual	3	STORET (#F01A25), 10 values, 10 <mdl, 00<="" 1996="" td=""></mdl,>	
Barium Benzene Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phth	μg/L nalate	annual annual annual	81.4 0 0	STORET (#F01A25), 5 values, 0 <mdl, 2000<br="">No representative data available. No representative data available.</mdl,>	
Boron Cadmium	μg/L	annual annual	0 0.1	No representative data available. STORET (#F01A25), 10 values, 8 <mdl, 00<="" 1996="" td=""></mdl,>	
Chlorine, total residua Chromium	ll μg/L μg/L	annual annual	0 22.5	No representative data available. STORET (#F01A25), 10 values, 9 <mdl, 00<="" 1996="" td=""></mdl,>	
Chromium <sup>o+</sup> Copper	μg/L μg/L	annual annual	0 5	Ohio EPA (1988) <sup>^</sup> , 5, 5 <mdl, 1988<br="" ≤="">STORET (#F01A25), 10 values, 8<mdl, 00<="" 1996="" td=""></mdl,></mdl,>	
Cyanide, free	μg/L	annual	0	91	
Fluoride Iron Lead	μg/L μg/L	annual annual annual	0 2,310 3	No representative data available. STORET (#F01A25), 10 values, 0 <mdl, 00<br="" 1987="">STORET (#F01A25), 10 values, 3<mdl, 00<="" 1996="" td=""></mdl,></mdl,>	
Mercury	μg/L	annual	0	STORET (#F01A25), 10 values, 10 <mdl, 1996/00</mdl, 	
Molybdenum Naphthalene Nickel Nitrate+Nitrite-N	μg/L mg/L	annual annual annual annual	0 0 29 2.65	No representative data available. No representative data available. STORET (#F01A25), 5 values, 4 <mdl, 2000<br="">STORET (#F01A25), 5 values, 0<mdl, 2000<="" td=""></mdl,></mdl,>	
Pentachlorophenol	J	annual	0	No representative data available.	
Selenium Silver	μg/L	annual annual	007.0	STORET (#F01A25), 5 values, 5 <mdl, 2000<br="">No representative data available.</mdl,>	
Strontium Dissolved Solids 1,2,4-TMB Tetrachloroethvlene	μg/L mg/L	annual annual annual annual	227.2 516.5 0 0	STORET (#F01A25), 5 values, 0 <mdl, 2000<br="">STORET (#F01A25), 10 values, 0<mdl, 00<br="" 1996="">No representative data available. No representative data available.</mdl,></mdl,>	
Zinc	μg/L	annual	23.5	STORET (#F01A25), 10 values, 0 <mdl, 00<="" 1996="" td=""></mdl,>	

<sup>A</sup> Analysis of Un-impacted Stream Data for the State of Ohio (Paula S. Brown).

Parameter	Units	Period	Value	Source
Background Water Qu	ality (contin	ued)	1	
Big Creek NR mouth				
Aluminum	ug/l	leurne	104	STORET (#502120) 6 values 0-MDL 1991
Ammonia (summer)	ma/L	annual	. 0.23	STORET (#502120), 18 values, 0 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
funnionia (Sammer)	ing/L	annaan	0.20	Estimated from ratios of summer/winter for other
Ammonia (winter)	mg/L	annual	0.49	stations.
Antimony		annual	0	No representative data available.
Arsenic	μg/L	annual	2	STORET (#502120), 14 values, 7 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
Barium	μg/L	annual	31.9	STORET (#502120), 6 values, 0 <mdl, 1991<="" td=""></mdl,>
Benzene		annual	0	No representative data available.
Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phth	alate	annual	0	No representative data available.
Boron		annual	0	No representative data available.
Cadmium	μg/L	annual	0.1	STORET (#502120), 24 values, 21 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
Chlorine, total residual	μg/L	annual	0	No representative data available.
Chromium	μg/L	annual	15	STORET (#502120), 24 values, 23 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
Chromium	μg/L	annual	Ò	Ohio EPA (1988) <sup>^</sup> , 5, 5 <mdl, <u="">≤ 1988</mdl,>
Copper	μg/L	annual	5	STORET (#502120), 24 values, 20 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
Cyanide, free	μg/L	annual	0	STORET (#502120), 6 values, 6 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
Fluoride		annual	0	No representative data available.
Iron	μg/L	annual	294	STORET (#502120), 7 values, 0 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
Lead	μġ/L	annual	2.9	STORET (#502120), 24 values, 7 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
Mercury	μg/L	annual	0	STORET (#502120), 10 values, 10 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
Molybdenum		annual	0	No representative data available.
Naphthalene		annual	0	No representative data available.
Nickel	μg/L	annual	20	STORET (#502120), 19 values, 17 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
		second and the		STORET (#502120); NO <sub>2</sub> : 0.05 mg/L, 13 values,
Nitrate+Nitrite-N	mg/L	annuai	0.50	0 <mdl, 1990-91;="" no<sub="">3: 0.53 mg/L, 18 values,</mdl,>
			0.58	1 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
Pentachlorophenol		annual	0	No representative data available.
Selenium	μg/L	annual	0	STORET (#502120), 7 values, 7 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
Silver		annual	0	No representative data available.
Strontium	μg/L	annual	0	STORET (#502120), 5 values, 0 <mdl, 2000<="" td=""></mdl,>
<b>Dissolved Solids</b>	mg/L	annual	602	STORET (#502120), 18 values, 0 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
1,2,4-TMB		annual	0	No representative data available.
Tetrachloroethylene		annual	1 0	No representative data available.
Zinc	μg/L	annual	15	STORET (#502120), 24 values, 5 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>

<sup>A</sup> Analysis of Un-impacted Stream Data for the State of Ohio (Paula S. Brown).

Parameter	Units	Period	Value	Source
Background Water Qua	ality (contin	ued)		
Morgana Run NR mou	th			
Aluminum	ua/L	annual	1.113	STORET (#F01W44), 6 values, 0 <mdl, 1991<="" td=""></mdl,>
Ammonia (summer)	mg/L	annual	2.83	STORET (#F01W44), 30 values, 0 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
Ammonia (winter)	mg/L	annual	3.51	STORET (#F01W44), 33 values, 0 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
Antimony	0	annual	19.9	STORET (#F01W44), 6 values, 4 <mdl, 1991<="" td=""></mdl,>
Arsenic	μg/L	annual	4	STORET (#F01W44), 22 values, 0 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
Barium	μg/L	annual	54.6	STORET (#F01W44), 6 values, 0 <mdl, 1991<="" td=""></mdl,>
Benzene		annual	0	No representative data available.
Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phth	alate	annual	0	No representative data available.
Boron		annual	0 -	No representative data available.
Cadmium	μg/L	annual	0.6	STORET (#F01W44), 35 values, 9 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
Chlorine, total residua	l μg/L	annual	0	No representative data available.
Chromium	μg/L	annual	15	STORET (#F01W44), 35 values, 20 <mdl, 1990-<br="">96</mdl,>
Chromium <sup>6+</sup>	µg/L	annual	0	Ohio EPA (1988) <sup>A</sup> , 5, 5 <mdl, 1988<="" <="" td=""></mdl,>
Copper	μg/L	annual	5.5	STORET (#F01W44), 35 values, 20 <mdl, 1990-<br="">96</mdl,>
Cvanide, free	ua/L	annual	0.326	STORET (#F01W44), 17 values, 1 <mdl, 1990-91<="" td=""></mdl,>
Fluoride	1-5-	annual	0	No representative data available.
Iron	µg/L	annual	1160	STORET (#F01W44), 13 values, 0 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
Lead	µg/L	annual	7	STORET (#F01W44), 35 values, 4 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>
		Server Decrementation		STORET (#F01W44), 11 values, 11 <mdl, 1991-<="" td=""></mdl,>
wercury	µg/L	annuai	0	96
Molybdenum		annual	0	No representative data available.
Naphthalene		annual	0	No representative data available.
Nickel	μg/L	annual	20	STORET (#F01W44), 31 values, 23 <mdl, 1991-<br="">96</mdl,>
				STORET (#F01W44); NO2: 0.14 mg/L, 28 values,
Nitrate+Nitrite-N	mg/L	annual	4.34	0 <mdl, 1990-91;="" no<sub="">3: 4.20 mg/L, 32 values, 0<mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,></mdl,>
Peritachlorophenol		annual	0	No representativo data available
Solonium	110/1	annual	36.5	STORET (#E01W/44) 6 values 2 MDL 1991
Silvor	μy/L	annual	0.00	No representative data available
Strontium	ug/l	annual	0	No representative data available.
Dissolved Solide	mg/L	annual	776	STORET (#F01W44) 31 values 0-MDI 1990-96
1 2 4-TMR	ing/L	annual	,,,0	No representative data available
Tetrachloroethylene		annual	0	No representative data available
Zinc	ug/L	annual	40.7	STORET (#F01W44), 35 values, 0 <mdl, 1990-96<="" td=""></mdl,>

<sup>A</sup> Analysis of Un-impacted Stream Data for the State of Ohio (Paula S. Brown).
		Average					9
Parameter <sup>D</sup>	Units	Human Health	Wildlife	Agriculture	Aquatic Life	Maximum Aquatic Life	Inside Mixing Zone Maximum
Outfall 001 (RM 6.82)							
Ammonia (summer)	mg/L				1.5	13	
Ammonia (winter)	mg/L		1000	к : <u></u> с	7.3	16	
Barium	μg/L	5,190,000 <sup>A</sup>			4,000	4,000	4,000
Benzene	μg/L	705,600 <sup>A</sup>			274,700 <sup>A</sup>	1,467,000 <sup>A</sup>	1,400
Boron	μg/L	6,490,000 <sup>A</sup>			17,000	17,000	17,000
Fluoride	μg/L			64,900			
Iron	μg/L			298,500			
Zinc, TR	μg/L	46,620 <sup>A</sup>		67,400 <sup>A</sup>	510	510	510
Outfall 002 (RM 6.68)			5				
1.2.4-Trimethylbenzene	ug/L	4,442 <sup>A</sup>			588 <sup>A</sup>	6,669 <sup>A</sup>	280
Ammonia (summer)	mg/L			144	1.668	14.87	
Ammonia (winter)	mg/L				8.281	18.03	
Antimony	μg/L	40,290 <sup>A</sup>	-		7,447 <sup>A</sup>	42,870 <sup>A</sup>	1,800
Barium	μg/L	5,190,000 <sup>A</sup>		<u>a==</u>	4,532 <sup>A</sup>	59,060 <sup>A</sup>	4,000
Boron	μg/L	6,490,000 <sup>A</sup>			23,570 <sup>A</sup>	226,600 <sup>A</sup>	17,000
Dissolved Solids	mg/L				1,889		
Fluoride	μg/L			64,900	-1		
Lead, TR <sup>B</sup>	μg/L			378	96 <sup>C</sup>	1,661 <sup>C</sup>	12,000 <sup>C</sup>
Naphthalene <sup>B</sup>	μg/L	61,980 <sup>A</sup>			823 <sup>A</sup>	8,098 <sup>A</sup>	. 340
Tetrachloroethylene B	μg/L	92,297 <sup>A</sup>		11.6	2,077 <sup>A</sup>	20,480 <sup>A</sup>	850
Zinc, TR	μg/L	46,620 <sup>A</sup>		67,400 <sup>A</sup>	383	303	830

Table 32. Summary of effluent limits to maintain applicable water quality criteria.

<sup>A</sup> Allocation must not exceed that for Inside Mixing Zone Maximum.
 <sup>B</sup> Parameter does not require a WLA based on reasonable potential, but an allocation is needed because it is an effluent guideline parameter.
 <sup>C</sup> WLA based on applicable dissolved metal translator.
 <sup>D</sup> TR=total recoverable

Parameter <sup>D</sup>	Units	Human Health	Wildlife	Agriculture	Aquatic Life	Maximum Aquatic Life	Inside Mixing Zone Maximum
Outfall 005 (RM 5.39)							
Aluminum	μg/L	27,300					
Ammonia (summer) <sup>B</sup>	mg/L					21.18	
Ammonia (winter) <sup>B</sup>	mg/L					17.86	- 15
Ammonia (FPC)	mg/L			244	18.07		
Barium	μg/L				617	G	4,000
Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalat	te µg/L .	G			68 <sup>F</sup>	<sup>G</sup>	2,100
Boron	μg/L				3,559 <sup>F</sup>	<sup>G</sup>	17,000
Chlorine, total residual	μg/L	'			28 <sup>F</sup>	24	38
Copper, TR	μg/L	86,410 <sup>A</sup>		4.261•10 <sup>10 A</sup>	53 <sup>C,F</sup>	54 <sup>C</sup>	84 <sup>C</sup>
Cyanide, free	mg/L	68.11 <sup>A</sup>			0.020 F	0.029	0.044
Dissolved Solids	mg/L				2,961 <sup>F</sup>	177 Det 10 -	
Lead, TR <sup>B</sup>	µg/L			6.904•10 <sup>10 A</sup>	975 <sup>C,F</sup>	12,440 <sup>C</sup>	6,340 <sup>C</sup>
Zinc, TR	μg/L	46,240 <sup>A</sup>		4.228•10 <sup>10 A</sup>	618 <sup>A,C,F</sup>	310 <sup>C</sup>	550 <sup>C</sup>
Outfall 014 (RM 4.81)		120			×.		
Ammonia (summer) <sup>B</sup>	mg/L				1	19.72	
Ammonia (winter) <sup>B'</sup>	mg/L					17.11	
Ammonia (FPC)	mg/L				17.1 <sup>F</sup>		
Chlorine, total residual	μg/L			•	26 <sup>F</sup>	24	38
Copper, TR	µg/L	83,200 <sup>A</sup>		3.773•10 <sup>10 A</sup>	49 <sup>C,F</sup>	51 <sup>C</sup>	79 <sup>C</sup>
Dissolved Solids	mg/L				2,781		
Zinc, TR	μg/L	44,630 <sup>A</sup>		3.763•10 <sup>10 A</sup>	574 <sup>A,C,F</sup>	301 <sup>C</sup>	510 <sup>C</sup>
Outfall 017 (RM 4.7)							
Aluminum	μg/L	188,100	5754				
Antimony	µg/L				1,800 <sup>F</sup>	G	1,800
Barium	ug/L	1.82-10 <sup>7 A</sup>			4,000 F	4,000	4,000
Boron	µg/L				17,000 F	G	17,000
Chlorine, total residual	ug/L				38 <sup>F</sup>	38	38
Dissolved Solids	mg/L		-		2,649 <sup>F</sup>		
Lead. TR <sup>B</sup>	ug/L			5,010	5,010 <sup>C,F</sup>	5,010 <sup>C</sup>	5,010 <sup>C</sup>
Molybdenum	ug/L	1,421,000 <sup>A</sup>			370,000 F	370,000	370,000
Zinc, TR	μg/L	43,470 <sup>A</sup>		3.429•10 <sup>10 A</sup>	470 <sup>C,F</sup>	470 <sup>C</sup>	. 470 <sup>c</sup>

Average

Table 32 (continued). Summary of effluent limits to maintain applicable water quality criteria.

<sup>A</sup> Allocation must not exceed that for Inside Mixing Zone Maximum.

<sup>B</sup> Parameter does not require a WLA based on reasonable potential, but an allocation is needed because it is an <sup>C</sup> WLA based on applicable dissolved metal translator.
 <sup>D</sup> TR=total recoverable
 <sup>F</sup> WLA for Aquatic Life Average only applies to Fish Passage Conditions (Q = 703 cfs and Feb-May period).
 <sup>G</sup> Because a WLA was only required under Fish Passage Conditions and not triggered otherwise, no allocation for any

•

other use designation was warranted.

		Average						
Parameter <sup>D</sup>	Units	Human Health	Wildlife	Agriculture	Aquatic Life	Maximum Aquatic Life	Inside Mixing Zone Maximum	
Outfall 022 (RM 5.9)				÷		1251		
Barium	μg/L	5,091,000 <sup>A</sup>			4,419 <sup>A</sup>	57,830 <sup>A</sup>	4,000	
Boron	µg/L	6,365,000 <sup>A</sup>			22,980 <sup>A</sup>	25,260 <sup>A</sup>	17,000	
Copper, TR	µg/L	86,700 <sup>A</sup>		3,688 <sup>A</sup>	201 <sup>A</sup>	54	96	
Cyanide, free	mg/L	68.37 <sup>A</sup>	<del></del>		0.020	0.029	0.044	
Dissolved Solids	mg/L				1,578		1212	
Fluoride	µg/L			63,650				
Iron	µg/L			292,700				
Selenium, TR	µg/L	23,060		372	19			
Zinc, TR	μg/L	46,390 <sup>A</sup>		66,560 <sup>A</sup>	1,538 <sup>A</sup>	311	620	
Outfall 023 (Burke Br: BM 5.	39)					÷.		
Ammonia (summer)	ma/L		440			13		
Ammonia (winter)	mg/L					12		
Copper, TR	µg/L	64,000 <sup>A</sup>		500 <sup>A</sup>		78 <sup>C</sup>	78 <sup>C</sup>	
Fluoride	μg/L			2,000				
Zinc, TR	μg/L	35,000 <sup>A</sup>		25,000 <sup>A</sup>		510 <sup>C</sup>	- 510 <sup>C</sup>	
Outfall 024 (RM 5.65)				×				
Aluminum <sup>B</sup>	μg/L	27,590					·	
Iron <sup>B</sup>	µg/L			292,700				
Nitrate+Nitrite-N <sup>B</sup>	mg/L			73,180		·		
Zinc, TR	μg/L	46,390 <sup>A</sup>		66,560 <sup>A</sup>	460 <sup>C</sup>	460 <sup>C</sup>	460 <sup>C</sup>	

Table 32 (continued). Summary of effluent limits to maintain applicable water quality criteria.

<sup>A</sup> Allocation must not exceed that for Inside Mixing Zone Maximum.
 <sup>B</sup> Parameter does not require a WLA based on reasonable potential, but an allocation is needed because it is an effluent guideline parameter.
 <sup>C</sup> WLA based on applicable dissolved metal translator.
 <sup>D</sup> TR=total recoverable

Table 33. Parameter assessment for Outfall 001.

Average Maximi		
Units Applicable Recommended Effluer Limits	Parameter Units	
Vater Quality Criteria	Limits to Protect Numeric Water Qua	
mum PEL or PEQ <sub>avg</sub> >100% of the average PEL, or between 75 and 100% of the PEL and certain conditi environment are present. A limit is recommended.	$PEQ_{max} > 100\%$ of the maximum PEL either $PEQ_{avg}$ or $PEQ_{max}$ is between that increase the risk to the environm	<u>Group 5</u>
a of this group.	No parameters fit the criteria of this g	
f the maximum PEL or PEQ <sub>avg</sub> >50% but <100% of th appropriate.	PEQ <sub>max</sub> >50% but <100% of the max average PEL. Monitoring is appropria	Group 4
Barium Benzene Fluoride Iron	Ammonia (summer) Barium Boron Fluoride	
PEL and PEQ <sub>avg</sub> <50% of average PEL. No limit is ng is optional.	PEQ <sub>max</sub> <50% of maximum PEL and recommended and monitoring is optic	<u>Group 3</u>
Manganese Molybdenum	Ammonia (winter) Mangan	
2S or all data below minimum detection limit; a WLA i mmended and monitoring is optional.	Either the PEQ <25% of WQS or all d not required. No limit is recommended	Group 2
	Sulfate	
following parameter(s) could not be evaluated at this uired to generate toxicity data so that the parameter(	Due to a lack of criteria, the following time. The facility may be required to g can be reevaluated.	<u>Group 1</u>

ArcelorMittal Cleveland 2006 NPDES Fact Sheet Page 75

AR-14

	Table 34.	Parameter	assessment for	Outfall 0	02.
--	-----------	-----------	----------------	-----------	-----

Group 1	Due to a lack of criteria, the following parameter(s) could not be evaluated at this time. The facility may be required to generate toxicity data so that the parameter(s) can be reevaluated.						
	Chloride Potassium	Magnesi Sulfate	ium	Phosphorus	s, total		
Group 2	Either the PEQ <25% of Wo not required. No limit is reco	QS or all commende	lata below minim d and monitoring	um detection lin is optional.	nit; a WLA is		
	Aluminum Chloromethane Lead Naphthalene Tetrachloroethylene <sup>A</sup>	Ammoni Cyanide Mangan Nitrate+i	a (winter) , <i>total</i> ese Nitrite-N	Chloroform Iron Molybdenur Strontium	n		
Group 3	PEQ <sub>max</sub> <50% of maximum PEL and PEQ <sub>avg</sub> <50% of average PEL. No limit is recommended and monitoring is optional.						
ч.	1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene Barium	Ammoni Boron	a (summer)	Antimony Fluoride			
Group 4	PEQ <sub>max</sub> >50% but <100% o average PEL. Monitoring is	f the maxi appropria	mum PEL or PEC te.	Q <sub>avg</sub> >50% but <	100% of the		
	<b>Dissolved Solids</b>						
<u>Group 5</u>	PEQ <sub>max</sub> >100% of the maximum PEL or PEQ <sub>avg</sub> >100% of the average PEL, or either PEQ <sub>avg</sub> or PEQ <sub>max</sub> is between 75 and 100% of the PEL and certain conditions that increase the risk to the environment are present. A limit is recommended.						
	Limits to Protect Numeric W	later Qual	ity Criteria		640 B		
	Parameter	Units	Applicable Period —	Recommended Effluent Limits			
				Average	Maximum		
	Zinc	μg/L	annual		303		
<sup>A</sup> Effluent da	ata for tetrachloroethylene no	t available	but a WLA was i	requested by Pe	ermits Section.		

Table 35.	Parameter	assessment for	Outfall 005.

<u>Group 1</u>	Due to a lack of criteria, the following parameter(s) could not be evaluated at this time. The facility may be required to generate toxicity data so that the parameter(s) can be reevaluated.							
	Fluoride Nitrate+Nitrite-N Titanium	Iron Phenolic	s, total	Magnesium Sulfate				
Group 2	Either the PEQ <25% of Wo not required. No limit is reco	QS or all data commended ar	below minimun nd monitoring is	n detection limit optional.	; a WLA is			
	Ammonia (summer) Manganese	Ammon Molybde	ia (winter) enum	Lead				
Group 3	PEQ <sub>max</sub> <50% of maximum recommended and monitor	$PEQ_{max} < 50\%$ of maximum PEL and $PEQ_{avg} < 50\%$ of average PEL. No limit is recommended and monitoring is optional.						
a	Ammonia (FPC: Feb-May) Boron Zinc	Barium Dissolve	(FPC: Feb-May ed Solids (FPC:	/) Bis (2-ethyl : Feb-May)	hexyl) phthalate			
Group 4	PEQ <sub>max</sub> >50% but <100% of average PEL. Monitoring is	of the maximu appropriate.	m PEL or PEQ	<sub>avg</sub> >50% but <1	00% of the			
	Aluminum							
Group 5	PEQ <sub>max</sub> >100% of the maximum PEL or PEQ <sub>avg</sub> >100% of the average PEL, or either PEQ <sub>avg</sub> or PEQ <sub>max</sub> is between 75 and 100% of the PEL and certain conditions that increase the risk to the environment are present. A limit is recommended.							
	Limits to Protect Numeric Water Quality Criteria							
	Parameter Units Applicable Recommended Efflue							
			Fellou -	Average	Maximum			
	Chlorine, total residual	μg/L	annual		24			
	Copper	µg/L	annual		54			
	Copper	μg/L	Feb-May	53				
	Cyanide, free	mg/L	annual	0.019	0.029			

Table 36. Parameter assessment for Outfall 014.

Group 1	Due to a lack of criteria, the following parameter(s) could not be evaluated at this time. The facility may be required to generate toxicity data so that the parameter(s) can be reevaluated.
	Suspended Solids
Group 2	Either the PEQ <25% of WQS or all data below minimum detection limit; a WLA is not required. No limit is recommended and monitoring is optional.
	Ammonia (summer) Ammonia (winter)
Group 3	PEQ <sub>max</sub> <50% of maximum PEL and PEQ <sub>avg</sub> <50% of average PEL. No limit is recommended and monitoring is optional.
	Ammonia (FPC: Feb-May) Dissolved Solids (FPC: Feb-May) Zinc (FPC: Feb-May)
Group 4	$PEQ_{max} > 50\%$ but <100% of the maximum PEL or $PEQ_{avg} > 50\%$ but <100% of the average PEL. Monitoring is appropriate.
	Copper (FPC: Feb-May)
<u>Group 5</u>	$PEQ_{max} > 100\%$ of the maximum PEL or $PEQ_{avg} > 100\%$ of the average PEL, or either $PEQ_{avg}$ or $PEQ_{max}$ is between 75 and 100% of the PEL and certain conditions that increase the risk to the environment are present. A limit is recommended.
	Limits to Protect Numeric Water Quality Criteria

Parameter	Units	Applicable	Recommended Effluent Limits		
		Fenou -	Average	Maximum	
Chlorine, total residual	μg/L	annual		24	
Copper	μg/L	annual		51	
Copper	μg/L	Feb-May	. 49		
Zinc	μg/L	annual		301	

Table 37. Parameter assessment for Outfall 017. Due to a lack of criteria, the following parameter(s) could not be evaluated at this Group 1 time. The facility may be required to generate toxicity data so that the parameter(s) can be reevaluated. Fluoride Magnesium Iron Suspended Solids Phosphorus, total Sulfate Either the PEQ <25% of WQS or all data below minimum detection limit; a WLA is Group 2 not required. No limit is recommended and monitoring is optional. Manganese Lead PEQ<sub>max</sub> <50% of maximum PEL and PEQ<sub>avg</sub> <50% of average PEL. No limit is Group 3 recommended and monitoring is optional. Antimony Aluminum Barium Boron Chlorine, total residual Molybdenum Group 4 PEQ<sub>max</sub> >50% but <100% of the maximum PEL or PEQ<sub>avg</sub> >50% but <100% of the average PEL. Monitoring is appropriate. Dissolved Solids (FPC: Feb-May) Zinc  $PEQ_{max} > 100\%$  of the maximum PEL or  $PEQ_{avg} > 100\%$  of the average PEL, or either  $PEQ_{avg}$  or  $PEQ_{max}$  is between 75 and 100% of the PEL and certain conditions Group 5 that increase the risk to the environment are present. A limit is recommended. Limits to Protect Numeric Water Quality Criteria **Recommended Effluent** Applicable Parameter Units Limits Period Average Maximum No parameters fit the criteria of this group.

Table 38. Parameter assessment for Outfall 022.

Selenium

<u>Group 1</u>	Due to a lack of criteria, the following parameter(s) could not be evaluated at this time. The facility may be required to generate toxicity data so that the parameter(s) can be reevaluated.						
	Magnesium	Sulfate					
Group 2	Either the PEQ <25% of We not required. No limit is reco	QS or all da ommended	ata below minimun and monitoring is	n detection lim optional.	it; a WLA is		
	Aluminum Manganese	Chlorine, Molybder	total residual ium	Lead Nitrate-Nitrite	e-N		
Group 3	<u>p 3</u> PEQ <sub>max</sub> <50% of maximum PEL and PEQ <sub>avg</sub> <50% of average PEL. No limit is recommended and monitoring is optional.						
	Barium Iron	Boron Zinc		Fluoride Dissolved So	olids		
Group 4	PEQ <sub>max</sub> >50% but <100% o average PEL. Monitoring is	of the maxin appropriate	num PEL or PEQ <sub>a</sub> . ə.	<sub>/g</sub> >50% but <`	100% of the		
	No parameters fit the criter	ia of this gro	oup.		2		
<u>Group 5</u> PEQ <sub>max</sub> >100% of the maximum PEL or PEQ <sub>avg</sub> >100% of the average P either PEQ <sub>avg</sub> or PEQ <sub>max</sub> is between 75 and 100% of the PEL and certain that increase the risk to the environment are present. A limit is recommended in the present of the present							
	Limits to Protect Numeric Water Quality Criteria						
a.	Parameter	Units	Applicable	Recommende Limi	ed Effluent ts		
3			Period —	Average	Maximum		
	Copper Cyanide, free	μg/L mg/L	annual annual	0.020	54 0.029		

μg/L

annual

÷

•

19

--

S#2

Table 39. Parameter assessment for Outfall 023.

Copper

Fluoride

	Parameter	Units	Period -	Li Average B	mits Maximum					
	Limits to Protect Numeric Water Quality Criteria  Recommended Effluen									
<u>Group 5</u>	$PEQ_{max} > 100\%$ of the maximum PEL or $PEQ_{avg} > 100\%$ of the average PEL, or either $PEQ_{avg}$ or $PEQ_{max}$ is between 75 and 100% of the PEL and certain conditions that increase the risk to the environment are present. A limit is recommended.									
	Zinc									
Group 4	$PEQ_{max} > 50\%$ but <100% of the maximum PEL or $PEQ_{avg} > 50\%$ but <100% of the average PEL. Monitoring is appropriate.									
,	No parameters fit the crit	eria of this gi	roup.							
Group 3	p 3 PEQ <sub>max</sub> <50% of maximum PEL and PEQ <sub>avg</sub> <50% of average PEL. No limit is recommended and monitoring is optional.									
	Aluminum Iron Nitrate-Nitrite-N	Barium Mangane	950	Boron Molybdenu	im					
<u>Group 2</u>	Either the PEQ <25% of V not required. No limit is re	VQS or all da commended	ata below minim I and monitoring	um detection li is optional.	mit; a WLA is					
	Suspended Solids			handle soles						
	Magnesium	Phosphor	rus, total	Sulfate						
Group 1	Due to a lack of criteria, the following parameter(s) could not be evaluated at this time. The facility may be required to generate toxicity data so that the parameter(s) can be reevaluated.									

µg/L

µg/L

<sup>A</sup> No effluent data available for summer ammonia; hence, winter effluent data used to determine reasonable potential for summer season.
 <sup>B</sup> Outfall 023 discharges to Limited Resource Water segment (Burke Br) so Aquatic

annual

annual

в

2,000

78

Life average criteria do not apply.

Table 40. Parameter assessment for Outfall 024.

Group 1	Due to a lack of criteria, the time. The facility may be recan be reevaluated.	following quired to g	parameter(s) co generate toxicity	ould not be evalu data so that the	ated at this parameter(s)
4.	No parameters fit the criteri	a of this g	roup.		
Group 2	Either the PEQ <25% of W0 not required. No limit is reco	QS or all d ommende	lata below minin d and monitoring	num detection ling is optional.	nit; a WLA is
(	Aluminum	Iron		Nitrate+Nitr	ite-N
Group 3	PEQ <sub>max</sub> <50% of maximum recommended and monitori	PEL and I ng is optic	PEQ <sub>avg</sub> <50% of onal.	average PEL. N	lo limit is
	Zinc				· · · · ·
Group 4	PEQ <sub>max</sub> >50% but <100% o average PEL. Monitoring is	f the maxi appropria	mum PEL or PE te.	Q <sub>avg</sub> >50% but <	<100% of the
	No parameters fit the criteri	a of this g	roup.		
Group 5	$PEQ_{max} > 100\%$ of the maximization of the PEQ <sub>avg</sub> or PEQ <sub>max</sub> is that increase the risk to the	num PEL between 7 environm	or PEQ <sub>avg</sub> >100 /5 and 100% of ent are present.	% of the average the PEL and cert A limit is recom	e PEL, or tain conditions mended.
	Limits to Protect Numeric W	later Qual	ity Criteria		ė
	Parameter	Units	Applicable	Recommend Lim	led Effluent its
	6		Peniou -	Average	Maximum
3	No parameters fit the criteri	a of this g	roup.		

			Effluent Li	mits			
		Concentra	ation	Loading (	kg/day) <sup>a</sup>		
		30 Dav	Daily	30 Day	Daily		
Parameter	Units	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum	Basis <sup>b</sup>	
Outfall 001							
Flow	MGD		Monit	or		MAC	
	S 11		WOIII	00		MOR	
pn T T D	5.0.		0.5 (0	9.0		WQS	
Zinc, I.R.	µg/I		Monii	or		M/RP°	
Outfall 004							
Flow	MGD		Moni	or		Mc	
pН	S.U.		6.5 to	9.0		WQS	

Table 41.	Final effluent limits and monitoring requirements for ArcelorMittal/Cleveland outfall
	3ID00003001 and 3ID00003004 and the basis for their recommendation.

<sup>a</sup> Effluent loadings based on average design discharge flow of N/A MGD.

<sup>b</sup> <u>Definitions:</u> M = Monitoring; WQS = Ohio Water Quality Standards (OAC 3745-1).

			1111.5			
	Concentra	ation	Loading (	kg/day) <sup>a</sup>		
	30 Day	Daily	30 Day	Daily		
Units	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum	Basis <sup>b</sup>	
						200.200
MGD		Monitor			M <sup>c</sup>	
mg/l		Monitor			M/RP <sup>c</sup>	
mg/l		Monitor			Mc	
mg/l	15	20			ABS/BPJ/EP	
S.U.		6.5 to 9	.0		WQS	
µq/l		Monitor			M/RP <sup>c</sup>	
	18	-				
			•			
TUa		1.0			WET	
	Units mg/I mg/I mg/I S.U. ug/I	30 Day           Units         Average           MGD            mg/l            mg/l         15           S.U.            ug/l	30 Day       Daily         30 Day       Daily         Units       Average         MGD          mg/l          mg/l       15         20       S.U.         S.U.          Monitor         mg/l       15         20         S.U.          Monitor         TUa          1.0	30 Day         Daily         30 Day           Units         Average         Maximum         Average           MGD          Monitor            mg/l          Monitor            mg/l         15         20            S.U.	Sourcementation         Loading (kg/day)           30 Day         Daily         30 Day         Daily           Units         Average         Maximum         Average         Maximum           MGD          Monitor            mg/l          Monitor            mg/l         15         20            S.U.	30 Day       Daily       30 Day       Daily         Units       Average       Maximum       Average       Maximum         MGD        Monitor       M <sup>c</sup> mg/l        Monitor       M <sup>c</sup> mg/l        M <sup>c</sup> mg/l       15       20          S.U.        6.5 to 9.0       WQS         ug/l        M/RP <sup>c</sup> TUa        1.0        WET

Table 42.	Final effluent limits and monitoring requirements for ArcelorMittal/Cleveland outfall
	3ID00003002 and the basis for their recommendation.

<sup>a</sup> Effluent loadings based on average design discharge flow of 5.17 MGD.

<sup>b</sup> <u>Definitions:</u> ABS = Antibacksliding Rule (OAC 3745-33-05(E) and 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)); AD = Antidegradation (OAC 3745-1-05); EP = Existing Permit; M = Monitoring; RP = Reasonable Potential for requiring water quality-based effluent limits and monitoring requirements in NPDES permits (3745-33-07(A)); WET = Whole Effluent Toxicity (OAC 3745-33-07(B)); WLA = Wasteload Allocation procedures (OAC 3745-2); WQS = Ohio Water Quality Standards (OAC 3745-1).

			Effluent Li	mits	- 241.5		*
		Concentra	ation	Loading (	kg/day) <sup>a</sup>		
		30 Day	Daily	30 Day	Daily		
Parameter	Units	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum	Basis <sup>b</sup>	
Flow	MGD		Monit	or		Mc	
Temperature	oF		Monit	or		Mc	
Dissolved Solids	ma/l		Monit	or		Mc	
Ammonia-N	ma/l		Monit	or		Mc	
pH	S.U.		6.5 to	9.0		WQS	
Chlorine Residual	mg/l		0.024			WLA	
Cyanide, Free	mg/l		Monit	or		M/RP <sup>c</sup>	
Aluminum, T. R.	µg/l		Monit	tor		M/RP <sup>c</sup>	
Copper, T. R.	µg/l		Moni	tor		M/RP <sup>c</sup>	
Lead, T. R.	µg/l		Moni	tor		Mc	
Mercury, T.	ng/l		Moni	tor		M <sup>c</sup> .	
Zinc, T. R.	µg/l		Moni	tor		M°	
Whole Effluent							
Toxicity							
Acute	TUa		- Monitor (w	/o trigger) -		Mc	ж.

 Table 43.
 Final effluent limits and monitoring requirements for ArcelorMittal/Cleveland outfall

 3ID00003005 and the basis for their recommendation.

<sup>a</sup> Effluent loadings based on average design discharge flow of N/A MGD.

<sup>b</sup> <u>Definitions:</u> ABS = Antibacksliding Rule (OAC 3745-33-05(E) and 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)); AD = Antidegradation (OAC 3745-1-05); EP = Existing Permit; M = Monitoring; RP = Reasonable Potential for requiring water qualitybased effluent limits and monitoring requirements in NPDES permits (3745-33-07(A)); WET = Whole Effluent Toxicity (OAC 3745-33-07(B)); WLA = Wasteload Allocation procedures (OAC 3745-2); WQS = Ohio Water Quality Standards (OAC 3745-1).

			to a state of the				
			Effluent Li	mits			
		Concentra	ation	Loading (	kg/day) <sup>a</sup>		
		30 Dav	Daily	30 Day	Daily		
Parameter	Units	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum	Basis <sup>b</sup>	1
Outfall 008				÷1			
Flow	MGD		Monite	or		Mc	
CBOD	ma/l		Monite	or		Mc	
Suspended Solids	ma/l		Monite	or		Mc	
Oil and Grease	ma/l	15	20			BP.I/ABS/FP	
pH	S.U.		6.5 to	9.0		WQS	
Outfall 014							
Flow	MGD		Monite	or		Mc	
Temperature	٥F		Monite	or		Mc	
Dissolved Solids	ma/l		Monite	or		Mc	
Suspended Solids	ma/l		Monite	or		Mc	
Ammonia-N	ma/l		Monite	or		Mc	
Oil and Grease	ma/l	-	Monite	or		Mc	
рН	S.U.		6.5 to	9.0		WQS	
Chlorine Residual	ma/l		0.024			WLA	
Copper, T. R.	ua/l		Monite	or		M/BP <sup>c</sup>	
Zinc, T. R.	µg/l		Monito	or		M/RP°	

 Table 44.
 Final effluent limits and monitoring requirements for ArcelorMittal/Cleveland outfalls

 3ID00003008 and 3ID00003014 and the basis for their recommendation.

Effluent loadings based on average design discharge flow of N/A MGD.

b

Definitions: ABS = Antibacksliding Rule (OAC 3745-33-05(E) and 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)); AD = Antidegradation (OAC 3745-1-05); BPJ = Best Professional Judgment; EP = Existing Permit; M = Monitoring; RP = Reasonable Potential for requiring water quality-based effluent limits and monitoring requirements in NPDES permits (3745-33-07(A)); WLA = Wasteload Allocation procedures (OAC 3745-2); WLA/IMZM = Wasteload Allocation limited by Inside Mixing Zone Maximum; WQS = Ohio Water Quality Standards (OAC 3745-1).

			Effluent Li	mits		
		Concentration		Loading (kg/day) <sup>a</sup>		
		30 Day	Daily	30 Day	Daily	
Parameter	Units	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum	Basis <sup>b</sup>
Flow	MGD		Monit	or		M <sup>c</sup>
Oil and Grease	mg/l		Monitor			
Lead, T. R.	µg/l		Monit	or		Mc
Zinc, T. R.	µg/l		Monit	or		Mc

Table 45.	Final effluent limits and monitoring requirements for ArcelorMittal/Cleveland outfalls
	3ID00003010 and 3ID00003011 and the basis for their recommendation.

<sup>a</sup> Effluent loadings based on average design discharge flow of N/A MGD.

- <sup>b</sup> <u>Definitions:</u> ABS = Antibacksliding Rule (OAC 3745-33-05(E) and 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)); AD = Antidegradation (OAC 3745-1-05); EP = Existing Permit; M = Monitoring.
- <sup>c</sup> Monitoring of flow and other indicator parameters is specified to assist in the evaluation of effluent quality and treatment plant performance.

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Effluent L	mits	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Concentra 30 Day	ation Daily	Loading ( 30 Day	kg/day) <sup>a</sup> Daily	÷.,
Parameter	Units	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum	Basis <sup>b</sup>
Flow	MGD		Monit	or		Mc
Temperature	°F		Monit	or		M/RP <sup>c</sup>
Suspended Solids	mg/l			132	390	BCT/NSPS
Oil and Grease	mg/l	15	20	18.1	30.4	BPJ/ABS/EP; BCT/NSPS/BPJ
pН	S.U.		6.5 to	9.0		WQS
Chlorine Residual	mg/l		0.038			EP/WLA/IMZM
Lead, T. R.	µg/l		5010	0.98	2.94	WLA/IMZM: BAT/NSPS
Mercury, T.	ng/l	• • • • <u>·</u>	Monit	or		M <sup>c</sup>
Zinc, T. R.	µg/l	-	470	1.47	4.41	WLA/IMZM; BAT/NSPS

Table 46.	Final effluent limits and monitoring requirements for ArcelorMittal/Cleveland outfall
	3ID00003017 and the basis for their recommendation.

<sup>a</sup> Effluent loadings based on average design discharge flow of N/A MGD.

b

Definitions:ABS = Antibacksliding Rule (OAC 3745-33-05(E) and 40 CFR Part<br/>122.44(I)); AD = Antidegradation (OAC 3745-1-05); BAT = Best Available<br/>Control Technology Currently Available, 40 CFR Part 420, Iron and Steel<br/>Manufacturing; BCT = Best Conventional Pollutant Treatment Technology,<br/>40 CFR Part 420, Iron and Steel Manufacturing; BPJ = Best Professional<br/>Judgment; EP = Existing Permit M = Monitoring; NSPS = New Source<br/>Performance Standards, 40 CFR Part 420, Iron and Steel Manufacturing;<br/>RP = Reasonable Potential for requiring water quality-based effluent limits<br/>and monitoring requirements in NPDES permits (3745-33-07(A WLA =<br/>Wasteload Allocation procedures (OAC 3745-2); WLA/IMZM = Wasteload<br/>Allocation limited by Inside Mixing Zone Maximum; WQS = Ohio Water<br/>Quality Standards (OAC 3745-1).

		Concentra	Effluent Lin	<u>nits</u> Loading (I	ka/dav) <sup>a</sup>	
		30 Day	Daily	30 Day	Daily	
Parameter	Units	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum	Basis <sup>b</sup>
Flow	MGD		Monito	)r		M <sup>c</sup>
Temperature	٥F		Monito	)r		M <sup>c</sup>
Dissolved Solids	mg/l		Monito	)r		M/RP°
Oil and Grease	mg/l	15	20		(44)	<b>BPJ/ABS/EP</b>
pH	S.U.		6.5 to	9.0		WQS
Chlorine Residual	mg/l		0.024			EP/WLA
Cyanide, Free	mg/l		Monito	or		M/RP°
Copper, T. R.	µg/l		Monito	or		M/RP°
Lead, T. R.	µg/l		Monito	or		Mc
Mercury, T.	ng/l		Monito	or		Mc
Selenium, T. R.	µg/l	19		0.22		WLA
Zinc, T. R. Whole Effluent Toxicity	µg/l		Monito	or		M°
Acute	TUa		- Monitor (w/	o trigger) -		'М <sup>с</sup>

Table 47.	Final effluent limits and monitoring requirements for ArcelorMittal/Cleveland outfall	
	3ID00003022 and the basis for their recommendation.	

<sup>a</sup> Effluent loadings based on average design discharge flow of 3.0 MGD.

BPJ = Best Professional Judgment; EP = Existing Permit; M = Monitoring; RP = Reasonable Potential for requiring water quality-based effluent limits and monitoring requirements in NPDES permits (3745-33-07(A)); WET = Whole Effluent Toxicity (OAC 3745-33-07(B)); WLA = Wasteload Allocation procedures (OAC 3745-2); WLA/IMZM = Wasteload Allocation limited by Inside Mixing Zone Maximum; WQS = Ohio Water Quality Standards (OAC 3745-1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Definitions:

			Effluent Li	mits			
		Concentra	ation	Loading (	kg/day) <sup>a</sup>		
		30 Day	Daily	30 Day	Daily		
Parameter	Units	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum	Basis <sup>b</sup>	
Outfall 023							
Flow	MGD		Monit	or		Mc	
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	mg/l		Monit	or		Mc	
COD	mg/l		Monit	or		Mc	
Suspended Solids	mg/l		Monit	or		Mc	
Ammonia-N	mg/l		Monit	or		M/RP <sup>c</sup>	
Fluoride	mg/l		Monit	or		M/RP°	
Sulfate	mg/		Monit	or		M <sup>c</sup>	
Oil and Grease	mg/l		Monit	or		M <sup>c</sup>	
pH	S.U.		Monit	or		M°	
Copper, T. R.	µg/l		Monit	or		M/RP <sup>c</sup>	
Zinc, T. R.	µg/l		Monit	or		M/RP <sup>c</sup>	
				*		94 1	
							- 44
Outfall 024			a de la des				
Flow	MGD		Monit	or		Mc	
рН	S.U.		6.5 to	9.0		WQS	
Zinc, T. R.	µg/l		Monit	or		M°	

 Table 48.
 Final effluent limits and monitoring requirements for ArcelorMittal/Cleveland outfalls

 3ID00003023 and 3ID00023024 and the basis for their recommendation.

<sup>a</sup> Effluent loadings based on average design discharge flow of N/A MGD.

<sup>b</sup> <u>Definitions:</u> ABS = Antibacksliding Rule (OAC 3745-33-05(E) and 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)); AD = Antidegradation (OAC 3745-1-05); BPJ = Best Professional Judgment; EP = Existing Permit; RP = Reasonable Potential for requiring water quality-based effluent limits and monitoring requirements in NPDES permits (3745-33-07(A)); WQS = Ohio Water Quality Standards (OAC 3745-1).

			Effluent Li	mits			
		Concentra	ation	Loading (H	(g/day) <sup>a</sup>		
		30 Day	Daily	30 Day	Daily		
Parameter	Units	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum	Basis <sup>b</sup>	
Outfall CO1							
Flow	MGD		Monit	or		NAC .	
Flow Dissolved Colide	MGD mg/l		Monit	01			
Dissolved Solids	mg/l		Nonit	01			
Suspended Solids	mg/l		Monit	01			
oli anu Grease	mg/i		Nonii	.01			
Pri Cuanida Fran	5.U.		Monit	01			
Lood T D	ing/i		Noni	01			
Zino T P	µg/l		NOT	tor			
Zinc, T. n. Total Taxia	μy/i			101		IVI	
Organia	uci/l		710			DAT/DD I*	
Organics	μул		710		-	DAIDED	
							`
Outfall 602			9 g				
Flow	MGD		Moni	tor		M <sup>c</sup>	
<b>Dissolved Solids</b>	mg/l		Moni	tor		Mc	
Suspended Solids	mg/l		Moni	tor		Mc	
Oil and Grease	mg/l		Moni	tor		M°	
pH .	S.U.		Moni	tor		M°	
Cyanide, Free	mg/l		Moni	itor		Mc	
Lead, T. R.	µg/l		Mon	itor		Mc	
Zinc, T. R.	µg/l		Mon	itor		M <sup>c</sup>	
Naphthalene*	µg/l				0.223	BAT**	
Tetrachloro-			30				
Ethylene*	µg/l				0.335	BAT**	

 Table 49.
 Final effluent limits and monitoring requirements for ArcelorMittal/Cleveland outfall

 3ID00003601 and 3ID00003602 and the basis for their recommendation.

<sup>a</sup> Effluent loadings based on average design discharge flow of N/A MGD.

<sup>b</sup> <u>Definitions:</u> BAT = Best Available Control Technology Currently Available, 40 CFR Part 420, Iron and Steel Category, and 40 CFR Part 433, Metal Finishing Category; BPJ = Best Professional Judgment; M = Monitoring.

<sup>o</sup> Monitoring of flow and other indicator parameters is specified to assist in the evaluation of effluent quality and treatment plant performance.

\* Compliance with this BAT parameter may be shown by a toxic organic management plan and certifications, rather than by monitoring.

\*\* Compliance monitoring for these parameters is not being required. A monitoring waiver for these pollutants is being granted under 40 CFR 122.44(a)(2).

	1000 C		Effluent Li	mits		10007000-00
		Concentra	ation	Loading (	kg/day) <sup>a</sup>	
Parameter	Units	30 Day Average	Maximum	30 Day Average	Daily Maximum	Basis <sup>b</sup>
Outfall 603				1		
Flow	MGD		Calculate	he		Mc
Dissolved Solids	ma/l		Calculate	ed		M°
Suspended Solids	mg/l			632	1284	ABS/AD/EP
Oil and Grease	mg/l			520	672	BCT, ABS/AD/EP
Cyanide, Free	mg/l		Calculate		M <sup>c</sup>	
Lead, T. R.	µg/l			3.40	9.01	ABS/AD/EP
Zinc, T. R.	µg/l			6.56	16.2	BAT
Outfall 693						
Flow	MGD		Calculate	ed		M <sup>c</sup>
<b>Dissolved Solids</b>	mg/l		Calculate	ed		M°
Suspended Solids	mg/l			632	1284	ABS/AD/EP
Oil and Grease	mg/l		H	485	672	BCT, ABS/AD/EP
Cyanide, Free	∙mg/l		Calculate	ed		M <sup>c</sup>
Lead, T. R.	µg/l			3.12	8.24	BAT
Zinc, T. R.	µg/l			6.09	14.7	BAT
2						

Table 50.	Final effluent limits and monitoring requirements for ArcelorMittal/Cleveland outfalls
	3ID00003603 and 3ID00003693 and the basis for their recommendation.

<sup>a</sup> Effluent loadings for outfall 603 apply when the electrogalvanizing process is operating; outfall 693 limits apply when the electrogalvanizing process is not operating.

<sup>b</sup> <u>Definitions:</u> ABS = Antibacksliding Rule (OAC 3745-33-05(E) and 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)); AD = Antidegradation (OAC 3745-1-05); BAT = Best Available Control Technology Currently Available, 40 CFR Part 420, Iron and Steel Category and 40 CFR 433, Metal Finishing Category; BCT = Best Conventional Pollutant Control Technology, 40 CFR Part 420, Iron and Steel Category and 40 CFR 433, Metal Finishing Category; BPJ = Best Professional Judgment; EP = Existing Permit; M = Monitoring.

			Effluent Li	mits	- Cardin - Cabler	
		Concentration		Loading (I	(g/day) <sup>a</sup>	
D		30 Day	Daily	30 Day	Daily	D h
Parameter	Units	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum	Basis
Flow	MGD		Monit	or		Mc
Suspended Solids	mg/l			218	657	BPT
Ammonia-N	mg/l					
Summer				62.4	85.6	301(g) variance
Winter		· · · - · ·		81.6	211	301(g) variance
pH	S.U.		Monit	or		Mc
Cyanide, Free	mg/l			7.36	14.7	BAT
Lead, T. R.	µg/l			0.74	2.21	BAT
Mercury, T.	ng/l		Moni	tor		Mc
Zinc, T. R.	µg/l			1.00	2.83	EP/BPJ
Phenolics, T.	µg/l			0.245	0.491	BAT

 Table 51.
 Final effluent limits and monitoring requirements for ArcelorMittal/Cleveland outfall

 3ID00003604 and the basis for their recommendation.

<sup>a</sup> Effluent loadings based on average design discharge flow of N/A MGD.

Ь

<u>Definitions:</u> 301(g) variance = Variance from BAT limits provided by Paragraph 301(g) of the Clean Water Act; ABS = Antibacksliding Rule (OAC 3745-33-05(E) and 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)); BAT = Best Available Control Technology Currently Available, 40 CFR Part 420, Iron and Steel Category; BPJ = Best Professional Judgment; BPT = Best Practicable Waste Treatment Technology, 40 CFR Part 420, Iron and Steel Category; EP = Existing Permit; M = Monitoring.

<sup>c</sup> Monitoring of flow and other indicator parameters is specified to assist in the evaluation of effluent quality and treatment plant performance.

ArcelorMittal Cleveland 2006 NPDES Fact Sheet Page 93

		Concentr	Linuent Li	Looding (	ka/dou)a		8
		Concentra	Doilu	Loading (	ky/uay)		
Parameter	Units	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum	Basis <sup>b</sup>	
<u>r arameter</u>	Ornto	riverage	Maximan	Monago	Maximum	Duolo	
Outfall 622							
Flow	MGD		Monit	or		M <sup>c</sup> ,	
<b>Dissolved Solids</b>	mg/l		Monit	or		Mc	
Suspended Solids	mg/l		Monit	or		M°	
Oil and Grease	mg/l		Monit	or		M <sup>c</sup>	
pH	S.U.		Monit	or		M°	
Lead, T. R.	µg/l		Monit	or		M°	
Mercury, T.	µg/l		Monit	or		M <sup>c</sup>	
Zinc, T. R.	µg/l		Monit	or'		Mc	
Outfall 622							
Flow	MGD		Calculat	bd		MAC	
Dissolved Solids	ma/l		Calculat	ed		Mc	24
Suspended Solids	mg/l			251	732	NSPS/BP.1	
Oil and Grease	mg/l			75.8	221	NSPS/BPJ	
Lead, T. R.	ua/l			1.46	4.38	NSPS/BPJ	
Zinc, T. R.	·µq/l			2.25	6.65	NSPS/BPJ	

Table 52.Final effluent limits and monitoring requirements for ArcelorMittal/Cleveland outfalls3ID00003622 and 3ID00003632 and the basis for their recommendation.

<sup>a</sup> Effluent loadings based on average design discharge flow of N/A MGD.

<sup>b</sup> Definitions:

BPJ = Best Professional Judgment; M = Monitoring; NSPS = New Source Performance Standards, 40 CFR Part 420, Iron and Steel Category.

Table 53. Final effluent limits and monitoring requirements for ArcelorMittal/Cleveland outfalls 3ID00003613, 3ID00003633, 3ID00003643 and 3ID00003653 and the basis for their recommendation.

		terre l'and deserve	Effluent Lir	nits	int sold	
		Concentra	ation	Loading (k	(g/day) <sup>a</sup>	
		30 Day	Daily	30 Day	Daily	
Parameter	Units	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum	Basis <sup>b</sup>
Outfalls 613 and 63	3					
Flow	MGD		Monito	or		Mc
COD	mg/l		Monite	or		M <sup>c</sup>
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	mg/l		Monite	or		M <sup>c</sup>
Suspended Solids	mg/l	30	45			ABS/EP/BPJ
Oil and Grease	mg/l		Monite	or		M <sup>c</sup>
pH	S.U.		Monite	or		M <sup>c</sup>
Sulfate	mg/l		Monite	or		M <sup>c</sup>
Outfalls 643 and 65	53					
Flow	MGD		Monit	or		M <sup>c</sup>
COD	mg/l		Monit	or		Mc
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	mg/l		Monit	or		M°
Suspended Solids	mg/l		Monit	or		Mc
Oil and Grease	mg/l		Monit	or		Mc
pH、	S.U.		Monit	or		Mc
Sulfate	mg/l		Monit	or		M°

<sup>a</sup> Effluent loadings based on average design discharge flow of N/A MGD.

b

<u>Definitions:</u> ABS = Antibacksliding Rulė (OAC 3745-33-05(E) and 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)); BPJ = Best Professional Judgment; EP = Existing Permit; M = Monitoring; PD = Plant Design Criteria.

### Attachment

# Effluent Guideline Calculations and 301(g) Variance Analysis

#### ()

#### Effluent Guidelines and Limits - Outfall 601

:

		84" Hot \$ 420.77c1 kg/l	Strip MIII - 420.73 kkg	84" Hot Strip Mill Tons/day: 10920	0	84" Hot S Load	Strip Mill ing*	84" HCI 420.97b2- kg/l	Pickling 420.93b2 kkg	84" HCI Pickling Tons/day: 7656	84" HC Loa	l Pickling ading
		30-day	Daily	4		<u>30-day</u>	Daily	<u>30-day</u>	Daily		30-day	Daily
TSS		0.16	0.427			1586.458	4233.859	0.035	0.0819		243.308	569.340
0&G		0	0.107			353.648	1060.944	0.0117	0.035		81.334	243,308
Lead		0.000108	0.000325			1.071	3.222	0.000175	0.000526		1.217	3.657
Zinc		0.000163	0.000488			1.616	4.839	0.000234	0.000701		1.627	4.873
	84	4" Pickling Fu	ime Scrubber		* 30	0-day oil &	grease					
		420.97b4-4	420.93b4		limi	it is a BPJ	based			,		
		kg/d	ay		on	30% of ma	IX. BCT	(9 <b>5</b> %				
					*Li	mits for lea	ad and zinc		× *		691 Lo	pading
		<u>30-day</u>	- Daily		are in ti	based on he 1982 U	BAT given SEPA				<u> 30-day</u>	Daily
TSS		2.45	5.72	-	Dev	velopment	Doc. Table X-1				1832.215	4808.919
O&G		0.819	2.45								435.801	1306.701
Lead Zinc		0.0123 0.0164	0.0368								2.300 3.259	6.916 9.761
							, e	nerie nin d staar				
	Flo	ctrozinc w: (MGD)		Electrogalvanize		Metal Fin 433 13-4	ishing	Metal Fir	ishing		60110	odina
		0		0.5054		30-day	Daily	30-day	Daily		30-day	Daily
TSS					13	31	60 mg/l	59.301	114.776		1891.516	4923.695
0&G					1.0427	26	52 mg/l	49.736	99.473		485.538	1406.174
Lead						0.43	0.69 mg/l	0.823	1.320		3.122	8.236
Zinc						1.48	2.61 mg/l	2.831	4.993	2	6.090	14.754
Cadmium						0.26	0.69 mg/l	0.497	1.320			
Chromium						1.71	2.77 mg/l	3.271	5.299			
Copper						2.07	3.38 mg/l	3.960	6.466			
Nickel						2.38	3.98 mg/l	4.553	7.613			
Silver						0.24	0.43 mg/l	0.459	0.823			
110						0	2.13 ma/l	0.000	4.075			

2

AR-14

#### Effluent Guidelines and Limits - Outfalls 602, 603

	84" Rec 420,107a2-	oir. MS 420 103a2	84" Recirc MS Production	84" Reci Loadi	rc MS na	84" Direct 420.107a4-	App. SS 420,103a4	84" Direct App. SS Production	84" Direct Ap Loading	p. SS
	30-day	Daily	tons/day	30-day	Daily	30-day	Daily	tons/day	30-day	Daily
TSS	0.00313	0.00626	6936	19.712	39.425	0.0113	0.0225	4624	47.444	94.468
O&G	0.00104	0.00261		6.550	16.437	0.00376	0.00939		15.787	39.425
Lead	0.0000156	0.0000469		0.098	0.295	0.0000563	0.000169	61	0.236	0.710
Zinc	0.0000104	0.0000313		0.065	0.197	0.0000376	0.000113	*	0.158	0.474
Naphthalene	0	0.0000104		0.000	0.065	. 0	0.0000376	· ·	0.000	0.158
Tetrachloroethylene	0	0.0000156	· · · ·	0.000	0.098	0	0.0000563		0.000	0.236
			*				ا د ب			
						Hot Dip Ga	Ivanizing			
	Hot Dip Ga 420.1	alvanizing 24a1	Hot Dip Galvanizing Production	Hot Dip Gal Loadi	vanizing ng	Fume So Load	ling			
	30-day	Daily		30-day	Daily	30-day	Daily		-	
TSS	0.0188	0.0438	2045	34.871	81.241	2.45	5.72			
O&G	0.00626	0.0188		11.611	34.871	0.819	2.45			
Lead	0.0000939	0.000282		0.174	0.523	0.0123	0.0368		· ·	
Zinc	0.000125	0.000376		0.232	0.697	0.0164	0.0491			
Naphthalene	0	0		0.000	0.000	0	0			
Tetrachloroethylene	0	0		0.000	0.000	0	0		4	
			÷						·	
			*					•		
	602 Lo	ading		603 Loa	ding	693 Lo	ading			
TOO	JU-day	Daily		30-day	Daily	30-day	Daily			
133	104.4//	220.854		1995.993	0144.049	1936.692	5029.773	· ·		
Lord	34.707	93.163		920.304	1499.307	470.508	1399.884			
Leau	0.521	1.565		3.043	9.807	2.821	8.481			
AIRC	0.472	1.418		6.562	10.172	3.731	11.179			
Naphinalene	0.000	0.223								
retrachioroethylene	0.000	0.335								

 $\bigcirc$ 

3

#### Effluent Guidelines and Limits - Outfalls 017, 622/632

30-day Daily 30-day Daily Daily	30-day Daily
TSS         0.0104         0.0312         10744         101.458         304.373         0.00261         0.0073         2243           O&G         0         0         0         0.000         0         0         0         2243           O&G         0         0         0.000         0.000         0         0         0         2243           Lead         0.0000626         0.000188         0.611         1.834         0.0000313         0.0000939           Zinc         0.0000939         0.000282         0.916         2.751         0.0000469         0.000141	5.316         14.868           0.000         0.000           0.064         0.191           0.096         0.287
Cont. Casting Cont. Casting Cont. Casting	
420.64 Production Loading 017 Loading 30-day Daily 30-day Daily 30-day Daily	
sexship. sexang. sexang. sexang.	
TSS 0.00261 0.0073 10685 25.322 70.824 <b>132.096 390.065</b>	
O&G 0.00104 0.00313 10.090 30.367 18.090 30.367 8 kg/d	ay allowance for storm
Lead . 0.0000313 0.0000939 . 0.304 0.911 0.978 2.936 wate	er treated at this outfall
Zinc 0.0000469 0.000141 0.455 1.368 1.467 4.406	
Process Concentrations Flows (gpm) BOF	/storm/groundwater
420.64 Production Londing Cont. Casting for BOF, storm/groundwater BOF:	loading ka/day
30-day Daily 30-day Daily 30-day Daily storm/ground:	30-day Daily
250	and a second
TSS 0.00261 0.0073 2335 5.534 15.477 50 150	231.642 694.926
O&G 0.00104 0.00313 2.205 6.636 15 45 '	69.493 208.478
Lead 0.0000313 0.0000939 0.066 0.199 0.3 0.9	1.390 4.170
Zinc 0.0000469 0.000141 0.099 0.299 0.45 1.35	, 2.085 6.254
BPJ Concentrations Flow (gpm) Cooling Tower for cooling tower flows cooling tower: Loading	
mg/l 50 kg/day Outfall 622/632 Loading	
TSS 50 80 13 626 21 902 250 802 700 807	
O&G 15 20 4 088 5 450 75 785 220 564	
Lead 0.03 0.04 0.008 0.011 1.464 4.380	
Zinc 0.233 0.342 0.063 0.093 2.248 6.646	

AR-14

## Effluent Guidelines and Limits - Outfall 604

	Blast Furnace 420.32a-420.33a		C5 Furnace		C6 Furnace			
			Production		Production		604 Loading	
	30-day D		tons/day:		tons/day:	30-day	Daily	
700	0.000	0.0700	1.19	4755	4107		040 4040	
155	0.020	0.0782		4100	4497		218.4212	000.9438
Ammonia :	0.00292	0.00876					24.53038	73.59115
Lead	0.0000876	0.000263					0.735911	2.209415
Zinc	0.000131	0.000394	•				1.100507	3.309922
Cyanide	0.000876	0.00175					7.359115	14.70143
Phenolics	0.0000292	0.0000584					0.245304	0.490608

\*\*

AR-14

 $\bigcirc$ 

# ArcelorMittal 301(g) Variance Review (all values are kg/day)

Ċ

	BPT	BAT	WLA	Current Limit	PEQ	Draft Limits	Justification	
Ammonia (sum)								
30-day	451	24.5	NA	62.4	38.97	46.8	BPJ/301g	
Daily	1353	73.6	3135	85.6	58.97	73.6	BAT -	
				$\land$				
Ammonia (win)								
30-day	451	24.5	NA	81.6	55.2	66.2	BPJ/301g	
Daily	1353	73.6	2472	211	85.3	102.4	BPJ/301g	

\*\* \*\*

- 19 -