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## Table 1

Estimated Effects of 1 Microgram Per Cubic Meter Increases in Selected Pollutants on the Mortality Rate
(Deaths/100,000)

Study

| Pollutan | Lave Seskin | Lave and b Seskin | Chappin and <br> c <br> and Lave | Cracker et. al. | Mendelsohm and d Orcut t | Schwin and <br> McDona 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| so2 |  | 2.64 |  | -. 313 | 1.02 | 2.01 |
| Sulfates (SO4) | 5.418 | -1.02 | 13.092 |  | 16.0 | 18.0 |
| TSP | . 613 | -. 022 | -. 322 | . 107 | -. 051 |  |
| NO 2 |  | , 17 | 082 | -. 082 | -1.09 |  |
| Nitrates (NO3) |  | . 035 |  |  | -. 059 | 2.3 |
| ```CO(mili- gram/per cubic meter)``` |  |  |  |  | 7.04 |  |
| 03 |  |  |  |  | 58 |  |
| rom Lave and Seskin (1977), Regressi |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Cha | ie and | $(1982),$ | gressions |  |  |  |
| Based o | implie | ects of | microgram p | r cubic | eter ch | ange |
| using estimates in Table III and pollutant means in Table Al in |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| population for creat ion of adult popu 1 at ion mortality rate effects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| e |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| effects on mortality rates. The estimates. in Table 1 are based |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| or, in the case of nitrates, at the average level presented in |  |  |  |  |  | Mendelsohm and Orcut t (1979). Results are based on the |
| constrain | lea | squares | lasticity | timates | for | otal |
| mortality rates given in Schwing and McDonald (1976). |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2
Comparison of $\underset{\text { Erocker, }}{\text { Estimation }}$ et. al. and Chappie and Lave (deaths/100,000)

| Explanatory Variable | Croc al. | er, et. | Chappie and Lave |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent of population non-white | 5.63 | ( 4.56) | 3.61 | (3.47) |
| Median age of population | 6.59 (11.54) |  |  |  |
| Percent of households with | 31.77 | ( 2.35) |  |  |
| greater than 15 persons/room |  |  |  |  |
| Number of days with temperature below 0 | 1.44 | 2.91) |  |  |
| Packages ofc i qaret $t$ es/year/ | 2.2 (2.81) |  |  |  |
| capita |  |  |  |  |
| Per capita expenditures on |  |  |  |  | 2.512 | (1.92) |
| smoking items |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per capita expenditures on alchohl |  |  | i. 255 | (2.45) |  |  |
| In (population) |  |  | -42.59 | (1.98) |  |  |
| Median family income |  |  | . 036 | (2.78) |  |  |
| In (population/sq.mile) |  |  | 41.98 | (1.90) |  |  |
| Grams/day/capita of protein | 70.1 | 3.55) | 8.42 | (0.21) |  |  |
| Gram/day/capita of carbohydrates | -2.92 | 1.36) | . 146 | (0.20) |  |  |
| Grams/day/capita of saturated fatty acids | 14.6 | ( 1.45) | -2.222 | (0.12) |  |  |
| Physicians/10,000 population | -. 53 | (4.35) | -. 64 | (3.79) |  |  |

Table

## Estimated Effects of 1 Microgram Per Cubic Meter Increases in Selected Pollutants on Morbidity Rates

Study
Crocker, et. al (1979)

Notes
a
From est imated demand for freedom from acute il Iness funct ion. b
From est i mat ed chronic illness dose response funct ion c
Regression on 1 y includes SO2 as a "pollution index ", ot her pol lutants omitted because hignly collinear. Estimate is for 10\% change from mean.
d
Based on coefficient of part ial effect of air pollut ion used in Appendix II, "Nat ional Estimates. . . ", e

Dependent variaole $1 s$ Rest $r$ $i$ ct ed Act ivity days $d$ ue to resp i rat ory i II ness ; range estimated from Table 6.

## R. RANKING OF SYMPTOMS

In this next ret of queotione, I'm going to describe several aynptona of discomfort that are common to many people. The l ymptoaa will not necessarily describe what you experience. I would like you to put yourself in the poaition of having these symptoms, however.

I want you to suppose that' your health in the next 12 months is going to be like it was in the past 12 months, except that you will experience an additional day of a given symptom.

First we're going to talk about which of the symptoms you consider to be worst, and which you would be bothered by the least.

Everyone has experienced coughing. Please look at this card, which describes a particular coughing experience.
[Hand respondent Coughing Days card]

The card deacrfbee the one additional day on which coughing occurs. You will cough about twice an hour in spells that last 10 to 20 seconda. You will feel the cough in your cheat, but it la not severe enough to rake you red in the face.

I am going to pause briefly to let, you think about how much you would mind the one additional day of coughing.
[Interviewer pauae for 15 seconds]

Now suppose that, instead of having the one additional day of coughing, you will have one additional day of sinus problems in the next 12 months. In other respects, your health will be exactly au it har been in the last 12 nonths.

A day of sinus problem is described on this card.
[Interviewer hand respondent Days of Sinus Problem card]

## 7-SYMPTOM HEALTH OUESTIONAIRE: ONE DAY

You Will have congestion and pain in your sinuses and forehead all day. You will be bothered by a feeling of stuffiness in your head, accompanied by sinus drainage in your throat. You will need to blow your nose every few minutes. You will have to breathe through your mouth most of the tine.

Please think over how much you would be bothered by the one additional day of sinus problems, and compare it to the day of coughing. Think about which symptom you nind the least and which the mast.

When you have decided, please tell me which bothers you more.
[Check one]
One Coughing day
One Day of sinus problem

Place that card under the other card.
[Wait for respondent to arrange cards]

Another problem that bothers people is throat congestion. Here is a card describing a day of throat congestion.
[Hand respondent card on Day of Throat Congestion]

On this day, you will have congestion in your throat and upper respiratory tract. You will make repeated efforts to clear your throat. The throat clearing is annoying to you and those around you. Your throat will be scratchy. Your voice will be hoarse, and you will have some difficulty speaking.

Suppose that instead of either the coughing or the sinus problems, you will have one additional day of throat congestion, as described on the card.

Please rank the 3 symptoms. The question is which day bothers you the least, which the next least, and which bothers you the most.

Take your time.
Place the three cards In the order you have decided on.

## 7-SYMPTOM HEALTH QUESTIONAIRE: ONE DAY

[Interviewer check to see Card8 are in proper order. If respondent has difficulty in ranking the days, read the following three indented paragraphs. If respondent has difficulty in ranking later on in the questionnaire, return and read these paragraphs. Otherwise, do not read the indented paragraphs to the respondent]
If there are symptoms that bother you the same,
cards for those days should be next
to each other in the deck. It does not matter
which comes before the other.
For example, if you don't are whether you have
coughing or sinus problems, either of the two
cards ray be on top.
[Interviewer be sure that the cards for any group within which there is indifference are in their proper place in the deck, showing how this group ranks relative to the other days. 1

| Symptoms that you mind less than coughing and |
| :--- |
| sinus problems should be on on the |
| top symptoms that mind more should be on the |
| bottor. |

[Resume text if indented paragraphs were not read]

Let's go on to eye irritation. Here is a card describing a day with this type of problem.
[Hand respondent card on Days of Itching and Smarting of Eyes]

Watering and smarting of your eyes on this day forcer you to interrupt what you are doing every 15 minutes or so. You rub your eyes and close them. Stinging of your ryes brings tears three times during the day--bad enough to cause you to US8 a handkerchief or kneenex around your eyes.

We sant to proceed as before. Please think about how much you mind one additional day of this sypmtom and how you rank it with the others.
[Wait until respondent has finished arranging cards]

Next we consider a day on which you have headaches. Here is the card that describes the headache experience.
[Hand rerpondent Headache Day card]
Two rather painful, splitting headaches will strike aoae tine during the day. Each period of headache will LAST 2 hours.

Please proceed a8 before. Think about how much you mind the additional day of headaches. After you have decided, put the card in its proper place in the deck.
[Wait until respondent is through3

We have a couple of more symptoms to consider. The next one is drowsiness. Here is a card describing a day when you are bothered by heavy drowsiness.
[Hand rerpondent Heavy Drowsiness card1
You will have 1 xtreae difficulty staying awake during 6 of the hours when you are normally awake. Sometimes your eyelids will flutter. You will doze off for an inrtant now and then. The drowsiness will interfere with your social activities and other leisure. You will find the drowsiness dangerour if it comes over you while you are driving or working with tools, appliance8 or other nachinery.

After thinking about one additional day when you have drowsiness, add the card to the deck to reflect where it comes in your ranking.
[Wait for respondent to finish, and then proceed]

The last symptom is nausea- Here is a card about it.
[Hand rerpondent Nausea card3

Throughout the day, you will have a lingering urge, to vomit, but you will not be able to do 80. Stomach distress will be strong. There will be no actual pain.

As before, think about how you rank one additional day of nausea, and place it in the deck.

Thank you. I'm going to record your answers for use later. Let's keep the deck Sitting there. We'll use it in a ninute.
[Interviewer record rankings on Tally Sheet. 3
CV. CONTINGENT VALUATION

In this next ret of questions, I'm going to ask you how much it would be worth to you to avoid the symptoms we've been talking about.

The answers in this part are for yourself alone and not for any other member8 Of your household.

Before we start, please look at this card showing how a typical family spends its take-home income.
[Hand respondent Hourehold Spending card]

When you pay to avoid symptoms, the money will have to come out of one of the categories shown. We'll leave the card here so that you can think about where the money comes from that you would spend to avoid the symptoms. Keep in rind, however, that your situation is probably different from this one.

Let's think about ways we normally deal with health problems. One way is to go to the doctor, another way is to buy medicine at the drugstore. Oftentines we don't do anything at all--wejust suffer through the problem until it goes away. It night be that the price of a bottle of medicine or a visit to the doctor measures the value of $a$ cure. But if we stop to think about it, the cure night be worth much more to us than that--if we really had to pay it. A cure night be valuable to us even when we just suffer with the problem until it goes away. In such cases WI) night ask ourselves "How much would I be willing to pay to get rid of this problem right now, even if $I$ don't want to take medicine or visist the doctor?"

With these thoughts in rind, please try to give the largest dollar value a cure would be worth to you when answering the next few questions.

Now look at the card at the top of the deck--[symptom]-which is the symptom you mind least.
cv-I. If your health symptoms in the next 12 months wore the same as in the last 12 months, except that you would also be faced with one additional day of [symptom], would it be worth $\$ 100$ to you to completely get rid of these days of symptoms? [Circle one]

Yes $\quad$ No
Cv-2. [If answer to $C V-1$ is Yes. ark if getting rid of the day would be be worth $\$ 200$, $\$ 400$--doubling each time until a No response is obtained. Then subtract half the difference between the two previous answers. Continue adding or subtracting half thr difference between the last two answers until respondent no longer wants to change. 3
[If answer to CV-1 is No, ark SSO, \$25--decreasing by half until a Yea response is obtained. Then add half the difference between the two previous answers, continuing with the half difference procedure until respondent no longer wants to change.]
[Record final bid at top of tally sheets.]

Next look at the card at the bottom of the deck which is the symptom you mind the most.
[Interviewer: For the following two questions, you will need a calculated bid for CV-3. The calculated bid for CV-3 is the bid to get rid of the least bothersome day given in the answer to CV2, multiplied by two.]

CV-3. If your health symptoms were the same in the next 12 months as in the last 12 months, except that you would also be faced with one day of the symptom you mind the most, would you be willing to pay [calculated bid for CV-53 to completely gmt rid of the symptoms on that day? [Circle one]

$$
\text { Yes } \quad N \text { o }
$$

cv-4. [If the answer to CV- is Yes, ask if respondent would be willing to pay double the calculated bid for CV-3. Proceed by further doubling until a No answer is obtained. Then subtract half the difference between thr first No amount and the last Yes amount. Continue increasing or decreasing by half the difference a until a final bid is obtained. 1
[If the answer to CV-3 is No, aek if respondent would be willing to pay half the calculated bid for cv-3. Proceed by halving until a Yes answer is obtained. Then add half the difference between the first Ye8 anount and the last No amount. Continue increasing or decreasing by half the difference until a final bid is obtained1
[Record Final bid at bottor at tally sheet.]

I have here a tally sheet for you to keep track of your answers. [Interviewer hand respondent Tally Sheet]

Here is a pencil. [Interviewer hand respondent pencil3
The first column of the Tally Sheet is called "Symptom Day8 Ranked fron Least to Most Bothersome". In this column, I have written the symptoms in the correct order fror the dock of cards you have arranged.

The second column of the Tally Sheet is your Bid to avoid additional syapton day. The dollar amounts you have given are for the first and last lines in this column.

At this point, think about how much you would be willing to pay to avoid one additional day of the other five symptoms that you placed between thr least and most bothersome.

Take a8 much time as you nerd to decide on the amounts you would be willing to pay to avoid each symptom day. As you decide on the amounts, record them.

People often find that they want to change the bid8 originally given for the least and most botheraone days. They often take several tries at each entry in the column.

Feel free to change any of the anounts as much as you want. In this part, people find themselves using the eraser a lot.

## 7-SYMPTOM HEALTH QUESTIONAIRE: ONE DAY

Tally Sheet


Table 4

Willingness to Pay and Private Cost of Illness Comparisons of Means


```
VALUE OF LIFE FIND HERLTH OVER THE LIFE CYCLE
```

-- Components of morbidity value include
medical outlays
foregone earnings
loss of non-work activity
physical suffering
mental suffering
-- Willingness to pay (WTP) is less, the longer the latency period (Rosen model)
-- Value of an extra year of life increases with age (Rosen model)
-- One period elasticity of satisfaction with respect to consumption is a key determinant of the value of health and life (Rosen model)
-- Premise:People are not irrational (as in Tversky, Kahneman et. al. ) but rather try to make choices when information is costly and imperfect.
-- Preference reversals and intransitivities reflect high costs of information, not irrationality (Kahn model).
-- Imperfect information increases variance of value estimation but does not cause bias (Kahn model).
-- Example of theorem: More effort is given to answering WTP questions if they are realistically related to people's prior beliefs about values (Kahn model).
-- Hypothesis: In regressions, variance of benavioral response will be predictably related to uncertainty of outcome and cost of information.
-- Hypothesis: Environmental information acquisition is done collectively. Knowledge about dangers or side effects of new compounds accumu 1 at es more slowly than knowledge about benefits from their use. As a result, individual responses on average may be biased, that is, people take less than opt imum defensive measures, affecting bias of benefit estimates.

## CV. CONTINGENT VALUATION--ANGINA

In this next set of questions, I'm going to ask you how much it would be worth to you to reduce or avoid angina pectoris--a painful condition that can occur with different frequencies and different levels of severity. The description $I$ will read to you almost certainly won't describe your own circumstances. I would' like you to put yourself in the position of having these symptoms, however, and tell me what it would be worth to you to removethem.

Angina is a painful condition of the chest that afflicts about 500,000 people in the United States. It can occur in people of any age, although most sufferers are 50 years of age or older. Symptoms can be of varying degrees of severity. Even the severest instances however, hardly ever result in death.

## Mild Angina:One Day

First let's consider mild angina. Here is a card discribing it. [Hand respondent card on Mild Angina.]

An attack lasts anywhere from 10 minutes to 3 hours. You experience stiffness in the shoulders, backache and numbness in the hands and feet. Often, these symptoms are accompanied by difficulty breathing with any exertion and dull persistent chest pain like a band is tightening around your chest.

Suppose that in an average month, you can expect 1 of these symptom days.

CV-1 Would it be worth $\$ 53$ a month to completely avoid the day of symptoms?

Yes $\qquad$
No
[If Yes, ask $\$ 100, \$ 200$, etc. until Reject. Then work back to highest previous Accept (but no further).

If No, ask $\$ 20$, $\$ 10$, etc. until Accept. Then work back if necessary. Record final answer on Tally Sheet, Value 1.1

Mild Angina:10 davs
Next suppose you have the angina condition 10 days a month on the average. Would it be worth [Double Value 1] per month to completely avoid one of those days each month?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
[Iterate as in Value 1 instructions. Record on Tally Sheet, Value 2.1

Again let's suppose you have the angina condition 10 days a month, just as described on the card you have. This time I'd like you to tell me how much you'd be willing to pay to completely eliminate. all ten symptom days each month.
[Record on Tally Sheet, Value 3.1
Suppose you had the opportunity to eliminate half of these 10 symptom days. How much would it be worth to you to be free of the five symptom days each month?
[Record on Tally Sheet, Value 4.1
Severe Angina:One Day
Now let's look at a more severe angina problem. Here is a card about it. [Hand respondent card on Severe Angina.] Severe angina has all the symptoms we have just discussed, but some of them are considerably worse. There is a feeling of suffocation. Chest pain is now almost unbearable. The experience can be terrifying because one feels as though one were dying. After having some experience with these attacks, however, and with assurances from the doctor, one learns that they do not pose a risk of death. The symptoms last 10 minutes to 3 hours and occur one day a month.

Suppose you had the severe an ina condition one day a month. would it be worth [Double Value 14 a month to completely avoid the day of symptoms?
Yes
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
[Iterate as in Value 1 instructions. Record on Tally Sheet, Value 5.1

Next suppose you have the severe angina condition 10 days a month. Would it be worth [Double Value 5] a month to completely avoid one of those days each month?
$\qquad$ No $\qquad$
[Iterate as in Value 1 instructions. Record on Tally Sheet, Value 6.1

Again let's suppose you have the severe angina condition 10 days a month, as described on the card. This time, tell me how much it would be worth to you to completely eliminate all ten days of severe angina each month.
[Record on Tally Sheet, Value 7.]
One last question. Once again you experience the severe angina symptoms ten days a month. Suppose you could eliminate half the symptom days each month. How much would you be willing to pay to be free of 5 of the 10 symptom days each month?
[Record on Tally Sheet, Value 8.]
Now I'd like to show you a summary of your answers. [Hand Tally Sheet to respondent. f
[If any of the Severe Angina values are smaller than the corresponding Mild Angina values, point it out on the Tally Sheet and say]

Value for Severe Angina is smaller than Value for Mild Angina, even though the situations are the same in other respects. Would you like to make a change that takes this into account?
[If there are no inconsistencies, say]
Tell me if they look ok. to you, or if any answers need to be changed.
[Record any changes. Take back Tally Sheet and Symptom cards. 1

## Angina Tally Sheet

Frequency
You have one day of mild anuina each month
Value of eliminating one day a month
You have 10 davs of mild angina each month
Value of eliminating one day a month
Value of eliminating 10 days a month
Value of eliminating 5 days a month
Value of eliminating one day a month
You have lo days of severe anuina each month
Value of eliminating one day a month
Value of eliminating 10 days a month

Relative good health but noticeably reduced from thattat 50 .

Health reductions continue both with no serious illnesses. You continue able to do a full day's work, but you retire at age 65.

Cancer symptoms become apparent, and chemotherapy is initiated. Side effects includenausea. You feel the need to vomit several days each week. There are periods of improved well being, but on other occasions you feel rotten for days at a time.

74 Chemotherapy and side effects continue, but otherwise you lead a normal life.

Cancer spreads throughout your body and death occurs.

Good health
Symptoms (which probably began earlier) become apparent: Loss of energy (e.g., \{climbing stairs tires you out; shortness of breath, difficulty in breathing. Breathing difficulties result in increasing work absences.

Symptoms become increasingly severe. Health deteriorates to the extent that early retirement is necessary.

Lung deterioration reaches point where you intermittently must use a portable bottled oxygen supply to reduce breathing difficulties while walking.

You become bedridden and require continuous bottled oxygen to reduce breathing difficulties.

Death due to heart failure.

## LIFE HEALIH SCENARIOS (Contimued)

| Age | Cancer |
| :---: | :---: |
| 50 | Good health |
| 55 |  |
| 60 | Relative good health but noticeably reduced from that at age SO. |
| 65 | Health reductions continue but with no serious illnesses. You continue able to do a full day's work, but retire at age 65 . |
| 70 | Still no serious illnesses |
| 74 |  |
| 76 |  |
| 78 | Sudden and painless death occurs due to heart failure. |

Table 5

| Estimates of |  | Price of Air Pollution Part iculates) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Estimated Marginal |
| St udy | Location | Year | (1980 Dollars/mm3) |
| Diamond (1980) | Chicago | 1969-71 | \$422 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Li and Brown } 1980 \\ & \text { Smith (1978) } \end{aligned}$ | Boston Chicago | $\begin{aligned} & 1971 \\ & 1971 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & a \\ & 1-8 \\ & 91-108 \end{aligned}$ |
| Smith and | Houst on | 1970 | 4-21 |
| Clhsfeldt (1979) | Houston | 1970 | $\begin{array}{r} 14-68 \\ a \end{array}$ |
| Wieand (1973) | Census | 1960 | 6-9 |

a
Not statistically different from zero.

Table 6

```Estimates of Elasticities of Demand for Clean Air
```

| Study | Location | Date Year | price <br> Elasticity | Income Elasticity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bender, et al. | Chicago | 1972 | . . 516 | . 609 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { (1980) } \\ & \text { Harrison and } \end{aligned}$ | Boston | 1970 | - . 850 | . 957 |
| Rubinfeld (1978) |  |  |  |  |
| Nelson | D.C. | 1970 | -1.250 | 1.000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & (1970) \\ & \text { Ohsfeldt } \end{aligned}$ | Houston | 74-79 | -1.111 | . 081 |
| (1983) | Chicago Philadelphia | $\begin{aligned} & 74-79 \\ & 74-79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -\quad .113 \\ -\quad .382 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 139 \\ .123 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

Table 7
Marginal Value of Safety
(Source: Gegax, et. al. 1984)

| Technique | Mean Va 1 ue |
| :--- | :---: |
| Wage Hedonic | 82.148 <br> (normal mill. |
| Contingent $\quad$ distribution) |  |
| $\$ 2.136$ | mill. |
| (distribution skewed' |  |
| right) |  |

Table a
Framework for health Values
healtn Effects Valued Value reflects

Acute or Short-term Morbidity

| --lignt symptoms | --physical and mental discomfort |
| :---: | :---: |
| --marginal change in time soent ill | --work t inie lost |
|  |  |
|  | --\&her t ime lost |
|  | --med i cd 1 expend it ures |
|  | --costs of avert i ng behavior or preventive measures |


| Aggravation of Previously Existing Chronic Morbidity |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| --chron ic lung cond it ions | --a larger degree of all of the above |
| --chroni c heart cond i t ions |  |
| --marg i na 1 andnon-mar g i na 1 | --individuals' health status is already low |
| changes in time spent ill |  |
| i ncreased I nc i dence of |  |
| Non-f at a $\mathbf{1}$ Chronic Morb i dity |  |
| --chron ic 1 ung conditions | --all of the above |
| --chronic neart conditions | --Iifestyle and work changes a ue to the |
| --cancer | existence of chronic |

## Mortality

--unforseen instant death
--ch ron ic 1 ung conditions
--chron i c heart cond it i ons
--cancer
--mortal ity risks
--morbidity preceding mortality valued as above
--psych ic cost s of imminent death


Table 9
Values of Acute Morbidity (cont inued)

--coughing/sneezing:

| mi 1d | 4 | $x$ | X | x- | x |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| severe | 11 | X | X | X | X |
| --nead congest i on, eye, ear, throat irritat ion: |  |  |  |  |  |
| mild | 6 | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | X |
| severe | 13 | $x$ | X | $x$ | x |
| Health Product ino |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cropper (1381) |  |  |  |  |  |
| --acute i ll ness | 176 |  | $x$ |  |  |
| Gerkinq, et al (1984) |  |  |  |  |  |
| --acute i 1 Iness | 40 | X | X | X | X |

Table 10

## Values af Chroric Morbidity



CHRONIC LUNG CONDITIONS_
Costof l 11 ness
Freeman, et al (1976)
--average case of: emphysema 3194

Scitovsky \&
McCall(1976)
--average case of pheumonia こら3 (non-hospital care)

Cont i nqent Va 1 uat i on
Tolley, et al (1985)
pred icted value of 1
day of relief for
person usual ly sick.
iexperienced 36 days of sympton) for:

| --cough | 107 | X | $x$ | X | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| --sinus | 82 | $x$ | X | X | X |
| --throat | 163 | X | X | X | X |
| --eyes | 334 | $X$ | X | X | X |
| --cough, throat and s i nus | 297 | X | X | X | X |

30 days of:
(given normal health)

| --cougn | 462 | $X$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - -sinus | 643 | $X$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| -throat | 463 | $X$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |


|  | Values of | Chronic MOrb | bidity | (cont | inued) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach, study, and health effect | Value (\$/day) | Value discomfort | work 1 ost | ponents time lost $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Included } \\ & \text { med i- preven- } \\ & \mathrm{cal} \text { tion } \\ & \mathrm{m}-\mathrm{w}-\quad---\mathrm{w}_{---} \end{aligned}$ |
| --eyes | se 3 | X | $x$ | $x$ | X |
| --cough, throat and s i nus | 829 | X | X | X | X |
| Rowe and Chestnut ( 1984) |  |  |  |  |  |
| --average of 36 bad asthma days | 401 | X | X | X | X |
| Loehman, et al (1979) |  |  |  |  |  |
| one week of: |  |  |  |  |  |
| --shortness of breath/ chest pains: |  |  |  |  |  |
| mi Id | 22 | X | X | X | X |
| severe | 57 | X | X | X | X |
| --cough ing/sneez inq: |  |  |  |  |  |
| mi Id | 13 | $X$ | $X$ | X | X |
| severe | 32 | $X \quad X$ | X | X | X |
| --head congest ion, eye, ear, t hroat irritation: |  |  |  |  |  |
| mild | 15 | X | X | $X$ | X |
| severe | 33 | X | X | X | X |
| 90 days of: |  |  |  |  |  |
| --shortness-of breath/ chest pains: |  |  |  |  |  |
| mi ld | 56 | $x$ | X | X | $X$ |
| severe | 156 | X | X | X | $X$ |



Table 10
Values of Chronic Morbidity (continued)

Approach, study, and health effect
--5 mild days
--5 severe days
--10 mild days
--10 severe days
--20 mild days
--20 severe days

| Value | Value Components |  | Included |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\$/day) | dis- work time | medi- preven- |  |
| $\ldots$ | comf art lost | lost cal | tion |


| 151 | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 242 | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| $252-756$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| $330-1210$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| 1090 | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| 1327 | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |

## CANCER

## Cost of Illness

Hodson \& Kopstein
(1984), Paringer
\& Park (1977)
--average case of cancer

9742
Hartunian, et al (19??)
--average first year of lung cancer 29,924 * x




| Interim Values forCategegery | Table 12 and Mortality E |  | of Alr | Pollution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value Low | Estimate Medium | High |  |
| Increased Incidence of Non-fatal Chronic Morbidity (per case per year) |  |  |  |  |
| lung conditions: |  |  |  |  |
| --emphysema | \$3,200 | \$7,000 | \$10,000 |  |
| --asthma/bronchitis | 200 | 900 | 1,200 |  |
| --lung cancer | 30,000 | 60,000 | 100,000 |  |
| heart conditions: |  |  |  |  |
| --angina uncomplicated | 500 | 800 | 2,000 |  |
| --other heart disease | 2,500 | 4,000 | 10,000 |  |
| --likely combination of lung and heart | 1,700 | 3,800 | 5,900 |  |
| Mortality (per statistical life) |  |  |  |  |
| --unforseen instant death | 5 mill. | 2 mill. | 5 mill. |  |
| --emphysema | . 64 m | 3.5 m | 9 m |  |
| --asthma/ broch it is | 53 m | 2.5 m | 5.5 m |  |
| --lung cancer | . 58 m | 4 m | 10 m |  |
| --heart d i sease | . 54 m | 3 m | 7 m |  |
| --weighted average of al 1 causes | . 58 m | 3.8 m | 9.4 m |  |

