

2016 EXCEPTIONAL EVENTS RULE REVISIONS: MITIGATION PLANS

Ben Gibson

U.S. EPA / Office of Air Quality Planning & Standards / Air Quality Policy Division

April 18, 2018



Contents

- Key Messages on Exceptional Events
- Statutory Principles behind Mitigation Plans
- Identifying Areas that Require Mitigation Plans
- Required Components for Mitigation Plans
- Public Comment Process for Mitigation Plans
- Next Steps
- Questions and Comments

For illustration and discussion purposes only



Key Messages on Exceptional Events

- EPA's intent with the 2016 revisions to the Exceptional Events Rule was to address issues raised by stakeholders and reduce the burden of the demonstration process while continuing to protect public health
- Early coordination and communication between EPA and air agencies is critical to ensure that benefits of the rule are achieved
- EPA continues to seek feedback and opportunities to streamline the implementation process – our goal is continuous improvement
- EPA's exceptional events webpage provides key resources, including a mitigation plan checklist, and will be updated as new materials become available
 - <https://www.epa.gov/air-quality-analysis/exceptional-events-rule-and-guidance>

For illustration and discussion purposes only



Guiding Statutory Principles for Exceptional Events

- Section 319(b)(3)(A) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) specifies five principles that the EPA “shall follow” in promulgating regulations for exceptional events:
 - Protection of public health is the highest priority;
 - Timely information should be provided to the public in any case in which the air quality is unhealthy;
 - All ambient air quality data should be included in a timely manner in an appropriate federal air quality database that is accessible to the public;
 - Each state must take necessary measures to safeguard public health regardless of the source of the air pollution; and
 - Air quality data should be carefully screened to ensure that events not likely to recur are represented accurately in all monitoring data and analyses.
- These principles recognize that air quality’s impacts on public health do not change when air quality data is excluded for regulatory purposes

For illustration and discussion purposes only



Applying Statutory Principles to Mitigation Plans

- The mitigation plan component of the exceptional events rule was developed with the goal of protecting public health in accordance with CAA Section 319(b)(3)(A) in a low-burden and efficient manner
 - Helps area officials meet minimum public communication obligations
 - Only required for areas with recurring events of the same type and pollutant
 - Air agencies may cross-reference relevant existing plans to meet requirements
 - Examples include Natural Events Action Plans; High Wind Action Plans; Smoke Management Programs; Subpart H Contingency Plans
 - EPA reviews plans for completeness and verifies public comment process but does not substantively “approve” plans

For illustration and discussion purposes only



Initial Identification of Areas that Require Mitigation Plans

- Table 6 in the preamble of the 2016 Exceptional Events Rule identifies the 29 initial areas with recurring events (three events or event seasons in a 3-year time period) that require development of mitigation plans (SEE ALSO APPENDIX TO THIS DOC)
 - Prepared after notice and opportunity for public comment
 - Submitted for EPA's review and verification of the plan components
- Identified areas have until 2 years from the rule effective date (9/30/18 for initially identified areas) to submit a mitigation plan
 - After the submission deadline, EPA will not concur on demonstrations for events for the same area, event type, and pollutant that are the focus of a mitigation plan if the air agency has not submitted the relevant plan

For illustration and discussion purposes only



How EPA Identifies Areas that Require Mitigation Plans

- Areas that experienced three or more events or event seasons of the same type and pollutant in a 3-year period
 - Seasons generally considered to be three months, but seasonality is evaluated on a case-by-case basis
 - “Areas” generally bounded at the county level, CBSA, or, where applicable, the nonattainment area
- (“R”) flags should be applied in AQS only when an initial notification or demonstration will be submitted, in consultation with EPA Regional offices
 - Informational (“I”) flags do not count toward mitigation plan requirements, and are encouraged for broader air agency reference purposes
 - If EPA nonconcurs with a demonstration, that event will not be counted

For illustration and discussion purposes only



'R' Series Flags in AQS

Maintain - Raw Data (National Air Data Group)

Raw Data | Comments

State: 37 | County: 063 | Site: 9999 | Param: 88101 | Begin Date: | End Date: | Standard Units: 105

2

Raw Data

Mp ID	Date	Time	Stat Ind	Reported Sample Value	Standard Sample Value	EP
1	20140220	00:00	P	2	2	
1	20140214	00:00	P	3	3	
1	20140208	00:00	P	1	1	

1

Valid values for Qualifier Code

Find %

Code	Qualifier Desc	Qualifier Type
RA	African Dust	REQEXC
RB	Asian Dust	REQEXC
RC	Chem. Spills & Indust. Accidents	REQEXC
RD	Cleanup After a Major Disaster	REQEXC
RE	Demolition	REQEXC
RF	Fire - Canadian	REQEXC
RG	Fire - Mexico/Central America	REQEXC
RH	Fireworks	REQEXC
RI	High Pollen Count	REQEXC
RJ	High Winds	REQEXC
RK	Infrequent Large Gatherings	REQEXC

Find | OK | Cancel

Monitor Protocol: 116 | Method: 105 | Unit: 7 | Duration: | Coll Frequency: | Alt Mdl:

<https://www.epa.gov/aqs/aqs-manuals-and-guides>

For illustration and discussion purposes only



Identifying New Areas for Mitigation Plans

- EPA-OAQPS is working to develop a consistent and transparent process to identify new areas that require mitigation plans, and welcomes input on key considerations
 - The concept of seasonality
 - Boundary determination for areas
- Once developed, EPA intends to test the new process as a pilot program that does not require mitigation plans
 - Process would be refined with air agency input before full rollout
 - Subsequent identification rounds would take place on a regular basis

For illustration and discussion purposes only



Required Mitigation Plan Components

1. Public notification to and education programs for affected or potentially affected communities
2. Steps to identify, study, and implement mitigating measures
3. Provisions for periodic review and evaluation of the mitigation plan and its implementation and effectiveness by the air agency and all interested stakeholders

For illustration and discussion purposes only



1. Public Education and Notification

- Steps to activate public notification and education systems in advance of expected short-term NAAQS exceedances
- Outreach mechanisms could include: Web site alerts, National Weather Service alerts, telephone or text bulletins, television or radio campaigns, social media announcements
- Supporting actions could include: Adoption of forecasting methods, consultation with health department regarding health advisories, suggested actions for sensitive populations (*e.g.*, remain indoors, avoid vigorous outdoor activity)

For illustration and discussion purposes only



2. Mitigating Measures

- Measures to abate or minimize controllable sources, such as:
 - Continuous operation of normally intermittent controls
 - Modified work practices (*e.g.*, spray for dust suppression)
 - Contingent emissions limits during extreme events
- Methods to minimize public exposure
- Processes to collect and maintain event-related data
- Mechanisms to consult with other air quality managers in the affected area regarding the appropriate responses
 - Collaboration between potentially affected local, state, tribal and federal air quality managers and/or emergency response personnel

For illustration and discussion purposes only



3. Review and Evaluation

- Should include review of implementation and effectiveness by the air agency and all interested stakeholders (*e.g.*, public and private land owners/managers, relevant government agencies, the public)
- Similar to 30-day public comment process required for the public review of an exceptional events demonstration
- Plan for periodic review, including opportunity for new input from stakeholders
 - Air agencies may elect to summarize and submit public comments for subsequent reviews and plan reassessments, but it is not required

For illustration and discussion purposes only



Public Comment Process for Initial Submission

- With the submission of the initial mitigation plan, air agency must
 - Document that a draft version of the mitigation plan was available for public comment for a minimum of 30 days
 - Explains in its submission, for each public comment received, any changes made to the mitigation plan or explain why the air agency did not make changes
- EPA reviews submissions only to ensure that air agencies included required mitigation plan components and conducted public comment process

For illustration and discussion purposes only



Next Steps for Rule Implementation and Mitigation Plans

- EPA is committed to successful implementation of the exceptional events rule, continuing with plans for this year
 - Additional supporting materials, including an update to the 2013 FAQs and new documents to further assist with the development of demonstrations for specific types of events
 - Develop pilot process with air agency input for identifying new mitigation plan areas
 - Mitigation plans for initially identified areas are due September 30, 2018
 - We will begin sharing example plans once finalized
- Visit EPA's website for currently available resources including the final rule, guidance and best practices documents, the mitigation plan checklist, and example demonstrations, with new materials to be posted as they become available
 - <https://www.epa.gov/air-quality-analysis/treatment-data-influenced-exceptional-events>
(or search "EPA Exceptional Events" online)

For illustration and discussion purposes only



Questions and Comments



Email: gibson.benjamin@epa.gov

EPA Exceptional Events webpage:

<https://www.epa.gov/air-quality-analysis/treatment-data-influenced-exceptional-events>

APPENDIX A, part 1: Table 6. Areas Subject to the Mitigation Requirements in 40 CFR 51.930(b)^a

Pollutant	AQS Flag ^b	AQS Flag Description	State	Nonattainment Area, County or City Boundary
Ozone	RO	Stratospheric Ozone Intrusion	CO	Denver-Boulder-Greeley-Ft. Collins-Loveland, CO Ozone Nonattainment Area
Ozone	RT	Wildfire-U. S.	CO	Denver-Boulder-Greeley-Ft. Collins-Loveland, CO Ozone Nonattainment Area
Ozone	RT	Wildfire-U. S.	NV	Clark County
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	AZ	Phoenix, AZ PM ₁₀ Nonattainment Area
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	AZ	Rillito, AZ PM ₁₀ Nonattainment Area
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	AZ	West Pinal, AZ PM ₁₀ Nonattainment Area
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	AZ	Yuma, AZ PM ₁₀ Nonattainment Area
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	AZ	Gila River Indian Community
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	AZ	Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	CA	Coso Junction, CA PM ₁₀ Nonattainment Area
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	CA	Imperial Valley, CA PM ₁₀ Nonattainment Area
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	CA	Coachella Valley, CA PM ₁₀ Nonattainment Area
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	CA	San Joaquin Valley PM ₁₀ Nonattainment Area
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	CA	Los Angeles South Coast Air Basin PM ₁₀ Nonattainment Area

^a The areas noted in this table were identified using monitoring data in AQS for the January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2015, timeframe. The EPA downloaded data with request exclusion flags in May 2016, matched these data to exceedance days and then identified those areas with three seasons of events within a 3-year period.

^b The complete list of AQS qualifier codes and descriptions is available at <https://aq.s.epa.gov/aqsweb/documents/codetables/qualifiers.html>.

For illustration and discussion purposes only



APPENDIX A, part 2: Table 6. Areas Subject to the Mitigation Requirements in 40 CFR 51.930(b)^a

Pollutant	AQS Flag ^b	AQS Flag Description	State	Nonattainment Area, County or City Boundary
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	CO	Alamosa County
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	CO	Prowers County
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	NM	Anthony, NM PM ₁₀ Nonattainment Area
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	NM	Luna County
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	NV	Nye County
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	NV	Clark County PM ₁₀ Nonattainment Area
PM ₁₀	RJ	High Winds	WA	Wallula PM ₁₀ Maintenance Area
PM _{2.5}	RA	African Dust	TX	Harris County
PM _{2.5}	RJ	High Winds	TX	El Paso County
PM _{2.5}	RS	Volcanic Eruptions	HI	Hawaii County
PM _{2.5}	RT	Wildfire-U. S.	CA	Nevada County
PM _{2.5}	RT	Wildfire-U. S.	CA	Sacramento, CA PM _{2.5} Nonattainment Area
PM _{2.5}	RT	Wildfire-U. S.	MT	Missoula County
PM _{2.5}	RT	Wildfire-U. S.	MT	Ravalli County
PM _{2.5}	RT	Wildfire-U. S.	NV	Carson City (City)
PM _{2.5}	RT	Wildfire-U. S.	NV	Douglas County
PM _{2.5}	RT	Wildfire-U. S.	NV	Washoe County
SO ₂	RS	Volcanic Eruptions	HI	Hawaii County

^a The areas noted in this table were identified using monitoring data in AQS for the January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2015, timeframe. The EPA downloaded data with request exclusion flags in May 2016, matched these data to exceedance days and then identified those areas with three seasons of events within a 3-year period.

^b The complete list of AQS qualifier codes and descriptions is available at <https://aqz.epa.gov/aqsweb/documents/codetables/qualifiers.html>.

For illustration and discussion purposes only

