# Class | Wells

EPA Region 6 Brian Graves UIC Land Ban Coordinator (214) 665-7193 graves.brian@epa.gov







#### **States With Class I Injection Wells**



Primacy States with Class I Injection Wells Direct Implementation States with Class I Injection Wells States with No Class I Injection Wells



## **Class I Wells**



Region

#### **Class I Hazardous Wells**



Region

#### **Class I Nonhazardous Wells**



Region

# **Class | Well Siting**

- Just because a State or EPA has UIC regulations a Class I well can't be constructed everywhere in the U.S.
- A permit/petition reviewer must evaluate:
  - Geology
  - Injection zone/interval properties
  - Area of review
  - Seismic activity (hazardous wells)

# **Geologic Maps**





# Injection Zone/Interval

#### WELL LOG CROSS-SECTION #6



# **Injection Reservoir Properties**





#### Well Cores in Aluminum Core Barrel



# **Area of Review**



## **Seismic Hazards Map**



#### **USGS 2018 Earthquake Map Including Induced Seismicity**



equivalent to Modified Mercalli Intensity VI, which is defined as: "Felt by all, many frightened. Some heavy furniture moved; a few instances of fallen plaster. Damage slight."

Injection Well Technology

### 1st Step: Surface Casing





# 2nd Step: Long-String Casing

## **Installing Casing**









## **Casing with Centralizer**

### Bow-spring centralizer

## **Cementing Operation**





#### Casing Cementing Operations







# **3rd Step: Tubing and Packer**





## Tubing and Packers



## **Running a Packer**



# Packer Installed in Casing



### **Class I Well Construction**



## Perforating





#### Shaped charge



### **Running a Well Screen**



# Well Screens











Screen and Gravel Pack Completion







Cased-hole gravel pack

Wellhead Showing Tubing and Casing Hangers



# Deep Well Safeguards

Monitoring injection pressure and flow rate helps ensure peak efficiency and regulatory compliance

Protective concrete and steel barriers continue to protect the injection zone

Waste solution is sealed in the injection zone, much like oil and gas deposits are trapped for millions of years



Double barriers of concrete and steel protect drinking water

Pressurized annulus fluid is monitored 24 hours a day to protect against leaks

Impermeable rock, up to several hundred feet thick, prevents upward flow of wastes

Over time, wastes are neutralized or reduced in hazard by the forces of nature



#### WELLHEAD DETAILS

- 1. Flanged Valve 4" Series 600, with 4" pipe adapter on top
- 2. Reducer 6" x 4" Series 600
- 3. Flow Cross 6" Series 600
- 4. Blind Flange 6" Series 600
- 5. Wing Valve 6" Series, full opening
- 6. Flange below valve, 5.75" I.D.
- 7. Tubinghead Cameron 10" 3,000 psi x 9 5/8" top flange is type MH with pack-off on 7" tubing; grease packing is put through 1" hole on the side of the flange
- 8. Casinghead: Cameron 12" 3000 psi x 13 5/8"

#### **BELOW GROUND DETAILS**

- 1. Conductor Casing: 20", 3/8" wall thickness driven to 61'±
- 2. Surface Casing: 13 3/8", 48 lb/ft, 8rd, H-40, set at 1,030'±
- 3. Protection Casing: 9 5/8", 47 lb/ft, 43.5 lb/ft and 36 lb/ft
- Injection Tubing: 6 joints of 7", 23 lb/ft, K-55, LT&C, 8rd; 1 crossover, 7", 26 lb/ft, K-55, LT&C 4. box and buttress; 35 joints of 7", 26 lb/ft, K-55, buttress; 1 crossover, 7", 26 lb/ft, K-55, buttress box and LT&C; 29 joints of 7", 26 lb/ft, K-55, LT&C, 8rd, set at  $2,831'\pm$
- 5. Crossover 7" LT&C x 4 1/2"EUE 8rd at 2,831'± -2,832'±
- Packer Guiberson 9 5/8" x 4 1/2" Uni VI, LD. 6. 3.98", set at 2,832'± to 2,840'±
- 7. Old Injection Tubing: 7", 23 lb/ft, left at 2,845' $\pm$  2,855' $\pm$  with the old packer TTW "LH" 9 5/8" x 7", left at 2,855' $\pm$  2,863' $\pm$
- 8. Tail Pipe: 1 joint, 4 1/2" EUE, 8rd with a mule shoe, at 2,870'±
- 9. Perforations 2,955'± 2,985'±, 4 SPF 0" Phasing added 12/17/91
- 10. Perforations 2,986'± 3,060'±
- 11. Perforations 3,096'± 3,156'±
- 12. Rubber packer element at 3,198'±
- 13. Plugged Back Depth 3,203'±
- 14. Screen: Howard Smith 0.020 gauge, stainless steel 316
- 15. Gravel Pack Abandoned
- 16. Hole Underreamed to 18" abandoned 17. Total Depth - 3,734'±

#### **Sidetracked Well**



KB = 25' (GL) GL = 13.8 (MSL)All depths RKB

#### COMPLETION DETAIL

- 1. 20" Conductor Casing driven to 90 ft.
- 13-3/8" Surface Casing @ 1627', set in 17.5" hole: 1627 ft of 54.5 lb/ft K-55 ST & C; Cemented with 990 sx of Halliburton light + 2% CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 800 sx 50/50 Pozmix + 2% CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 180 sx Class A.
- 9-5/8" Protection Casing @ 4847', set in 12.25" hole: 4847 ft of 40 lb/ft K-55 LT&C; Cemented with 1460 sx Halliburton light and 200 sx Class H.
- Injection Tubing: 7" 26 lb/ft K-55 8rd X 5-1/2" 15.5 lb/ft K-55 LT&C with X/O @ 2578'.
- Annular Fluid: 9.1 ppg NaCl brine + 10 gal ANHIB.
- 7-5/8" Protection Liner from 2661' to 4258'; 26.4 lb/ft P-110; Lead cement is 237 bbl standard + 0.5% Halad 344 & 0.7% HR7, mixed @ 14.5 ppg. Tail cement is 129 bbl Premium + 0.3% Halad @ 16.4 ppg.
- 7. Injection Packer @ 4082': 7-5/8" X 5-1/2" TIW "LH"
- TIW Overshot (4' polished bore) from 4091' 5000'; Top of polished riser at 4095'.
- 4-1/2" Injection Screen: 9.50 lb/ft Incoloy 825 blank from 4095' to 4225'; 25 ga.HWS Incoloy 825 wire-wrapped screen from 4225' to 4354'. 15" Bow Spring Centralizers @ 4270' & 4354'. Bullplug @ 4354' to 4355'.
- Gravel pack sand: 12/20 mesh; Open hole underreamed to 22" AHS
- 11. Total Depth: 4356'
- 12. Abandoned Completion
- Abandoned Sidetrack casing was section milled and cemented with 7 plugs:
  - 5 bbl premium cement from 4209' to 4252'
  - 14 bb1 premium cement from 4120' to 4209'
  - 9.5 bbl premium cement from 4052' to 4120'
  - 15.5 bbl premium cement from 3876' to 4052'
  - 14 bbl premium cement from 3753' to 3876'
  - 26.5 bbl premium cement from 3482' to 3753'
  - 40.5 bbl premium cement from 2920' to 3482'
- Abandoned Sidetrack (4250' to 4182') Cemented with 5.6 bbl premium cement

Revised by: drawing not

Figure 3: Injection Well No. 1 Sidetrack No. 1
































# Class I Municipal Wells









## Installing Fiberglass Tubing





## Injection Well Packer





























#### **INJECTION WELL IW-1**



# Monitoring

## Single zone well

#### Annulus monitor tubes

#### Multi- zone well





### **Class I Well**

### **Hazardous and Nonhazardous Summary**

Well Requirements	Nonhazardous	Hazardous
AoR (Area of Review)	¼ mile	2 miles
Casing and Cement	Prevent fluid movement into or between USDWs	Surface and longstring cemented to the surface
Tubing and Packer	Required except for municipal wells	Required
Injection Pressure	Below fracture pressure	Below fracture pressure
Annulus Pressure	Approved by director	Exceeds injection pressure
MIT (Mechanical Integrity Test)	Every 5 years	Annually or workover

### Class I Well Hazardous and Nonhazardous Summary

Well Requirements	Nonhazardous	Hazardous
<b>Borehole Fluid Movement</b>	Every 5 years	Every 5 years
RAT (Radioactive Tracer)	Not required	Annually
Casing Inspection Log	Not required	At Director discretion every 5 years or during workover
Continuous Monitoring (inj pr, rate & vol, & ann pr)	Yes	Yes, plus injection fluid temperature
Automatic Alarm and shut-off system	Not required	Required
Continuous Corrosion Monitoring	Not required	Required
Falloff Tests	Annually	Annually

# **Types of Class I Inspections**

#### Construction

- Open hole logging of the well
- Running casing and cementing
- Running tubing and packer
- Perforating or gravel pack installation
- Mechanical Integrity (MIT)
  - Annulus pressure test
  - Radioactive tracer test
  - Temperature log
- Ambient Monitoring
  - Pressure falloff test
  - Monitoring well sampling
- Compliance
  - Records review
  - Examination of wellhead and surface equipment
- Workover
  - Well repair
  - Well treating/stimulation
- Plugging
  - Observe final MIT testing
  - Observe setting of plugs

Regio	on Solid Waste Registration No Inspection D:	nte(s)		
		***		
TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL (UIC) CHECKLIST				
Com	pany Well No NOR unit No UIC Permit No	_		
Section A - PRE-INJECTION FACILITIES				
1.	Are facilities injecting solid wastes including hazardous and/or nonhazardous wa	istes? N/A_YES_NO		
Note: Wells used for hazardous wastes or non-hazardous waste, either from industrial or municipal facilities, are classified as Class 1 wells [331.11 (a)(1)]. In general, this checklist applies to Class 1 wells.				
2.	Are the facilities injecting only waste as described in the UIC permit?	N/A YES NO		
3 . Are pre-injection facilities (tank units and surface impoundments) covered under the applicable UIC or RCRA Permit?NO				
4. regist	If no, are pre-injection facilities (tank units and surface impoundments) contration and exempted from RCRA permitting requirements?[335.6 (c)]	overed under the solid waste N/A YES NO		
5.	Describe pre-injection facilities:			
6. other YES_	Is any evidence present of fires and explosions or other releases to the environm on-site sources? [335.4 / 26.121]	ment from solid waste units or N/ANO		
Note: If yes, refer to Generator Checklist for reviewing follow up actions.				
Section B UIC FACILITIES				
1.	Is a legible sign with company name, company well number and Commission per posted at the well site? [331.66(b)(1)]	mit number N/AYESNO		
2.	Is an all weather road to the well installed and maintained? [331.66 (b)(2)]	N/A YES NO		
3.	Is wellhead painted (if appropriate), and maintained in good working order without leaks? [331.66(b)(3)]	N/AYESNO		

\*\*\* An entry in this column indicates corrective action or comment needed. UIC Checklist Page 1, Revised 10/28/2010
Region\_

Solid Waste Registration No. \_

Inspection Date(s)

....

Make the following observations for onsite gauges and provide comments for noncompliance:

No.	Parameter (units)	Gauge Reading	Recorder Reading	Permit L'mit	C	ompliant	?
я,	Surface Inj. pressure (psig)				N/A_	_ YES_	_NO_
b.	Annulus pressure (psig)				N/A_	_ YES_	_N0_
с.	Differential pressure (psi)				N/A_	_YES_	NO.
d.	Injection flow rate (gpm)				N/A	_YES_	_NO_
e.	Inj. Fluid temp (degree F)	Trans, Sel	0		N/A	_ YES_	_NO_
f.	pH (S.U.)				N/A	_ YES_	_NO_
e.	Fluid density (g/cubic cm)				N/A_	YES_	NO_

#### COMMENTS:

#### Operating, monitoring and testing requirements

5. Are injection fluids sampled and analyzed sufficiently to yield representative data about characteristics? [331.64(b)/331.64(b)(1)/331.64(b)(1)(Δ)/331.64(b)(1)(B)/331.64(b)(1)(C)/331.64(b)(2)/331.64(b)(3)/ 331.64(b)(4)/146.68(a)] N/A\_ YES\_\_\_NO\_\_\_\_

Note: Waste stream analysis must be performed according to the permittee=s approved Waste Analysis Plan, at a frequency of at least once per year, and whenever there are processes or operating changes that may significantly alter the waste physical or chemical characteristics. The RCRA waste analysis plan may address the UIC wastes. The facility should develop and follow a written Waste Analysis Plan [331.54(b)(1)]

See the boxed notes under this item concerning what is considered as continuous. Are continuous recording devices used to monitor and record injection tubing pressures, injection flow rates, injection volumes, tubing long string casing annulus pressure and volume, and any other data (differential pressure, pH, specific gravity, or other) specified by the permit? [331.64(d) / 146.67(f)] N/A YES NO

\*\*\* An entry in this column indicates corrective action or comment needed. UIC Checklist Page 2, Revised 10/28/2010

100		
- 142	0071718	
1.4	EXIL	

Solid	Waste	Registration No.
-------	-------	------------------

\*\*\*

	Pneumatic or analog controllers, monitoring instruments and recording devices remain a acceptable technology for satisfying the continuous monitoring and recording requirements.
2	<ol> <li>For continuous monitoring of well operating parameters that involves periodic sampling of an electronic signal for the purpose of control and/or recording, the minimum sampling frequency is once every 15 seconds.</li> </ol>
3	All monitored points whose value exceeds a permit parameter must be recorded. The following are the minimums for electronic recording devices which will satisfy the Acontinuous recording requirements:
	<ul> <li>a. The minimum recording frequency of the average or instantaneous value is once even 15 minutes.</li> </ul>
	b. Electronic data recording devices should record based on a deviation of greater than a 4% from the previously monitored value (based or typical accuracy of electronic instrumentation, see Program Policy Point No.1 for details). If no deviation occurs, the minimum recording frequency for this type of device is once per hour.
4	. Where Class I non-commercial disposal well permits do not address the frequency for monitoring of pH and specific gravity, the minimum measurement frequency which satisfies continuous monitoring is once per day as long as there is little variation in these characteristics. More frequent monitoring is in order for streams whose characteristics can change quickly.
5 si si	. If primary monitoring system failure occurs due to power failure or other reasons, an operator hould perform manual monitoring and record keeping at least every 15 minutes for a well in ervice, or at least every hour for a well that is out of service. Instances of manual monitoring hould be reported on the quarterly self reporting forms (monthly forms for commercial wells).
	Is annulus pressure maintained at least 100 psi greater than the injection tubing pressure to provent leaks fro the well into unauthorized zones and to detect well malfunctions? [331.63(e)/146.67(c)] N/AYESNO
	Juring well start-ups, shut-downs and documented operating changes (switching pumps, annulus pressure ystem, filters, instruments and others), the differential pressure may be less than 100 usi for periods up to 15
I s n	sinutes. These situations must be documented in the Reility=s operating records.
I s n	Are annulus fluid volume changes regularly observed by the facility operator and records maintained? [331.64(d) / 145.67 (f)] N/AYESNO_

\*\*\* An entry in this column indicates corrective action or comment needed. UIC Checklis: Page 3, Revised 10/28/2010

Regi	on	Solid Waste Registration No.	Inspection Date	(š)		
9.	Are pressure g [331.64(c)]	auges installed and maintained in proper wo	rking order at all times?	N/A	_ YES	_N0_
Note withi feed be or shoul	: The difference ir, in 4% of full-scale i line to the well, any i the annulus press id verify communic	parameter values between a wellhead gauge an for the gauge or 40 psi, which ever is less. The ywhere between the last injection pump and the are system equipment, anywhere from the annu cation between each gauge and corresponding p	d recorder which measure inject on tubing pressure g a wellhead; the annulus pre dus pet to the annulus space ressure sensing device.	the same auge she ssure ga e at the y	e paramete ould be on uge locati vellhead; i	r must b the wast on shoul nspector
10.	Are recorders i	installed and maintained in proper working c	order? [331.64(d)]	N/A	_ YES_	_NO_
No with	te: The difference i tin 4% of full scale	in parameter values between a wellhead gauge as for the gauge or 40 psi, whichever is less.	nd recorder which measure	the sam	e paraznel	er must l
11.	Are recorders a [331.64(d)]	and other required instruments housed in we	atherproof enclosures?	N/A_	_ YES_	_NO_
12.	Are automatic . [531.64(d)(1) /	alarms and shutoff devices installed and ope [146.67(f)(1)]	rational?	N/A	_YES_	_NO_
3.	If no, has owns respond to alar	er/operator certified that a trained operator w ms when an operating parameter is exceeded	/ill be on location and ab d?	le to		
Not	c: Auto shutoff is t	146.6.7(1)(2)] not required if owner/operator cert.fles to Comm	nission that trained operato	N/A	_ YES	NO
4.	Has an automat	tic alarm or shutoff system triggered since th	to last state investigation	9		
	Note: if yes, in	vestigate the corrective actions taken by the	facility and comment: _	N/A	YES_	_NO_
5.	Are corrosion : [331.64(5)(1),3 146.68(c)(2)(i),	nonitoring tests if required by permit perfore (31.64(g)(1)(A),331.64(g)(1)(B),331.54(g)(1 ,146 68(c)(2)(ii),146.68(c)(2)(iii),140.68(c)(2)(iii),140.68(c)(2	med and recorded? )(C).146.68(c),146.68(c) 3),146.68(c)(3)(i),146.68	n1),146 (c)(3)(i N/A	.68(c)(2) i)] _ YES	NO
Note: corro perm	: Methods prescribe sion monitoring ba itting process (new	ed by BPA in 40 CFR 146.68 (c)(1)-(3) for corror used on demonstration of noncorrosivity of the su , renewed, or amended permits) that includes op	sion monitoring should be ibject wastes must be accor- contunity for notice and co	used; w mplished mment.	aiver of qu through a	tarterly 1
6.	Are all gauges,	pressure sensing and recording devices teste	xd and calibrate:1 quarteri ۱	y? [331 VA	.63(g)] YES	NO
4	IN C RECORD	OS REVIEW		1.1717		

		te(s)		
ι.	Are monthly and quarterly injection data submitted using the Commission self-replay permit and rules? $[331.65(c)(1)$ for noncommercial facilities] or	porting fo	orm as rec	juired
	[331.65(c)(2) /146.69 (a)/ 146.69(b) for commercial facilities]	N/A_	_YES_	_NO_
2.	Are complete and accurate records maintained as required by permit and rules? [331.67(a)]	N/A	_YES_	_NO_
Note: record tests I shut-i	For purposes of determining the accuracy in reporting, allowable discrepancy (deviation) ded data is defined as 10%. The recordsceping includes 1), all permitted parameters [331 like injection fluid analysis, mechanical integrity, and bottom hole pressure determination n periods and times that emergency measures were used [331.67 (a)(3)].	) betweer. 1 .67 (a)(1)] is [331.67 -	reported a , 2), perio (a)(2)], an	nd actua idic well d 3), all
3.	Are records available for review by Commission representatives? [331.67(b)]	N/A	_YES_	_N0_
4. [331.61	Are all records retained throughout the active life of the well and three years follo $N/A_{\perp}$	wing the YES	abandon: NO	menť?
ICCEIO.	a 14 Corpus critisa) a la vestigate ine provient.			
5. 331.65	Has an injection zone annual report been submitted with December self reported (c(3)(A)/331.65(c)(3)(B)/331.65(c)(3)(C)/331.65(c)(3)(D)/331.65(c)(3)(E)/3	cata? [33 331.65(c)( N/A	1.65(c)(3 (3)(F)] YES	)/ NO
5. 331.65	<ul> <li>a. Did the facility receive an approval letter from the Commission?</li> </ul>	cata? [33 331.65(c)( N/A	1.65(c)(3 (2)(F)] YES _YES	)/ NO NO
1.65 5. 331.65 6.	<ul> <li>Has an injection zone annual report been submitted with December self reported (c)(3)(A)/331.65(c)(3)(B)/331.65(c)(3)(C)/331.65(c)(3)(D)/331.65(c)(3)(E)/3</li> <li>a. Did the facility receive an approval letter from the Commission?</li> <li>Did permittee notify Commission and get approval before beginning any well we that require taking well out of service? [331.63(i)]</li> </ul>	cata? [33 331.65(c)( N/A	1.65(c)(3 (3)(F)] _ YES_ _ YES_ _ YES_	)/ _NO_ _NO_ _NO_
6. 7.	<ul> <li>Has an injection zone annual report been submitted with December self reported (c)(3)(A)/331.65(c)(3)(B)/331.65(c)(3)(C)/331.65(c)(3)(D)/331.65(c)(3)(E)/3</li> <li>a. Did the facility receive an approval letter from the Commission?</li> <li>Did permittee notify Commission and get approval before beginning any well we that require taking well out of service? [331.63(i)]</li> <li>Has annual mechanical integrity been demonstrated by annulus pressure test and [331.64(e)(1)/146.68(d)(1)]</li> </ul>	cata? [33 331.65(c)( N/A	1.65(c)(3 (2)(F)] YES _YES _YES ve tracer : _YES	)/ _NO_ _NO_ _NO_ _Survey? _NO_
6. 7.	<ul> <li>Has an injection zone annual report been submitted with December self reported (c)(3)(A)/331.65(c)(3)(B)/331.65(c)(3)(C)/331.65(c)(3)(D)/331.65(c)(3)(E)/3</li> <li>a. Did the facility receive an approval letter from the Commission?</li> <li>Did permittee notify Commission and get approval before beginning any well we that require taking well out of service? [331.63(i)]</li> <li>Has annual mechanical integrity been demonstrated by annulus pressure test and [331.64(e)(1)/146.68(d)(1)]</li> <li>Has an annual pressure falloff test been performed? [331.64(h)(2)/146.68(e)(1)]</li> </ul>	cata? [33 331.65(c)) N/A N/A n/A n/A N/A N/A	1.65(c)(3 (2)(F)] YES YES YES YES YES	)/ _NO_ _NO_ _NO_ _NO_ _NO_
1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (	<ul> <li>Has an injection zone annual report been submitted with December self reported (c)(3)(A)/331.65(c)(3)(B)/331.65(c)(3)(C)/331.65(c)(3)(D)/331.65(c)(3)(E)/3</li> <li>a. Did the facility receive an approval letter from the Commission?</li> <li>Did permittee notify Commission and get approval before beginning any well we that require taking well out of service? [331.63(i)]</li> <li>Has annual mechanical integrity been demonstrated by annulus pressure test and [331.64(e)(1)/146.68(d)(1)]</li> <li>Has an annual pressure falloff test been performed? [331.64(h)(2)/146.68(e)(1)]</li> <li>a. Did the facility receive an approval letter from the Commission?</li> </ul>	cata? [33 331.65(c)) N/A N/A n/A N/A N/A N/A	1.65(c)(3 (2)(F)] YES YES YES YES YES YES YES	)/ _NO_ _NO_ _NO_ _NO_ _NO_ _NO_ _NO_
Regio 5. 331.65 5. 7. 8.	<ul> <li>Has an injection zone annual report been submitted with December self reported (c)(3)(A)/331.65(c)(3)(B)/331.65(c)(3)(C)/331.65(c)(3)(D)/331.65(c)(3)(E)/331.63(i))]</li> <li>Has annual mechanical integrity been demonstrated by annulus pressure test and [331.64(e)(1)//346.68(d)(1)]</li> <li>Has an annual pressure falloff test been performed? [331.64(h)(2)/146.68(e)(1)]</li> <li>a. Did the facility receive an approval letter from the Commission?</li> <li>Does permittee currently have sufficient financial assurance to meet permit require</li> </ul>	cata? [33 331.65(c)) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	1.65(c)(3 (3)(F)] YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES	)/ _NO_ _NO_ _NO_ _NO_ _NO_ _NO_ _NO_ _NO
(Regio 5. 331.65 6. 7. 8. 9.	<ul> <li>Has an injection zone annual report been submitted with December self reported (c)(3)(A)/331.65(c)(3)(B)/331.65(c)(3)(C)/331.65(c)(3)(D)/331.65(c)(3)(E)/3</li> <li>a. Did the facility receive an approval letter from the Commission?</li> <li>Did permittee notify Commission and get approval before beginning any well we that require taking well out of service? [331.63(i)]</li> <li>Has annual mechanical integrity been demonstrated by annulus pressure test and [331.64(e)(1)/146.68(d)(1)]</li> <li>Has an annual pressure falloff test been performed? [331.64(h)(2)/146.68(e)(1)]</li> <li>a. Did the facility receive an approval letter from the Commission?</li> <li>Does permittee currently have sufficient financial assurance to meet permit require to the current year: <u>5</u></li> </ul>	cata? [33 331.65(c)) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A surance as	1.65(c)(3 (2)(F)] YES YES YES YES YES YES [331.142 YES YES YES	)/ NO_ NO_ NO_ NO_ NO_ 1 NO_ 1 NO_ adjusto

\*\*\* An entry in this column indicates corrective action or comment needed. UIC Checklist Page 5, Revised 10/28/2010

Region\_

Solid Waste Registration No.

Inspection Date(s)

2 \* \*

### Section D- ABANDONED WELLS

- Is a permanent marker with permit number, date abandoned, and company name placed at the abandoned well? [331.46(1)]
   N/A\_\_\_\_YE
  - N/A\_\_\_YES\_\_\_NO\_\_
- Are all required monitoring parameters concerning post closure care for abandoned wells performed and reported? [331.46, 331.68 / 146.72 (b) (c)]
   N/A YES NO.

#### Section E-- SELF REPORTED DATA-RECORDS REVIEW

The allowable discrepancy between reported and recorded data is defined as 10%. Any time that the recording system is nor working and a 10% discrepancy is likely to occur, the operator should take immediate actions to correct the problem. While corrective actions are being taken, any backup system including manual measurements should be used.

Report within 24 hours incidents which could reasonably be interpreted as leakage of injected waste from the injection zone and/or contamination of underground sources of crinking water (USDWs) including losses of well mechanical integrity. Reporting within 24 hours is not required if support systems fail (annulus pumps, continuous monitoring, injection pumps, etc.) and if there is no reasonable evidence that an internal well failure has occurred that resulted in leakage of waste from the injection zone or into USDWs.

Operators should report (using the self-reporting form) the lowest monthly annulus differential pressure occurrence that exceeds 15 minutes, whether or not the occurrence constitutes a permit violation. Additionally, operators should report all occurrences of annulus differential pressure which violate permit requirements.

Review of Self Reported Data for \_\_\_\_\_ (month, year).

(Note: Complete the following table per monthly review of records.)

No	Parameter (Units)	Reported Value	Observed Value	Permit Value	Compliant?
Т. ,	Max. Surface Inj. Pressure (psig) [331.63(c), 331.64(c)&(d), 331.65(c), 331.67(a)(1)(A) / 146.69(a)(2)]				N/A_YES_NO
2.	Min. Annulus Pres. (psig) [331.63(c), 331.64(c)&(d), 331.65(c), 331.67(a)(1)(B) / 146.69(a)(2)]				N/AYE\$NO
З.	Min. Differential Pres. (psig) [331.63(c), 331.65(c)]		201. / A 1997 - 199		N/AYESNO
	Max. Injection Rate				

\*\*\* An entry in this column indicates corrective action or comment needed. UIC Checklist Page 6, Revised 10/28/2010

100	
MOTT	1.011
1765	100 M

Solid Waste Registration	No.	-
--------------------------	-----	---

Inspection Date(s)

\*\*\*

No	Parameter (Units)	Reported Value	Observed Value	Permit Value	Compliant?
4.	(gpm) [331.63(1), 331.64(d), 331.65(c), 331.67(a)(1)(C)]				N/AYESNO_
5.	Monthly Avg. Injection Rate (gpm) [331.63(f)], 331.65(c)				N/AYESNO_
6.	Total Injection Vol. (mgals/month) [331.65(c)/331.67(a)(1)(D )/ 146.69(a)(4)]				N/AYESNO_
7.	Minimum pH (S.U.) [331.63(h), 331.65(c), 331.66(c)(1)/146.69(a)(b)]				N/AYESNO_
8.	Max. Fluid Density (g/cc) [331.63(h), 331.65(c) / 146.69(a)(6)]			3	N/AYESNO_
9.	Inj, Fluid Temp. (degree F) [331.64(d), 331.65(e), 331.66(e)(1) / 146.69(a)]			F	N/A YES_NO_
10.	Other Permit Parameters [331.63(h)]				N/AYESNO_

Pen Colors and Conversion Factors (optional)

### COMMENTS:

(Use additional comment sheet if necessary)

\*\*\* An entry in this column indicates corrective action or comment needed. UIC Checklist Page 7, Revised 10/28/2010

# Hazardous Waste

- Hazardous waste is managed under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) authority
- Characteristic Waste A waste that exhibits any of the characteristics listed in 40 CFR 261 Subpart C which are:
  - Ignitability
    - EPA Waste Code D001
  - − Corrosivity (2≥pH≥12.5)
    - EPA Waste Code D002
  - Reactivity
    - Reacts violently with water (explosive)
    - EPA Waste Code D003
  - Toxicity
    - Exhibits toxicity when tested by the Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) or (TC)
    - Numerous EPA Waste Codes (e.g., Arsenic D004, Lead D008, Mercury D009, Silver D011, and Benzene D018)

### **Hazardous Waste**

- Listed Hazardous Waste A waste that is specifically listed in 40 CFR Part 261, Subpart D.
- Mixture Rule

   Any waste that is mixed with a listed hazardous waste becomes hazardous and any waste mixed with a characteristic hazardous waste is hazardous if the resultant mixture is still characteristically hazardous.

## Land Disposal Restrictions

- RCRA §3004(k) prohibits land disposal of untreated waste in:
  - -Landfills
  - -Surface impoundments
  - -Waste piles
  - -Injection wells
  - -Land treatment facility

- -Salt dome
- -Salt bed formation
- –Underground mine–Cave

 Regulate liquid hazardous waste or free liquids associated with the treatment of hazardous waste

# **No Migration**

- RCRA 1984 HSWA amendments banned the land disposal (including injection) of hazardous waste, unless:
  - The waste is treated to meet specific concentration or technology-based standards or
  - The hazardous waste is disposed of in a land disposal unit that has an approved "no migration" petition

## No Migration Petition Review

- Engineer/Geologist team review
- Local and regional geology are reviewed
- Mathematical waste movement and pressure buildup models evaluated
- Artificial penetrations are reviewed
- Forty-five day public comment period (since hazardous waste) for proposed approval – public notice published in local paper and mailed to adjacent landowners
- Public hearing held locally if there is significant public interest (30 day notice of any hearing)
- ✓ Final approval notice published in *Federal Register*

### **Active No Migration Petitions**

























# **Petition Compliance**

- Facility is in compliance with their petition approval conditions – EPA performs petition compliance inspections to ensure that facility is in compliance with these conditions
- Annual falloff testing analysis indicates that approved petition modeling input parameters and pressure buildup projections are still conservative
- Annual radioactive tracer survey documents that all hazardous waste is being emplaced in the injection interval and the bottomhole cement has no channels

