

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action  
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

Facility Name: Beaver Paint Company  
Facility Address: 710 Beaver Road, Girard, PA 16417  
Facility EPA ID #: PAD 00 502 8832

1. Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the groundwater media, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?
- X   If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.  
       If no – re-evaluate existing data, or  
       If data are not available skip to #8 and enter “IN” (more information needed) status code

**BACKGROUND**

**Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)**

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

**Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Controls" EI**

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

**Relationship of EI to Final Remedies**

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program, the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated groundwater and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

**Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

**Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control  
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)**

Page 2

2. Is **groundwater** known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated"<sup>1</sup> above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action anywhere at, or from, the facility?

If yes – continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.

\_\_\_\_\_ .  
X If no – skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ If unknown (for any media) – skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

An investigation at the Beaver Paint Company site was conducted in 2003 by Moody & Associates and presented in *Phase II Subsurface Investigation and Environmental Site Assessment*, December 16, 2003. Groundwater and soil samples were analyzed for site-related constituents; volatile organic compounds, light aqueous phase liquids (LNAPL) and PCBs. No constituents were detected in either the groundwater or soil samples.

Beaver Paint was a manufacturer of finished industrial paint coatings, located on one acre of land in Erie County, Girard, PA. The site is bordered to the north by Beaver Road, to the east and west by wooded areas, and to the south by two houses. This facility was used for manufacturing activities such as paint mixing, product drumming, and laboratory testing.

The site layout consisted of a main building, a raw material warehouse, and a parking area. A recessed loading dock was located between the two buildings on site where several cleaned and empty 55-gallon drums were, at times, stored on asphalt. Six solid waste management units (SWMUs) were identified for the site: the mill room waste collection drum, the fill-off room waste collection drum, the laboratory mill room waste collection drum, the waste drum storage area in the warehouse, the empty drum storage area, and the outside trash container. Equipment-cleaning operations produced spent solvent wastes, which were temporarily stored until they were transported to treatment facilities.

Records indicate hazardous waste inspections occurred between 1983 and 2003, when the facility operations, as described above, closed. During operations of the facility, no spills or releases of waste were recorded or documented.

Joseph P. Walton owned Walton Paint Company, which did business as Beaver Paint Company. In 2002, Beaver Paint operations ceased in Girard, PA and the liquid paint operations moved to Jamestown, PA with the Jamestown Paint Company (108 Main Street, Jamestown, PA 16139), also under the same ownership. Jamestown Powder Coatings was purchased in 2002, by J.P. and Mike Walton as a sister company of Jamestown Paint Company, to conduct operations at the former Beaver Paint facility.

---

<sup>1</sup>"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

The Girard, PA facility remained vacant for a few years during the building transformation to accommodate powder coating operations. Facility operations officially began in November 2005. The main building, raw material warehouse, loading dock and parking area are still present.

Unlike Beaver Paint Company, Jamestown Powder Coatings utilizes powder products instead of liquids. Thus, the facility does not generate any hazardous or toxic waste and subsequently does not have the need for a USEPA ID Number. PAD005028832 is still associated with the facility, however, the number is inactive and no hazardous waste is currently being generated following the facility's conversion to a powder coating operation. The only SWMU that remains on site is the outside trash container.

As discussed above, during the operational life of the Beaver Paint facility, no spills or releases of waste were recorded or documented. No constituents were detected in the environmental investigation performed at the Facility. Additionally, with the Facility's current operational model, no future contamination of environmental media is expected.

#### **References**

*Phase II Subsurface Investigation and Environmental Site Assessment*, Moody & Associates, December 16, 2003  
*Final Environmental Indicator Inspection Report for Beaver Paint Company*, Baker, October 2007

**Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control**  
**Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)**

Page 3

3. Has the **migration** of contaminated groundwater **stabilized** (such that contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater"<sup>2</sup> as defined by the monitoring locations designated at the time of this determination)?

\_\_\_\_\_ If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination"<sup>2</sup> )

\_\_\_\_\_ If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination"<sup>2</sup>) - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.

\_\_\_\_\_ If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

---

<sup>2</sup> "Existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all contaminated groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

**Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control  
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)**

Page 4

4. Does "contaminated" groundwater **discharge** into **surface water** bodies?

- \_\_\_\_\_ If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.
- \_\_\_\_\_ If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.
- \_\_\_\_\_ If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): \_\_\_\_\_

**Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control**  
**Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA750)**  
Page 5

5. Is the **discharge** of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be "**insignificant**" (i.e., the maximum concentration<sup>3</sup> of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their appropriate groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of discharging contaminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for unacceptable impacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?

\_\_\_\_\_ If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration<sup>3</sup> of key contaminants discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of professional judgment/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.

\_\_\_\_\_ If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially significant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration of each contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations<sup>3</sup> greater than 100 times their appropriate "level(s)," and if estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.

\_\_\_\_\_ If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.

Rationale and Reference(s):  
\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>3</sup> As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

**Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control  
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)**

Page 6

6. Can the **discharge** of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be "**currently acceptable**" (i.e., not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowed to continue until a final remedy decision can be made and implemented<sup>4</sup>)?

If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR 2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment<sup>5</sup> appropriate to the potential for impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination.

\_\_\_\_\_

If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater cannot be shown to be "**currently acceptable**") – skip to #8 and enter a "NO" status, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.

\_\_\_\_\_

If unknown – skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.

\_\_\_\_\_

Rationale and Reference(s):

---

<sup>4</sup> Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

<sup>5</sup> The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

**Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control**  
**Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)**  
Page 7

7. Will groundwater **monitoring** / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?"

\_\_\_\_\_ If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."

\_\_\_\_\_ If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.

\_\_\_\_\_ If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.

Rationale and Reference(s): \_\_\_\_\_



**Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control**  
**Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)**  
Page 8

8. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).

X      YE - Yes, "Migration of contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI determination, it has been determined that the "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater" is "Under Control" at the Beaver Paint Company facility, EPA ID # PAD 00 502 8832, located at 710 Beaver Road, Girard, PA 16417. Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of contaminated groundwater" This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.

\_\_\_\_\_ NO - Unacceptable migration of contaminated groundwater is observed or expected.

\_\_\_\_\_ IN - More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by:      (signature)       Date 08/31/2018  
                                (print) Linda Matyskiela  
                                (title) Project Manager

Supervisor:      (signature)       Date 8-31-2018  
                                (print) Paul Gotthold, Associate Director  
                                (title) Office of PA Remediation  
                                (EPA Region or State) EPA Region III

Locations where References may be found:

US EPA Region III  
Land and Chemicals Division  
1650 Arch Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers:

(name) Linda Matyskiela  
(phone #) 215-814-3420  
(e-mail) Matyskiela.Linda@epa.gov

