

## TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-16-0192

**Number: P-16-0192**

**TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination:** The chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk (5(a)(3)(C))

**Chemical Name:**

Generic: Silanized amorphous silica

**Conditions of Use (intended, known, or reasonably foreseen)<sup>1</sup>:**

Intended conditions of use (specific): Manufacture for use and use in an amorphous form as a reinforcing filler and coupling agent for production of tire/rubber goods consistent with the manufacturing, processing, use, distribution, and disposal information described in the PMN.

Known conditions of use: Applying such factors as described in footnote 1, EPA evaluated whether there are known conditions of use and found none.

Reasonably foreseen conditions of use: Applying such factors as described in footnote 1, EPA has identified manufacture, processing, or use of the new chemical substance in crystalline form as reasonably foreseen based on other PMN submissions.

**Summary:** The chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment, without consideration of costs or other nonrisk factors, including an unreasonable risk to a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation identified as relevant by the Administrator under the conditions of use, based on the risk assessment presented below and the terms of the proposed Significant New Use Rule (SNUR) signed by EPA.<sup>2</sup> Although EPA

---

<sup>1</sup> Under TSCA § 3(4), the term “conditions of use” means “the circumstances, as determined by the Administrator, under which a chemical substance is intended, known, or reasonably foreseen to be manufactured, processed, distributed in commerce, used, or disposed of.” In general, EPA considers the intended conditions of use of a new chemical substance to be those identified in the section 5(a) notification. Known conditions of use include activities within the United States that result from manufacture that is exempt from PMN submission requirements. Reasonably foreseen conditions of use are future circumstances, distinct from known or intended conditions of use, under which the Administrator expects the chemical substance to be manufactured, processed, distributed, used, or disposed of. The identification of “reasonably foreseen” conditions of use will necessarily be a case-by-case determination and will be highly fact-specific. Reasonably foreseen conditions of use will not be based on hypotheticals or conjecture. EPA’s identification of conditions of use includes the expectation of compliance with federal and state laws, such as worker protection standards or disposal restrictions, unless case-specific facts indicate otherwise. Accordingly, EPA will apply its professional judgment, experience, and discretion when considering such factors as evidence of current use of the new chemical substance outside the United States, evidence that the PMN substance is sufficiently likely to be used for the same purposes as existing chemical substances that are structurally analogous to the new chemical substance, and conditions of use identified in an initial PMN submission that the submitter omits in a revised PMN. The sources EPA uses to identify reasonably foreseen conditions of use include searches of internal confidential EPA PMN databases (containing use information on analogue chemicals), other U.S. government public sources, the National Library of Medicine’s Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB), the Chemical Abstract Service STN Platform, REACH Dossiers, technical encyclopedias (e.g., Kirk-Othmer and Ullmann), and Internet searches.

<sup>2</sup> Reasonably foreseen conditions of use subject to a proposed SNUR are not likely to present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment. Based on EPA’s experience, it is the Agency’s judgment that a new use would not commence during the pendency of a proposed SNUR because web posting of a proposed SNUR serves as the cut-off date for a significant new use. Therefore, manufacturers and processors would not commence a prohibited new use that would be legally required to cease upon the finalization of the SNUR. Once a SNUR is final and effective, no manufacturer or processor – including the PMN submitter – may undertake the conditions of use

## TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-16-0192

estimated that the hydrolysis product of the new chemical substance could be very persistent, the chemical substance and its hydrolysis product both have low potential for bioaccumulation, such that repeated exposures are not expected to be cumulative. Based on physical/chemical properties and test data on analogous chemical substances, EPA estimates that the chemical substance has low environmental hazard and low human health hazard when produced in an amorphous form as described in the PMN. Therefore, EPA concludes that the new chemical is not likely to present unreasonable risk to human health or the environment under the intended conditions of use.

As set forth below, the information available to EPA is sufficient to permit the Agency to conduct a reasoned evaluation of the health and environmental effects of the chemical substance under the conditions of use that are not subject to the proposed SNUR, in order to determine that the chemical substance is not likely to present an unreasonable risk under those conditions of use. As such, EPA does not need to impose testing requirements to conduct this evaluation. Whether testing is needed to evaluate the effects of the intended, known, or reasonably foreseen conditions of use of a chemical substance subject to a PMN is determined on a case-by-case basis. To the extent that testing may be necessary to conduct a reasoned evaluation of the health or environmental effects of the reasonably foreseen conditions of use that are subject to the proposed SNUR, EPA will make the appropriate determination if a SNUN is submitted following finalization of the SNUR.

EPA found no known conditions of use, assessed the intended conditions of use, and addressed reasonably foreseen conditions of use by proposing a SNUR. Therefore, EPA determines the new chemical substance is not likely to present unreasonable risk to human health or the environment.

**Fate:** Environmental fate is the determination of which environmental compartment(s) a chemical moves to, the expected residence time in the environmental compartment(s) and removal and degradation processes. Environmental fate is an important factor in determining exposure and thus in determining whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk. EPA estimated physical/chemical and fate properties of this new chemical substance using data for analogous polymers. The chemical substance is estimated to be removed with an efficiency of 90% during wastewater treatment due to rapid hydrolysis. The new chemical substance is estimated to strongly adsorb to sludge. Volatilization to air is estimated to be negligible because the substance is estimated to have low vapor pressure and a low Henry's Law constant. The hydrolysis product of the new chemical substance is estimated to be removed with an efficiency of 90% during wastewater treatment due to sorption. Sorption to sludge is estimated to be strong, and sorption to soil and sediment is estimated to be very strong.

---

identified as a significant new use of the PMN substance in the SNUR. EPA must first evaluate the new use in accordance with the requirements of TSCA Section 5 and (a) either conclude that the new use is not likely to present an unreasonable risk under the conditions of use; or (b) take appropriate action under section 5(e) or 5(f). If EPA were not to finalize the proposed SNUR, then that decision would be based on information and data provided to the Agency during the comment period demonstrating that the reasonably foreseen conditions of use subject to the proposed SNUR are not likely to present an unreasonable risk. Under either scenario, the reasonably foreseen condition of use is not likely present an unreasonable risk.

## TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-16-0192

Volatilization to air is estimated to be negligible for the hydrolysis product, and removal by biodegradation is estimated to be negligible. Overall, these estimates are indicative of low potential for this chemical substance to volatilize into the air and a low potential for this chemical to migrate into groundwater.

**Persistence<sup>3</sup>:** Persistence is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because chemicals that are not degraded in the environment at rates that prevent substantial buildup in the environment, and thus increase potential for exposure, may present a risk if the substance presents a hazard to human health or the environment. Based on data for analogous polymers, EPA estimated that the new chemical substance will undergo rapid hydrolysis; however, EPA estimated the aerobic and anaerobic biodegradation half-lives of the hydrolysis product to be greater than six months. These estimates for biodegradation indicate that the hydrolysis product of the chemical substance may be very persistent in aerobic environments (e.g., surface water) and very persistent in anaerobic environments (e.g., sediment).

**Bioaccumulation<sup>4</sup>:** Bioaccumulation is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because substances that bioaccumulate in aquatic and/or terrestrial species pose the potential for elevated exposures to humans and other organisms via food chains. The chemical substance and its hydrolysis product have low bioaccumulation potential based on estimates from analogous polymers and large predicted molecular volume, which limits bioavailability and bioaccumulation. Although EPA estimates that the hydrolysis product of the new chemical substance could be very persistent, it has low potential for bioaccumulation, such that repeated exposures are not expected to cause food chain effects via accumulation in exposed organisms.

**Human Health Hazard<sup>5</sup>:** Human health hazard is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present an unreasonable risk because the significance of the risk is dependent upon

---

<sup>3</sup> Persistence: A chemical substance is considered to have limited persistence if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediment of less than 2 months or there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be persistent if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediments of greater than 2 months but less than or equal to 6 months or if there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be very persistent if it has a half-life in water, soil or sediments of greater than 6 months or there are equivalent or analogous data. (64 FR 60194; November 4, 1999)

<sup>4</sup> Bioaccumulation: A chemical substance is considered to have a low potential for bioaccumulation if there are bioconcentration factors (BCF) or bioaccumulation factors (BAF) of less than 1,000 or there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be bioaccumulative if there are BCFs or BAFs of 1,000 or greater and less than or equal to 5,000 or there are equivalent or analogous data. A chemical substance is considered to be very bioaccumulative if there are BCFs or BAFs of 5,000 or greater or there are equivalent or analogous data. (64 FR 60194; November 4 1999)

<sup>5</sup> A chemical substance is considered to have low human health hazard if effects are observed in animal studies with a No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) equal to or greater than 1,000 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances; a chemical substance is considered to have moderate human health hazard if effects are observed in animal studies with a NOAEL less than 1,000 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances; a chemical substance is considered to have high human health hazard if there is evidence of adverse effects in humans or conclusive evidence of severe effects in animal studies with a NOAEL of less than or equal to 10 mg/kg/day or if there are equivalent data on analogous chemical substances. EPA may also use Benchmark Dose Levels (BMDL) derived from benchmark dose (BMD) modeling as points of departure for toxic effects. See <https://www.epa.gov/bmds/what-benchmark-dose-software-bmds>. Using this approach, a BMDL

## TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-16-0192

both the hazard (or toxicity) of the chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance. EPA estimates absorption of the new chemical substance to be nil by all routes of exposure based on physical-chemical properties. Initially, EPA had flagged that this chemical substance might fall into the TSCA New Chemicals Program Chemical Category of Respirable, Poorly Soluble Particles<sup>6</sup>, indicating possible pulmonary effects from lung overload. However, EPA subsequently determined that this new chemical substance is not a respirable, poorly soluble particulate because of the relatively high water solubility (~60 mg/L) and amorphous form of the new chemical substance as manufactured, and therefore toxicity due to lung overload is not expected to be a concern. No other hazards were identified. EPA concludes that the human health hazard of this new chemical substance as described in the PMN is low.

**Environmental Hazard<sup>7</sup>:** Environmental hazard is relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present unreasonable risk because the significance of the risk is dependent upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance. EPA estimated environmental hazard of this new chemical substance using hazard data on analogous chemicals. The new chemical substance falls within the SAR chemical class of nonionic polymers, which are predicted to have negligible water solubility. Hazards from acute and chronic exposures are not expected at concentrations up to the water solubility limit of the new chemical substance (i.e., no effects at saturation). Based on these estimated hazard values, EPA concludes that this chemical has low environmental hazard. Because hazards are not expected up to the water solubility limit, acute and chronic concentrations of concern are not identified.

**Exposure and Risk Characterization:** The exposure to a new chemical substance is potentially relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present unreasonable risks because the significance of the risk is dependent upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance.

---

is associated with a benchmark response, for example a 5 or 10 % incidence of effect. The aforementioned characterizations of hazard (low, medium, high) would also apply to BMDLs. In the absence of animal data on a chemical or analogous chemical substance, EPA may use other data or information such as from in vitro assays, chemical categories (e.g., Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, 2014 Guidance on Grouping of Chemicals, Second Edition. ENV/JM/MONO(2014)4. Series on Testing & Assessment No. 194. Environment Directorate, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris, France. ([http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mono\(2014\)4&doclanguage=en](http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mono(2014)4&doclanguage=en))), structure-activity relationships, and/or structural alerts to support characterizing human health hazards.

<sup>6</sup> TSCA New Chemicals Program (NCP) Chemical Categories. <https://www.epa.gov/reviewing-new-chemicals-under-toxic-substances-control-act-tsca/chemical-categories-used-review-new>.

<sup>7</sup> A chemical substance is considered to have low ecotoxicity hazard if the Fish, Daphnid and Algae LC50 values are greater than 100 mg/L, or if the Fish and Daphnid chronic values (ChVs) are greater than 10.0 mg/L, or there are not effects at saturation (occurs when water solubility of a chemical substance is lower than an effect concentration), or the log Kow value exceeds QSAR cut-offs. A chemical substance is considered to have moderate ecotoxicity hazard if the lowest of the Fish, Daphnid or Algae LC50s is greater than 1 mg/L and less than 100 mg/L, or where the Fish or Daphnid ChVs are greater than 0.1 mg/L and less than 10.0 mg/L. A chemical substance is considered to have high ecotoxicity hazard, or if either the Fish, Daphnid or Algae LC50s are less than 1 mg/L, or any Fish or Daphnid ChVs is less than 0.1 mg/L (Sustainable Futures <https://www.epa.gov/sustainable-futures/sustainable-futures-p2-framework-manual>).

## **TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-16-0192**

EPA estimates occupational exposure and environmental release of new chemical substances under the intended conditions of use described in the PMNs using ChemSTEER (Chemical Screening Tool for Exposures and Environmental Releases; <https://www.epa.gov/tsca-screening-tools/chemsteer-chemical-screening-tool-exposures-and-environmental-releases>). EPA uses EFAST (the Exposure and Fate Assessment Screening Tool; <https://www.epa.gov/tsca-screening-tools/e-fast-exposure-and-fate-assessment-screening-tool-version-2014>) to estimate general population consumer, and environmental exposures.

EPA considers workers to be a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation (PESS) on the basis of greater exposure potential compared to the general population. EPA also considers PESS in conducting general population drinking water exposures by evaluating risks associated with water intake rates for multiple age groups, ranging from infants to adults. EPA considers consumers of specific products to be a potentially exposed or susceptible subpopulation on the basis of greater exposure potential compared to the general population who do not use specific products.

For this assessment, EPA assessed exposures to workers and estimated general population exposures to be low. Consumer exposures were not assessed because consumer uses were not identified as conditions of use.

Due to low hazard, EPA believes that this chemical substance, manufactured as intended in amorphous form, is not likely to present an unreasonable risk to health or the environment even if potential exposures were high. EPA has assessed risks to workers under the conditions of use of the new chemical substance and concludes that this chemical substance, manufactured as intended in amorphous form, is not likely to present an unreasonable risk to workers. Due to low hazard and low exposures expected, risks to the general population were not evaluated. Risks to consumers were not evaluated for this new chemical substance because consumer uses were not identified as conditions of use.

It is reasonably foreseen, based on other PMN submissions to EPA that a substance could be manufactured in a crystalline form under the same specific name. [claimed CBI]. Crystalline structure, as with other physical/chemical or material properties, may affect the hazard profile of a chemical substance. The SNUR that has been proposed for this chemical substance defines certain conditions of use as significant new uses. The proposed significant new use is manufacture other than in an amorphous form for any use. Conditions of use that fall under the restrictions of the proposed SNUR are not likely to present unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment because (1) those conditions of use are not likely to be commenced during the pendency of the proposed SNUR, and (2) upon finalization of the SNUR, those conditions of use would be prohibited unless and until EPA makes an affirmative determination that the significant new use is not likely to present an unreasonable risk or takes appropriate action under section 5(e) or 5(f).

**TSCA Section 5(a)(3) Determination for Premanufacture Notice (PMN) P-16-0192**

10/9/18  
Date:

/s/  
Jeffery T. Morris, Director  
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics