



## **3. Engineered Streambeds for Water Quality Enhancement in Urban Streams**

## John E. McCray

Civil & Environmental Engineering Department Reinventing the Nation's Urban Water Infrastructure [ReNUWIt] Colorado School of Mines















Quarterly Meeting of the South Platte River Urban Water Partnership 19 February 2019

## **Potential Applications**

- Urban Streams & Stormwater channels (right, Golden CO)
- Stream restoration (example later)
- Rural streams
- Acid mine drainage (bottom right)
- Agricultural ditches (below)
- Any polluted ditch or channel







## **Urban Stormwater & Water Pollution**



## In USA, storm runoff responsible for

- 47% of impaired ocean shoreline miles
- 46% of impaired estuary areas
- 22% of impaired lake areas
- 14% of impaired river miles





(EPA 1999)



## **Scientific Motivation**

Motivation and scientific basis is the **hyporheic** zone (HZ), or "streambed", which is the connection between surface water and groundwater in streams.



Figure B-3: Groundwater System Involving the Hyporheic Zones (Alley et. al 2002)

## Hyporheic Zone: The River's Liver





- Biogeochemical hotspot
- Requires exchange and proper residence times (RT)

## Streams have a Natural Treatment System

Stream Pollution: nutrients, metals, pathogens, organics



# Hyporheic zone (HZ) / Streambed has potential to serve as a pollutant treatment unit.

However, <u>exchange</u> & <u>residence time</u> not usually sufficient to remove urban water pollutants

## Stream Restoration Best Mgmt. Practices (BMPs)







## Low Head Dam/Weir







For urban streams, if the streambed is homogeneous, there is little exchange from stream to HZ. Boulders, woody debri, pools, meanders, and stream topography cause some flow into HZ.







## Need HZ "Best Management Practices" (BMP)





## State of the Science Water Resources Research An AGU JOURNAL Research Article M. Bayani Cardenas C Irist published: 19 May 2015 Ful publication history

DOI: 10.1002/2015WR017028 View/save citation

Excellent Science has not been translated into engineering practice for water quality improvement



- Not enough volume exchange or residence time. –e.g., Azinheira et al. (2014); Hester et al. (2016)
- Heterogeneous HZ, exchange localized, residence times too short. e.g., Gordon et al. (2013)

- No existing HZ BMP has been consistently effective at reach-scale
- No BMP explicitly controls HZ residence times



- Natural—like structures in the stream are not reliable to create exchange in the HZ,
- Surface BMPs designed to create flow in HZ are not highly effective

## Why not engineer the HZ?



## Engineer the Streambed to Improve Treatment of Contaminants of Interest.



Stormwater in Tucker Gulch. Golden, CO

Stormwater channels are ubiquitous, and integrate stormwater from entire urban subwatersheds

Treatment in a stormwater channel is more effective than point-source BMPs.

Place hydraulic / geomedia structures in the streambed to exchange water and cause contaminant removal

## **Engineer the Streambed**

- Install HZ structures to enhance subsurface flow.
- Optimize volume exchanged & hydraulic residence time
- Geomedia designed to treat specific contaminants





## **BIOHYDROCHEMICAL ENHANCEMENTS** for Streamwater Treatment (BEST)

## BEST: Engineered Streambeds

Biohydrochemical Enhancements for Streamwater Treatment

- Baffle walls enhance mixing
- Sand filters pollutants from runoff
- Repeat in Series



Pollutants removed as runoff flows through sand.

## Numerical Modeling for Design

- Need sufficient exchange volume, residence (reaction)
   time for removal, treatment also depends on stream flow.
- Evaluate design parameters (slope, K of modules, porosity, .....)
- Model calculates volume exchange and residence time



## Pollutant Transformations in Geomedia

Contaminants can be removed by irreversible sorption, by geochemistry, and microbial activity.

Need exposure to porous media (where the microbes do the work, sorption, etc.)

Some pollutants are transformed under oxic conditions (aerobic) – fuel components, pharmaceuticals, ammonium, pesticides, etc.

Some are transformed in anoxic conditions (anaerobic), such as nitrate to nitrogen gas

# Model pollutant removal using $1^{st}$ -order rate constants ( $\lambda_{Geomedia}$ ) from literature



	Geomedia	k (hr⁻¹)	Reference
NO³⁻	Natural Sediments	0.38	Gomez et al. 2012
NO <sub>3</sub> -	Woodchips	0.63	Robertson 2010
PO4 <sup>3-</sup>	Biochar	0.155	Yao et al. 2011
Zn(II)	Green Sands	0.040	Lee et al. 2004
Zn(II)	ZVI	0.070	Wilkin & McNeil 2003
Cu(II)	Mn-oxide sands	0.019	Han et al. 2006
Cu(II)	ZVI	0.270	Wilkin & McNeil 2003
Pb(II)	Mn-oxide sands	0.018	Han et al. 2006
Ni(II)	ZVI	0.606	Moraci and Calabrò 2010
Ni(II)	ZVI/pumice mixture	0.197	Moraci and Calabrò 2010
Ni(II)	ZVI	0.080	Wilkin & McNeil 2003
Al(III)	ZVI (peerless)	0.350	Wilkin & McNeil 2003
As(V)	ZVI	0.250	Wilkin & McNeil 2003
Cd(II)	ZVI	0.120	Wilkin & McNeil 2003
Hg(II)	ZVI	0.250	Wilkin & McNeil 2003
E. coli	IOCS	4.240	Zhang et al. 2010

## **Modeling Results – Contaminant Removal**

- Percent (%) removal per module (2 m length)
- Assumes 2 m wide, 2 L/s channel, K = fine to coarse sand



Cd(II), Zn(II), nitrate, and Pb(II) require 55.5, 85.5, 138, and 293 m of length

## Nitrogen, Pathogens: Measure λ<sub>Geomedia</sub>





- Precisely define reaction rate constants (λ)
- Apply to model to optimize geomedia modules for implementation

Sand Sand+Woodchips Sand+Woodchips+Biochar

## Measured reaction rates larger than stated in literature. Maybe we can achieve significant removal over ~ 100 m <sup>22</sup>



## BEST: "Biohydrochemical Enhancements for Streamwater Treatment"



## 2-D "Tank" Experiments



## Pilot Tests: Constructed Streams – Mines Park



Mines Park Water Reclamation Test Site at Colorado School of Mines

## <u>BEST Modules: Biohydrochemical</u> Enhancement for Streamwater Treatment







Implementation-scale BEST: woodchip-sand geomedia designed to treat nitrogen and pathogens.

## Test Bed: Mines Park Constructed Streams

- Adjustable flow: 3-25 gpm (0.2–1.5 L/s)
- Sand-only "control" and "BEST" streams, 15m each
- Dosed with reclaimed water or stormwater
- Evaluate Tracers, N, Atrazine, Metals, Pesticides, Trace Organics



## Pilot Scale Field Tests – Initial Result Best vs. Sand-Only Control



Water Exchange BEST is 150% more effective

Atrazine Removal, BEST is 200% more effective

Nitrogen Removal BEST is 200% more effective for NH4, and 50% more effective for NO3 removal (can be improved)

## Model Comparison to Actual Urban Streams

- Conduct conservative and reactive tracer tests in BEST pilot facility to quantify transport and aerobic microbial chemical transformation (surrogate for some pesticides, ammonia, BTEX components, etc)
- Use reaction, transport, and storage model -(calibrated with tracer test data)
- Simulate longer reaches with BEST modules
- **Concrete channel** with no HZ
- Two urban Rocky Mountain streams from Gooseff et al. (2007).

## Tracer Tests in Constructed Stream: Resazurin (Raz)





- Raz degrades

   irreversibly to Resorufin
   (Rru) in oxic (aerobic) HZ
   sediments.
- Rru degrades in anaerobic environment
- Reaction negligible in surface water at practical time scales.



**Center for Water and the Environment** 

From R. González-Pinzón

## Rezazurin Transformation to Resorufin



Transformation is a measure of residence time and contaminant transformation.

Oxic (Aerobic) reactions remove many fuel constituents, pesticides, pharmaceuticals, ammonia

Anoxic (Anaerobic) reactions remove some organic pollutants and nitrate

## Analyze Tests using STAMMT-L Model (Haggerty, 2009), then "what if" simulations







**BEST** 

BEST

**STAM MT-L** 

125



Time (minutes)

75

100

50

150

## **Model Results**



C/Co on y-axis is pollutant concentration divided by Initial concentration.

### **Distance for 99% removal**

- Concrete: > 20,000 m
- Urban Stream 1: 750 m
- Urban Stream 2: 1500 m
- Control: 190m

• BEST: 125m



## **Implementation in the Field**



BEST (and BMPs in general) are more practical to implement at relatively small flows.



Urban

Urban

# Urban Waterways Low Hanging Fruit: Outlets of Stormwater Detention Ponds

Outlet channel has modulated flow Some settling (pre-treatment) in pond BEST protected from siltation and scouring



Town of Golden (pop. 16,000) has more than 200 detention ponds 36

## **Contaminant Attenuation by Detention Ponds**



Good removal of sediment-bound pollutants, but poor treatment of dissolved pollutants 37

## **Treatment Train: BEST + Detention Ponds**



## Detention Pond attenuates:

- TSS
- Sediment-bound contaminants



- Nutrients (N, P)
- Pathogens
- Dissolved Metals





## BEST treatment of entire design storm, slowly released from pond

## Example: Detention Pond Outlet on Campus

Q = 0.25 to 5 L/s (actual) 10 mg/L  $NO_3^-$  (hypothetical)

60-m of BEST yields

- $NO_3^- < 1 \text{ mg/L}$  when  $Q \leq 3L/s$
- $NO_3^- < 5 \text{ mg/L when } Q \le 5L/s$

## Cost:

- \$8000 material cost
- \$2500 construction labor
- \$10,500 total



BEST: \$120 per kg N removed Wetland: \$1550 per kg N

## Current Field Installation with City of Golden





Treating stormwater exiting a detention pond – pollutants of concern are bacteria and metals.

## Public education poster installed at City of Golden Facility

### BEST: Engineered Streambeds Biohydrochemical Enhancements for Streamwater Treatment

- Baffle walls enhance mixing
- Sand filters pollutants from runoff
- Repeat in Series



Pollutants removed as runoff flows through sand.

### BIOHYDROCHEMICAL ENHANCEMENTS for Streamwater Treatment (BEST)

#### Stormwater Concern

This area is part of the storm drainage system and is designed to collect stormwater - rain and snow melt and release into Clear Creek, untreated. Pollutants are transported in stormwater as rain and snowmelt flow across surfaces such as lawns, roads and parking lots, picking up substances such as fertilizers, pesticides, oil and sediments before entering Clear Creek. Pollutants that enter the creek degrade the quality of our drinking water source and the quality of fish and wildlife habitats.

### What To Do? Treat Pollutants

This site is a partnership between the City of Golden and Colorado School of Mines (CSM) to treat pollutants from stormwater before they reach Clear Creek.

### How To Do It? BEST

Stormwater from the parking lot flows through a swale into a detention pond. These features slow runoff allowing sediment to settle out. However, dissolved pollutants like fertilizers and metals remain in the runoff. To treat dissolved pollutants, researchers at CSM invented BEST, a streambed engineering technique. BEST cleans runoff through a series of sand-filled modules separated by baffles. The baffles enhance mixing between the surface and subsurface water, increasing the amount of water that flows through the sand-filled modules. The sand within the subsurface acts as a filter to physically remove pollutants and enhances microbial reactions to remove pollutants.

### Questions?

Contact CSM at best@mines.edu or Golden at esdiv@cityofgolden.net.







The National Science Foundation Engineering Research Center for Re-insenting the Nation's Urban Water Infrastructure (SeNUMI);



Collaboration with Seattle Public Utilities Thornton Creek in Seattle, WA



# How can a hyporheic zone be designed to optimize contaminant attenuation?



**Collaboration with Seattle Public Utilities** 



- Improved structure cross-vane function
- Distributed hyporheic exchange in between cross-vanes



# Collaboration with HYPOTRAIN: an European Organization studying the Hyporheic Zone





Left: Field site in Berlin, Germany, used for studying the fate of diabetes medication Metformin in BEST amended with biochar. Right: Schematic of experimental flume set-up, where Locations A, B, and C denote HZ sampling locations (via MINIPOINT samplers).



### **Denitrification**

- Long RT (~3hr)
- Medium sand & woodchips



## **Other Applications**

- Stream restoration (top)
- Rural streams (right)
- Acid mine drainage (bottom center)
- Agricultural ditches (below)
- Any polluted ditch or channel









## **Conclusions & Discussion**

- BEST increased hyporheic transient storage compared to control.
- BEST streams shows potential for treatment of aerobictransformed pollutant, nutrients, and atrazine compared to the control condition, but contaminant removal should be further studied and optimized.
- Not a silver bullet, but part of a treatment-train solution, and a novel means of constraining hyporheic residence times to match reaction timescales of interest.
- Future work optimize BEST design for specific reactions.



## Conclusions: Engineered BEST Modules for Stormwater Treatment





Stormwater in Tucker Gulch. Golden, CO

BEST modules are a novel BMP to attenuate nonpoint source contaminants in urban streams / channels.

Harness ubiquitous stormwater channels for overall water quality improvements.

BEST channels in series to treat multiple contaminants

## **Questions?**

## jmccray@mines.edu





Herzog, S. P., Higgins, C. P., and J. E. McCray (2015). Engineered streambeds for induced hyporheic flow: Enhanced removal of nutrients, pathogens, and metals from urban streams, *ASCE J. Environ. Enginrng*, 142(1) 10.1061/(ASCE)EE.1943-7870.0001012

Herzog, S.P., Singha, K., Higgins, C.P., **McCray, J.E**., 2018. Performance of engineered streambeds for inducing hyporheic transient storage, *Environ. Sci. & Technol.* DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.8b01145

Peter, K., <u>Herzog. S.</u>, Tian, Z., Wu, C., **McCray, J.E.**, Lynch, K., Kolodziej, E. 2018. Removal of emerging organic contaminants in an engineered hyporheic zone, in press, *Water Research* 

Lawrence. J.E., ...McCray, J.E. (2013). Enhancing water quality and improving aquatic habitat by active management of the hyporheic zone in urban streams, *Environ. Engrng. Science*, 30(8), 480-501. 49