Does Indoor Air Filtration Improve Health?



Duke

Mike Bergin Duke University











The Team





Dr. Feng Li







Dr. Mike Bergin Dr. Jim Zhang Dr. Xiaoxing Cui **Karoline Barkjohn** Linchen He Meichen Liu **Christina Norris Tongshu Zheng** Sheena Ma

1 KC UNLVERSITY

Dr. Zhen Li

Dr. Jamie Schauer Dr. Michael Olson Ying Zhan

Dr. Yinping Zhang Dr. Jinhan Mo Fang Lin Jiaqi Sun

Dr. Yanbo Teng

A rotating team of residents and field technicians assisted with data collection in Shanghai, undergraduate students in the Bergin lab assembled, tested, and prepared equipment for the field, and staff/students at Duke and the University of Wisconsin.

We also acknowledge the participants in both Beijing and Shanghai for inviting us into their homes and for their cooperation throughout the project.

Rationale for the Project

- High levels of ambient pollution in China
- Individuals spend the majority of their time indoors
- Specific pollutants in the indoor environment in China have not been rigorously quantified (e.g., VOCs, O₃, components of PM)
- If effective, purifiers may allow individuals to improve their indoor environment, with potential benefits for health



Project Objectives



03, NO2, NO sensors

clock Temp./RH sensor PM sensor

Weather-proof case



- A. To quantify air pollutants in homes in urban China
- B. To assess the feasibility of measuring pollutants using lowcost sampling equipment
- C. To determine if air purifiers reduce pollutants a) indoors b) for personal exposure
- D. To evaluate the impact of air purification on the respiratory health of asthmatic children

Project Overview



Removed during sham filtration



Sampling Overview: Calibration

 Co-location of real-time sensors with reference monitors pre- and post - in-home sampling







PM2.5 and Ozone Concentrations in Beijing (Outdoor/Indoor/Personal Exposure)



DukeUNIVERSITY

Beijing: Real-time PM_{2.5} Indoor/Outdoor Ratios



 Indoor/outdoor PM_{2.5} ratios as averaged by hour of the day during true and sham filtration





Due to personnel and instrumentation, this crossover study design was **implemented** <u>in four batches</u>

Shanghai: Filter-based PM_{2.5}



Wild Fire Influence on Air Pollution (PM_{2.5}) and Related Tweets





PM_{2.5} Reductions by Household





PM_{2.5} Reductions by Household for Personal Exposure

Sham 🗾 True 🔜 not significant 🔤 significant





Health Indicators

	Measurement	Method	Indicators
Airway inflammation	Fractional Exhaled Nitric Oxide (FeNO)	NIOX machine	Airway inflammation
Symptom	C-ACT questionnaire	Self-reported questionnaire	Overall, exercise, cough, wake up at night, daytime symptom, wheeze
Airway Mechanics	Spirometry	Best results of 3 exhalations	FEV ₁ , FVC FEV ₁ /FVC: lung obstruction
	Impulse Oscillometry	Normal breathing sound wave	Sensitive detection of early changes of small airway function
	Peak Expiratory Flow (PEF)	Self-administrated daily	Maximum speed of expiration, indicates airway obstruction



Shanghai: Health Effects - Results



Duke UNIVERSITY

Two-weeks of indoor air filtration:

- Decreased respiratory inflammation
 FeNO by 23%
- Improved the small airway mechanics

↓ Z_5 by 14.7% ↓ R_5 by 22.4% ↓ $R_5 - R_{20}$ by 40.6%

Cui et al. Unpublished

Shanghai: Health Effects – Significance of Results



Small airways are of pathophysiological significance for asthma

- The major site of airflow limitation and airway inflammation
- Early changes of lung function

Zainudin et al 1990. Thorax; Usmani et al 2005. Am J Respir Crit Care Med; Heyder et al 2004. Proc Am Thorac Soc ; Lavorini et al 2014. Respiration ; Lipworth et al 2014. Lancet Respir Med



Summary

- Under proper conditions indoor filtration can substantially reduce indoor concentrations
- Personal exposure reductions are less apparent for indoor filtration due to varied activity and related exposures
- There are health benefits to indoor filtration for asthmatic children, but it is not a clear slam dunk

