



Foundation of Support for Research of Distrito Federal

2019 International Emissions Inventory Conference Collaborative Partnerships to Advance Science and Policy

LULUCF treatment in top down economic analyses of climate change policies*

Edson Rodrigo Toledo Neto edson.toledoneto@gmail.com M. Sc. Economics, Forest Engineer, Brazil

> Leopoldo Costa Junior Ph.D. in Economics, Brazil

Gustavo Gonçalves Manfrim M.Sc. Economics, Brazil

Acknowledgment: this paper has been supported by Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa do Distrito Federal, Brasil.

* Winer of Brazilian Forest Service Award of Economic Studies and Forest Market 2019.



THE QUESTION

There is no consensus on the treatment of the Land Use, Land Use Change, and Forestry (LULUCF) category in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions inventories.

OBJECTIVES

- Qualify the debate by means of a **top down analysis of GHG emissions and final energy consumption** of the Brazilian economy in 2009, defining the sectors responsible for LULUCF emissions based on the history of land use.
- We make the National Accounting System (NAS) data compatible with the GHG emission inventories and the energy balance with the regrouping of the economic sectors covered by the input-output matrix, making the **social distributive frame work in a SAM**.



•Policy makers have designed public policy to control and to reduce greenhouse gas emissions (GHG), normally with command and control policies with economic and voluntary instruments, but these microeconomic policies are not enough.

•Changes in macroeconomic policies (fiscal, monetary, exchange rate, among others) are required in order to achieve climate policy goals.

•We **need to treate the Land Use and Land Use Change** (LULUCF) in climate change economic modelling



- This methodology has been **widely used** in studies of the effects of climate change on the economy, but without accounting LULUCF emissions.
- Thus, from the Brazilian history of LULUCF, the agricultural and forestry sectors accounted for the direct and indirect emissions of the activity, plus deforestation emissions in 2009 in the Brazilian Biomes.
- However, to use the Social Accounting Matrice SAM into TD models, climate change policy makers are confronted with the need to adapt the structure of the NAS data to the sectorial structure of GHG emission inventories.
- Differences in methodological and operational structures have challenged scholars applying *TD Models* over the years.



•The **state-of-the-art in modeling mitigation policies** is divided into:

Bottom-Up (BU) Models, calculate the effects of <u>technological replacements in microeconomic terms</u> for specific sectors, such as energy, based upon their supply (replacement of primary inputs) and demand (replacement in the final demand) aspects.

Top-Down (TD) Models, drawn from macroeconomic aggregates intended to answer questions of economic impacts and competitiveness, employment levels related to different sectors of an economy, therefore, distributional effects into different mitigation policies.

•In this paper, we use the linear algebra procedures to macth the GHG emissions structure of IPCC with National Accounting System (**NAS**) of Brazil. Do this to make feasible *TD models* and to ask the following question:

What are the carbon intensity of productive sectors and to Brazil economy?

3. METHODOLOGY APPROACH



• The Brazilian recent emission inventories



Gráfico 1. Distribuição percentual das emissões de CO_{2eq} em 2005 (1) e 2010 (2) no Brasil. Fonte: Adaptado de MCT (2013).



• On the one hand, the vast majority of *TD Models* depend on traditional social accounting matrix (SAM). As it is well known, SAM structure follows the accounting and economic rules of national accounting systems (NAS).

•On the other hand, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) establish the structure of emissions inventories of GHG emissions that each country must publish your national contributions to reduce and control emissions.



Social Accounting Matrice Principles and Structure

- The SAM (Stone, 1953) is a simple and efficient framework for the organization of economic data wich embodied two principles:
 - i. The **circular flow of rent and consumer** (Quesnay, 1758; Keynes, 1936) and
 - ii. Input-Output matrix (I-O) formulated by Leontief (1936).
- SAM follows the proportionality and homogeneity principles and the same mathematical proposition and constructive statements of I-O matrix.



Social Accounting Matrice Principles and Structure

- In an I-O matrix, there are accounts who represent the productive sectors in the columns and rows.
- By adding other accounts who represent another economic agents (government, families, rest of world...) we have the SAM matrix.
- The columns contain the spents of a sector (demand side) and the rows contain the rents of one (supply side) and the locking up of I-O and SAM matrix occur with the iguality between the same sector/institution colums's and row's.



Social Accounting Matrice Principles and Structure

• Circular flow of income and consumption.

		\rightarrow	-₹					
		Prod.	Cons.	Cap.	RW	Govt.	Fam.	VA
~	Production (Prod.)	\downarrow	U	1	X	G	F	
V >	Consumption (Cons.)	Q	<	D	Н			
	Capital (Cap.)				S _F	SG	S	
	Rest of Word (RW)	М	<	L	<		0	
	Government (Govt.)	TB	T,	В			T	
	Families (Fam.)					P		W
	Value Added (VA)		V					

F = total of family consumption of goods and services in the economy; **I** = total investment in capital goods; **X** = total exports of goods and services; **G** = government spending; **Q** = total income generated in the economy; **D** = depreciation or consumption of capital goods; **H** = external income generated; **S** = total savings; **M** = Total imports of goods and services; **O** = The transfers of foreign capital; **L** = net sales of external resources; **T** = Total direct taxation of consumers; **B** = government deficit; **S**_G = government savings; **S**_F = foreign savings; **P** = government transfers to social security and welfare.

Source: Modificated from Miller and Blair (2009).



Social Accounting Matrice of Brazil – 2009 (em R\$ 1.000.000)

Matrix format, your circular flow of income and consumption and aggregation into 11 sectors results.

																				-	R\$1.000.000			
Consumption and Inco Circular Flown	ome	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11 Int	ermediary sumption E	xportation	Government Expending	Service Inc. Consumption	Family Consumption	Captial Investment	Stock Changes	Final Consumption	Total Consumption			
Agriculture and livestock	1	20.387	711	17	12	10,134	0	4	1	4	129.392	4.401	165.063	29.93	2 0,003	0	54.657	7 13.110	-690	97.009	262.072			
Florest	2	711	446	1	1	556	0	0	0	0	7.097	241	9.054	1.64	2 0,0002	0	2.998	3 719	-38	5.321	14.375			
Energy - Oil and Gas	4	7 203	1	2.012	20 362	236	258	3.758	932	33,000	143	13 783	108.692	8 60	9 0	0	33 318	2 10	0 1.113	19.833	81.614			
Energy - Ethanol	5	121	7	3	4.856	9	6	60	15	350	2.504	3.452	11.383	1.97	9 0	0	10.352	2 100	-1.371	11.061	22.444			
Energy - Home and Trade G	as 6	89	5	130	59	16	186	1.862	462	215	2.524	2.862	8.409	11	8 0,002	0	4.098	3 2	2 3	4.220	12.630			
Energy - Electricity	7	888	49	1.307	595	162	1.862	18.669	4.630	2.159	25.308	28.693	84.320	1.17	9 0,023	0	41.086	3 24	27	42.316	126.636			
Water and Sewage Suply	8	220	271	324	2 295	40	462	4.630	1.148	535	65.402	7.115	20.910	29	2 0,006		10.185) t 7 166) / : 102	10.493	31.403			
industry	15	4.5.00	,,,,	0.4.14	/////		2.1.1	1.1.11	075	2.1.04.1	0.140.1	47.014	1.10.170	11.74	1 .11		9.1.161	7.1.6		114.0.01	710.501			R\$1.000.000
Services National Production Import Import Tax Flown Circulation Tax Industry Products Tax	Co	onsu C	mpt ircı	tion ular	and Flo	d In wn	con	ne		1		2	3		4	5	6		7	8	9	10	11	Intermediary Consumption
Other Indirect axes	Agrie	cultur	e an	d liv	vesto	ock			1	20.	387	711	1	17	12	10.13	4	0	4		1 4	129.392	4.401	165.063
Rents Wages	Flore	est							2		711	446		1	1	55	6	0	0		0 0	7.097	241	9.054
Effective Social Contributions	Ener	·gy - C	Dil aı	nd (Gas				3		22	1	2.61	2	53.834		0 3	75	3.758	93	23	143	101	61.781
Private Pension	Ener	·gy - F	Refin	ning	e Co	оKe			4	7.	293	400	66	62	20.362	23	⁶ 2	58	2.583	64	0 33.000	29.474	13.783	108.692
GOE and Gross Mix Rent Gross Mix Rent	Ener	gy - E	Ethai	nol					5		121	7		3	4.856	9	9	6	60	1	5 350	2.504	3.452	11.383
Gross Operational Surplus (G Added Valeu	Ener	·gy - H	lom	e ar	d Tra	ade	Gas	5	6		89	5	13	30	59	1	6 1	86	1.862	46	2 215	2.524	2.862	8.409
Other Taxes on Prodution Other Subsydies at Prodution	Ener	gy - E	Elect	ricit	ty				7		888	49	1.30)7	595	16	2 1.8	62 1	8.669	4.63	0 2.159	25.308	28.693	84.320
Gross Domestic Product (G Production Value	Wate	er and	l Sev	wag	e Su	ply			8		220	12	32	24	147	4	0 4	62	4.630	1.14	8 535	6.276	7.115	20.910
Employees's People	Tran	sport							9	4.	936	271	8.45	54	2.285	44	42	53	2.537	62	9 23.845	65.403	47.514	156.570
	Indu	stry							10	48.	411	2.655	10.01	15	3.631	1.48	4 4	99	4.999	1.24	0 15.902	548.475	171.597	808.909
	Serv	ices							11	14.	049	771	20.64	15	5.319	1.11	3 1.1	82 1	1.850	2.93	8 40.508	241.635	494.437	834.447
	Nati	ional	Pro	odu	ctio	n			12	97.	128	5.328	44.17	/1	91.101	14.19	3 5.0	81 5	0.951	12.63	5 116.523	1.058.230	774.196	2.269.538



																								R\$1.000.000
Consumption and Income Circular Flown Agriculture and livestock Florest Energy - Oil and Gas	1 20.38	2 77 71 1 44	3 1 17 5 1 1 2.612	4 12 1 53.834	5 10.134 556 0	6 0 0 375	7 4 0 3.758	8 1 0 932	9 4 0 3	10 129.392 7.097 143	11 4.401 241 101	Intermediary Consumption 165.063 9.054 61.781	Exportatio 29.93 1.64 17.72	Government Expending 2 0,000 2 0,000	Service Inc. Consumption 0 0 0	Family Consumptio 54.65 2.99 97	Captial Investmen 7 13.110 8 719 5 16	Stock Changes -690 -38 5 1.113	Final Consumpti 97.0 5.3 19.8	R\$1.000.000 Total Consumption 9 262.07 1 14.37 3 81.61	254	Consumption and Income Circular Flown	•	Total Consumption
Energy - Refining e CoKe Energy - Ethanol Energy - Home and Trade Gas Energy - Electricity	7.29 11 6 8 7 88	13 401 11 19 19 18 41	0 662 7 3 5 130 9 1.307	20.362 4.856 59 595	236 9 16 162	258 6 186 1.862	2.583 60 1.862 18.669	640 15 462 4.630	33.000 350 215 2.159	29.474 2.504 2.524 25.308	13.783 3.452 2.862 28.693	108.692 11.383 8.409 84.320	8.60 1.97 11 1.17	9 0,002		33.31 10.35 4.09 41.08	8 17. 2 100 8 1 6 24	-6/8 -1.371 2 3	41.4 11.0 4.2 42.3	3 150.105 1 22.444 20 12.630 6 126.636	5 4 0	Agriculture and livestock	1	262.072
Water and Sewage Suply Transport Industry Services	4 22 4.93 10 48.41 1 14.04	0 1: 16 27 11 2.65 19 77	2 324 1 8.454 5 10.015 1 20.645	147 2.285 3.631 5.319	40 444 1.484 1.113	462 253 499 1.182	4.630 2.537 4.999 11.850	1.148 629 1.240 2.938	535 23.845 15.902 40.508	6.276 65.403 548.475 241.635	7.115 47.514 171.597 494.437	20.910 156.570 808.909 834.447	29 11.74 186.40 75.26	2 0,00 1 3 5 2.84 7 681.38	0 0 0 38.318	10.18 95.58 454.05 964.90	9 6 1 7.15 6 408.73 2 59.810	-183 -5.405 -1.107	10.4 114.3 1.046.6 1.818.5	3 31.40 1 270.90 3 1.855.54 2 2.653.01	3 1 2 9	Florest	2	14 375
National Production Import Import Tax Flown Circulation Tax	1 97.12 0.01 14 26 15 4.12	8 5.32	8 44.171 4 121 6 1.236	91.101 10.429 41 872	14.193 245 13 138	5.081 	50.951 0.052 89 5.106	12.635 055 22 1.266	116.523 8.074 224 4.528	1.058.230 117.501 6.225 37.913	774.196 46.000 1.049 40.356	2.269.538 240.720 8.070 96.272	334.88	4 684.25 0 0 21 3 73	38.318 145 0	1.672.21 02.97 3.97 102.95	1 489.854 0 55.203 0 3.715 8 17.344	-8.322 01 32 91	3.211.2 150.1 7.7 130.6	47 5.811 30 225.902	7	Energy - Oil and Gas	3	81.614
Industry Products Tax Other Indirect Taxes Intermediary Consumption Rents	16 15 17 2.67 18 113.01 19 49.83	5 14 7 14 6 6.19	9 123 7 1.599 9 52.077 4 12 412	35 7.415 118.891 4.464	13 440 15.012 2.560	10 229 6.222 1.587	97 2.293 62.389 15.913	24 569 15.471 3.946	107 6.916 136.669 66.032	4.414 36.329 1.260.613 307.635	2.994 35.168 899.803 945.882	7.981 93.781 2.686.362 1.412.999	2.06 9.21 355.65	0 5 2 1.07 3 687.00	766 39.229	12.50 56.00 1.940.52	3 5.10 1 14.00 2 585.31 0 0	66 -252 -7.471	19.7 80.8 3.600.2	38 27,719 06 174,687 51 6.286,113 0 1,412,989	9	Energy - Refining e CoKe	4	150.105
Wages Effective Social Contributions Official Pension	20 42.3 ⁴ 21 7.5 ⁴ 22 7.5 ⁴	8 2.32 7 41 7 41	1 8.619 2 3.793 2 3.226	3.008 1.456 1.219	2.048 512 495	1.273 314 286	12.761 3.152 2.869	3.164 782 711	52.592 13.440 13.410	238.694 68.941 66.269	747.297 151.688 148.276	1.114.095 252.007 244.690			0					0 1.114.096 0 252.00 0 244.690	5	Energy - Ethanol	5	22.444
Private Pension Imputed Social Contributions GOE and Gross Mix Rent Gross Mix Rent	24 25 97.49 26 65.51	0 1	0 567 0 0 8 16.457 3 0	237 0 25.986 0	17 0 4.709 0	28 0 4.723 0	283 0 47.360 0	0 11.744 0	0 65.770 21.554	2:672 0 270.460 45.471	3.412 46.897 786.220 124.296	46.897 1.336.268 260.424			0					0 7.31 0 46.89 0 1.336.268 0 260.424	7 B 4	Energy - Home and Trade Gas	6	12.630
Gross Operational Surplus (GOS) Added Valeu Other Taxes on Prodution Other Subsydies at Prodution	27 31.98 28 147.32 29 1.74 30	1 1.75 5 8.08 7 9	4 16.457 1 28.869 5 668	25.986 30.450 764	4.709 7.269 163 0	4.723 6.310 125 -28	47.360 63.273 1.253 -279	11.744 15.690 311 -69	44.216 131.802 2.810 -380	224.989 578.095 18.116 -1.282	661.924 1.732.102 21.565 -451	1.075.844 2.749.267 47.618 -2.506	_		000000000000000000000000000000000000000			0 0 0 0 0 0		0 1.075.844 0 2.749.267 0 47.618 0 -2.506	4 7 8	Energy - Electricity	7	126.636
Gross Domestic Product (GDP) Production Value Employees's People	31 149.05 32 262.01 15.905.3	6 8.17 2 14.37 8 872.44	6 29.537 5 81.614 7 63.803	31.214 150.105 24.214	7.432 22.444 110.415	6.407 12.630 1 30.523	64.248 26.636 305.059	15.932 31.403 75.895	134.232 270.901 3.960.744	594.929 1.855.542 19.238 904	1.753.216 2.653.019 56.058.756	2.794.379 5.480.74 96.647.139			0		0 0	0 0	I	0 2.794.379	i	Water and Sewage Suply	8	31.403
																						Transport	9	270.901
																						Industry	10	1.855.542
															\mathbf{i}							Services	11	2.653.019
																						National Production	12	5.480.741
1																								

R\$1.000.000

Consumption and Income Circular Flow	'n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	Intermediary Consumption
Production Value	1	262.072	14.375	81.614	150.105	22.444	12.630	126.636	31.403	270.901	1.855.542	2.653.019	5.480.741
Employees's People		15.905.378	872.447	63.803	24.214	110.415	30.523	306.059	75.896	3.960.744	19.238.904	56.058.756	96.647.139

4. **RESULTS**



	Emissões	Energy	GDP	E _{PIB}	CE	C _{PIB}
Productive Sectors and	(Mt CO _{2eq} ¹)	(1,000 toe ²)	(US\$ bilhão)	(toe/US\$ milhão)	(t CO _{2eq} /toe)	(t CO _{2eq} /US\$ mil)
Residential	С	E	Y	E/Y	C/E	C/Y
Agriculture and livestock	573.74	9,453.00	65.74	143.78	0.061	8.73
Forest	168.92	2,267.07	3.61	628.65	0.075	46.84
Energy - Oil and Gas	26.79	11,043.13	13.03	847.65	0.002	2.06
Energy - Refining and Coke	15.45	738.59	13.77	53.65	0.021	1.12
Energy - Ethanol	6.27	1,383.54	3.28	422.06	0.005	1.91
Energia - House and Trade Gas	18.38	818.78	2.83	289.72	0.022	6.50
Energy - Electricity	30.82	8,162.89	28.34	288.06	0.004	1.09
Water and Sewage Suply	66.60	-	7.03	-	-	9.48
Transport	140.91	62,687.00	59.21	1,058.79	0.002	2.38
Industry	169.99	76,686.00	262.41	292.24	0.002	0.65
Services	3.91	9,896.00	773.30	12.80	0.000	0.01
Residential (toe/thousand						
household)	-	23,227.00	-	401.74	-	-
TOTAL	1,221.79	206,363.00	2,794.38	73.85	5.9	0.4

4. **RESULTS**







- The carbon and energy intensities of the industrial and electricity sectors responded to the 0.65 and 1.09 tCO2eq/US\$ thousand and 292.2 and 288.1 toe/US\$ million of value added, respectively, compatible with those found in the literature.
- The agricultural and forestry sectors was accountable for 8.73 and 46.84 tCO2eq/US\$ thousand and 143.8 and 628.7 toe/US\$ million.
- These results demonstrate the dilemma involved in assigning responsibility for LULUCF emissions, which would greatly raise production costs if emissions pricing becomes a public policy to mitigate climate change in Brazil.





Foundation of Support for Research of Distrito Federal

2019 International Emissions Inventory Conference Collaborative Partnerships to Advance Science and Policy

FIM

Edson Rodrigo Toledo Neto

edson.toledoneto@gmail.com

M. Sc. Economics, Forest Engineer, Brazil

Acknowledgment: this paper has been supported by Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa do Distrito Federal, Brasil.

* Winer of Brazilian Forest Service Award of Economic Studies and Forest Market 2019.