A Comparison of Mobile Input Parameters between Two MOVES-Based National Emission Inventories of 2011 and 2014

Jin-Sheng Lin Virginia Department of Environmental Quality

Eric Zalewsky

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

EPA 2019 International Emission Inventory Conference Lightning Session July 30, 2019

) Inventory Mode	(2) Emission Rate Mode	(3) Lookup Table Mode
OVES	MOVES	MOVES, SMOKE-MOVES
mission inventory evelopment	Sensitivity runs	Regional emission modeling, <mark>NEI</mark>
ocal data, No post- rocessing required	Detailed emission processes	Detailed meteorology, Large scale modeling
eneralized leteorology	Complex output	Representative county, Difficult to operate
ounty, Month	County, Month	Representative county, Fuel month
) Inventory Mode OVES nission inventory evelopment ocal data, No post- ocessing required eneralized eteorology ounty, Month) Inventory Mode(2) Emission Rate ModeOVESMOVESnission inventory evelopmentSensitivity runsocal data, No post- ocessing requiredDetailed emission processeseneralized eteorologyComplex outputounty, MonthCounty, Month



Mobile Input Parameters

Parameter	Notes	References
Age Distribution on O3	"Smoothed" age distribution	(1) Jun 14 2018 presentation
Speed Profiles on O3	Hourly weekday/weekend	(2) Nov 29 2018 presentation
Age Distributions		(3) Jan 17 2019 presentation (part one)
Representative County		Jan 17 2019 presentation (part one)
Temporal Profiles	VMT temporalization	(4) Jan 31 2019 presentation (part two)
VPOP	Total and splits	(5) Feb 14 2019 presentation (part three)
VMT	Total and splits	Feb 14 2019 presentation (part three)
Emission Rates		Feb 14 2019 presentation (part three)
Hoteling	Diesel 62 on road 2&4	(6) Feb 21 2019 presentation (part four)
Emissions	By vehicle type and by sector	(7) Mar 7 2019 presentation (part five)
Miscellaneous	2016 alpha versus 2016 beta	(8) Mar 28 2019 presentation (part six)
CDB/FF10 Conversion	Program splitting VPOP/VMT	e-mailed OTAQ March 1 2019

Datasets available:

Eight presentations have all been forwarded to OTAQ

- **2011 NEIv2**
- 2014 NEIv2
- 2015 (non-NEI year, 2014-based)
- **2016** Alpha (non-NEI year, 2014-based)
- **2016 Beta (non-NEI year, 2014-based, some local data supplied by states)**
- **2017 NEI (in preparation by states/EPA, due 01/15/2019)**
- **2023 (future year projected from 2016)**
- **2028 (future year projected from 2016)**

Past presentations are available for download in MDE Photochemical Modeling Dropbox: https://www.dropbox.com/sh/f92bs5a6mhy4cqf/AAB8K07OpBuwi4CSIbE5a4nAa?dI=0





Presentations	Parameter Examined	Overarching Issues
(1) Jun 14 2018	Age Distribution on O3	"Smoothed" age distribution by NJ
(2) Nov 29 2018	Speed Profiles on O3	(a) Incorporation of CRC A100 speed into unseeded CDBs
		(b) Incorporation of CRC A100 speed into MOVES default
		(c) Override mechanism on unreasonable state data
		(d) Are SMOKE reports based on average or hourly speeds?
(3) Jan 17 2019 (part one)	(1) Age Distributions	(a) Suitability of representative county approach
	(2) Representative County	(b) Inconsistent (altered) age data in GA's unseeded CDBs
		(c) National (centralized) archive center for CDBs
(4) Jan 31 2019 (part two)	Temporal Profiles	(a) Incorporation of CRC A100 temporal into unseeded CDBs
	(VMT allocation)	(b) Incorporation of CRC A100 temporal into MOVES default
		(c) Emissions change by manipulating VMT profiles
		(d) Change in emissions by changing monthly temporal profiles
(5) Feb 14 2019 (part three)	Activity & Emission Rates	(a) Have NEIs accounted for known controls (NLEV and CALEV)?
	(1) VPOP/VMT	(b) How can controls be included in SMOKE-MOVES modeling?
	(1) VPOP/VMT(2) Emission Rates	(b) How can controls be included in SMOKE-MOVES modeling? (c) CDBs and FF10 conversion (released with SMOKE-MOVES)
	(1) VPOP/VMT(2) Emission Rates(3) VMT growth	 (b) How can controls be included in SMOKE-MOVES modeling? (c) CDBs and FF10 conversion (released with SMOKE-MOVES) (d) Problematic 31/32, 52/53. 61/62 splits
	(1) VPOP/VMT(2) Emission Rates(3) VMT growth	 (b) How can controls be included in SMOKE-MOVES modeling? (c) CDBs and FF10 conversion (released with SMOKE-MOVES) (d) Problematic 31/32, 52/53. 61/62 splits (e) Non-road integration to MOVES framework
(6) Feb 21 2019 (part four)	 (1) VPOP/VMT (2) Emission Rates (3) VMT growth Extended Idling 	 (b) How can controls be included in SMOKE-MOVES modeling? (c) CDBs and FF10 conversion (released with SMOKE-MOVES) (d) Problematic 31/32, 52/53. 61/62 splits (e) Non-road integration to MOVES framework (a) Addition of EXT as a grouping criterion in rep county
(6) Feb 21 2019 (part four)	 (1) VPOP/VMT (2) Emission Rates (3) VMT growth Extended Idling (Diesel 62 on road 2/4) 	 (b) How can controls be included in SMOKE-MOVES modeling? (c) CDBs and FF10 conversion (released with SMOKE-MOVES) (d) Problematic 31/32, 52/53. 61/62 splits (e) Non-road integration to MOVES framework (a) Addition of EXT as a grouping criterion in rep county (b) No correlation between idling hours and 62 VMT
(6) Feb 21 2019 (part four) (7) Mar 7 2019 (part five)	 (1) VPOP/VMT (2) Emission Rates (3) VMT growth Extended Idling (Diesel 62 on road 2/4) Activity and Emissions 	 (b) How can controls be included in SMOKE-MOVES modeling? (c) CDBs and FF10 conversion (released with SMOKE-MOVES) (d) Problematic 31/32, 52/53. 61/62 splits (e) Non-road integration to MOVES framework (a) Addition of EXT as a grouping criterion in rep county (b) No correlation between idling hours and 62 VMT (a) Are SMOKE reports based on average or hourly speeds?
(6) Feb 21 2019 (part four) (7) Mar 7 2019 (part five)	 (1) VPOP/VMT (2) Emission Rates (3) VMT growth Extended Idling (Diesel 62 on road 2/4) Activity and Emissions (1) by vehicle type 	 (b) How can controls be included in SMOKE-MOVES modeling? (c) CDBs and FF10 conversion (released with SMOKE-MOVES) (d) Problematic 31/32, 52/53. 61/62 splits (e) Non-road integration to MOVES framework (a) Addition of EXT as a grouping criterion in rep county (b) No correlation between idling hours and 62 VMT (a) Are SMOKE reports based on average or hourly speeds? (b) Low NOx rank with highly ranked activity for NY/NJ
(6) Feb 21 2019 (part four) (7) Mar 7 2019 (part five)	 (1) VPOP/VMT (2) Emission Rates (3) VMT growth Extended Idling (Diesel 62 on road 2/4) Activity and Emissions (1) by vehicle type (2) by sector 	 (b) How can controls be included in SMOKE-MOVES modeling? (c) CDBs and FF10 conversion (released with SMOKE-MOVES) (d) Problematic 31/32, 52/53. 61/62 splits (e) Non-road integration to MOVES framework (a) Addition of EXT as a grouping criterion in rep county (b) No correlation between idling hours and 62 VMT (a) Are SMOKE reports based on average or hourly speeds? (b) Low NOx rank with highly ranked activity for NY/NJ (c) SMOKE-MOVES too complicated (controls can't be simulated)
(6) Feb 21 2019 (part four) (7) Mar 7 2019 (part five)	 (1) VPOP/VMT (2) Emission Rates (3) VMT growth Extended Idling (Diesel 62 on road 2/4) Activity and Emissions (1) by vehicle type (2) by sector (3) SMOKE outputs 	 (b) How can controls be included in SMOKE-MOVES modeling? (c) CDBs and FF10 conversion (released with SMOKE-MOVES) (d) Problematic 31/32, 52/53. 61/62 splits (e) Non-road integration to MOVES framework (a) Addition of EXT as a grouping criterion in rep county (b) No correlation between idling hours and 62 VMT (a) Are SMOKE reports based on average or hourly speeds? (b) Low NOx rank with highly ranked activity for NY/NJ (c) SMOKE-MOVES too complicated (controls can't be simulated) (d) Poor coordination between OTAQ and OAQPS
(6) Feb 21 2019 (part four) (7) Mar 7 2019 (part five) (8) Mar 28 2019 (part six)	 (1) VPOP/VMT (2) Emission Rates (3) VMT growth Extended Idling (Diesel 62 on road 2/4) Activity and Emissions (1) by vehicle type (2) by sector (3) SMOKE outputs Miscellaneous 	 (b) How can controls be included in SMOKE-MOVES modeling? (c) CDBs and FF10 conversion (released with SMOKE-MOVES) (d) Problematic 31/32, 52/53. 61/62 splits (e) Non-road integration to MOVES framework (a) Addition of EXT as a grouping criterion in rep county (b) No correlation between idling hours and 62 VMT (a) Are SMOKE reports based on average or hourly speeds? (b) Low NOx rank with highly ranked activity for NY/NJ (c) SMOKE-MOVES too complicated (controls can't be simulated) (d) Poor coordination between OTAQ and OAQPS (a) No county variation in monthly temporal profiles
(6) Feb 21 2019 (part four) (7) Mar 7 2019 (part five) (8) Mar 28 2019 (part six)	(1) VPOP/VMT (2) Emission Rates (3) VMT growth Extended Idling (Diesel 62 on road 2/4) Activity and Emissions (1) by vehicle type (2) by sector (3) SMOKE outputs Miscellaneous (1) Monthly temporal	 (b) How can controls be included in SMOKE-MOVES modeling? (c) CDBs and FF10 conversion (released with SMOKE-MOVES) (d) Problematic 31/32, 52/53. 61/62 splits (e) Non-road integration to MOVES framework (a) Addition of EXT as a grouping criterion in rep county (b) No correlation between idling hours and 62 VMT (a) Are SMOKE reports based on average or hourly speeds? (b) Low NOx rank with highly ranked activity for NY/NJ (c) SMOKE-MOVES too complicated (controls can't be simulated) (d) Poor coordination between OTAQ and OAQPS (a) No county variation in monthly temporal profiles (b) Low NOx rank with highly ranked activity for NY
(6) Feb 21 2019 (part four) (7) Mar 7 2019 (part five) (8) Mar 28 2019 (part six)	 (1) VPOP/VMT (2) Emission Rates (3) VMT growth Extended Idling (Diesel 62 on road 2/4) Activity and Emissions (1) by vehicle type (2) by sector (3) SMOKE outputs Miscellaneous (1) Monthly temporal (2) beta (vs alpha) activity 	 (b) How can controls be included in SMOKE-MOVES modeling? (c) CDBs and FF10 conversion (released with SMOKE-MOVES) (d) Problematic 31/32, 52/53. 61/62 splits (e) Non-road integration to MOVES framework (a) Addition of EXT as a grouping criterion in rep county (b) No correlation between idling hours and 62 VMT (a) Are SMOKE reports based on average or hourly speeds? (b) Low NOx rank with highly ranked activity for NY/NJ (c) SMOKE-MOVES too complicated (controls can't be simulated) (d) Poor coordination between OTAQ and OAQPS (a) No county variation in monthly temporal profiles (b) Low NOx rank with highly ranked activity for NY

Summary on EPA Responses to Selected Issues

- SMOKE reports are based on hourly speeds (SMOKE won't run without average speed) -- OAQPS
- Updating national averages with speed and temporal data derived in CRC A100 is in the works but county-specific data is not allowed in MOVES default – OTAQ (Proposed incorporating data into MOVES GUI to share CRC A100 with states)
- SMOKE is being revised to consider speed distribution (instead of actual speed) -- OAQPS
- Instruction on how to model controls is available OTAQ (Further clarification made indicating the problem is with SMOKE-MOVES not MOVES)
- Issue with vehicle classification or vehicle split: consolidating some vehicles types (such as 52 and 53) is being considered and debated internally – OTAQ
- Non-road has been in MOVES since MOVES2014 OTAQ (Further clarification made indicating incompatibility (to Linux) of Windows-based non-road executable)
- EPA disagreed and is unwilling to override data submitted by states – OTAQ (Further clarification and additional examples provided, GA and LADCO offered interesting perspectives)
- Some misunderstanding on control strategy OTAQ (Further clarification made)
- VPOP will have little role in SMOKE once RPV input is switched to # of starts -- OTAQ 7

Web Link for Presentations

In addition to MDE Photochemical Modeling Dropbox (on page 3), all presentations are posted in one place at:

https://www.arc.vt.edu/air-quality-modeling

Emission Modeling: ~50 pdf on MOVES and SMOKE-MOVES (from 2011 to present) Air Quality Modeling: ~30 pdf (from 2016 to present)

Acknowledgements

- Alison Eyth of OAQPS
- Winston Hao of NYSDEC
- Sonya Lewis-Cheatham & Doris McLeod of VADEQ
- Advanced Research Computing at Virginia Tech

Contacts

- Jin-Sheng Lin: jin-sheng.lin@deq.virginia.gov
- Eric Zalewsky: eric.zalewsky@dec.ny.gov