

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON D.C., 20460

OFFICE OF CHEMICAL SAFETY AND POLLUTION PREVENTION

PC Code: 268800 **DP Barcode:** 436967

Jait 12/15/16

MEMORANDUM

DATE: December 15, 2016

- SUBJECT: Dimethomorph Upgrading of environmental chemistry method and associated independent laboratory validation in water (PC code: 268800)
- TO: Nathan Sell, Chemical Review Manager Avivah Jakob, Team Leader Kelly Sherman, Branch Chief Risk Management and Implementation Branch III Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division (7508P)
- FROM:
 Ibrahim Abdel-Saheb, Ph.D., Environmental Scientist

 Environmental Risk Branch 6
 12/15/2016

 Environmental Fate and Effects Division (7507P)

THROUGH: Mark Corbin, Branch Chief Monica Wait, Risk Assessment Process Leader Environmental Risk Branch 6 Environmental Fate and Effects Division (7507P)

The registrant of dimethomorph, BASF, previously submitted an environmental chemistry method (ECM, MRID 49822602) and associated independent laboratory validation (ILV, MRID 49822601) in water, as required by the registration review data call-in. Those studies were previously reviewed by the Environmental Fate and Effects Division (8/3/2016, DP 432604) and were classified as "supplemental / upgradable" and "unacceptable / upgradable", respectively.

BASF recently submitted an Amended Final Report for the independent laboratory validation in water (MRID 50093001, to replace MRID 49822601). EFED evaluated all the information submitted and concluded that the amended ILV study can be upgraded to acceptable. The results are summarized in the table below. The previous data evaluation record for the dimethomorph water ECM and ILV has been appended to document this change.

Table 1. Environmental fate data requirements for dimethomorph					
MRID	Guideline	Study Type	Study Classification ¹	Remarks	
50093001 (This amended report replaces MRID 49822601.)	835.6100	Independent Laboratory Validation Method (water)	Acceptable	In the original ILV report (MRID 49822601; classified as unacceptable 8/3/2016, DP 432604), only one ion transition was monitored and quantified. The amended report (MRID 50093001) provided the required data about the two ion transitions that were monitored and quantified in the ECM (MRID 49822602).	
¹ OPPIN Classifications: Acceptable; Acceptable/Guideline; Acceptable/Non-Guideline; Cited; Confirmatory; Decision Deferred; Extraneous submission; Guideline; In Review; minimum; No Decision; Partially Acceptable; Satisfactory; Screened-acceptable for review; Screened-not acceptable; Supplemental; Supplemental/Non-Guideline; Unacceptable: Unacceptable/Guideline: Unacceptable/Non-Guideline: Ungradeable					

Test Material:	Dimethomorph			
MRID:	49822602			
Title:	Validation of Method D1410 (L0257/01): "Method for the Determination of the Geometric Isomers of Dimethomorph (BAS 550 F; Reg. No. 4110868, 4110869) in Water at LOQ of 0.05 ppb using LC/MS/MS"			
MRID:	49822601			
Title:	Independent Laboratory Validation of BASF Analytical Method L0257/01 (D1410): "Method for the determination of the geometric isomers of Dimethomorph (BAS 550 F; Reg. No. 4110868, 4110869) in water at LOQ of 0.05 ppb using LC/MS/MS"			
EPA PC Code:	268800			
OCSPP Guideline:	850.6100			
For CDM Smith				
Primary Reviewer: Lisa Muto		Signature:	Lasa Muto	
Secondary Reviewer: Kathleen Ferguson		Signature: Date: 7/28/16	Katalun P. Jerguson	
QC/QA Manager: Joan Gaidos		Signature:	Jours	

Date: 7/28/16

Analytical method for the geometric isomers (E/Z) of dimethomorph in water

Reports:	ECM: EPA MRID No. 49822602. Gordon, B. 2015. Validation of Method
	D1410 (L0257/01): "Method for the Determination of the Geometric
	Isomers of Dimethomorph (BAS 550 F; Reg. No. 4110868, 4110869) in
	Water at LOQ of 0.05 ppb using LC/MS/MS". BASF Study No.: 724058.
	BASE Registration Document No.: 2014/7003705. Report prepared by
	BASE Crop Protection, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina; and
	sponsored and submitted by BASF Corporation, Research Triangle Park,
	North Carolina; 77 pages. Final report issued May 20, 2015.
	ILV: EPA MRID No. 49822601. Davis, P.P. 2015. Independent Laboratory
	Validation of BASF Analytical Method L0257/01 (D1410): "Method for the
	determination of the geometric isomers of Dimethomorph (BAS 550 F; Reg.
	No. 4110868, 4110869) in water at LOQ of 0.05 ppb using LC/MS/MS ⁷⁷ .
	BASF Study No.: 724039. CRO Study Identification No.: S14-05282. BASF Pagistration Document No.: 2014/7004121. Papert prepared by Eurofing
	Agroscience Services Inc. East Brunswick New Jersey: sponsored and
	submitted by BASE Crop Protection. Research Triangle Park North
	Carolina: 49 pages. Final report issued February 12, 2015.
Document No.:	MRIDs 49822602 & 49822601
Guideline:	850.6100
Statements:	ECM: The study was not conducted with the restriction of compliance with
	USEPA FIFRA FIFRA Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) standards, 40 CFR,
	Part 160 (p. 3 of MRID 49822602). Signed and dated No Data
	Confidentiality, GLP, Quality Assurance and Certification of Authenticity
	statements were provided (pp. 2-5).
	ILV: The study was conducted in accordance with the USEPA FIFRA GLP
	standards (40 CFR Part 160; p. 3 of MRID 49822601). Signed and dated No
	Data Confidentiality, GLP, Quality Assurance and Certification of
	Authenticity statements were provided (pp. 2-5).
Classification:	This ECM study is classified as supplemental (The estimations of the LOQ
	and LOD were not based on scientifically acceptable procedures as defined in 40 CEP. Part 126. The UV study is closed field as unacceptable (apply the
	auantitative ion transition was monitored and quantified by the ILV: two ion
	transitions were monitored and quantified in the ECM Both studies could be
	upgraded upon submission of data resolving the issues mentioned above.
PC Code:	268800
Reviewer:	A
	Ibrahim Abdel-Saheb, Ph.D. Signature:
	Environmental Scientist Date: 8/2/2016

Executive Summary

This analytical method, BASF Analytical Method No. D1410 (L0257/01), is designed for the quantitative determination of the geometric isomers (E/Z) of dimethomorph (BAS 550 F; Reg. No. 4110868, 4110869) in water at the LOQ of 0.05 μ g/kg (0.05 μ g/L, 0.05 ng/mL) using LC/MS/MS. The exact same water matrices, drinking (tap) water and surface water, were used in the ECM and ILV. The same two parent-daughter ion transitions of the ECM were reported in the ILV analytical parameters; however, only one ion transition was monitored and quantified in the ILV. A confirmatory method is not usually required when LC/MS and GC/MS is the primary method; however, the reviewer noted that the ILV should validate all portions of the ECM. No major issues were discovered by the independent laboratory; the method was validated with the first trial with minor modifications of the analytical method.

	MRID							
Analyte(s) by Pesticide	Environmental Chemistry Method	Independent Laboratory Validation	EPA Review	Matrix	Method Date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Registrant	Analysis	Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)
Dimethomorph (BAS 550 F)	49822602	49822601		Water ^{1,2}	26/05/2015	BASF Corporation	LC/MS/MS	0.05 μg/kg 0.05 ppb

Table 1. Analytical Method Summary

1 In the ECM, drinking (tap) water (Sample No. 710640-01TW; pH 7.3, total dissolved solids 126 ppm) and surface water (Sample No. 710640-02PW; pH 6.9, total dissolved solids 114 ppm) were used (p. 12; Appendix G, pp. 76-77 of MRID 49822602). The source of the surface water was not specified. Waters were fully characterized by Agvise Laboratories, Northwood, North Dakota.

2 In the ILV, drinking (tap) water (Sample No. 710640-01TW; pH 7.3, total dissolved solids 126 ppm) and surface water (Sample No. 710640-02PW; pH 6.9, total dissolved solids 114 ppm) were used (p. 11; Appendix 10.3, pp. 47-48 of MRID 49822601). The source of the surface water was not specified. Waters were fully characterized by Agvise Laboratories, Northwood, North Dakota. Based on the water characterization report, the reviewer determined that the water matrices which were used by the ILV were identical to those used by the ECM.

I. Principle of the Method

Samples (1 mL; stored unfiltered and refrigerated in the dark until analysis) were transferred to a screw-top injection vial with PTFE-lined caps for analysis and filtered, if necessary, during transfer through a filter (pp. 16-17; Appendix B, Figure 1, p. 28 of MRID 49822602). Samples were fortified, as necessary, with a mixed fortification solution and analyzed directly by LC/MS/MS. Higher fortifications or cases of significant matrix effects may require appropriate dilution with water. The method noted that the analytes in water were stable when held under refrigeration.

Samples were analyzed for analytes using an Acquity UPLC System with autosampler coupled to an AB Sciex API 5500 mass spectrometer (p. 18 of MRID 49822602). The HPLC/MS conditions consisted of an Atlantis T3 column (2.1 x 100 mm, 3-µm; column temperature 45°C), a mobile phase gradient of (A) water:formic acid (1000:1, v:v) and (B) methanol:formic acid (1000:1, v:v) [percent A:B (v:v) at 0.00-0.25 min. 66:34, 2.0 min. 30:70, 5.75-6.4 min. 1:99, 6.5-7.0 min. 66:34] and MS/MS detection in ESI positive ion mode (ionization temperature 550°C). Two parentdaughter ion transitions (quantitative and confirmatory, respectively) were monitored: m/z 388 \rightarrow 301 and m/z 388 \rightarrow 165 for E-dimethomorph and Z-dimethomorph. Approximate retention times were *ca*. 3.15 min. for E-dimethomorph and *ca*. 3.27 min. for Z-dimethomorph. Injection volume was 50 µL (the method noted that this could be modified based on instrument sensitivity).

In the ILV, the ECM method was performed as written with the following exceptions to the analytical parameters: a Shimadzu Nexera UHPLC System coupled to an AB Sciex 6500 mass spectrometer was used; positive TurboIonSprayTM ionization mode; injection volume reduced to 15 μ L (pp. 16-17 of MRID 49822601). The HPLC column, mobile phases and mobile gradient were identical to that of the ECM. The same two parent-daughter ion transitions were reported; however, only one ion transition was monitored and quantified in the ILV (p. 17; Tables 1-2, pp. 19-20). Approximate retention times were *ca*. 3.21 min. for E-dimethomorph and *ca*. 3.33 min. for Z-dimethomorph (p. 17).

In both the ECM and ILV, the Limit of Quantification (LOQ) and Limit of Detection (LOD) were reported as 0.05 μ g/kg (0.05 μ g/L, 0.05 ng/mL) and 0.01 μ g/kg (0.01 μ g/L, 0.01 ng/mL), respectively (pp. 7, 22 of MRID 49822602; pp. 21-22 of MRID 49822601).

II. Recovery Findings

ECM (MRID 49822602): Mean recoveries and relative standard deviations (RSD) were within guidelines (mean 70-120%; RSD \leq 20%) for analysis of E-dimethomorph and Z-dimethomorph in water matrices at 0.05 µg/kg (0.05 µg/L, LOQ) and 0.5 µg/kg (0.05 µg/L, 10×LOQ; Table 1, p. 20). Two parent-daughter ion transitions were monitored. Quantitative ion and confirmatory ion results were comparable. Drinking (tap) water (Sample No. 710640-01TW; pH 7.3, total dissolved solids 126 ppm) and surface water (Sample No. 710640-02PW; pH 6.9, total dissolved solids 114 ppm) were used in the study (p. 12; Appendix G, pp. 76-77). The source of the surface water was not specified. Waters were fully characterized by Agvise Laboratories, Northwood, North Dakota.

ILV (MRID 49822601): Mean recoveries and RSDs were within guidelines for analysis of Edimethomorph and Z-dimethomorph in water matrices at 0.05 μ g/kg (0.05 μ g/L, LOQ) and 0.5 μ g/kg (0.05 μ g/L, 10×LOQ; Tables 1-2, pp. 19-20). The same two parent-daughter ion transitions of the ECM were reported in the ILV analytical parameters; however, only one ion transition was monitored and quantified in the ILV (p. 17; Tables 1-2, pp. 19-20). A confirmatory method is not usually required when LC/MS and GC/MS is the primary method. Drinking (tap) water (Sample No. 710640-01TW; pH 7.3, total dissolved solids 126 ppm) and surface water (Sample No. 710640-02PW; pH 6.9, total dissolved solids 114 ppm) were used in the study (p. 11; Appendix 10.3, pp. 47-48). The source of the surface water was not specified. Waters were fully characterized by Agvise Laboratories, Northwood, North Dakota. Based on the water characterization report, the reviewer determined that the water matrices which was used by the ILV was identical to that used by the ECM. The method was validated with the first trial with minor modifications of the analytical method (pp. 16-17, 22).

Analyte	Fortification Level (µg/kg)	Number of Tests	Recovery Range (%)	Mean Recovery (%)	Standard Deviation (%)	Relative Standard Deviation (%)
		Drinkir	ng Water (Ta	ap Water)		
	•		Quantitative	ion		
E Dimothomorph	0.05 (LOQ)	5	92-96	94	2	2
E-Dimetholioiph	0.5	5	93-96	95	1	1
7 Dimethement	0.05 (LOQ)	5	94-97	95	1	1
Z-Dimetnomorph	0.5	5	93-98	95	2	2
	•	(Confirmatory	ion		·
E Dimethement	0.05 (LOQ)	5	91-98	95	3	3
E-Dimetnomorph	0.5	5	91-97	95	2	3
7 Dimethemorph	0.05 (LOQ)	5	93-97	94	2	2
Z-Dimethomorph	0.5	5	93-98	95	2	3
		Surface	e Water (Por	nd Water)		•
			Quantitative	ion		
E Dimothomorph	0.05 (LOQ)	5	92-95	93	1	1
E-Dimeniomorph	0.5	5	96-98	97	1	1
7 Dimothomorph	0.05 (LOQ)	5	92-97	95	2	2
Z-Dimethomorph	0.5	5	97-99	98	1	1
		(Confirmatory	ion		
E-Dimethomorph	0.05 (LOQ)	5	90-98	94	3	3
	0.5	5	96-100	97	2	2
7 Dimethomersh	0.05 (LOQ)	5	93-96	94	2	3
Z-Dimethomorph	0.5	5	95-98	97	1	1

 Table 2. Initial Validation Method Recoveries for Dimethomorph (E- and Z-Isomers) in

 Water^{1,2}

Data (uncorrected recovery results, Appendix B, Figure 2, p. 29; Appendix C, pp. 32-39) were obtained from Table 1, p. 20 of MRID 49822602.

1 Drinking (tap) water (Sample No. 710640-01TW; pH 7.3, total dissolved solids 126 ppm) and surface water (Sample No. 710640-02PW; pH 6.9, total dissolved solids 114 ppm) were used in the study (p. 12; Appendix G, pp. 76-77). The source of the surface water was not specified. Waters were fully characterized by Agvise Laboratories, Northwood, North Dakota.

2 Two daughter ion transitions (quantitative and confirmatory, respectively) were monitored: m/z 388 \rightarrow 301 and m/z 388 \rightarrow 165 for E-dimethomorph and Z-dimethomorph (p. 18).

Analyte	Fortification	Number of	Recovery	Mean	Standard	Relative Standard	
	Level (µg/L)	Tests	Range (%)	Recovery (%)	Deviation (%)	Deviation (%)	
		Drink	ing Water (Ta	ap Water)			
	1	1 1	Quantitative	ion			
F-Dimethomorph	0.05 (LOQ)	5	101.6-108.8	103.5	3.0	2.9	
E Dimetionorph	0.5	5	96.4-102.0	97.8	2.4	2.4	
7 Dimethemom	0.05 (LOQ)	5	103.0-107.8	104.4	2.0	1.9	
Z-Dimethomorph	0.5	5	97.8-103.2	99.4	2.2	2.2	
	·		Confirmatory	ion			
E Dimethemorph	0.05 (LOQ)	5					
E-Dimetnomorph	0.5	5					
	0.05 (LOQ)	5	Not monitored or quantified				
Z-Dimethomorph	0.5	5					
		Surfa	ce Water (Por	nd Water)			
			Quantitative	ion			
E Dimethemorph	0.05 (LOQ)	5	104.0-106.0	104.8	0.9	0.9	
E-Dimetholiorph	0.5	5	101.6-103.8	102.5	0.9	0.9	
7 Dimethomorph	0.05 (LOQ)	5	104.6-106.6	105.7	1.0	0.9	
Z-Dimethomorph	0.5	5	102.2-104.2	103.2	0.9	0.8	
			Confirmatory	ion			
E-Dimethomorph	0.05 (LOQ)	5					
	0.5	5	Not monitored or quantified				
Z-Dimethomorph	0.05 (LOQ)	5					
	0.5	5					

Table 3. Independent Validation Method Recoveries for Dimethomorph (E- and Z-Isomers) in Water^{1,2}

Data (uncorrected recovery results, pp. 17-18; Tables 1-2, pp. 19-20) were obtained from Tables 1-2, pp. 19-20 of MRID 49822601.

1 Drinking (tap) water (Sample No. 710640-01TW; pH 7.3, total dissolved solids 126 ppm) and surface water (Sample No. 710640-02PW; pH 6.9, total dissolved solids 114 ppm) were used in the study (p. 11; Appendix 10.3, pp. 47-48). The source of the surface water was not specified. Waters were fully characterized by Agvise Laboratories, Northwood, North Dakota. Based on the water characterization report, the reviewer determined that the water matrices which were used by the ILV were identical to those used by the ECM.

2 Only one ion transition was monitored and quantified (quantitative): *m*/*z* 388 → 301 for E-dimethomorph and Z-dimethomorph (p. 17; Tables 1-2, pp. 19-20).

III. Method Characteristics

In both the ECM and ILV, the LOQ and LOD were reported as 0.05 μ g/kg (0.05 μ g/L, 0.05 ng/mL) and 0.01 μ g/kg (0.01 μ g/L, 0.01 ng/mL), respectively (pp. 7, 22 of MRID 49822602; pp. 21-22 of MRID 49822601). In the ILV, the LOQ was defined as the lowest fortification level which was successfully tested. In the ECM, the LOD was set at 20% of the LOQ. No calculations or comparison to background levels was provided for the LOQ or LOD.

		E-Dimethomorph	Z-Dimethomorph		
Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)		0.05 μg/kg (0.05 μg/L)			
Limit of Detection (LOD)		0.01 µg/kg (0.01 µg/L)			
Linearity (calibration curve r ² and	ECM ¹	$r^2 = 0.9958 (Q)$ $r^2 = 0.9954 (C)$	$r^2 = 0.9950 (Q)$ $r^2 = 0.9952 (C)$		
concentration range)	ILV^2	$r^2 = 0.9960 (Q)$	$r^2 = 0.9952 (Q)$		
Repeatable	ECM ³	Yes at LOQ and 10×LOQ.			
	ILV^4	Yes at LOQ and 10×LOQ.			
Reproducible		Yes at LOQ and 10×LOQ.			
Specific	ECM	Yes, no matrix interfe A slight overlap of the analyte p	rences were observed. beaks was observed at their base.		
ILV Yes, no matrix interferences were observed.			rences were observed.		

Table 4. Method Characteristics

Data were obtained from pp. 7, 22; Table 1, p. 20; Appendix E, Figures 3-6, pp. 46-49 (calibration curves); Appendix F, Figures 13-18, pp. 57-62 (E-dimethomorph chromatograms); Appendix F, Figures 24-29, pp. 68-73 (Z-dimethomorph chromatograms) of MRID 49822602; p. 21-22; Tables 1-2, pp. 19-20; Appendix 10.1, Figures 10.1.2-10.1.3, pp. 26-27 (calibration curves); Appendix 10.1, Figures 10.1.10-10.1.20, pp. 34-44 (chromatograms) of MRID 49822601; DER Attachment 2. Q = quantitative ion transition; C = confirmation ion transition.

1 ECM r² values are reviewer-generated from reported r values of 0.9975-0.9979 (Q) and 0.9976-0.9977 (C; analytes combined; Appendix E, Figures 3-6, pp. 46-49 of MRID 49822602; DER Attachment 2).

2 ILV r² values are reviewer-generated from reported r values of 0.9976-0.9980 (Q; analytes combined; Figures 10.1.2-10.1.3, pp. 26-27 of MRID 49822601; DER Attachment 2). Only one ion transition was monitored and quantified (quantitative) for each isomer.

3 In the ECM, drinking (tap) water (Sample No. 710640-01TW; pH 7.3, total dissolved solids 126 ppm) and surface water (Sample No. 710640-02PW; pH 6.9, total dissolved solids 114 ppm) were used (p. 12; Appendix G, pp. 76-77 of MRID 49822602). The source of the surface water was not specified. Waters were fully characterized by Agvise Laboratories, Northwood, North Dakota.

4 In the ILV, drinking (tap) water (Sample No. 710640-01TW; pH 7.3, total dissolved solids 126 ppm) and surface water (Sample No. 710640-02PW; pH 6.9, total dissolved solids 114 ppm) were used (p. 11; Appendix 10.3, pp. 47-48 of MRID 49822601). The source of the surface water was not specified. Waters were fully characterized by Agvise Laboratories, Northwood, North Dakota. Based on the water characterization report, the reviewer determined that the water matrices which were used by the ILV were identical to those used by the ECM.

IV. Method Deficiencies and Reviewer's Comments

- 1. The same two parent-daughter ion transitions of the ECM were reported in the ILV analytical parameters; however, only one ion transition was monitored and quantified in the ILV (p. 17; Tables 1-2, pp. 19-20 of MRID 49822601). A confirmatory method is not usually required when LC/MS and GC/MS is the primary method; however, the reviewer noted that the ILV should validate all portions of the ECM.
- 2. The estimations of the LOQ and LOD in the ECM were not based on scientifically acceptable procedures as defined in 40 CFR Part 136. In the ILV, the LOQ was defined as the lowest fortification level which was successfully tested (pp. 21-22 of MRID 49822601). In the ECM, the LOD was set at 20% of the LOQ (pp. 7, 22 of MRID 49822602). No calculations or comparison to background levels was provided for the LOQ or LOD.

Detection limits should not be based on the arbitrarily selected lowest concentration in the spiked samples. Additionally, the lowest toxicological level of concern in water was not reported. An LOQ above toxicological level of concern results in an unacceptable method classification.

- 3. In the ECM, a reagent blank was not included (p. 17 of MRID 49822602).
- 4. Based on the water characterization reports, the reviewer determined that the water matrices which were used by the ILV were identical to those used by the ECM (p. 12; Appendix G, pp. 76-77 of MRID 49822602; p. 11; Appendix 10.3, pp. 47-48 of MRID 49822601). The source of the surface water was not specified.
- 5. The representative calculations in the ECM and ILV allowed for sample correction; however, no residues were quantified in the controls (Appendix B, Figure 2, p. 29; Appendix C, pp. 32-39 of MRID 49822602; pp. 17-18; Tables 1-2, pp. 19-20 of MRID 49822601).
- 6. The stability of dimethomorph in the standard solutions was evaluated in "previous studies"; dimethomorph solutions in methanol were determined to be stable for 3 months when held under refrigeration (p. 22 of MRID 49822602).
- 7. Matrix effects were evaluated in the ECM and determined to be insignificant (p. 21; Appendix D, pp. 41-44 of MRID 49822602).
- 8. It was reported for the ILV that a set consisting of 13 samples required approximately 4 hours of work (p. 21 of MRID 49822601).
- 9. Communications between the ILV study authors and study sponsor were reported in the ILV (Appendix 10.4, p. 49 of MRID 49822601). Communication mainly involved study progress. It was also reported that no communication occurred between the ILV and the originating laboratory (ECM).

V. References

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2012. Ecological Effects Test Guidelines, OCSPP 850.6100, Environmental Chemistry Methods and Associated Independent Laboratory Validation. Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention, Washington, DC. EPA 712-C-001.
- 40 CFR Part 136. Appendix B. Definition and Procedure for the Determination of the Method Detection Limit-Revision 1.11, pp. 317-319.

Attachment 1: Chemical Names and Structures

Dimethomorph; BAS 550 F; Reg. No. 4110868, 4110869

IUPAC Name:	(EZ)-4-[3-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)acryloyl]morpholine
CAS Name:	4-[3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-1-oxo-2-propen-1-
	yl]morpholine
CAS Number:	110488-70-5
SMILES String:	c1cc(CI)ccc1C(c2cc(OC)c(OC)cc2)=CC(=O)N3CCOCC3



E-Dimethomorph; BAS 550 F; Reg. No. 4110868

IUPAC Name:(E)-4-[3-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)acryloyl]morpholineCAS Name:Not reportedCAS Number:113210-97-2SMILES String:Not found



Z-Dimethomorph; BAS 550 F; Reg. No. 4110869
IUPAC Name: (Z)-4-[3-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)acryloyl]morpholine
CAS Name: Not reported
CAS Number: 113210-98-3
SMILES String: Not found

