

## **Glyphosate Update**

### **October 28-29, 2020 Pesticide Program Dialogue Committee Meeting**

#### Background:

- Glyphosate is a broad-spectrum non-selective herbicide registered for use on many agricultural crops, in non-crop areas, in residential areas, for aquatic weed control, and in commercial settings. It is also registered for use on glyphosate-resistant crop varieties including corn, soybean, canola, cotton, sugar beets, and wheat.
- EPA initiated registration review for glyphosate (used in RoundUp formulations) in 2009.
- In 2015, an International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) report classified glyphosate as “probably carcinogenic to humans.” All other international fora, including all regulatory bodies, have concluded that glyphosate is not likely to be carcinogenic.
- In December 2017, EPA released draft human health and ecological risk assessments for glyphosate. EPA’s assessment concluded that glyphosate is not likely to be carcinogenic to humans.
- In March 2018, EPA opened a 60-day public comment period on draft human health and ecological risk assessments with supporting documents for glyphosate.
- EPA received 250,000 public comments on the draft risk assessments. The majority of comments were from mass mailing campaigns; approximately 200 unique substantive comments were reviewed and resulted in changes to the agency’s assessments.
- In April 2019, EPA released the registration review proposed interim decision (PID) which outlined the proposed mitigation measures to reduce potential ecological risks identified in the draft risk assessment.
- During the 120-day comment period, EPA received 283,300 comments on the PID. The majority of comments were from mass mailing campaigns; approximately 120 unique substantive comments were reviewed. The comments received on the PID were similar to the comments received during the previous comment periods on the glyphosate draft risk assessments and did not result in changes to the agency’s risk assessments, but did result in minor changes to the ecological risk mitigation measures.
- In January 2020, EPA issued the glyphosate registration review Interim Decision (ID).
- As part of a partial settlement agreement pursuant to a joint stipulation filed on October 18, 2019 and entered by the court on October 22, 2019, in Center for Biological Diversity et. al. v. EPA et al. (N.D. Ca) (3:11-cv-00293), on the schedule for conducting the atrazine and simazine endangered species biological evaluations (BEs), EPA stated that it would also include the herbicide glyphosate in this group of effects determinations. A draft BE is currently being conducted for glyphosate, which will be published for a 60-day public comment period later this year.

#### Overview of Health Findings:

- The agency found no human health risks of concern when the product is used according to the pesticide label.
- The agency's scientific findings are consistent with the conclusions of science reviews by a number of international expert panels and regulatory authorities and includes the most recent data published by National Institutes of Health Agricultural Health Survey in 2018.

#### Overview of Interim Decision:

- In January 2020, EPA released the ID, which outlined required mitigation measures to reduce potential ecological risks and included the following label changes:
  - o Spray drift management measures (*e.g.*, release height, droplet size, and wind speed restrictions) to reduce off-site exposure to non-target wildlife.
  - o Weed resistance management labeling (*e.g.*, information on mode of action, scouting instructions, and reporting instructions for weed resistance).
  - o Label consistency measures including updating the presentation of maximum application parameters, updating the environmental hazards statement for aquatic use, and clarification on rotational crop timing.
  - o EPA is committed to protecting pollinators, including the monarch butterfly, from pesticide exposure. As with all other herbicides, EPA has updated the label language for these pesticides to raise awareness of their potential effects to pollinator habitat and direct users to instructions on minimizing spray drift. EPA's strategy to protect the monarch butterfly also includes collaborating with federal, state, and other stakeholders on conservation efforts and promoting best management and integrated pest management practices to reduce spray drift and help preserve pollinator habitat