# Final Risk Evaluation for Perchloroethylene

### **Systematic Review Supplemental File:**

## Data Quality Evaluation of Environmental Release and Occupational Exposure Common Sources

**CASRN: 127-18-4** 

December 2020

This document is a compilation of tables for the data extraction and evaluation of common sources for environmental releases and occupational exposure of the first 10 chemicals. This document may contain sources that were not used for the risk evaluation of Perchloroethylene. Each table shows the data point or set or information element that was extracted evaluated data and from a source in accordance the Application Systematic with Appendix D of of Review in TSCA Risk the source contains more than If one data or information set element, the review provides an overall confidence score for each data set information element that is found in the source. Therefore, it is possible that a source may have more than one overall quality/confidence score.

### **Table of Contents**

	Page
Releases to the Environment	3
Occupational Exposure	11
Facility	51

### **Explanatory Notes**

These explanatory notes provide context to understand the short comments in the data evaluation tables.

Domain	Metric	Description of Comments Field
Reliability	Methodology	Indicates the sampling/analytical methodology, estimation method, or type of publication
Representativeness	Geographic Scope	Indicates the country of the study, publication, or underlying data
	Applicability	Indicates whether the data are for a condition of use within scope of the Risk Evaluation
	Temporal Representativeness	Provides the year of study, publication, or underlying data
	Sample Size	Describes the distribution of the sample or underlying data
Accessibility / Clarity	Metadata Completeness	Describes the completeness of the metadata
Variability and Uncertainty	Metadata Completeness	Indicates if study or publication addresses variability and uncertainty of the data or information

### Releases to the Environment

Source Citation: Type of Data Source Hero ID	U.S. EPA. 2017. Toxics Release Inventory (TRI), reporting year 2016. Releases to the Environment; Environmental Release Data; 5041148							
	3041148							
EXTRACTION								
Parameter			Data					
Life Cycle Stage:			All					
Life Cycle Descrip		ategory of Use):	All					
Environmental Me			Provides					
Release or Emission	on Factor:		Provides	release d	ata			
EVALUATION								
Domain		Metric	Rating	$MWF^{\star}$	Score	Comments		
Domain		Wetric	Rating	IVI VV F	Score	Comments		
Domain 1: Reliab	ility							
	Metric 1:	Methodology	Low	× 1	3	Methodology used by submitters to estimate release data is not known. $$		
Domain 2. Ponyo	antatira							
Domain 2: Repres	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	× 1	1	TRI is U.S. based data		
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High High	$\times$ 1 $\times$ 2	$\frac{1}{2}$	TRI is U.S. based data  TRI includes industries included in the scopes of multiple		
	Metric 3.	Applicability	mgn	X 2	2	chemicals		
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times 2$	2	TRI data are from 2016		
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Medium	× 1	2	Due to reporting requirements, statistical representativeness is unclear. $$		
	.1.11. /61							
Domain 3: Access	٠,	· ·	т.					
	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Low	× 1	3	TRI only includes release media but no other metadata.		
Domain 4: Variab	ility and IIs	agartainty						
Domain 4. variab	Metric 7:		Low	× 1	3	TDI does not address registility on uncontainty in submitter		
	wiedite /:	Metadata Completeness	LOW	^ 1	ა 	TRI does not address variability or uncertainty in submitter provided data.		
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	n <sup>†</sup>	Medium		1.8			
Overall againty D		••	modram		1.0			

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor

<sup>†</sup> If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:	U.S. EPA. 2017. Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) basic plus data file, Hexabromocyclododecane (CAS $\#$ 25637-99-4), reporting year 2017.									
Type of Data Source Hero ID	· ·	Releases to the Environment; Environmental Release Data;								
EXTRACTION										
Parameter			Data							
Life Cycle Stage:			All							
Life Cycle Descrip	ption (Subca	ategory of Use):	All							
Environmental M			Provides							
Release or Emissi	on Factor:		Provides	release d	ata					
EVALUATION										
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments				
Domain 1: Reliab	ilitz									
Domain 1. Renac	Metric 1:	Methodology	Low	× 1	3	Methodology used by submitters to estimate release data is not known.				
Domain 2: Repres	contativo									
Domain 2. Repres	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	× 1	1	TRI is U.S. based data				
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times$ 2	2	TRI includes industries included in the scopes of multiple chemicals				
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times 2$	2	TRI data are from 2017				
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Medium	× 1	2	Due to reporting requirements, statistical representativeness is unclear. $$				
Domain 3: Access	sibility/Clar	itv								
	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Low	$\times$ 1	3	TRI only includes release media but no other metadata.				
Domain 4: Varial	ality and II	ocertainty								
Domain 4. Variat	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Low	× 1	3	TRI does not address variability or uncertainty in submitter provided data.				
Overall Quality Determination <sup>†</sup>			Medium		1.8					

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor

<sup>†</sup> If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

· -	Releases to the Environment; Environmental Release Data; 5176443							
	9110449							
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data					
Life Cycle Stage:			All					
Life Cycle Descript		tegory of Use):	All					
Environmental Med			Provides					
Release or Emission	1 Factor:		Provides	release d	ata			
EVALUATION								
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments		
D . 1 D !: 1:1:	.,							
Domain 1: Reliabili	ıty Metric 1:	Methodology	Low	× 1	3	Methodology used by submitters to estimate release data is not		
	Metric 1.	Methodology	LOW	× 1	J	Methodology used by submitters to estimate release data is not known.		
D	4 4:							
Domain 2: Represe	ntative Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	× 1	1	DMR is U.S. based data		
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 1 \times 2$	2	DMR is 0.5. based data  DMR includes industries included in the scopes of multiple		
•	Micurio 6.	rippineasiney	111811	/\ <u>-</u>	-	chemicals		
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times 2$	2	DMR data are from 2016		
]	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Medium	× 1	2	Universe is limited to NPDES permit holders; statistical representativeness is unclear.		
Domain 3: Accessib	oility/Clari	itv						
	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Low	× 1	3	DMR only includes release media but no other metadata.		
D . 4 W . 1 . 1	. 177							
Domain 4: Variabil		Metadata Completeness	Low	× 1	3	DMD located bloom with the control of the charter		
	Metric 7.	Metadata Completeness	LOW	X 1	ა 	DMR does not address variability or uncertainty in submitter provided data.		
0 11 0 12 12		†	N. 1:		1.0			
Overall Quality Det	terminatio	n'	Medium		1.8			

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation: Type of Data Source	U.S. EPA. 2018. 2014 National Emissions Inventory Report. Releases to the Environment; Environmental Release Data;						
Hero ID	4795870	o the Birthonniont, Birthonnion	tar recrease	Dava,			
EXTRACTION							
Parameter			Data				
Life Cycle Stage:			All				
Life Cycle Descrip	otion (Subca	ategory of Use):	All				
Release Source:			Provides	unit/pro	cess of r	release.	
Environmental Me	edia:		Provides	media of	release		
Release or Emission			Provides				
Release Days per			Provides				
P2 Control & perc	cent Efficier	ncy:	Provides	controls	informa	tion.	
EVALUATION							
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments	
Domain 1: Reliab	ility						
Domain 1. Iteliao	Metric 1:	Methodology	Medium	× 1	2	Submitters provide general method used to calculate emissions, but details not provided.	
Domain 2: Repres	iontativo						
Domain 2. Repres	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times 1$	1	NEI is U.S. based data	
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 2$	2	NEI includes industries included in the scopes of multiple chemicals.	
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times 2$	2	nemicals.  NEI data are from 2014	
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Medium	$\times 1$	2	Universe is limited to units subject to NESHAP with threshold	
	Wictific 6.	Sumple Size	Wicdiani	, I		potential to emit, although states may have different requirements; statistical representativeness is unclear.	
Domain 3: Access	ibility/Clar	itv					
		Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	NEI includes release media and generally also includes daily and annual operating time, specific unit/process that is the source of release, and presence of engineering controls.	
Domain 4. Vaniale	ility and II-	a containty					
Domain 4: Variab	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Low	× 1	3	NEI does not address variability or uncertainty in submitter provided data.	
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	$^{ m h}$	High		1.4		
• 0			ntinued on r	next pag	e		
				r8	-		

	C	•	
<ul><li>continued</li></ul>	from	previous	page

Source Citation: Type of Data Source Hero ID	U.S. EPA. 2018. 2014 National Emissions Inventory Report. Releases to the Environment; Environmental Release Data; 4795870					
EVALUATION						
Domain	Metric	Rating	$MWF^{\star}$ Score	Comments		

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  MWF = Metric Weighting Factor

<sup>†</sup> If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation: Type of Data Source Hero ID	U.S. EPA. 1995. Protocol for Equipment Leak Emission Estimates. EPA-453/R-95-017. Releases to the Environment; Environmental Release Data; 5097879							
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data					
Life Cycle Stage: Release or Emission Factor:				Tank Truck and Railcar Loading Model Cited for emission factors used for the inhalation exposure and release model.				
EVALUATION								
Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments		
Domain 1: Reliab	ility Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	EPA coordinated the data gathering activities; methodology expected to be accurate and comprehensive of all leak release sources.		
Domain 2: Repres	sentative							
	Metric 2: Metric 3:	Geographic Scope Applicability	High Medium	$\begin{array}{c} \times \ 1 \\ \times \ 2 \end{array}$	1 4	Data are U.S. based.  EPA-coordinated studies were of synthetic organic chemical manufacturing industry (SOCMI) type facilities, which may include industries within the scopes of the chemicals, but may also include industries outside of the scopes.		
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	Low	$\times$ 2	6	Underlying data collected through studies from 1980 to 1990. Data more than 20 years old.		
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Low	× 1	3	Emission factors are presented only as averages; underlying distribution is not characterized.		
Domain 3: Access	sibility/Clar Metric 6:	ity Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Metadata includes release media and equipment type that is the source of the release. Does not include the duration over which the emission factors were derived.		
Domain 4: Variab	oility and Un Metric 7:	ncertainty Metadata Completeness	Low	× 1	3	Does not address variability or uncertainty in average emission factors.		
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	${ m n}^{\dagger}$	Medium		2.2			

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:	OPW Engineered Systems. 2014. Loading Systems Catalog. OPW Engineered Systems: A Dover Company. ES-LS-6/15-2M; Uploaded November 18, 2014.								
Type of Data Source Hero ID	Releases to the Environment; Reports for Data or Information Other than Exposure or Release Data; 5097888								
EXTRACTION									
Parameter			Data						
Life Cycle Stage: Release or Emissio	on Factor:					Loading Model umes used to calculate air emissions in model.			
EVALUATION									
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments			
Domain 1: Reliabi	1;+								
Domain 1. Ivenabi	Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Data are provided by loading systems vendor; it is expected vendor would provide accurate data on their own loading systems.			
Domain 2: Represe	entative								
Bolliam 2. Repress	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times$ 1	1	Vendor is U.S. based.			
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times$ 2	2	The loading systems offered in vendor's catalog are applicable for the container types within scope of the model.			
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times 2$	2	Catalog is indicated as copyrighted as of 2015.			
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	High	$\times$ 1	1	Vendor's catalog offers loading systems of a variety of sizes, and dimensions are provided for each offered size.			
Domain 3: Accessi	ibility/Clar	ity							
	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	All needed metadata for loading system dimensions are provided.			
Domain 4: Variabi	ility and Uı	ncertainty							
	Metric 7:	· ·	Medium	× 1	2	Variability among loading systems across vendors is unknown; it is uncertain if the distribution of this vendor's products are capture the distribution across all vendors. However, it is expected that these systems are a reasonable representation of the systems offered in the U.S.			
Overall Quality Determination <sup>†</sup>			High		1.1				

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  MWF = Metric Weighting Factor

<sup>†</sup> If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

### Releases to the Environment

Source Citation: Type of Data Source Hero ID	U.S. BLS. 2016. May 2016 Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates: National Industry-Specific Estimates. Occupational Exposure; Reports for Data or Information Other than Exposure or Release Data; 5079087							
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data					
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Description (Subcategory of Use): Number of Sites: Number of Workers:						to estimate number of sites and workers.  to estimate number of sites and workers.		
EVALUATION								
Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments		
Domain 1: Reliab	ility Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	BLS is expected to use reliable survey methods.		
Domain 2: Repres	sentative							
Ī	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times$ 1	1	U.S. based economic data		
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times$ 2	2	These economic data cover all industry and occupation types in scope for all chemicals.		
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times 2$	2	The BLS OES data are from 2016		
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	High	× 1	1	The BLS OES program provides detailed statistics and estimated relative standard error for each state, industry, and occupation survey conducted (https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_research_estimates.htm).		
Domain 3: Access	ribility/Clar	itv						
Domain 5. Access	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	$\times$ 1	2	BLS documents results and methods, but underlying survey results not accessible.		
Domain 4: Variab	oility and Ui	ncertainty						
	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Limited discussion of variability and uncertainty in results.		
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	$\mathrm{n}^\dagger$	High		1.2			

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  MWF = Metric Weighting Factor

<sup>†</sup> If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

	pation	s Bureau. 2015. Statistics of U. aal Exposure; Reports for Data				Exposure or Release Data;
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Description (Subcategory of Use): Number of Sites: Number of Workers:			All Used to develop a method to estimate number of sites and workers. Used to develop a method to estimate number of sites and workers.			
EVALUATION  Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments
Domain 1: Reliability Metr	ic 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	U.S. Census Bureau is expected to use reliable survey and census methods.
Domain 2: Representati	ve					
$egin{array}{c} egin{array}{c} egin{array}$		Geographic Scope Applicability	High High	$\times 1 \times 2$	$\frac{1}{2}$	U.S. based economic data  These economic data cover all industry and occupation types in scope for all chemicals.
Metr	ic 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times$ 2	2	The Census Bureau SUSB data are from 2015
$\operatorname{Metr}$	ic 5:	Sample Size	High	× 1	1	The SUSB is a compilation of data extracted from the Business Register, U.S. Census Bureau's "most complete, current, and consistent data for U.S. business establishments." Incorporates data from economic censuses and current business surveys, quarterly and annual Federal tax records, and other departmental and federal statistics. Expected to be sufficiently representative. (https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/susb/about.html)
Domain 3: Accessibility Metr		ty Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	U.S. Census Bureau documents results and methods, but un-
						derlying survey results not accessible.
Domain 4: Variability a Metr		certainty Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Limited discussion of variability and uncertainty in results.
Overall Quality Determine	natior	n <sup>†</sup>	High		1.2	
		Con	tinued on r	next page	)	

### - continued from previous page

Source Citation: Type of Data Source Hero ID	U.S. Census Bureau. 2015. Statistics of U.S. Businesses (SUSB). Occupational Exposure; Reports for Data or Information Other than Exposure or Release Data; 5097881						
EVALUATION							
Domain	Metric	Rating MWF* Score	Comments				

 $<sup>\</sup>star$  MWF = Metric Weighting Factor

<sup>†</sup> If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation: Type of Data Source Hero ID		U.S. BLS. 2014. Employee Tenure News Release. Occupational Exposure; Reports for Data or Information Other than Exposure or Release Data; 5080421							
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data						
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Descrip Exposure Frequen	`	ategory of Use):	All Used to d and work			of exposure frequency (working days per year			
EVALUATION									
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments			
Domain 1: Reliab	ility Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	BLS is expected to use reliable survey methods.			
Domain 2: Repres	sentative								
	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times$ 1	1	U.S. based economic data			
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times$ 2	2	These economic data cover all industry types in scope for all chemicals.			
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times$ 2	2	Median employee tenure with current employer was obtained from the BLS Current Population Survey for January 2014.			
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	High	× 1	1	The Current Population Survey (CPS) is a monthly survey of about 60,000 households. BLS provides detailed statistical treatment of surveys. Expected to be sufficiently representative. (https://www.bls.gov/cps/documentation.htm#reliability)			
Domain 3: Access	ibility/Clar	itar							
Domain 9. Access	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	BLS documents results and methods, but underlying survey results not accessible.			
Domain 4: Variab	oility and Un Metric 7:	ncertainty Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Limited discussion of variability and uncertainty in results.			
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	<u> </u>	High		1.2	· · · · · ·			

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation: Type of Data Source Hero ID		2015. Hours and Employment by nal Exposure; Reports for Data				
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Descrip Exposure Frequen		ategory of Use):	All All Used to d and work	-		of exposure frequency (working days per year
EVALUATION						
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments
Domain 1: Reliab	oility Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	BLS is expected to use reliable survey methods.
D : 0 D						
Domain 2: Repres	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	× 1	1	U.S. based economic data
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 2$	2	These economic data cover all industry types in scope for all chemicals.
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times$ 2	2	Hours and employment data are from 2016.
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	High	× 1	1	BLS Labor Productivity and Costs data are used to aid economic policymaking, among other uses, and are expected to be sufficiently representative.
Domain 3: Access	ribility/Clar	ita				
Domain 9. Access	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	BLS documents results and methods, but underlying survey results not accessible.
Domain 4: Variab	oility and U	ncertainty				
	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Limited discussion of variability and uncertainty in results.
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	${ m n}^{\dagger}$	High		1.2	

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation: Type of Data Source Hero ID		U.S. Census Bureau. 2019. Survey of Income and Program Participation data.  Occupational Exposure; Reports for Data or Information Other than Exposure or Release Data; 5080429							
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data						
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Descrip Exposure Frequer		ategory of Use):	All Used to d and work	-		of exposure frequency (working days per year			
EVALUATION									
Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments			
Domain 1: Reliab	oility Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	U.S. Census Bureau is expected to use reliable survey and census methods.			
Domain 2: Repres	sentative								
	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times 1$	1	U.S. based economic data			
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times$ 2	2	These economic data cover all industry types in scope for all chemicals.			
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times$ 2	2	EPA used the 2008 SIPP Panel Wave 1 (interview months of September through December 2008).			
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	High	× 1	1	The SIPP survey is a continuous series of national panels, with sample size ranging from 14,000 to 52,000 interviewed households. Panels range from 2.5 to 4 years. Expected to be sufficiently representative. (https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/sipp/about/sipp-introduction-history.html)			
Domain 3: Access	sibility/Clar	itv							
	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	BLS documents results and methods, but underlying survey results not accessible.			
Domain 4: Variab	oility and III	acertainty							
Domain 4. variat	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Limited discussion of variability and uncertainty in results.			
Overall Quality D	Determinatio	$\mathbf{n}^{\dagger}$	High		1.2				

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:		W; Semple, S; Brouwer, D. 2004 ess. Annals of Occupational Hyg		nd Derma	al Expos	sure to Chemicals: Proposals for Evaluating Workplace
Type of Data Source Hero ID		nal Exposure; Reports for Data		tion Othe	er than	Exposure or Release Data;
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Descrip Route of Exposur- PPE:		stegory of Use):	uids.	_		e effectiveness.
EVALUATION  Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments
Domain 1: Reliab	ility Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Article is published in peer-reviewed scientific journal.
Domain 2: Repres	sentative Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	N/A		N/A	N/A. Geographic scope is not applicable to scientific research of dermal exposures.
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times$ 2	2	Article studies effectiveness of gloves in the workplace, which is applicable to the scopes of multiple chemicals.
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	Medium	$\times$ 2	4	Article was published in 2004; more than 10 but less than 20 years old.
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	N/A		N/A	$\rm N/A.$ Article presents concepts of dermal exposure and glove effectiveness. Sample size is not applicable.
Domain 3: Access	sibility/Clari Metric 6:	ity Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Article is well documented with methods, assumptions, and sources.
Domain 4: Variab	oility and Un Metric 7:	ncertainty Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Detailed discussion on variability/uncertainty.
Overall Quality D	etermination	n <sup>†</sup>	High		1.3	

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  MWF = Metric Weighting Factor

<sup>†</sup> If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:  Type of Data Source Hero ID	of develop	Dancik, Y; Bigliardi, PL; Bigliardi-Qi, Mei. 2015. What happens in the skin? Integrating skin permeation kinetics into studies of developmental and reproductive toxicity following topical exposure. Reproductive Toxicology. Occupational Exposure; Reports for Data or Information Other than Exposure or Release Data; 3223617								
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data							
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Description (Subcategory of Use): Route of Exposure:				All Used to develop a dermal exposure assessment method for volatile liquids.						
EVALUATION										
Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments				
Domain 1: Reliab	ility Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Article is published in peer-reviewed scientific journal.				
Domain 2: Repres	sentative Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	N/A		N/A	N/A. Geographic scope is not applicable to scientific research of dermal exposures.				
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times$ 2	2	Article studies skin permeation kinetics, which is applicable to the scopes of multiple chemicals.				
	Metric 4: Metric 5:	Temporal Representativeness Sample Size	High N/A	$\times$ 2	$^2$ N/A	Article was published in 2015; less than 10 years old.  N/A. Article studies science of skin permeation and toxicity.  Sample size is not applicable.				
Domain 3: Access	ibility/Clar Metric 6:	ity Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Article is well documented with methods, assumptions, and sources.				
Domain 4: Variab	ility and Uı Metric 7:	ncertainty Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Detailed discussion on variability/uncertainty.				
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	$\mathbf{n}^{\dagger}$	High		1.0					

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  MWF = Metric Weighting Factor

<sup>†</sup> If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:	Dungah III	7. Dunne AI 2015 The transic	t d	1	mo II. m	and appropriate and appropriate of relatile con-
Source Citation:	,	ournal of Pharmaceutical Science		u exposu	re 11: pe	ost-exposure absorption and evaporation of volatile com-
Type of Data Source Hero ID	Occupation 3230538	nal Exposure; Reports for Data	or Inform	ation Ot	her thai	n Exposure or Release Data;
EXTRACTION						
Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage:			All			
Life Cycle Descrip		ategory of Use):	All			
Route of Exposure:			Used to uids.	develop	a derm	al exposure assessment method for volatile liq-
EVALUATION						
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments
Domain 1: Reliab	ility					
Domain 1. Renab.	Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Article is published in peer-reviewed scientific journal.
Domain 2: Repres	ontativo					
Domain 2. Repres	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	N/A		N/A	N/A. Geographic scope is not applicable to scientific research of dermal exposures.
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times$ 2	2	Article studies transient dermal exposure of volatile chemicals that evaporate and absorb into skin simultaneously, which is applicable to the scopes of multiple chemicals.
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times$ 2	2	Article was published in 2015; less than 10 years old.
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	N/A		N/A	$\rm N/A.$ Article studies science of skin permeation and evaporation. Sample size is not applicable.
Domain 3: Access	ihility/Clar	ity				
	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Article is well documented with methods, assumptions, and sources.
Domain 4: Variab	ility and Ur	acertainty				
Domain 4. Variab	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Detailed discussion on variability/uncertainty.
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	n <sup>†</sup>	High		1.0	

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation: Type of Data Source Hero ID		. 2012. Dermal Absorption of Final Exposure; Reports for Data				npounds. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences. n Exposure or Release Data;	
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data				
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Descrip Route of Exposure	,	ategory of Use):	All Used to develop a dermal exposure assessment method for volatile liquids.				
EVALUATION							
Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments	
Domain 1: Reliabi	llity Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Article is published in peer-reviewed scientific journal.	
Domain 2: Repres	ontativo						
Domain 2. Repres	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	N/A		N/A	N/A. Geographic scope is not applicable to scientific research of dermal exposures.	
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times$ 2	2	Article studies transient dermal exposure of volatile chemicals that evaporate and absorb into skin simultaneously, which is applicable to the scopes of multiple chemicals.	
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times$ 2	$^2$	Article was published in 2012; less than 10 years old.	
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	N/A		N/A	$\rm N/A.$ Article studies science of skin permeation and evaporation. Sample size is not applicable.	
Domain 3: Accessi	ibility/Clar Metric 6:	ity Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Article is well documented with methods, assumptions, and sources.	
						sources.	
Domain 4: Variab			II: ada	v 1	1	D. 7.1.1.	
	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Detailed discussion on variability/uncertainty.	
Overall Quality De	eterminatio	$\mathbf{n}^{\dagger}$	High		1.0		

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:  Type of Data Source	and Enviro	F; Dotson, GS; Barbero, AM. 201 commental Health, Part A: Curren nal Exposure; Reports for Data	nt Issues.		-	nal penetration of 1-bromopropane. Journal of Toxicology n Exposure or Release Data;				
Hero ID	1247930									
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data							
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Description (Subcategory of Use): Route of Exposure:				All Used to develop a dermal exposure assessment method for volatile liquids.						
EVALUATION										
Domain		Metric	Rating	$MWF^*$	Score	Comments				
Domain 1: Reliab	ility Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Article is published in peer-reviewed scientific journal.				
Domain 2: Repres										
	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	N/A		N/A	N/A. Geographic scope is not applicable to scientific research of dermal exposures.				
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times$ 2	2	Article studies human epidermal penetration of 1-BP, which is applicable to the scope of 1-BP.				
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times$ 2	2	Article was published in 2011; less than 10 years old.				
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	N/A		N/A	N/A. Article studies science of skin permeation and evapora- tion. Sample size is not applicable.				
Domain 3: Access	ibility/Clar	ity								
	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Article is well documented with methods, assumptions, and sources.				
Domain 4: Variab	ility and Ur	ncertainty								
	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Detailed discussion on variability/uncertainty.				
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	${ m n}^{\dagger}$	High		1.0					

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  MWF = Metric Weighting Factor

<sup>†</sup> If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:  Type of Data Source Hero ID	from non-a	Garrod, AN; Phillips, AM; Pemberton, JA. 2001. Potential exposure of hands inside protective gloves" a summary of data from non-agricultural pesticide surveys. Annals of Occupational Hygiene.  Occupational Exposure; Monitoring Data; 5080256								
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data							
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Descrip Route of Exposur-		ategory of Use):	All All Used to c	levelop a	dermal	exposure assessment method for volatile liq-				
EVALUATION  Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments				
Domain 1: Reliab	ility Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Article is published in peer-reviewed scientific journal.				
Domain 2: Repres	sentative Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	Medium	× 1	2	Study measured dermal exposures during activities in the UK.				
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times$ 2	2	Study measured dermal exposures during occupational activities, which is generally relevant to the scopes of multiple chemicals.				
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	Medium	$\times$ 2	4	Article was published in 2001; more than $10$ years but less than $20$ years old.				
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	High	× 1	1	Statistics of the inside-glove exposures measured are well characterized.				
Domain 3: Access	sibility/Clar Metric 6:	ity Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Metadata of the measured exposures are well documented.				
Domain 4: Variab	oility and Ui Metric 7:	ncertainty Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Detailed discussion on variability/uncertainty.				
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	${f n}^\dagger$	High		1.3					

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  MWF = Metric Weighting Factor

<sup>†</sup> If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

	ceutical Sc	iences.		-		rough skin 2: Volatile compounds. Journal of Pharma-
	Occupation 5018573	nal Exposure; Reports for Data	or Informa	tion Othe	er than	Exposure or Release Data;
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Descript Route of Exposure:		ategory of Use):	All All Used to ouids.	develop a	dermal	exposure assessment method for volatile liq-
EVALUATION						
Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments
Domain 1: Reliabil	-		TT. 1	_		
	Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Article is published in peer-reviewed scientific journal.
Domain 2: Represe						
	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	N/A		N/A	N/A. Geographic scope is not applicable to scientific research of dermal exposures.
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times$ 2	2	Article studies transient dermal exposure of volatile chemicals that evaporate and absorb into skin simultaneously, which is applicable to the scopes of multiple chemicals.
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	Medium	$\times$ 2	4	Article was published in $2006$ ; more than $10$ years but less than $20$ years old.
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	N/A		N/A	N/A. Article studies science of skin permeation and evaporation. Sample size is not applicable.
Domain 3: Accessib	bility/Clari	itv				
	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Article is well documented with methods, assumptions, and sources.
Domain 4: Variabil	lity and Ur	ncertainty				
	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Detailed discussion on variability/uncertainty.
Overall Quality De	terminatio	$\mathbf{n}^{\dagger}$	High		1.3	

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:  Type of Data Source Hero ID	TRA. Ann	H; Franken, R; Goede, H; Frankals of Work Exposures and Hearnal Exposure; Reports for Data	lth.	,		Validation of the dermal exposure model in ECETOC Exposure or Release Data;
EXTRACTION	0000100					
Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Descrip Route of Exposure	`	ategory of Use):	All Used to duids.	levelop a	dermal	exposure assessment method for volatile liq-
EVALUATION						
Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments
Domain 1: Reliab	ility					
	Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Article is published in peer-reviewed scientific journal.
Domain 2: Repres	sentative Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	N/A		N/A	N/A. Geographic scope not applicable to the validation of the ECETOC TRA model.
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times$ 2	2	ECETOC TRA model and exposure studies used for validation cover a variety of occupational scenarios, which are applicable to the scopes of multiple chemicals.
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times$ 2	$^2$	Article was published in 2017; less than 10 years old.
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Medium	$\times$ 1	2	Statistics of dermal exposure observations obtained from the literature are not fully characterized.
Domain 3: Access	sibility/Clar Metric 6:	ity Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Article is well documented with methods, assumptions, and results; however, sources used from literature search are not fully described and the metadata associated with the literature review exposure studies are not provided.
Domain 4: Variab	oility and Ur Metric 7:	ncertainty Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Detailed discussion on variability/uncertainty.
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	${ m n}^{\dagger}$	High		1.3	

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Type of Data Source (		PE; Maynard, AD. 1998. A Surval Exposure; Reports for Data				Workplaces. Annals of Occupational Hygiene. Exposure or Release Data;
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Descripti Route of Exposure:	tegory of Use):	All Used to develop a dermal exposure assessment method for volatile liquids.				
EVALUATION						
Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments
Domain 1: Reliabili	ty Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Article is published in peer-reviewed scientific journal.
Domain 2: Represer	atativa					
-	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	Medium	× 1	2	Article studied wind speeds in indoor workplaces in the UK.
I	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 2$	2	The types of workplaces studied include workplaces applicable to the scopes of multiple chemicals.
I	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	Medium	$\times$ 2	4	Article was published in 1998; more than 10 years but less than 20 years old.
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	High	$\times$ 1	1	Statistics of wind speed surveys are well characterized.
Domain 3: Accessib	oility/Clari Metric 6:	ty Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Article is well documented with methods, assumptions, results, and sources.
Domain 4: Variabili	ity and Un	certainty				
	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Detailed discussion on variability/uncertainty.
Overall Quality Det	ermination	n <sup>†</sup>	High		1.3	

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation: Type of Data Source Hero ID		17. Chemical Exposure Health I nal Exposure; Monitoring Data;	Data (CEHI	D) provi	ded by (	OSHA to EPA.		
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data					
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Description (Subcategory of Use): Exposure Concentration (Unit):			All All Provides					
EVALUATION  Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments		
		Moure	- Teating	111111	Beore	Comments		
Domain 1: Reliabi	ility Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	OSHA and state inspectors are expected to use OSHA or NIOSH sampling methods. Samples sent to the OSHA SLTC are expected to be analyzed using OSHA or NIOSH analytical methods.		
Domain 2: Repres	sentative							
•	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times$ 1	1	U.S. based exposure data		
	Metric 3:	Applicability	Medium	× 2	4	The OSHA data include occupational scenarios within the scopes of the chemicals as identified by NAICS code and facility name. However, some occupational scenarios are not clear and cannot be clearly mapped to conditions of use within scope.		
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times$ 2	2	Data provided by OSHA are not more than 10 years old.		
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	High	× 1	1	Individual measurements are provided so the sample sets can be fully statistically characterized.		
Domain 3: Access:	ibility/Clar	itv						
Bollan of Freedom	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	OSHA data include sample type and exposure type. Sample times also provided. Exposure frequency is inconsistently provided. Worker job descriptions provided, but often lacks sufficient clarity.		
Domain 4. Variah	ility and II-	agontoint						
Domain 4: Variab	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Low	× 1	3	OSHA data do not discuss variability or uncertainty.		
Overall Quality D	Overall Quality Determination <sup>†</sup>				1.6			

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:		EPA: RE: [Non-DoD Source] U				ndustrial Hygiene (DOEHRS-IH). 2018. Email between for EPA risk evaluation - EPA request for additional
Type of Data Source Hero ID	Occupation 5178607	nal Exposure; Monitoring Data;				
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Descrip Exposure Concent			All All Provides	personal	breathi	ng zone monitoring data.
EVALUATION						
Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments
Domain 1: Reliab	ility Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	DOD service branches use OSHA and NIOSH methods and DOD methods, which are expected to be equivalent to OSHA or NIOSH methods.
Domain 2: Repres	sentative					
	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times$ 1	1	U.S. based exposure data
	Metric 3:	Applicability	Medium	$\times$ 2	4	The DOD data include occupational conditions of use within the scopes of the chemicals, although additional uses poten- tially outside of scope may also be included. However, some occupational scenarios are not clear and cannot be clearly mapped to conditions of use within scope.
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times$ 2	2	Approximately 82 percent of the samples provided by DOD are not more than 10 years old.
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	High	× 1	1	Individual measurements are provided so the sample sets can be fully statistically characterized.
Domain 3: Access	ibility/Clar	itv				
Bomain 9. Heess	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	DOD data include sample type (PBZ), sample time, process duration and frequency, and workshift duration. Process and worker job descriptions are provided, but inconsistent in detail and often lack sufficient clarity.
Domain 4: Variab		· ·	Low	v 1	n	
	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Low	× 1	3	DOD data do not discuss variability or uncertainty.
		C	ntinued on 1			

### - continued from previous page

		naca nem i		Page			
Source Citation:	Defense Occupational and Environmental Health Readiness System - Industrial Hygiene (DOEHRS-IH). 2018. Email between DOD and EPA: RE: [Non-DoD Source] Update: DoD exposure data for EPA risk evaluation - EPA request for additional information.						
Type of Data Source	Occupational Exposure; Monitoring Dat	a:					
Hero ID	5178607	)					
EVALUATION							
Domain	Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments		
Overall Quality I	${ m Petermination}^{\dagger}$	High		1.6			

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  MWF = Metric Weighting Factor

<sup>†</sup> If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:		Air Resources Board. 2000. Initiated toxic air contaminants from				he proposed airborne toxic control measure for emissions and repair activities.				
Type of Data Source Hero ID		Occupational Exposure; Reports for Data or Information Other than Exposure or Release Data; 5071458								
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data							
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Descrip Route of Exposure		ategory of Use):	Brake Ser Brake Ser Used to d	rvicing M	odel	ation exposure model.				
EVALUATION										
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments				
Domain 1: Reliab	ility Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	CARB is expected to use reliable data collection and survey methods.				
Domain 2: Repres	sentative									
Bollam 2. Teopres	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times$ 1	1	Data surveyed and collected from U.S. (California) facilities				
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times$ 2	2	The CARB data are specific to brake servicing and include halogenated solvent aerosol brake cleaners, which is applicable to the scope of the model.				
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	Medium	$\times$ 2	4	The report was published in 2000, the manufacturer and facility surveys were conducted in 1997 and 1998, and site visits were conducted circa 1998. All less than 20 years old (from 2016).				
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Medium	× 1	2	Some data elements from site visits include all individual data points; some surveyed data elements include some statistics (more than range but not full distribution), and some data elements have limited distribution information.				
Domain 3: Access	ibility/Clar	ity								
Domain 9. Access		Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Report fully documents its data sources, assessment methods, results, and assumptions.				
Domain 4: Variab	ility and H	ncertainty								
Domain 4. Variab	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Report discusses and addresses variability and uncertainty.				
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	$\mathrm{n}^\dagger$	High		1.3					
		Cor	ntinued on 1	next page	)					

#### - continued from previous page

Source Citation:	California Air Resources Board. 2000. Initial statement of reasons for the proposed airborne toxic control measure for emissions of chlorinated toxic air contaminants from automotive maintenance and repair activities.						
Type of Data Source Hero ID	Occupational Exposure; Reports for Data or Information Other than Exposure or Release Data; 5071458						
EVALUATION							
Domain	Metric	Rating	MWF* Score	Comments			

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:  Type of Data Source	for LCA: A Occupation	Demou, E.,Hellweg, S.,Wilson, M. P.,Hammond, S. K.,McKone, T. E 2009. Evaluating indoor exposure modeling alternatives for LCA: A case study in the vehicle repair industry. Environmental Science and Technology.  Occupational Exposure; Reports for Data or Information Other than Exposure or Release Data;						
Hero ID	2591566							
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data					
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Descrip Route of Exposure	`	ategory of Use):	Brake Ser Brake Ser Used to d	vicing M	lodel	ation exposure model.		
EVALUATION								
Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments		
Domain 1: Reliab	-							
	Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Article is published in peer-reviewed scientific journal.		
Domain 2: Repres	sentative							
	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	Medium	$\times$ 1	2	Air ventilation rate data are at least in part based on European data (but may also include U.S. data).		
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times$ 2	2	Ventilation rate data are applicable to the scope of the model.		
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	Low	$\times$ 2	6	Paper published in 2009; data are based on 2006 and 1991 data. Data are in part more than than 20 years old (as measured from 2016).		
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Medium	$\times$ 1	2	Ventilation rate provided as range with uncertain distribution.		
D : 0 A		.,						
Domain 3: Access	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Sources are cited, but does not provide details on how reported values were derived from cited sources.		
D : 4 W : 1	.1., 1.7.							
Domain 4: Variab	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Variability of ventilation rates provided, but uncertainty not discussed.		
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	${ m n}^{\dagger}$	Medium		1.9			

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

mpact ass		elm. RHui	•1				
					2014. Including exposure variability in the life cycle greasing. Environment International.		
Occupational Exposure; Reports for Data or Information Other than Exposure or Release Data; 2537636							
		Data					
		Brake Ser	vicing M	odel			
on (Subca	tegory of Use):		_				
	,		_		tion exposure model.		
	Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments		
	Medile	Ttating	101 00 1	bcore	Comments		
ty							
Metric 1:	Methodology	High	$\times$ 1	1	Article is published in peer-reviewed scientific journal.		
tativa							
	Geographic Scope	Medium	× 1	2	Air ventilation rate data based on European data.		
Metric 3:			$\times 2$		Ventilation rate data are applicable to the scope of the model.		
Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times$ 2	2	Ventilation rate data based on 2012 and 2003 sources. Article published in 2014.		
Metric 5:	Sample Size	Medium	× 1	2	Ventilation rate provided as range with uncertain distribution.		
:1:4/Cloni	4						
Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Sources are cited, but does not provide details on how reported values were derived from cited sources.		
ty and Ur Metric 7:	ncertainty Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Variability of ventilation rates provided, but uncertainty not discussed.		
erminatio	${ m n}^{\dagger}$	High		1.4			
	on (Subca by Metric 1: Metric 2: Metric 3: Metric 4: Metric 5: Metric 6: ty and Ur Metric 7:	Metric  Metric 1: Methodology  Metric 2: Geographic Scope Metric 3: Applicability Metric 4: Temporal Representativeness  Metric 5: Sample Size  Metric 6: Metadata Completeness  Metric 6: Metadata Completeness	Data  Brake Ser Brake Ser Used to de Metric 1: Methodology  Metric 1: Methodology  High  Metric 2: Geographic Scope Medium Metric 3: Applicability Metric 4: Temporal Representativeness  Metric 5: Sample Size  Medium  Metric 5: Metadata Completeness  Medium  Metric 6: Metadata Completeness  Medium  Metric 7: Metadata Completeness  Medium	Data  Brake Servicing M Brake Servicing M Used to develop and  Metric Rating MWF*  Metric 1: Methodology High × 1  Metric 2: Geographic Scope Medium × 1 Metric 3: Applicability High × 2 Metric 4: Temporal Representativeness High × 2  Metric 5: Sample Size Medium × 1  Mility/Clarity Metric 6: Metadata Completeness Medium × 1  Metric 7: Metadata Completeness Medium × 1  Metric 7: Metadata Completeness Medium × 1	Brake Servicing Model Brake Servicing Model Used to develop an inhala  Metric Rating MWF* Score  Metric 1: Methodology High × 1 1  Matative Metric 2: Geographic Scope Medium × 1 2 Metric 3: Applicability High × 2 2 Metric 4: Temporal Representativeness High × 2 2  Metric 5: Sample Size Medium × 1 2  Metric 6: Metadata Completeness Medium × 1 2  Metric 6: Metadata Completeness Medium × 1 2  Metric 7: Metadata Completeness Medium × 1 2		

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:		; Demou, E; Bruzzi, R; Meijer, A nt exposure within Life Cycle In				egts, MA; Mckone, TE. 2009. Integrating human indoor mental Science and Technology.			
Type of Data Source Hero ID	Occupation 634560	Occupational Exposure; Reports for Data or Information Other than Exposure or Release Data; 334560							
EXTRACTION									
Parameter			Data						
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Description (Subcategory of Use): Route of Exposure:			Brake Servicing Model Brake Servicing Model Used to develop an inhalation exposure model.						
EVALUATION									
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments			
Domain 1: Reliab	oility Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Article is published in peer-reviewed scientific journal.			
	Metric 1.	Wethodology	IIIgii	× 1	1	Article is published in peer-reviewed scientific journal.			
Domain 2: Repres	sentative								
-	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	Medium	$\times$ 1	2	Air ventilation rate data are at least in part based on European data (but may also include U.S. data).			
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 2$	2	Ventilation rate data are applicable to the scope of the model.			
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	Low	$\times 2$	6	Paper published in 2009; data appear to be from sources dating from 1989 to 1993.			
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Medium	$\times$ 1	2	Ventilation rate provided as range with uncertain distribution.			
Domein 2. Access	ribiliter/Class								
Domain 3: Access	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Sources are cited, but does not provide details on how reported values were derived from cited sources.			
D 4. 37 . 1	:1:4 1 77								
Domain 4: Variab	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Variability of ventilation rates provided, but uncertainty not discussed.			
Overall Quality D	)eterminatio	n <sup>†</sup>	Medium		1.9				

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:	Scientific	O 27	Final pee	r review	comme	ents for the OPPT trichloroethylene (TCE) draft risk
Type of Data Source Hero ID	Occupatio 3044932	nal Exposure; Reports for Data	or Informa	tion Othe	er than	Exposure or Release Data;
EXTRACTION						
Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage:			Brake Sei	rvicing M	odel	
Life Cycle Descrip	otion (Subca	ategory of Use):	Brake Sei	0		
Route of Exposure						ation exposure model.
EVALUATION						
Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments
D ' 1 D l' 1	•1•4					
Domain 1: Reliab	Metric 1:	Methodology	Low	× 1	3	Peer reviewer does not provide data sources or techniques used
	Metric 1.	Wethodology	LOW	× 1	3	to arrive at ventilation rate estimates.
D ' 0 D						
Domain 2: Repres	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	× 1	1	Peer reviewer's experience appears to be U.S. based.
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 1 \times 2$	2	Ventilation rate data are applicable to the scope of the model.
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	Medium	$\times 2$	4	Time period of the peer reviewer's observations not provided,
					_	but not expected to be outdated.
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Medium	× 1	2	Ventilation rate provided as range with uncertain distribution.
Domain 3: Access	ibility/Clar	ity				
Domain 5. Access	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Low	$\times$ 1	3	Underlying data sources not transparent.
_		-				
Domain 4: Variab						
	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Variability of ventilation rates provided, but uncertainty not discussed.
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	$\mathrm{m}^\dagger$	Medium		1.9	

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:		w Jersey dry cleaners as a result				2010. Preliminary study of propyl bromide exposure aloroethylene. Journal of the Air and Waste Management		
Type of Data Source Hero ID	Occupation 1619253	nal Exposure; Reports for Data	or Inform	ation Ot	her that	n Exposure or Release Data;		
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data					
Life Cycle Stage: Route of Exposur								
EVALUATION								
Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments		
Domain 1: Reliab	ility Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Article is published in peer-reviewed scientific journal.		
Domain 2: Repres	sentative							
1	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times$ 1	1	Studies New Jersey (U.S.) based dry cleaners.		
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 2$	2	Observed dry cleaning data are applicable to the scope of the model.		
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times$ 2	2	Paper published in 2010, site visits conducted circa 2009; less than 10 years old.		
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	High	× 1	1	Limited number of samples, but individual data points allow characterization of distribution.		
Domain 3: Access	ibility/Clar	ity						
	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Article fully documents its data sources, assessment methods, results, and assumptions. $$		
Domain 4: Variab	ility and Uı	ncertainty						
	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Discusses uncertainty and variability in observed data.		
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	${\rm n}^{\dagger}$	High		1.0			

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  MWF = Metric Weighting Factor

<sup>†</sup> If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

		Air Resources Board. 2006. C Emissions Assessment Branch.	alifornia D	ry Cleani	ng Indu	ustry Technical Assessment Report. Stationary Source
	Occupation 176440	nal Exposure; Reports for Data	or Informa	tion Othe	er than	Exposure or Release Data;
EXTRACTION						
Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage:			1) Dry Cleaning			Model2) Dry Cleaning Exposure Model3) Spot
Route of Exposure:						
EVALUATION						
Domain		Metric	Rating	$MWF^{\star}$	Score	Comments
Domain 1: Reliabili	tv					
	Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	CARB is expected to use reliable data collection and survey methods.
Domain 2: Represen	ntative					
-	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times$ 1	1	Data surveyed and collected from U.S. (California) facilities
Ν	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times$ 2	2	Observed dry cleaning data are applicable to the scope of the model. $$
N	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	Medium	$\times$ 2	4	Report published in 2006, data surveyed and collected circa 2003; more than 10 years old but less than 20 years.
N	Metric 5:	Sample Size	High	× 1	1	Collected data are generally provided with robust statistics.
Domain 3: Accessib	ility/Clari	itv				
	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	High	$\times$ 1	1	Article fully documents its data sources, assessment methods, results, and assumptions.
Domain 4: Variabili	ty and Ur	ncertainty				
	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Discusses uncertainty and variability in observed data.
Overall Quality Det	erminatio	${f n}^{\dagger}$	High		1.2	

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation: Type of Data Source Hero ID	Niosh,. 1997. Hazard control: Control of exposure to perchloroethylene in commercial drycleaning (machine design) (HC 18). Occupational Exposure; Reports for Data or Information Other than Exposure or Release Data; 3974935					
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage: Route of Exposure:			1) Dry Cleaning Release Model2) Dry Cleaning Exposure Model Provides data used in inhalation exposure and release models.			
EVALUATION						
Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments
Domain 1: Reliab	oility Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	NIOSH is expected to use reliable data collection and survey methods.
Domain 2: Representative						
•	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times$ 1	1	Data are based on U.S. dry cleaning machines.
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 2$	2	Dry cleaning data are applicable to the scope of the model.
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	Medium	$\times 2$	4	Document published in 1997; more than 10 years old but generally less than 20 years.
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Low	× 1	3	Data characterized with no statistics.
Domain 3: Access	sibility/Clar Metric 6:	ity Metadata Completeness	Low	× 1	3	Provides results but generally does not provide data sources, methods, or assumptions.
Domain 4: Variability and Uncertainty  Metric 7: Metadata Completeness Low × 1 3 Generally does not discuss variability or uncertainty.						
Overall Quality Determination <sup>†</sup>			Medium		1.9	

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:	Niosh, 19	· ·	hazards in o	commerci	al drycl	eaners: chemical exposures, fire hazards, and ergonomic
Type of Data Source Hero ID	Occupation 3044963	nal Exposure; Reports for Data	or Informa	tion Othe	er than	Exposure or Release Data;
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage: Route of Exposur	٥.					Model2) Dry Cleaning Exposure Model alation exposure and release models.
Route of Exposur	e.		Flovides	data used	1 111 11111	aration exposure and release models.
EVALUATION						
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments
Domain 1: Reliab	ility					
	Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	NIOSH is expected to use reliable data collection and survey methods.
Domain 2: Repres	sentative					
1	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times$ 1	1	Data are based on U.S. dry cleaning machines.
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 2$	2	Dry cleaning data are applicable to the scope of the model.
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	Medium	$\times 2$	4	Document published in 1997; more than 10 years old but generally less than 20 years.
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Low	× 1	3	Data characterized with no statistics.
Domain 3: Access	sibility/Clar	ity				
Domain 9. Meess	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Low	× 1	3	Provides results but generally does not provide data sources, methods, or assumptions.
Domain 4: Variab	sility and II	ncertainty				
Domain 4. Variat	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Low	× 1	3	Generally does not discuss variability or uncertainty.
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	$ m n^\dagger$	Medium		1.9	

 $<sup>\</sup>star$  MWF = Metric Weighting Factor

<sup>†</sup> If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:	use in four	New Jersey commercial dry cle	aning facili	ties.		HETA 2008-0175-3111, Evaluation of 1-Bromopropane
Type of Data Source Hero ID	Occupation 3970603	nal Exposure; Reports for Data	or Informa	tion Othe	er than	Exposure or Release Data;
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage: Route of Exposure:						Model2) Dry Cleaning Exposure Model alation exposure and release models.
EVALUATION						
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments
Domain 1: Reliabi	ility					
	Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	NIOSH is expected to use reliable data collection methods.
Domain 2: Repres	sentative					
	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times 1$	1	Data are based on U.S. dry cleaning machines.
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 2$	2	Dry cleaning data are applicable to the scope of the model.
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times$ 2	2	Site visits conducted in 2008; less than 10 years old (from $2016$ ).
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	High	× 1	1	Limited number of samples, but individual data points allow characterization of distribution. $$
Domain 3: Access	ibility /Clan	:+				
Domain 5. Access.	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Article fully documents its data sources, assessment methods, results, and assumptions.
Domain 4: Variab	ility and Ur	ncertainty				
Domain 1. Variab	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Discusses variability among the different sites.
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	$\mathbf{n}^{\dagger}$	High		1.1	

 $<sup>\</sup>star$  MWF = Metric Weighting Factor

<sup>†</sup> If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:		, SG; Johanson, CA. 2011. A pr s Waste Management Program in			aning ir	ndustry in King County, Washington: Final report. Local
Type of Data Source Hero ID	Occupatio 3827371	nal Exposure; Reports for Data	or Inform	ation Ot	her tha	n Exposure or Release Data;
EXTRACTION						
Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage:	Life Cycle Stage:			Cleaning g Exposi		e Model2) Dry Cleaning Exposure Model3) Spot lel
Route of Exposur	Route of Exposure:				sed in ir	nhalation exposure and release models.
EVALUATION						
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments
D ' 1 D !' 1	•1•.					
Domain 1: Reliab	Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	King County has used reliable data collection and survey methods.
Domain 2: Repres	sentative					
Bomain 2. Teopros	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times 1$	1	Data are based on U.S. dry cleaning machines (Washington).
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 2$	2	Dry cleaning data are applicable to the scope of the model.
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times$ 2	2	Site visits conducted in 2009-2010, with surveys conducted afterwards; less than 10 years old (from 2016).
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	High	× 1	1	Collected data are generally provided with robust statistics.
D	:1:1:4/01	·				
Domain 3: Access	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	High	$\times$ 1	1	Article fully documents its data sources, assessment methods, results, and assumptions.
	.1., 1.7.					
Domain 4: Variab	Metric 7:	ncertainty Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Discusses uncertainty and variability in observed data.
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	${f n}^{\dagger}$	High		1.0	

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:		for Research and Technical A		2007.	Spottin	ng chemicals: Alternatives to perchloroethylene and
Type of Data Source Hero ID		nal Exposure; Reports for Data		tion Othe	er than	Exposure or Release Data;
EXTRACTION						
Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage: Route of Exposure				re Model2) Dry Cleaning Exposure Model alation exposure models.		
EVALUATION						
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments
Domain 1: Reliabi	ility					
	Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	CalEPA and EPA funded project expected to use reliable data collection methods.
Domain 2: Repres	entative					
•	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times$ 1	1	Data are based on U.S. dry cleaning machines (California)
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 2$	2	Dry cleaning data are applicable to the scope of the model.
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times 2$	2	Report published in 2007; less than 10 years old (from 2016).
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Low	× 1	3	Data characterized with no statistics.
Domain 3: Access	ibility/Clar	ity				
	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Low	× 1	3	Provides results but generally does not provide data sources, methods, or assumptions.
Domain 4. V1	:1:4 and II-	a containte				
Domain 4: Variab	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Low	$\times 1$	3	Generally does not discuss variability or uncertainty.
Overall Quality De	Overall Quality Determination <sup>†</sup>				1.7	

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:		von Grote, J., Hürlimann, C., Scheringer, M., Hungerbühler, K 2006. Assessing occupational exposure to perchloroethylene in dry cleaning. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene.								
Type of Data Source Hero ID		nal Exposure; Reports for Data			•	Exposure or Release Data;				
EXTRACTION										
Parameter			Data							
Life Cycle Stage: Route of Exposure:						e Model2) Dry Cleaning Exposure Model alation exposure models.				
EVALUATION										
Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments				
Domain 1: Reliab	ility									
	Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Article is published in peer-reviewed scientific journal.				
Domain 2: Repres	sentative									
	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	Medium	$\times$ 1	2	Data based on German dry cleaners (OECD country).				
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 2$	2	Dry cleaning data are applicable to the scope of the model.				
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	Medium	$\times$ 2	4	Work based on dissertation published in 2003. More than 10 but less than 20 years old.				
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Medium	× 1	2	The various data elements used from the study are presented mostly as ranges or averages.				
Domain 3: Access	sibility/Clar	ity								
Domain 6. Treess.	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Sources are cited, but does not provide details on how reported values were derived from cited sources.				
Domain 4: Variab	oility and U	ncertainty								
Domain 1. Varias	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Variability in parameter values discussed, but no discussion of uncertainties.				
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	${ m n}^{\dagger}$	Medium		1.7					

 $<sup>\</sup>begin{tabular}{l} $\star$ MWF = Metric Weighting Factor \\ $\dagger$ If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale:$ High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:		; Wolf, K. 2005. Evaluation of Assistance.	New and E	Emerging	Techno	logies for Textile Cleaning. Institute for Research and	
Type of Data Source Hero ID	Occupation 5176441	nal Exposure; Reports for Data	or Informat	tion Othe	er than	Exposure or Release Data;	
EXTRACTION			D /				
Parameter	Data						
Life Cycle Stage:			1) Spot C	Cleaning 1	Exposur	re Model2) Dry Cleaning Exposure Model	
Route of Exposure	e:		/ -	_		alation exposure models.	
EVALUATION							
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments	
Domain 1: Reliab	ility						
	Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	CARB, CalEPA, and EPA funded project expected to use reliable data collection methods.	
Domain 2: Repres	sentative						
•	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times$ 1	1	Data are based on U.S. dry cleaners (California).	
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 2$	2	Spot cleaning data are applicable to the scope of the model.	
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	Medium	$\times$ 2	4	Report published in 2005; more than 10 but less than 20 years old.	
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Low	× 1	3	Data characterized with no statistics.	
Domain 3: Access	ibility/Clar	ity					
	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Low	× 1	3	Provides results but generally does not provide data sources, methods, or assumptions.	
Domain 4: Variab	ility and Uı	ncertainty					
	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Low	× 1	3	Generally does not discuss variability or uncertainty.	
Overall Quality D	Overall Quality Determination $^{\dagger}$		Medium		1.9		

 $<sup>\</sup>star$  MWF = Metric Weighting Factor

<sup>†</sup> If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:	Dlanda I	Schill D. Do Lo Cruz D. 7ho	ng I. 7ho	ng I 20	00 DE	CRC ban among dry cleaners leads to 1-bromopropane
Source Citation:		with alternative "green" solvent		ing, J. 20	09. FE	and ban among dry cleaners leads to 1-bromopropane
Type of Data Source Hero ID		nal Exposure; Reports for Data		tion Othe	er than	Exposure or Release Data;
EXTRACTION						
Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage:	Life Cycle Stage:			ning Exp	osure V	lodel
	Route of Exposure:					1-BP based spot cleaner use rate in inhalation
EVALUATION						
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments
Domain 1: Reliab	ilitz					
Domain 1. Renau	Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	State and academic research expected to use reliable data collection methods.
Domain 2: Repres	sentative					
Domain 2. Repres	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times 1$	1	Data are based on U.S. dry cleaners (New Jersey).
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 2$	$\frac{1}{2}$	Spot cleaning data are applicable to the scope of the model.
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times$ 2	2	Data collected from 2008 to 2009; less than 10 years old (from 2016).
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Low	× 1	3	Data characterized with no statistics.
Domain 3: Access	sibilitar/Clan	.;				
Domain 5. Access	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	$\times$ 1	2	Sources are cited, but does not provide details on how reported values were derived from cited sources.
D . 4 T 1	.1., 1.77					
Domain 4: Variab	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Limited discussion of variability and uncertainty in results.
Overall Quality D	Overall Quality Determination <sup>†</sup>				1.4	

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation: Type of Data Source Hero ID		ch International. 2013. Drysolv : nal Exposure; Reports for Data				· ·		
EXTRACTION Parameter	Data							
Life Cycle Stage: Route of Exposur	, -	_	-	re Model2) Dry Cleaning Exposure Model ion in 1-BP based spot cleaner.				
EVALUATION								
Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments		
Domain 1: Reliab	ility Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Product manufacturer is expected to know the composition of their products.		
Domain 2: Repres	sentative							
Bomain 2. Repres	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times$ 1	1	Product available for sale in U.S.		
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 2$	2	Spot cleaning data are applicable to the scope of the model.		
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times 2$	2	SDS issue date is 2013; less than 10 years old.		
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Low	× 1	3	Data characterized with no statistics.		
Domain 3: Access	sibility/Clar	ity						
	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	High	$\times$ 1	1	All needed metadata are provided.		
Domain 4: Variab	oility and Ui Metric 7:	acertainty Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Range in concentration provided; unclear if this represents variability or uncertainty in the concentration.		
Overall Quality D	Overall Quality Determination <sup>†</sup>				1.3			

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  MWF = Metric Weighting Factor

<sup>†</sup> If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:	Docket, Su	abject: Background information	document].			fer O'Neil, Eastern Research group, Inc, to Dry Cleaning
Type of Data Source Hero ID	Occupation 3045690	nal Exposure; Reports for Data	or Informat	tion Othe	er than	Exposure or Release Data;
EXTRACTION			D .			
Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage:	Life Cycle Stage:			ning Exp	osure M	Iodel
Route of Exposur	e:		Provides	data used	d in inh	alation exposure models.
EVALUATION						
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments
Domain 1: Reliab	ility					
	Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Data collected in support of EPA rulemaking.
Domain 2: Repres	sentative					
ī	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times$ 1	1	Data are based on U.S. dry cleaners.
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 2$	2	Dry cleaning data are applicable to the scope of the model.
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	Medium	$\times 2$	4	Data are more than 10 years old but less than 20 years old.
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	High	× 1	1	Individual data points provided.
Domain 3: Access	sibility/Clar	ity				
	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	High	× 1	1	Report fully documents its data sources, assessment methods, results, and assumptions. $$
Domain 4: Variab	vility and II	acertainty				
Domain 4. Variat	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Limited discussion of variability and uncertainty in results.
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	$\mathrm{n}^{\dagger}$	High		1.3	
O . or and Quantity E					1.0	

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:	Massachus worksheet.	*	ital Protect	tion. 201	3. Alte	rnative dry cleaning technologies comparative analysis		
Type of Data Source Hero ID	Occupation 3045045	nal Exposure; Reports for Data	or Informa	tion Othe	er than	Exposure or Release Data;		
EXTRACTION			D /					
Parameter	Data							
Life Cycle Stage:			1) Spot C	Cleaning 1	Exposur	re Model2) Dry Cleaning Exposure Model		
Route of Exposure:						sed spot cleaner for use in inhalation exposure		
EVALUATION								
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathbf{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments		
Domain 1. Daliah	:1:4							
Domain 1: Reliab	Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	State and TURI expected to use reliable data collection methods.		
Domain 2: Repre	sontativo							
Domain 2. Repres	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	High	$\times 1$	1	Data are based on U.S. dry cleaners.		
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 2$	2	Dry cleaning data are applicable to the scope of the model.		
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	$\times 2$	2	Worksheet published in 2013; less than 10 years old.		
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Low	$\times$ 1	3	Data characterized with no statistics.		
Domain 3: Access	sibility/Clar	ity						
Domain G. Meess	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Low	× 1	3	Provides results but generally does not provide data sources, methods, or assumptions.		
Domain 4: Varial	oility and Ur	acertainty						
Domain 4. Variat	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Low	× 1	3	Generally does not discuss variability or uncertainty.		
Overall Quality I	eterminatio	$\mathbf{n}^{\dagger}$	Medium		1.7			

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:	Innovation	·	n submitted	d to the	Swiss Fe	egreasing and Dry Cleaning -Influences of Technology ederal Institute of Technology Z"rich for the degree of ich.			
Type of Data Source Hero ID	Occupatio 5176439	nal Exposure; Reports for Data	or Informat	tion Othe	er than	Exposure or Release Data;			
EXTRACTION Parameter	Data								
Life Cycle Stage: Route of Exposure		::1) Resi	dual so	fodel lvent on garments2) Duration of finishing/ e cylinders					
EVALUATION									
Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments			
Domain 1: Reliabi	lity								
	Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Academic PhD dissertation expected to use reliable data collection and analysis methods.			
Domain 2: Repres	entative								
	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	Medium	$\times$ 1	2	Data based on German dry cleaners (OECD country).			
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times 2$	2	Dry cleaning data are applicable to the scope of the model.			
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	Medium	$\times$ 2	4	Dissertation published in 2003. More than 10 but less than 20 years old.			
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Medium	$\times$ 1	2	The various data elements used from the study are presented mostly as ranges or averages.			
Damain 2: Access	:b:1:4 /Clam	:4							
Domain 3: Access:	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Sources are cited, but does not provide details on how reported values were derived from cited sources.			
Domain 4: Variab	ility and U	ncertainty							
Domain 1. (minu).	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Variability in parameter values discussed, but no discussion of uncertainties.			
Overall Quality D	eterminatio	$\mathbf{n}^{\dagger}$	Medium		1.7				

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

Source Citation:	lene and T		easing over	the Last	30 year	Reduction of Occupational Exposure to Perchloroethys: Influence of Technology Innovation and Legislation.
Type of Data Source Hero ID		nal Exposure; Reports for Data		_		Exposure or Release Data;
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data			
Life Cycle Stage: Route of Exposure:						ng Exposure Models s for inhalation exposure models.
EVALUATION						
Domain		Metric	Rating	$\mathrm{MWF}^{\star}$	Score	Comments
Domain 1: Reliab	oility					
	Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	Article is published in peer-reviewed scientific journal.
Domain 2: Repres	sentative					
	Metric 2:	Geographic Scope	Medium	$\times$ 1	2	Data based on German facilities (OECD country).
	Metric 3:	Applicability	High	$\times$ 2	2	Degreasing facility data are applicable to the scope of the model.
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	Medium	$\times$ 2	4	Work based on dissertation published in 2003. More than 10 but less than 20 years old.
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Medium	× 1	2	The various data elements used from the study are presented mostly as ranges or averages.
Domain 3: Access	sibility/Clar	ity				
Bolliam 6. Treees	Metric 6:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Sources are cited, but does not provide details on how reported values were derived from cited sources.
Domain 4: Variab	sility and IIs	agantainty				
Domain 4. Variat	Metric 7:	Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Variability in parameter values discussed, but no discussion of uncertainties.
Overall Quality D	Overall Quality Determination $^{\dagger}$				1.7	

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .

## Releases to the Environment

Type of Data Source	U.S. EPA. 2017. Public database 2016 chemical data reporting (May 2017 release). Facility; Reports for Data or Information Other than Exposure or Release Data; 3827204							
EXTRACTION Parameter			Data					
Life Cycle Stage: Life Cycle Description (Subcategory of Use): Total Annual U.S. Volume (and percent of PV):  Number of Sites: Possible Physical Form: Chemical Concentration:			Manufacture and Import Manufacture and Import Provides U.S. domestic manufactured and imported PV and percent PV to downstream uses. Provides number of manufacturing and import sites. Provides physical form. Provides concentration.					
EVALUATION  Domain		Metric	Rating	MWF*	Score	Comments		
Domain 1: Reliabi	lity Metric 1:	Methodology	High	× 1	1	EPA is a trusted source.		
Domain 2: Represe	entative Metric 2: Metric 3:	Geographic Scope Applicability	High High	$\begin{array}{c} \times \ 1 \\ \times \ 2 \end{array}$	1 2	CDR is U.S. based data.  CDR covers chemical manufacturers and importers, which are in scope for all chemicals.		
	Metric 4:	Temporal Representativeness	High	× 2	2	EPA used data from the 2016 CDR, which includes data reported for 2015.		
	Metric 5:	Sample Size	Medium	× 1	2	Due to reporting threshold, statistical representativeness is unclear.		
Domain 3: Accessi	bility/Clari Metric 6:	ity Metadata Completeness	Medium	× 1	2	Submissions do not include method of how production volumes were determined. CDR industry sector codes, industrial processing and use codes, industrial function codes, and commercial product codes provide good metadata; but lack of clarifying information and narratives and occasional misreportings limit clarity of data.		
Domain 4: Variabi	lity and Ur Metric 7:	ncertainty Metadata Completeness	Low	× 1	3	CDR data do not address variability or uncertainty in submitter provided data.		
		Con	tinued on 1	next page	9			

## - continued from previous page

Source Citation: Type of Data Source Hero ID	U.S. EPA. 2017. Public database 2016 chemical data reporting (May 2017 release). Facility; Reports for Data or Information Other than Exposure or Release Data; 3827204							
EVALUATION  Domain	Metric	Rating	MWF* Score	Comments				
Overall Quality Determination $^{\dagger}$		High	1.4					

<sup>\*</sup> MWF = Metric Weighting Factor † If any individual metrics are deemed Unacceptable, then the overall rating is also unacceptable. Otherwise, the overall rating is based on the following scale: High:  $\geq 1$  to < 1.7; Medium:  $\geq 1.7$  to < 2.3; Low:  $\geq 2.3$  to  $\leq 3$ .