

USEPA Office of Research and Development HOMELAND SECURITY RESEARCH PROGRAM



SCIENCE TO SUPPORT WATER INFRASTRUCTURE DECONTAMINATION FOLLOWING A CONTAMINATION INCIDEN

Jeff Szabo, John Hall, Jim Goodrich, Steve Reese and Hiba Ernst

May 8, 2018

Presentation Outline

- EPA Homeland Security Research Overview
- Water Security Test Bed Research
 - Decontamination of distribution system infrastructure with physical scouring (pigging)
 - Decontamination of home plumbing
- Summary

EPA

Program Design: A Systems Approach to Incidents



♦ EPA

Water Security Test Bed

Water Security Test Bed:

- Simulates intentional and inadvertent distribution system contamination (chem, bio, rad) and disruptions (cyber-attacks)
- Supports diverse applied research
- Located at Idaho National Lab (INL) (near Idaho Falls, Idaho)

Water Security Test Bed Video: https://youtu.be/olCs_kbegBA





Phase I of the test bed is a once through system:

- ~445' of 8" cement mortar lined, ductile iron pipe (water main)
- 6 × 1" service connections/sample ports, 2 hydrants
- 15' pipe material coupon section for sampling the interior of the pipe surface
- Above ground system, underlined by secondary containment
- 28,000 gallon lagoon/high rate groundwater pump/storage tank
- ~200 ' of 1" Cu service line to building, with home appliance and removable plumbing pipe coupons

Why have we invested in this capability?



€PA

Set EPA

Operational Pictures

Injection Point



Triggered Flushing





Chlorine and TOC Sensors with Cellular Modem





Removable Coupons and Pipe Available for Decontamination Experiments



Set EPA

Forty year old conveyance pipes (cement mortar lined ductile iron) servicing a decommissioned building was dug out of the ground at INL

8" ductile iron





28,000 Gallon Lagoon, Tanker Truck and Treatment System



SEPA

Premise Plumbing Decontamination



SEPA

1" Copper Service Line to Indoor Plumbing (~ 200')

WSTB Premise Plumbing

\$EPA

Microbial Decontamination

- WSTB pipe was contaminated with *Bacillus globigii* (BG) spores
 - BG injected at 10⁶ cfu/ml in the bulk water phase
- Decontamination with chlorine dioxide
 - Target concentrations
 - 25 mg/L per pilot experiments
 - 100 mg/L in the field
 - Chlorine dioxide concentration difficult to maintain due to heat and pipe demand
 - Only 2-log reduction in spores compared to 5-log in the pilot scale experiments

Bacillus globigii Experiments

EPA

Data from <u>Pilot Scale</u> Decontamination Loop at EPA's Test & Evaluation Facility

• No spores detected on cement-mortar after treatment with 25-30 mg/L ClO₂

Data from Full Scale WSTB at INL

- Spores persisted on cement-mortar in the presence of up to 100 mg/L Cl0₂
- Pipe demand, temperature fluctuation and dead end spaces impacted decontamination
- Spores found on surfaces even after WSTB was mothballed for winter

Ice Pigging Decontamination Data

EPA

Set EPA

Decontamination with Pigging (KEG chain cutter)

Chain Cutter Pigging

Pipe Interior Before and After Pigging

Before pigging:

Set EPA

After pigging:

BG Decon with Chain Cutter Pigging

SEPA

BG Decon with Physical Scouring

Ice Pigging (450 ft pipe)

€PA

Plumbing Microbial Decontamination

- BG spores injected at 10⁶ cfu/ml
- Disinfection and Flushing:
 - Amended bleach added to plumbing and allowed to sit for 1 hour (1-part bleach:11.75-part water:1-part vinegar)
 - Cold water and refrigerator flushed for 20 min (hot water off)
 - Hot water heater drained, refilled, then hot water flushed for 75 min
 - The flushing process was repeated the next day

22

Bakken Crude Oil Decontamination

Response to Bakken Crude Oil Spills

- Examined flushing and adding a surfactant as decontamination methods
- Coupons and water samples were analyzed
 - BTEX- Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl Benzene, Xylene
 - **ORO** Oil Range Organics
 - GRO Gasoline Range Organics
 - **DRO** Diesel Range Organics

♥EPA

Bakken Crude Oil Flushing Experiment

SEPA

- Bakken Crude oil components (Benzene) were not detected on the coupon surfaces
- Flushing alone was effective to remove the soluble fraction of crude oil from the system
- Surfactant addition was unnecessary, and could be counterproductive as it did persist (surfactant may be needed for higher petroleum product loading)

€PA

Plumbing Decontamination Data

- Bakken crude oil injected in the same manner as in the big pipe previously (water soluble fraction containing dissolved compounds)
- Flushing:
 - Cold water and refrigerator flushed for 20 min (hot water off)
 - Hot water heater drained, refilled, then hot water flushed for 75 min
 - The flushing process was repeated the next day

SEPA

WSTB Experiments Summary

Full-Scale 8" Pipeline BG Spore Decontamination

- Only 2-log reduction in spore removal versus 5-log reduction in the pilot scale experiments with chlorine dioxide
- Temperature and disinfectant demand impeded performance at full scale
- Ice pigging was not as effective as expected
 - 1 to 2-Log removal at best
- Physical scouring preformed better than ice pigging

 -4-log spore removal with pigging and chlorination on cement mortar
 -Similar results on iron, but more spores left behind

Plumbing and Appliance Decontamination (BG spores and Bakken oil)

- Flushing with acidified bleach solution not entirely successful at removing spores after 2 days
- Longer term/sequential flushing and sampling likely necessary to decontaminate premise plumbing
- Bakken crude was readily flushed, but some organics remained on appliances like the dishwasher and refrigerator

EPA

WSTB Current and Future Experiments

Accomplished

- Persistence of *Bq* spores
- Efficacy of Chlorine dioxide •
- Physical scouring of pipes •
- Bakken crude oil flushing •
- Premise plumbing decon •
- Wash water treatment •
- PFAS water treatment •

Planned Experiments

- Additional PFAS treatment
- Detection/Decontamination of radionuclides
- Aerosolizing of biological agents via points of use
- Pipe lining technologies

SME Recommended **Future Opportunities**

- Build a larger distribution grid (2 or more city blocks)
- Evaluate other contaminants especially other types of crude oil
- Evaluate detergent impacts on premise plumbing
- Integrate cyber-security activities

SEPA

Acknowledgements

EPA

- Matthew Magnuson
- Vince Gallardo
- Lahne Mattas-Curry
- Eletha Brady-Roberts
- Ramona Sherman

<u>INL</u>

- Michael Carpenter
- Stephen Reese
- Center for Advanced Energy Studies (CAES)

"Priority Activity: Improve detection, response, and recovery to contamination incidents" – 2017 Roadmap to a Secure and Resilient Water and Wastewater Sector, Critical Infrastructure Partnership Advisory Council

www.epa.gov/homeland-security-research

Disclaimer: The U.S. EPA through its Office of Research and Development funded the research described in this presentation. It has been reviewed by the Agency but does not necessarily reflect the Agency's views. No official endorsement should be inferred. EPA does not endorse the purchase or sale of any commercial products or services. This project was supported in part by an appointment to the Internship/Research Participation Program at the National Homeland Security Research Center, Water Infrastructure Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education through an interagency agreement between the U.S. Department of Energy and EPA.