



**REGION 6**  
**1201 ELM STREET, SUITE 500**  
**DALLAS, TEXAS 75270**

**NPDES Permit No. LA0124656**

---

**AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE  
NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM**

In compliance with the provisions of the Clean Water Act, as amended, (33 U.S.C. 1251 et. seq; the "Act"),

Coushatta Casino Resort Wastewater Treatment Plant  
777 Coushatta Drive  
Kinder, LA 70648

is authorized to discharge to an unnamed receiving water levee/ditch on tribal land to a tributary of the Calcasieu River thence to the Calcasieu River Basin in Waterbody Segment Code No. 030103, from a facility located at 777 Coushatta Drive, in the City of Kinder, in Allen Parish, Louisiana.

The discharge is located on that water at the following coordinates:

Outfall 001: Latitude 30° 32' 38" North and Longitude 92° 49' 9" West

in accordance with this cover page and the effluent limitations, monitoring requirements, and other conditions set forth in Part I, Part II, Part III, and Part IV hereof.

This permit is prepared by Jim Afghani, Environmental Engineer, Permitting Section (6WQ-PE).

This is a reissuance of the current NPDES permit and shall become effective on November 1, 2021

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire at midnight, October 31, 2026.

Issued on      October 27, 2021

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Charles W. Maguire".

---

Charles W. Maguire  
Director  
Water Division (6WD)

## DOCUMENT ABBREVIATIONS

In the document that follows, various abbreviations are used. They are as follows:

<b>4Q3</b>	Lowest four-day average flow rate expected to occur once every three-years
<b>BAT</b>	Best available technology economically achievable
<b>BCT</b>	Best conventional pollutant control technology
<b>BPT</b>	Best practicable control technology currently available
<b>BMP</b>	Best management plan
<b>BOD</b>	Biochemical oxygen demand (five-day unless noted otherwise)
<b>BPJ</b>	Best professional judgment
<b>CBOD</b>	Carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand (five-day unless noted otherwise)
<b>CD</b>	Critical dilution
<b>CFR</b>	Code of Federal Regulations
<b>cfs</b>	Cubic feet per second
<b>COD</b>	Chemical oxygen demand
<b>COE</b>	United States Corp of Engineers
<b>CWA</b>	Clean Water Act
<b>DMR</b>	Discharge monitoring report
<b>DO</b>	Dissolved Oxygen
<b>ELG</b>	Effluent limitation guidelines
<b>EPA</b>	United States Environmental Protection Agency
<b>ESA</b>	Endangered Species Act
<b><i>E. coli</i></b>	Escherichia coli
<b>FCB</b>	Fecal coliform bacteria
<b>FWS</b>	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
<b>LAIP</b>	Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards
<b>LDEQ</b>	Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality
<b>LWQS</b>	Louisiana Water Quality Standards: Title 33 Environmental Quality, Part IX Water Quality
<b>µg/L</b>	Micrograms per liter (one part per billion)
<b>mg/L</b>	Milligrams per liter (one part per million)
<b>MGD</b>	Million gallons per day
<b>ng/L</b>	Nanograms per liter (one part per trillion)
<b>NPDES</b>	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
<b>MQL</b>	Minimum quantification level
<b>NOEC</b>	No Observed Effect Concentration
<b>O&amp;G</b>	Oil and grease
<b>POTW</b>	Publicly Owned Treatment Works
<b>RP</b>	Reasonable potential
<b>SIC</b>	Standard industrial classification
<b>s.u.</b>	Standard units (for parameter pH)
<b>TDS</b>	Total dissolved solids
<b>TKN</b>	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen
<b>TMDL</b>	Total maximum daily load
<b>TRC</b>	Total residual chlorine
<b>TRE</b>	Toxicity Reduction Evaluation
<b>TSS</b>	Total suspended solids
<b>UAA</b>	Use attainability analysis
<b>USGS</b>	United States Geological Service
<b>WET</b>	Whole effluent toxicity
<b>WQMP</b>	Water Quality Management Plan
<b>WWTP</b>	Wastewater treatment plant

In this document, references to State WQS and/or rules shall be that of the State of Louisiana and the Coushatta Tribe of Louisiana.

**PART I – REQUIREMENTS FOR NPDES PERMITS**

**SECTION A. LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS**

1. Final Effluent Limits – 0.8 MGD Design Flow

During the period beginning the effective date of the permit and lasting through the expiration date of the permit (unless otherwise noted), the permittee is authorized to discharge treated municipal wastewater to an unnamed levee/ditch on tribal land to a tributary of the Calcasieu river thence to the Calcasieu River in Segment Number 030103 from Outfall 001. Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

POLLUTANT	30-DAY AVG	DAILY MAX	7-DAY AVG	30-DAY AVG	DAILY MAX	7-DAY AVG	FREQUENCY	TYPE
Flow	MGD	MGD	MGD	NA	NA	NA	Daily	Totalizing Meter
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	33 lbs/Day	N/A	50 lbs/Day	5 mg/L	NA	7.5 mg/L	Once/Week *1	3-Hour Composite
TSS	33 lbs/Day	N/A	50 lbs/Day	5 mg/L	NA	7.5 mg/L	Once/Week *1	3-Hour Composite
DO (Minimum)	NA	N/A	N/A	5 mg/L	NA	7.5 mg/L	Once/Week *1	Grab
Ammonia-Total, as N (NH <sub>3</sub> )	13 lbs/Day	N/A	20 lbs/Day	2 mg/L	NA	3 mg/L	Once/Week *1	3-Hour Composite
<i>E. Coli</i> Bacteria	NA	N/A	NA	126 *2	126 *2	NA	Three/Month *1	Grab
Chlorine, total residual *5, 6	NA	N/A	NA	N/A	19 µg/L	NA	Daily	Instantaneous Grab
Mercury	NA	Report *4	NA	N/A	Report *4	NA	1/permit term	12-Hour Composite

POLLUTANT	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	FREQUENCY	TYPE
pH *5	6.0 s.u.	8.5 s.u.	Daily	Instantaneous Grab

WHOLE EFFLUENT Toxicity (48 Hr. Static Renewal) (*3, 7)	30-DAY AVG MINIMUM	48-HR MINIMUM	FREQUENCY	TYPE
<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	Report	Report	Once/6 Months	24-Hr Composite
<i>Pimephales promelas</i>	Report	Report	Once/6 Months	24-Hr Composite

**Footnotes:**

- \*1 Sampling at least one week apart.
- \*2 Colony forming units (cfu) per 100 mL. May also be reported as most probable number (mpn) per 100mL.
- \*3 Monitoring and reporting requirements begin on the effective date of this permit. Samples should be taken upon first discharge.
- \*4 Mercury testing shall be one time during the permit term after the permit effective date. Test shall use EPA Method 1631E.
- \*5 Daily minimum. Instantaneous grab samples are to be taken between the times of 10:00 am-2:00 pm.
- \*6 See Part II, Section A. Minimum Quantification Level (MQL) of permit.
- \*7 See PART II, Whole Effluent Toxicity testing requirements for additional WET monitoring and reporting conditions.

**A. FLOATING SOLIDS, VISIBLE FOAM AND/OR OILS**

There shall be no discharge of floating solids or visible foam in other than trace amounts. There shall be no discharge of visible films of oil, globules of oil, grease or solids in or on the water, or coatings on stream banks. Samples taken in compliance with the monitoring requirements specified above shall be taken at the discharge from the final treatment unit prior to the receiving stream.

**B. SAMPLING LOCATION**

Description of sampling location which should be after final treatment and before mixing with receiving streams. The sample point should be marked, and there should be no flow from any source into the piping system after the sampling location(s).

**C. SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE: None****D. MONITORING AND REPORTING (MINOR DISCHARGERS)**

Monitoring information shall be submitted electronically. To submit electronically, access the NetDMR website at <https://netdmr.epa.gov> as specified in Part III.D.4 of this permit and shall be submitted quarterly. Each quarterly submittal shall include separate forms for each month of the reporting period.

1. The permittee shall effectively monitor the operation and efficiency of all treatment and control facilities and the quantity and quality of the treated discharge.
2. Reporting periods shall end on the last day of the months March, June, September, and December.
3. The permittee is required to submit regular quarterly reports as described above postmarked no later than the 15th day of the month following each reporting period.
4. If any 7-day average or daily maximum value exceeds the effluent limitations specified in Part I.A, the permittee shall report the excursion in accordance with the requirements of Part III.D.
5. Any 30-day average, monthly average, 7-day average, weekly average or daily maximum value reported in the required Discharge Monitoring Report which is in excess of the effluent limitation specified in Part I.A shall constitute evidence of violation of such effluent limitation and of this permit.
6. Other measurements of oxygen demand (e.g., TOC and COD) may be substituted for BOD<sub>5</sub> or for CBOD<sub>5</sub>, as applicable, where the permittee can demonstrate long-term correlation of the method with BOD<sub>5</sub> or CBOD<sub>5</sub> values, as applicable. Details of the correlation procedures used must be submitted and prior approval granted by the permitting authority for this procedure to be acceptable. Data reported must also include evidence to show that the proper correlation continues to exist after approval.
7. The permittee shall submit a copy of a semi-annual summary of the data that results from whole effluent toxicity testing to the following entities:

1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6  
Compliance Assurance and Enforcement Division  
Water Enforcement Branch (6EN-W)  
1201 Elm Street, Suite 500  
Dallas, TX 75270

2. Coushatta Tribe of Louisiana  
Attention: Gardner Rose, Natural Resources Manager  
P.O. Box 10  
Elton, LA 70532

3. Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality  
Office of Environmental Compliance  
Enforcement Division  
Post Office Box 4312  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70821-4312  
Attention: Permit Compliance Unit

8. The permittee shall submit a copy of:

- a. Either its most recent Drinking Water Compliance Report or Consumer Confidence Report to the following entities:
- b. Actual monitoring data for pollutants used in the report referenced in Item A above, inclusive of analysis method and detection levels.

1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6  
Compliance Assurance and Enforcement Division  
Water Enforcement Branch (6EN-W)  
1201 Elm Street, Suite 500  
Dallas, TX 75270

2. Coushatta Tribe of Louisiana  
Attention: Gardner Rose, Natural Resources Manager  
P.O. Box 10  
Elton, LA 70532

3. Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality  
Office of Environmental Compliance  
Enforcement Division  
Post Office Box 4312  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70821-4312  
Attention: Permit Compliance Unit

**D. OVERFLOW REPORTING**

The permittee shall report all overflows with the DMR submittal. These reports shall be summarized and reported in tabular format. The summaries shall include: date, time, duration, location, estimated volume, and cause of the overflow. They shall also include observed environmental impacts from the overflow; actions taken to address the overflow; and the ultimate discharge location if not contained (e.g., storm sewer system, ditch, tributary).

Overflows that endanger health or the environment shall be orally reported to EPA at (214) 665-7179 and LDEQ at (225)925-6595, within 12 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstance. A written report of overflows that endanger health or the environment shall be provided to EPA and LDEQ within 5 days of the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances.

**E. POLLUTION PREVENTION REQUIREMENTS**

The permittee shall institute a program within 12 months of the effective date of the permit (or continue an existing one) directed towards optimizing the efficiency and extending the useful life of the facility. The permittee shall consider the following items in the program:

- a. The influent loadings, flow and design capacity;
- b. The effluent quality and plant performance;
- c. The age and expected life of the wastewater treatment facility's equipment;
- d. Bypasses and overflows of the tributary sewerage system and treatment works;
- e. New developments at the facility;
- f. Operator certification and training plans and status;
- g. The financial status of the facility;
- h. Preventative maintenance programs and equipment conditions and;
- i. An overall evaluation of conditions at the facility.

## PART II - OTHER CONDITIONS

### A. MINIMUM QUANTIFICATION LEVEL (MQL)

EPA-approved test procedures (methods) for the analysis and quantification of pollutants or pollutant parameters, including for the purposes of compliance monitoring/DMR reporting, permit renewal applications, or any other reporting that may be required as a condition of this permit, shall be sufficiently sensitive. A method is "sufficiently sensitive" when (1) the method minimum level (ML) of quantification is at or below the level of the applicable effluent limit for the measured pollutant or pollutant parameter; or (2) if there is no EPA-approved analytical method with a published ML at or below the effluent limit (see table below), then the method has the lowest published ML (is the most sensitive) of the analytical methods approved under 40 CFR Part 136 or required under 40 CFR Chapter I, Subchapters N or O, for the measured pollutant or pollutant parameter; or (3) the method is specified in this permit or has been otherwise approved in writing by the permitting authority (EPA Region 6) for the measured pollutant or pollutant parameter.

The Permittee has the option of developing and submitting a report to justify the use of matrix or sample specific MLs rather than the published levels. Upon written approval by EPA Region 6 the matrix or sample specific MLs may be utilized by the Permittee for all future DMR reporting requirements.

Current EPA Region 6 MQLs for reporting and compliance are provided in Appendix A of Part II of this permit. The following pollutants may not have EPA approved methods with a published ML at or below the effluent limit, if specified:

<b>POLLUTANT</b>	<b>CAS Number</b>	<b>STORET Code</b>
Total Residual Chlorine	7782-50-5	50060
Cadmium	7440-43-9	01027
Silver	7440-22-4	01077
Thallium	7440-28-0	01059
Cyanide	57-12-5	78248
Dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD)	1764-01-6	34675
4, 6-Dinitro-0-Cresol	534-52-1	34657
Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	39032
Benzidine	92-87-5	39120
Chrysene	218-01-9	34320
Hexachlorobenzene	118-74-1	39700
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	62-75-9	34438
Aldrin	309-00-2	39330
Chlordane	57-74-9	39350
Dieldrin	60-57-1	39380
Heptachlor	76-44-8	39410
Heptachlor epoxide	1024-57-3	39420
Toxaphene	8001-35-2	39400

Unless otherwise indicated in this permit, if the EPA Region 6 MQL for a pollutant or pollutant parameter is sufficiently sensitive (as defined above) and the analytical test result is less than the MQL, then a value of zero (0) may be used for reporting purposes on DMRs. Furthermore, if the EPA Region 6 MQL for a pollutant or parameter is not sufficiently sensitive, but the analytical test result is less than the published ML from a sufficiently sensitive method, then a value of zero (0) may be used for reporting purposes on DMRs.



**B. 24-HOUR ORAL REPORTING: DAILY MAXIMUM LIMITATION VIOLATIONS**

Under the provisions of Part III.D.7.b.(3) of this permit, violations of daily maximum limitations for the following pollutants shall be reported orally to EPA Region 6, Compliance and Assurance Division, Water Enforcement Branch (6EN-W), Dallas, Texas, and concurrently to LDEQ within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the violation followed by a written report in five days.

E. coli Bacteria & TRC**C. PERMIT MODIFICATION AND REOPENER**

In accordance with 40 CFR Part 122.44(d), the permit may be reopened and modified during the life of the permit if relevant portions of the Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards are revised, or if Louisiana and/or Coshatta Tribe water quality standards are established and/or remanded.

In accordance with 40 CFR Part 122.62(s)(2), the permit may be reopened and modified if new information is received that was not available at the time of permit issuance that would have justified the application of different permit conditions at the time of permit issuance. Permit modifications shall reflect the results of any of these actions and shall follow regulations listed at 40 CFR Part 124.5.

**D. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTING (48-HOUR ACUTE NOEC FRESHWATER)**

*It is unlawful and a violation of this permit for a permittee or his designated agent, to manipulate test samples in any manner, to delay sample shipment, or to terminate or to cause to terminate a toxicity test. Once initiated, all toxicity tests must be completed unless specific authority has been granted by EPA Region 6 or the State NPDES permitting authority.*

**1. SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY**

- a. The permittee shall test the effluent for toxicity in accordance with the provisions in this section

APPLICABLE TO FINAL OUTFALL(S):	001
REPORTED ON DMR AS FINAL OUTFALL:	001
CRITICAL DILUTION (%):	100%
EFFLUENT DILUTION SERIES (%):	32%, 42%, 56%, 75%, 100%
COMPOSITE SAMPLE TYPE:	Defined at PART I
TEST SPECIES/METHODS:	40 CFR Part 136

**Daphnia pulex** acute static renewal 48-hour definitive toxicity test using EPA-821-R-02-012, or the latest update thereof. A minimum of five (5) replicates with eight (8) organisms per replicate must be used in the control and in each effluent dilution of this test.

**Pimephales promelas** (Fathead minnow) acute static renewal 48-hour definitive toxicity test using EPA-821-R-02-012, or the latest update thereof. A minimum of five (5) replicates with eight (8) organisms per replicate must be used in the control and in each effluent dilution of this test.

b. The NOEC (No Observed Lethal Effect Concentration) is defined as the greatest effluent dilution at and below which lethality that is statistically different from the control (0% effluent) at the 95% confidence level does not occur. Acute test failure is defined as a demonstration of a statistically significant lethal effect at test completion to a test species at or below the critical dilution.

c. This permit may be reopened to require whole effluent toxicity limits, chemical specific effluent limits, additional testing, and/or other appropriate actions to address toxicity.

## 2. PERSISTENT LETHALITY

The requirements of this subsection apply only when a toxicity test demonstrates significant lethal effects at or below the critical dilution. Significant lethal effects are herein defined as a statistically significant difference at the 95% confidence level between the survival of the appropriate test organism in a specified effluent dilution and the control (0% effluent). The purpose of additional tests (also referred to as 're-tests' or confirmation tests) is to determine the duration of a toxic event.

A test that meets all test acceptability criteria and demonstrates significant toxic effects does not need additional confirmation. Such testing cannot confirm or disprove a previous test result. If any valid test demonstrates significant lethal effects to a test species at or below the critical dilution, the frequency of testing for this species is automatically increased to once per quarter with no option for frequency reduction.

### a. Part I Testing Frequency Other Than Monthly

i. The permittee shall conduct a total of three (3) additional tests for any species that demonstrates significant lethal effects at or below the critical dilution. The additional tests shall be conducted monthly during the next three consecutive months.

If testing on a quarterly basis, the permittee may substitute one of the additional tests in lieu of one routine toxicity test. A full report shall be prepared for each test required by this section in accordance with procedures outlined in Item 4 of this section and submitted with the period DMR to the permitting authority for review.

ii. If any of the additional tests demonstrates significant lethal effects at or below the critical dilution, the permittee shall initiate TRE requirements as specified in Item 5 of this section. The permittee shall notify EPA in writing within 5 days of the failure of any retest, and the TRE initiation date will be the test completion date of the first failed retest. A TRE may also be required due to a demonstration of intermittent lethal effects at or below the critical dilution, or for failure to perform the required retests.

iii. The provisions of Item 2a are suspended upon submittal of the TRE Action Plan.

### b. Part I Testing Frequency of Monthly

The permittee shall initiate the TRE requirements as specified in Item 5 of this section when any two of three consecutive monthly toxicity tests exhibit significant lethal effects at or below the critical dilution. A TRE may also be required due to a demonstration of intermittent lethal effects at or below the critical dilution, or for failure to perform the required retests.

### 3. REQUIRED TOXICITY TESTING CONDITIONS

#### a. Test Acceptance

The permittee shall repeat a test, including the control and all effluent dilutions, if the procedures and quality assurance requirements defined in the test methods or in this permit are not satisfied, including the following additional criteria:

- i. Each toxicity test control (0% effluent) must have a survival equal to or greater than 90%.
- ii. The percent coefficient of variation between replicates shall be 40% or less in the control (0% effluent) for: Daphnia pulex survival test; and Fathead minnow survival test.
- iii. The percent coefficient of variation between replicates shall be 40% or less in the critical dilution, unless significant lethal effects are exhibited for: Daphnia pulex survival test; and Fathead minnow survival test.

Test failure may not be construed or reported as invalid due to a coefficient of variation value of greater than 40%. A repeat test shall be conducted within the required reporting period of any test determined to be invalid.

#### b. Statistical Interpretation

For the Daphnia pulex survival test and the Fathead minnow survival test, the statistical analyses used to determine if there is a statistically significant difference between the control and the critical dilution shall be in accordance with the methods for determining the NOEC as described in EPA-821-R-02-012 or the most recent update thereof.

If the conditions of Test Acceptability are met in Item 3.a above and the percent survival of the test organism is equal to or greater than 90% in the critical dilution concentration and all lower dilution concentrations, the test shall be considered to be a passing test, and the permittee shall report a NOEC of not less than the critical dilution for the DMR reporting requirements found in Item 4 below.

#### c. Dilution Water

- i. Dilution water used in the toxicity tests will be receiving water collected as close to the point of discharge as possible but unaffected by the discharge. The permittee shall substitute synthetic dilution water of similar pH, hardness, and alkalinity to the closest downstream perennial water for;

(A) toxicity tests conducted on effluent discharges to receiving water classified as intermittent streams; and

(B) toxicity tests conducted on effluent discharges where no receiving water is available due to zero flow conditions.

- ii. If the receiving water is unsatisfactory as a result of instream toxicity (fails to fulfill the test acceptance criteria of Item 3.a), the permittee may substitute synthetic dilution water for the receiving water in all subsequent tests provided the unacceptable receiving water test met the following stipulations:

(A) a synthetic dilution water control which fulfills the test acceptance requirements of Item 3.a was run concurrently with the receiving water control;

(B) the test indicating receiving water toxicity has been carried out to completion (i.e., 48 hours);

(C) the permittee includes all test results indicating receiving water toxicity with the full report and information required by Item 4 below; and

(D) the synthetic dilution water shall have a pH, hardness, and alkalinity similar to that of the receiving water or closest downstream perennial water not adversely affected by the discharge, provided the magnitude of these parameters will not cause toxicity in the synthetic dilution water.

d. Samples and Composites

i. The permittee shall collect two flow-weighted composite samples from the outfall(s) listed at Item 1.a above.

ii. The permittee shall collect a second composite sample for use during the 24-hour renewal of each dilution concentration for both tests. The permittee must collect the composite samples so that the maximum holding time for any effluent sample shall not exceed 36 hours. The permittee must have initiated the toxicity test within 36 hours after the collection of the last portion of the first composite sample. Samples shall be chilled to 6 degrees Centigrade during collection, shipping, and/or storage.

iii. The permittee must collect the composite samples such that the effluent samples are representative of any periodic episode of chlorination, biocide usage or other potentially toxic substance discharged on an intermittent basis.

iv. If the flow from the outfall(s) being tested ceases during the collection of effluent samples, the requirements for the minimum number of effluent samples, the minimum number of effluent portions and the sample holding time are waived during that sampling period. However, the permittee must collect an effluent composite sample volume during the period of discharge that is sufficient to complete the required toxicity tests with daily renewal of effluent. When possible, the effluent samples used for the toxicity tests shall be collected on separate days. The effluent composite sample collection duration and the static renewal protocol associated with the abbreviated sample collection must be documented in the full report required in Item 4 of this section.

4. REPORTING

a. The permittee shall prepare a full report of the results of all tests conducted pursuant to this Part in accordance with the Report Preparation Section of EPA-821-R-02-012, for every valid or invalid toxicity test initiated, whether carried to completion or not. The permittee shall retain each full report pursuant to the provisions of PART III.C.3 of this permit. The permittee shall submit full reports upon the specific request of the Agency. For any test which fails, is considered invalid or which is terminated early for any reason, the full report must be submitted for agency review.

b. A valid test for each species must be reported on the DMR during each reporting period specified in PART I of this permit unless the permittee is performing a TRE which may increase the frequency of testing and reporting. Only ONE set of biomonitoring data for each species is

to be recorded on the DMR for each reporting period. The data submitted should reflect the LOWEST Survival results for each species during the reporting period. All invalid tests, repeat tests (for invalid tests), and retests (for tests previously failed) performed during the reporting period must be attached to the DMR for EPA review.

c. The permittee shall report the following results of each valid toxicity test on the subsequent monthly DMR for that reporting period in accordance with PART III.D.4 of this permit. Submit retest information clearly marked as such with the following month's DMR. Only results of valid tests are to be reported on the DMR.

i. Pimephales promelas (Fathead minnow)

(A) If the NOEC for survival is less than the critical dilution, enter a "1"; otherwise, enter a "0" for Parameter No. TEM6C.

(B) Report the NOEC value for survival, Parameter No. TOM6C.

(C) Report the highest (critical dilution or control) Coefficient of Variation, Parameter No. TQM6C.

ii. Daphnia pulex

(A) If the NOEC for survival is less than the critical dilution, enter a "1"; otherwise, enter a "0" for Parameter No. TEM3D

(B) Report the NOEC value for survival, Parameter No. TOM3D.

(C) Report the highest (critical dilution or control) Coefficient of Variation, Parameter No. TQM3D.

d. Enter the following codes on the DMR for retests only:

i. For retest number 1, Parameter 22415, enter a "1" if the NOEC for survival is less than the critical dilution; otherwise, enter a "0."

ii. For retest number 2, Parameter 22416, enter a "1" if the NOEC for survival is less than the critical dilution; otherwise, enter a "0."

## 5. TOXICITY REDUCTION EVALUATION (TRE)

a. Within ninety (90) days of confirming lethality in the retests, the permittee shall submit a TRE Action Plan and Schedule for conducting a TRE. The TRE Action Plan shall specify the approach and methodology to be used in performing the TRE. A Toxicity Reduction Evaluation is an investigation intended to determine those actions necessary to achieve compliance with water quality-based effluent limits by reducing an effluent's toxicity to an acceptable level. A TRE is defined as a step-wise process which combines toxicity testing and analyses of the physical and chemical characteristics of a toxic effluent to identify the constituents causing effluent toxicity and/or treatment methods which will reduce the effluent toxicity. The TRE Action Plan shall lead to the successful elimination of effluent toxicity at the critical dilution and include the following:

i. Specific Activities. The plan shall detail the specific approach the permittee intends to utilize in conducting the TRE. The approach may include toxicity characterizations, identifications and confirmation activities, source evaluation, treatability studies, or alternative approaches. When the permittee conducts Toxicity Characterization Procedures the permittee shall perform multiple characterizations and follow the procedures specified in the documents "Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations: Phase I Toxicity Characterization Procedures" (EPA-600/6-91/003) or alternate procedures. When the permittee conducts Toxicity Identification Evaluations and Confirmations, the permittee shall perform multiple identifications and follow the methods specified in the documents "Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations, Phase II Toxicity Identification Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity" (EPA/600/R-92/080) and "Methods for Aquatic Toxicity Identification Evaluations, Phase III Toxicity Confirmation Procedures for Samples Exhibiting Acute and Chronic Toxicity" (EPA/600/R-92/081), as appropriate.

The documents referenced above may be obtained through the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) by phone at (703) 487-4650, or by writing:

U.S. Department of Commerce  
National Technical Information Service  
5285 Port Royal Road  
Springfield, VA 22161

ii. Sampling Plan (e.g., locations, methods, holding times, chain of custody, preservation, etc.). The effluent sample volume collected for all tests shall be adequate to perform the toxicity test, toxicity characterization, identification and confirmation procedures, and conduct chemical specific analyses when a probable toxicant has been identified;

Where the permittee has identified or suspects specific pollutant(s) and/or source(s) of effluent toxicity, the permittee shall conduct, concurrent with toxicity testing, chemical specific analyses for the identified and/or suspected pollutant(s) and/or source(s) of effluent toxicity. Where lethality was demonstrated within 24 hours of test initiation, each composite sample shall be analyzed independently. Otherwise the permittee may substitute a composite sample, comprised of equal portions of the individual composite samples, for the chemical specific analysis;

iii. Quality Assurance Plan (e.g., QA/QC implementation, corrective actions, etc.); and

iv. Project Organization (e.g., project staff, project manager, consulting services, etc.).

b. The permittee shall initiate the TRE Action Plan within thirty (30) days of plan and schedule submittal. The permittee shall assume all risks for failure to achieve the required toxicity reduction.

c. The permittee shall submit a quarterly TRE Activities Report, with the Discharge Monitoring Report in the months of January, April, July and October, containing information on toxicity reduction evaluation activities including:

i. any data and/or substantiating documentation which identifies the pollutant(s) and/or source(s) of effluent toxicity;

ii. any studies/evaluations and results on the treatability of the facility's effluent toxicity; and

iii. any data which identifies effluent toxicity control mechanisms that will reduce effluent toxicity to the level necessary to meet no significant lethality at the critical dilution.

A copy of the TRE Activities Report shall also be submitted to the Coshatta Tribe of Louisiana Environmental Director and the State agency (LDEQ).

d. The permittee shall submit a Final Report on Toxicity Reduction Evaluation Activities no later than twenty-eight (28) months from confirming lethality in the retests, which provides information pertaining to the specific control mechanism selected that will, when implemented, result in reduction of effluent toxicity to no significant lethality at the critical dilution. The report will also provide a specific corrective action schedule for implementing the selected control mechanism.

A copy of the Final Report on Toxicity Reduction Evaluation Activities shall also be submitted to the state agency.

e. Quarterly testing during the TRE is a minimum monitoring requirement. EPA recommends that permittees required to perform a TRE not rely on quarterly testing alone to ensure success in the TRE, and that additional screening tests be performed to capture toxic samples for identification of toxicants. Failure to identify the specific chemical compound causing toxicity test failure will normally result in a permit limit for whole effluent toxicity limits per federal regulations at 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(v).

## **F. CONTRIBUTING INDUSTRIES AND PRETREATMENT REQUIREMENTS**

1. The following pollutants may not be introduced into the treatment facility:

a. Pollutants which create a fire or explosion hazard in the POTW, including, but not limited to, waste streams with a closed cup flashpoint of less than 140E F or 60E C using the test methods specified in 40 CFR 261.21;

b. Pollutants which will cause corrosive structural damage to the POTW, but in no case discharges with pH lower than 5.0, unless the works are specifically designed to accommodate such discharges;

c. Solid or viscous pollutants in amounts which will cause obstruction to the flow in the POTW, resulting in Interference;

d. Any pollutant, including oxygen demanding pollutants (e.g., BOD), released in a discharge at a flow rate and/or concentration which will cause Interference with the POTW;

e. Heat in amounts which will inhibit biological activity in the POTW resulting in interference but in no case heat in such quantities that the temperature at the POTW treatment plant exceeds 40E C (104E F) unless the Approval Authority, upon request of the POTW, approves alternate temperature limits;

f. Petroleum oil, non-biodegradable cutting oil, or products of mineral oil origin in amounts that will cause interference or pass through;

g. Pollutants which result in the presence of toxic gases, vapors, or fumes within the POTW in a quantity that may cause acute worker health and safety problems; and

- h. Any trucked or hauled pollutants, except at discharge points designated by the POTW.
2. The permittee shall require any indirect discharger to the treatment works to comply with the reporting requirements of Sections 204(b), 307, & 308 of the Act, and requirements established under 40 CFR 403.
3. The permittee shall provide adequate notice of the following:
  - a. Any new introduction of pollutants into the treatment works from an indirect discharger which would be subject to Sections 301 and 306 of the Act if it were directly discharging those pollutants; and
  - b. Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into the treatment works by a source introducing pollutants into the treatment works at the time of issuance of the permit.

Any notice shall include information on (i) the quality and quantity of effluent to be introduced into the treatment works, and (ii) any anticipated impact of the change on the quality or quantity of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.