1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this study was to validate an analytical method used to determine the content of triadimefon in aqueous samples by liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry detection (LC-MS/MS). The method was validated to quantify the concentrations of triadimefon present in recovery samples prepared in groundwater and surface water. The analytical method was validated with regards to accuracy, precision, specificity, linearity, limit of quantitation (LOQ), limit of detection (LOD), method detection limit (MDL), and confirmation of analyte identification.

The method was validated in groundwater and surface water by fortification with triadimefon at concentrations of 0.106 (LOQ) and 1.06 (10X LOQ) μ g/L. Recovery samples were diluted with 20/80 acetonitrile/purified reagent water (v/v) for a final composition of 18/10/72 acetonitrile/test matrix/purified reagent water (v/v/v). The High-level (10X LOQ) recovery samples were further diluted into the calibration range with 18/10/72 acetonitrile/test matrix/purified reagent water (v/v/v). All samples were analyzed using LC-MS/MS.

The study was initiated on 16 April 2019, the day the Study Director signed the protocol, and was completed on the day the Study Director signed the final report. The experimental portion of the validation was conducted on 24 May 2019 at Smithers, located in Wareham, Massachusetts. All original raw data, the protocol, and the final report produced during this study are stored in Smithers' archives at the above location.

2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Protocol

Procedures used in this study followed those described in the Smithers Viscient protocol entitled "Validation of an Environmental Chemistry Method for the Determination of Triadimefon in Groundwater and Surface Water by LC-MS/MS" (Appendix 1). The study was conducted under Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) regulations and principles as described in 40 CFR 160 (U.S. EPA, 1989) and the OECD principles on GLP (OECD, 1998), and followed the





SANCO/3029/99 rev. 4 guidance document (EC, 2000) and OCSPP 850.6100 guideline (U.S. EPA, 2012).

2.2 Test and Reference Substances

2.2.1 Test Substance

The test substance, triadime fon TGAI, was received on 1 May 2019 from ChemStarr, LLC. The following information was provided:

Name:	triadimefon TGAI
Synonym:	triadimefon; 1-(4-chlorphenoxy)-3,3-dimethyl-1-(1H-1,2,4-
	triazol-1-yl)-2-butanone
Batch No .:	TRI2019032501
CAS No.:	43121-43-3
Purity:	98.4% (determined by Smithers Viscient; Certificate of Analysis, Appendix 2)
Recertification Date:	19 June 2020

Upon receipt at Smithers Viscient, the test substance (SMV No. 10047) was stored at room temperature in a dark, ventilated cabinet in amber glass jars. Concentrations were adjusted for the purity of the test substance. This sample of test substance was used to prepare recovery samples during testing.

2.2.2 Reference Substance

The reference substance, triadime fon analytical standard, was received on 8 April 2019 from Sigma Aldrich, Inc., Milwaukee, Wisconsin. The following information was provided:

Name:	triadimefon analytical standard
Synonym:	1-(4-chlorphenoxy)-3,3-dimethyl-1-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-2-
	butanone
Lot No.:	BCBW0134
CAS No.:	43121-43-3
Purity:	98.7% (determined by Smithers Viscient; Certificate of Analysis, Appendix 2)
Recertification Date:	17 June 2020





Upon receipt at Smithers Viscient, the reference substance (SMV No. 9942) was stored at room temperature in a dark, ventilated cabinet in the original container. Concentrations were adjusted for the purity of the reference substance. This sample of reference substance was used to prepare calibration standards during testing.

Determination of stability and characterization, verification of the test and reference substance identities, maintenance of records on the test and reference substances, and archival of a sample of the test and reference substances are the responsibility of the Study Sponsor.

2.3 Reagents

1.	Acetonitrile:	EMD, reagent grade
2.	Methanol:	EMD, reagent grade
3.	0.1% formic acid in water:	Fisher, reagent grade
4.	0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile:	Fisher, reagent grade
5.	Purified reagent water:	Prepared from a Millipore Milli-Q water purification system (meets ASTM Type II requirements)

2.4 Instrumentation and Laboratory Equipment

1.	Instrument:	AB MDS Sciex 5000 mass spectrometer equipped with an ESI Turbo V ion source
		Shimadzu SIL-20ACHT autosampler
		Shimadzu DGU-20A3 vacuum degasser
		Shimadzu DGU-20A5R vacuum degasser
		Shimadzu LC-20AD binary pumps
		Shimadzu CTO-20A column oven
		Shimadzu CBM-20A communications bus
		Analyst 1.6.3 software for data acquisition
2.	Balances:	Mettler Toledo XSE205DU
3.	Laboratory equipment:	Positive displacement pipets, graduated cylinders, volumetric flasks, disposable glass pipets, stir bars, stir plate, vortex mixer, 50-mL centrifuge tubes, amber bottles, clear vials with snap caps, amber vials with crimp caps, autosampler vials, and amber glass bottles with Teflon-lined caps

Other equipment or instrumentation may be used in future testing but may require optimization to achieve the desired separation and sensitivity.



2.5 Test Matrices

The matrices used during this method validation were groundwater and surface water.

Groundwater information:

Groundwater consists of unadulterated water from a 100-meter bedrock well prepared by filtering to remove any potential organic contaminants.

Surface water information:

The surface water used for this method validation analysis was collected from the Taunton River (SMV Lot No. 05Feb19Wat-A) in Bridgewater, Massachusetts. The water was collected from an area of the river with approximately 30 to 60 cm of overlying water. Prior to use, the surface water was characterized by Smithers and was determined to have a pH of 6.57 and a dissolved oxygen content of 10.22 mg/L. All documentation relating to the preparation, storage, and handling is maintained by Smithers.

2.6 Preparation of Liquid Reagent Solutions

The volumes listed in this section were those used during the validation. For future testing, the actual volumes used may be scaled up or down as necessary.

A 20/80 acetonitrile/purified reagent water (v/v) liquid reagent solution was typically prepared by adding 200 mL of acetonitrile to 800 mL of purified reagent water. The solution was mixed well using a stir bar and stir plate for 5 minutes.

An 18/10/72 acetonitrile/tes matrix/purified reagent water (v/v/v) liquid reagent solution was typically prepared by combining 90.0 mL of acetonitrile, 50.0 mL of test matrix, and 360 mL of purified reagent water. The solution was mixed well using a stir bar and stir plate for 5 minutes.

A 30/30/40 acetonitrile/methanol/purified reagent water (v/v/v) autosampler needle wash solution was typically prepared by combining 1500 mL of acetonitrile, 1500 mL of methanol, and 2000 mL of purified reagent water. The solution was mixed well before use.

2.7 Preparation of Stock Solutions

The volumes and masses listed in this section were those used during each separate validation. For future testing, the actual volumes and masses used may be scaled up or down as necessary.

Primary Stock ID	Amount Weighed (g), Net Weight	Amount Weighed (g), as Active Ingredient	Stock Solvent	Final Volume (mL)	Primary Stock Concentration (mg/L)	Primary Stock Use
Test Substan	ice	23	2			
10047AA	0.0539	0.0530	Acetonitrile	50.0	1060	Secondary stock solution
Reference Su	ubstance	25 V	2 2			
9942G	0.0537	0.0530	Acetonitrile	50.0	1060	Secondary stock solution

Primary stock solutions were typically prepared as described in the table below:

Secondary stock solutions were typically prepared as described in the table below:

Fortifying Stock ID	Fortifying Stock Concentration (mg/L)	Volume of Fortification (mL)	Final Volume (mL)	Stock Solvent	Stock ID	Stock Concentration (mg/L)	Stock Use
Test Substan	nce			×			5
10047AA	1060	0.500	50.0	Acetonitrile	10047AA-1	10.6	Sub-stock solutions
Reference S	ubstance	ų.	54	30 A			
99 <mark>42G</mark>	1060	0.500	50.0	Acetonitrile	9942G-1	10.6	Sub-stock solutions

Sub-stock solutions were typically prepared as described in the table below:

Fortifying Stock ID	Fortifying Stock Concentration (mg/L)	Volume of Fortification (mL)	Final Volume (mL)	Stock Solvent	Stock ID	Stock Concentration (mg/L)	Stock Use
Test Substa	nce	2	ex	147			7
10047AA-1	10.6	0.0200	20.0	Acetonitrile	Tech Stk 1	0.0106	LOQ-level recovery samples (groundwater)
10047AA-1	10.6	0.200	20.0	Acetonitrile	Tech Stk 2	0.106	10X LOQ-level recovery samples (groundwater)
10047AA-1	10.6	0.0200	20.0	Acetonitrile	Tech Stk 1	0.0106	LOQ-level recovery samples (surface water)
10047AA-1	10.6	0.200	20.0	Acetonitrile	Tech Stk 2	0.106	10X LOQ-level recovery samples (surface water)
Reference S	ubstance						
9942G-1	10.6	0.0200	20.0	Acetonitrile	Ana Stk 1	0.0106	Calibration standards (groundwater)
9942G-1	10.6	0.0200	20.0	Acetonitrile	Ana Stk 1	0.0106	Calibration standards (surface water)



All primary and secondary stock solutions were stored refrigerated (2 to 8 °C) in amber glass bottles fitted with Teflon-lined caps. Sub-stock solutions were prepared fresh on the day of use and discarded after use.

2.8 Preparation of Calibration Standards

Calibration standards were prepared in 18/10/72 acetonitrile/test matrix/purified reagent water (v/v) by fortifying with the 0.0106 mg/L sub-stock solution to yield concentrations of 0.00530, 0.0106, 0.0212, 0.0318, 0.0424, and 0.0530 µg/L. Calibration standards were prepared according to the table below. Following fortification, each solution was mixed using a vortex mixer for 15 seconds.

Fortifying Stock ID	Stock Concentration (mg/L)	Fortification Volume (mL)	Final Volume (mL)	Standard Concentration (µg/L)	Sample ID
	-	0.0250	50.0	0.00530	Std 1
		0.0200	20.0	0.0106	Std 2
A		0.0200	10.0	0.0212	Std 3
Ana Stk I	0.0106	0.0300	10.0	0.0318	Std 4
		0.0400	10.0	0.0424	Std 5
		0.0500	10.0	0.0530	Std 6

2.9 Matrix Effect Investigation

The effects of matrix enhancement or suppression were evaluated through the assessment of matrix-matched and solvent-based calibration standards in the following manner. Calibration standards used to assess possible matrix effects were prepared in triplicate in 18/10/72 acetonitrile/test matrix/purified reagent water (v/v) and 20/80 acetonitrile/purified reagent water (v/v) by fortifying with the 10.6 µg/L sub-stock to yield a concentration of 0.0106 µg/L.





Fortified Concentration $(\mu g/L)$ 0.0106

0.0106

Froundwater validation							
Sample ID	Sample Type	Stock Concentration (µg/L)	Fortification Volume (mL)	Final Volume (mL)			
GW-MM-Std A, B, & C	Matrix-matched calibration standard	10.6	0.0200	20.0ª			
GW-Std	Solvent-based	10.6	0.0200	20.0 ^b			

Diluted with 18/10/72 acetonitrile/test matrix/purified reagent water (v/v)

ь Diluted with 20/80 acetonitrile/purified reagent water (v/v)

calibration standard

Surface water validation

A, B, & C

Sample ID	Sample Type	Stock Concentration (µg/L)	Fortification Volume (mL)	Final Volume (mL)	Fortified Concentration (µg/L)
SW-MM-Std A, B, & C	Matrix-matched calibration standard	10.6	0.0200	20.0ª	0.0106
SW-Std A, B, & C	Solvent-based calibration standard	10.6	0.0200	20.0 ^b	0.0106

a Diluted with 18/10/72 acetonitrile/test matrix/purified reagent water (v/v)

Diluted with 20/80 acetonitrile/purified reagent water (v/v)

2.10 Sample Fortification and Preparation

The recovery samples were prepared in two different matrices (groundwater and surface water) by fortification with stock solutions of triadimefon at concentrations of 0.106 (LOQ) and 1.06 (10X LOQ) µg/L. Recovery samples for both matrices were prepared separately ("de novo") at these concentrations. Five replicates were produced for each concentration level. Two samples of each matrix were left unfortified to serve as controls and were diluted in the same fashion as the LOQ concentration recovery samples. In addition, one reagent blank was prepared for each sample set and processed in the same manner as the control samples. The preparation procedure for each separate matrix is outlined in the tables below.





Groundwater recovery samples

Sample ID 14181-6108-	Sample Type	Stock Concentration (mg/L)	Fortification Volume (mL)	Final Volume (mL)	Fortified Concentration (µg/L)
14	Reagent Blank	NA ^a	NA	5.00 ^b	0.00
15 & 16	Control	NA	NA	5.00 ^c	0.00
17, 18, 19, 20, & 21	LOQ	0.0106	0.0500	5.00	0.106
22, 23, 24, 25, & 26	10X LOQ	0.106	0.0500	5.00	1.06

a NA = Not Applicable

^b Dilution solvent: acetonitrile

^c Dilution solvent: groundwater

Surface water recovery samples

Sample ID 14181-6108-	Sample Type	Stock Concentration (mg/L)	Fortification Volume (mL)	Final Volume (mL)	Fortified Concentration (µg/L)
01	Reagent Blank	NA ^a	NA	5.00 ^b	0.00
02 & 03	Control	NA	NA	5.00 ^c	0.00
04, 05, 06, 07, & 08	LOQ	0.0106	0.0500	5.00	0.106
09, 10, 11, 12, & 13	10X LOQ	0.106	0.0500	5.00	1 <mark>.0</mark> 6

a NA = Not Applicable

^b Dilution solvent: acetonitrile

^c Dilution solvent: surface water

2.11 Dilution of Samples

To minimize the potential for losses of the test substance during processing, the aqueous test samples were not sub-sampled prior to dilution. The first dilution with

20/80 acetonitrile/purified reagent water (v/v) was performed by the addition of the reagent to the entire volume of the aqueous sample in the container in which it was fortified to a final composition of 18/10/72 acetonitrile/test matrix/purified reagent water (v/v/v). The 10X LOQ recovery samples were subsequently diluted into the calibration standard range with 18/10/72 acetonitrile/test matrix/purified reagent water (v/v/v) prior to analysis. The dilution procedures are outlined in the tables below.





Sample ID 14181-6108-	Sample Type	Fortified Concentration (µg/L)	Sample Volume (mL)	Final Volume ^a (mL)	Sample Volume (mL)	Final Volume ^b (mL)	Dilution Factor
14A	Reagent Blank	0.00	5.00	50.0	NA ^c	NA	10.0
15 & 16	Control	0.00	5.00	50.0	NA	NA	10.0
17, 18, 19, 20, & 21	LOQ	0.106	5.00	50.0	NA	NA	10.0
22, 23, 24, 25, & 26	10X LOQ	1.06	5.00	50.0	3.00	10.0	33.3

Groundwater recovery samples

^a Diluted with 20/80 acetonitrile/purified reagent water (v/v)

^b Diluted with 18/10/72 acetonitrile/groundwater/purified reagent water (v/v/v)

^c NA = Not Applicable

Surface water recovery samples

Sample ID 14181-6108-	Sample Type	Fortified Concentration (µg/L)	Sample Volume (mL)	Final Volume ^a (mL)	Sample Volume (mL)	Final Volume ^b (mL)	Dilution Factor
01A	Reagent Blank	0.00	5.00	50.0	NA ^c	NA	10.0
02 & 03	Control	0.00	5.00	50.0	NA	NA	10.0
04, 05, 06, 07, & 08	LOQ	0.106	5.00	<mark>50.0</mark>	NA	NA	10.0
09, 10, 11, 12, & 13	10X LOQ	1.06	5.00	50.0	3.00	1 <mark>0</mark> .0	33.3

^a Diluted with 20/80 acetonitrile/purified reagent water (v/v)

^b Diluted with 18/10/72 acetonitrile/surface water/purified reagent water (v/v/v)

c NA = Not Applicable

2.12 Analysis

2.12.1 Instrumental Conditions

The LC-MS/MS analysis was conducted utilizing the following instrumental conditions:

LC parameters:

Column:	Waters Xbridge BEH C18, 50 × 2.1 mm, 2.5 µm			2.1 mm, 2.5 μm		
Mobile Phase A:	0.1% for	0.1% formic acid in water				
Mobile Phase B:	0.1% fo	rmic acid in ac	etonitrile			
Gradient:	Time	Flow rate	Solvent	Solvent		
	(min.)	(mL/min.)	A (%)	B (%)		
	0.50	0.400	80.0	20.0		
	4.00	0.400	0.00	100.0		
	5.00	0.400	0.00	100.0		
	5.10	0.400	80.0	20.0		
	6.00	0.400	80.0	20.0		
Run Time:	6.0 minutes					
Autosampler Wash Solvent: 30/30/40 acetonitrile/methanol/reagent grade v			agent grade water (v/v/v)			



Column Temperature:	40 °C
Sample Temperature:	15 °C
Injection Volume:	100.0 μL
Retention Time:	approximately 3.6 minutes
MS parameters:	
Instrument:	AB MDS Sciex 5000 mass spectrometer
Ionization Mode:	Positive (+) ESI
Ion Spray Voltage:	5000 V
Scan Type:	MRM
Dwell Time:	200 milliseconds
Source Temperature:	650 °C
Curtain Gas:	20.0
Ion Source - Gas 1 / Gas 2:	50.0 / 50.0
Collision Gas:	7.00
Entrance Potential:	10.0
Declustering Potential:	65.0
Resolution Q1/Q3:	Unit / Unit

Analyte	Analysis	Q1/Q3 Masses (amu)	Collision Energy	Collision Cell Exit Potential
Trialingfor	Primary	294.3/197.1	22.0	10.0
madimeton	Confirmatory	294.3/69.1	29.0	10.0

Other instrumentation may be used but may require optimization to achieve the desired separation and sensitivity. It is important to note that the parameters above have been established for this particular instrumentation and may not be applicable for other similar equipment that may be used.

2.12.2 Preparation of Calibration Standard Curve

Two sets of calibration standards were analyzed with each sample set. Calibration standards were interspersed among analysis of the recovery samples, every six to eight injections. Injection of recovery samples and calibration standards onto the chromatographic system was performed by programmed automated injection.





2.13 Evaluation of Accuracy, Precision, Specificity, and Linearity

The accuracy was reported in terms of percent recovery of the fortified recovery samples. Recoveries of 70.0 to 110% (for the individual mean concentrations) are acceptable. The precision was reported in terms of the relative standard deviation (RSD) for the recovery samples and retention times. RSD values less than 20% were considered acceptable for the recovery samples and RSD values less than 2% were considered acceptable for the retention times. Specificity of the method was determined by examination of the control samples for peaks at the same retention times as triadimefon which might interfere with the quantitation of the analytes. Linearity of the method was determined by the coefficient of determination (r²), y-intercept, and slope of the regression line.

2.14 Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)

The method was validated at the LOQ. This was defined as the lowest fortification level $(0.106 \ \mu g/L)$. Blank values (reagent blanks and untreated control samples) did not exceed 30% of the LOQ.

2.15 Limit of Detection (LOD) and Method Detection Limit (MDL)

The LOD was calculated using three times the signal-to-noise value of the control samples. Representative calculations for the LOD can be found in Section 3.0.

The MDL was defined as the lowest concentration in test samples which can be detected based on the concentration of the low calibration standard and the dilution factor of the control solutions. Representative calculations for the MDL can be found in Section 3.0.

3.0 CALCULATIONS

A calibration curve was constructed by plotting the analyte concentration (μ g/L) of the calibration standards against the peak area of the analyte in the calibration standards. The equation of the line (Equation 1) was algebraically manipulated to give equation 2. The



concentration of test substance in each recovery sample was calculated using the slope and intercept from the linear regression analysis, the detector response, and the dilution factor of the recovery sample. Equations 2 and 3 were then used to calculate measured concentrations and analytical results.

(1)
$$y = mx + b$$

(2) $DC(x) = \frac{(y-b)}{m}$
(3) $A = DC \times DF$

where:

X	=	analyte concentration
у	=	detector response (peak area) from the chromatogram
b		y-intercept from the regression analysis
m	=	slope from the regression analysis
DC (x)		detected concentration $(\mu g/L)$ in the sample
DF	=	dilution factor (final volume of the sample divided by the original sample volume)
A		analytical result (µg/L), concentration in the original sample

The MDL is defined as the lowest concentration that can be detected by this method in test solution samples. The MDL is calculated (Equation 4) based on the concentration of the low calibration standard and the dilution factor of the control samples.

(4)
$$MDL = MDL_{LCAL} \times DF_{CNTL}$$

where:

MDLLCAL	=	lowest concentration calibration standard (0.00530 µg/L)
DFCNTL	=	dilution factor of the control samples (smallest dilution factor used,
		i.e., 10.0 mL/g)
MDL		method detection limit reported for the analysis
		$(0.00530 \ \mu g/L \times 10.0 \ mL/g = 0.0530 \ \mu g/L)$

The LOD was calculated using the following equation:

(5) $LOD = ((3 \times (N_{ctl}))/RespLs) \times ConcLs \times DF_{CNTL}$



where:

N _{ctl}	=	mean noise in height of the control samples (or blanks)
Respls	=	mean response in height of the two low calibration standards
Concls	=	concentration of the low calibration standard
DFCNTL	8 <u>—</u> 8	dilution factor of the control samples (smallest dilution factor used, i.e., 10.0 mL/g)
LOD	-	limit of detection for the analysis

