You have arrived at:

Using Geospatial Data & Applications to Inform State, Tribal, & Local Initiatives

EPA MID-ATLANTIC REGION 2022 SUMMIT

Working Together to Build a Better, More Equitable Region



2 PM



Friendly Reminders Before We Get Started Please mute yourself and turn off your webcam during presentations.

If you encounter technical difficulties during the meeting, you can:

- Put a request for help in the chat
- Call in to the meeting at +1 551 285 1373;
 Meeting ID: 161 872 4960
- ✓ Email Lauren Harris <u>Harris.Lauren@epa.gov</u>

This session is being recorded and will be made available after the summit.



Developing a Mid-Atlantic Report on the Environment

Kelsey Hensley

Data Analytics Specialist USEPA Mid-Atlantic Region

In order to track and measure success we need...

reliable indicators of human health, environmental condition and social well-being

...that reflect the long-term mission and goals of our agency or organization

What We Have: metrics tracking actions

Number of poor air quality days

Number of brownfield sites

Miles of nutrient impaired waters

Numbers

and

Counts

Problem Tracking Environmental Agency or Outcomes Organization Mission/Goals

What We Need: environmental outcomes

Connections to: human health biotic health ecosystems health community health

What are the trends in water quality and their effects on human health and the environment?

What are the trends in **land cover** and their effects on human health and the environment?



Problem

Tracking

Environmental Outcomes

The ROE Program provides environmental policy decision makers and others with scientifically defensible, up-to-date, objective, and relevant indicators and indicator-based products that are of *national importance* for protecting human health and the environment.



www.epa.gov/report-environment



US EPA – Mid-Atlantic (Region 3)

www.epa.gov/report-environment



Indicators



+

Data Sources

SEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

Environmental Topics	Laws & Regulations A	bout EPA	Search EPA.gov
Report on the	Environment	CONTACT	rus share (f) 💌 🖗 🖂
ROE Home	Evoloro I	OF Indicator	re
About the ROE			15
Guide to the ROE	The 80+ ROE indicators he	lp answer <u>23 questions</u> in five theme	areas critical to EPA's mission. Use the
Air	or topic area using the che	arn about and navigate to any ROE in ckboxes, search for an indicator by n	ame, or sort and browse the indicators
Water	by clicking the arrows in the	ne top row of the table.	
Land	□ Air	🗆 Water	🗆 Land
Human Exposure and Health	 Outdoor Air Quality Greenhouse Gases Indoor Air Quality 	Fresh Surface Waters Ground Water Wetlands	Land Cover Land Use Chemicals Used on Land
Ecological Condition		Coastal Waters	U Wastes
Explore ROE Indicators		Drinking Water	Contaminated Land
Advanced ROE Search	-	Consumable Fish and	
Frequent Questions	-	Shellfish	
Sustainability and the ROE	Human Exposure and	Ecological Condition	
History of the ROF	_ Health	Extent and Distribution	l Balance
What You Can Do	- Environmental Contaminants	Ecological Processes Physical and Chemical	Attributes
Glossary	- ✓ Health Status ✓ Disease and Condition	 Ecological Exposure to Is 	Contaminants

Show All 🗸 entries	Search by indicator name:			
Indicator	Years of data	Theme area(s) (in bold) and associated topics ⇔	Last update [⇔]	
<u>Asthma</u>	1980 - 2018	Human Exposure and Health: Disease and Conditions	04/2021	
Birth Defects	1999 - 2018	Human Exposure and Health: Disease and	05/2021	

Sortable, filterable, searchable table of the 80+ indicators

www.epa.gov/report-environment

Research & Brainstorm

 Engage stakeholders to determine regional priorities & potential indicators

Brainstorm 🕰

Prototype 🔔

- Research indicators
- Gather data

Research

• Refine indicators



Research & Brainstorm

 Engage stakeholders to determine regional priorities & potential indicators

Brainstorm 🖉

Prototype 🔔

- Research indicators
- Gather data

Research

• Refine indicators



Prototype

- Research data visualization applications
- Develop interactive dashboard/storyboard prototype
- Communicate results to regional programs and stakeholders

Brainstorm 🕰

Research

Prototype

Filter by Region + State **Adult and Child Asthma Indicator** AII Adult Asthma % by County.State 氜 Hispanic Multi Race Other White **Key Callouts** Average Chi ence Over Tim Adult Asthma LAMPSHIR 15.1% 15.0% MICHIGAN Out of Census Tracts, have Child Asthma 12.8% an asthma rate over 15%. OHIG Average (5% KENTUCKY 0% 2012 2014 2016 2018 Dission Ver @ 2022 TomTom @ 2022 Microsoft Corporation Jerma Year **Child Asthma** % Asthma by Census Tract/Count Average Child Asthma and Adult Asthma % by State Code Out of Census Tracts, have 20.60% an asthma rate over 15% 36005027600 Bronx County Average Child Asthma Adult Asthma % 19,609 36067004200 Onondaga County 13.9% 36009940000 Cattaraugus County 13.3% 12.9% 18.209 36055006500 Monroe County 18:00% 11001007401 District of Columbia 17.60% 36055002700 Monroe County 17.60% 42101016500 Philadelphia County State Code 0 17,409

Prototype

- Research data visualization applications
- Develop interactive dashboard/storyboard prototype
- Communicate results to regional programs and stakeholders

Brainstorm 🕰

Prototype 🔔

Research

Filter by Region + State **Adult and Child Asthma Indicator** Adult Asthma % by County.State Hispanic Multi Race Other White **Key Callouts** MAINE Average Ģ Adult Asthma 15.1% 15.0% MICHIGAN Out of Census Tracts, have Average Child Asthma 12.7% 12.8% an asthma rate over 15%. 10% OHIO 5% INDIANA KENTUCKY 0% 2012 2014 2016 2018 Diaston NS. @ 2022 TomTom, @ 2022 Microsoft Corporation Terms Year **Child Asthma** % Asthma by Census Tract/Count Average Child Asthma and Adult Asthma % by State Code Out of Census Tracts, have 20.60% an asthma rate over 15%. 36005027600 Bronx County Average Child Asthma Adult Asthma % 36067004200 Onondaga County 20% 13.9% 36009940000 Cattaraugus County 13.3% 12.9% 18.20% 36055006500 Monroe County 10% 18.00% 11001007401 District of Columbia 17.60% 36055002700 Monroe County 17.60% 42101016500 Philadelphia Count State Code 17.40%

EnviroAtlas

is an interactive, web-based tool that anyone can use to help inform decisions that impact the places where people live, learn, work and play.

Web-based

• Easy to use

No technical skills required



Human health and well-being are closely tied to the environment, which provides benefits such as clean water, clean air, and protection from natural hazards. Chemical and non-chemical stressors can impact the environment's ability to provide these benefits, also known as ecosystem goods and services. EnviroAtlas provides geospatial data, easy-to-use tools, and other resources related to ecosystem services, their stressors, and human health.







- Project Fact Sheet
- Community Component Fact Sheet
- Current Status of EnviroAtlas
- EnviroAtlas Introduction Video EXIT
- EnviroAtlas Interactive Map Discover and use hundreds of maps
- Eco-Health Relationship Browser See the many linkages between ecosystem services and human health
- Learn about EnviroAtlas Data- Spatial extents, organization, and approach
- Data Matrix Search and sort 300+ maps
- Data Download



www.epa.gov/enviroatlas



Using Geospatial Data & Applications

to Inform State, Tribal, & Local Initiatives

USEPA Mid-Atlantic Region

EnviroAtlas

is an interactive, web-based tool that anyone can use to help inform decisions that impact the places where people live, learn, work and play.

Web-based

• Easy to use

No technical skills required



Human health and well-being are closely tied to the environment, which provides benefits such as clean water, clean air, and protection from natural hazards. Chemical and non-chemical stressors can impact the environment's ability to provide these benefits, also known as ecosystem goods and services. EnviroAtlas provides geospatial data, easy-to-use tools, and other resources related to ecosystem services, their stressors, and human health.







- Project Fact Sheet
- Community Component Fact Sheet
- Current Status of EnviroAtlas
- EnviroAtlas Introduction Video EXIT
- EnviroAtlas Interactive Map Discover and use hundreds of maps
- Eco-Health Relationship Browser See the many linkages between ecosystem services and human health
- Learn about EnviroAtlas Data- Spatial extents, organization, and approach
- Data Matrix Search and sort 300+ maps
- Data Download



www.epa.gov/enviroatlas



Ecosystem Services Benefit Categories

Clean Air Clean & Plentiful Water Biodiversity Conservation Food, Fuel, & Materials

Natural Hazard Mitigation Climate Stabilization Recreation, Culture, & Aesthetics





National Data

30-meter land cover 300+ unique data layers Consistent data for the conterminous U.S.



Envir@Atlas

Data Fact Sheets Peer-reviewed Standard Metadata Open access





Community Data

1-meter land cover27 metropolitan areas1200 cities & towns48.9 million people







- Meter Scale Urban Land Cover (MULC)
- Problem Accounting
 - Dasymetric population
 - Vulnerable populations
 - Infrastructure (Schools, Parks, Daycares)
 - FEMA floodplains
 - Urban heat islands
- Opportunities
 - Tree cover along walkable roads
 - Riparian buffers

This map shows the Percent Green Space within ¼ Square Kilometer, identifying areas in red that may be potential hotspots for the urban heat island effect.



- Meter Scale Urban Land Cover (MULC)
- Problem Accounting
 - Dasymetric population
 - Vulnerable populations
 - Infrastructure (Schools, Parks, Daycares)
 - FEMA floodplains
 - Urban heat islands
- Opportunities
 - Tree cover along walkable roads
 - Riparian buffers

The tree cover along walkable roads layer illustrates one way to revitalize a reclaimed brownfield site to improve livability and well-being.

Developing High-Resolution Metrics of Ecosystem Services for all Communities in the Mid-Atlantic

Project objective:

Produce a full suite of Community-level metrics (based on the EnviroAtlas) that describe the connections between ecosystem services and the natural resources that provide them covering the entire Mid-Atlantic Region. This would include EnviroAtlas metrics describing the built environment, demographics, and forces of environmental change such as pollution and land conversion.

Result:

- 1) 1-meter landcover map for the entire EPA Region 3 area
- 2) Suite of EnviroAtlas ecosystem services and associated demographic and built environment metrics for the Mid-Atlantic States

How will this product help the State, Community, or Tribe with local decision making?

The proposed suite of ecosystem services metrics will expand extensively the existing coverage of community-level EnviroAtlas data in the Mid-Atlantic. This will allow EPA Region 3 programs and partners to use this information to assess environmental conditions and make better informed decisions associated with remediation, restoration and revitalization activities. All EPA Region 3 communities, including small and rural communities, will have access to 1-meter land cover data and a host of fine-scale ecosystem service metrics.

Our objective is to work with stakeholders from communities to learn from them what metrics are of most interest and value, and to ensure and demonstrate how the data produced can be used in community decision-making.

> <u>EnviroAtlas Fact Sheet</u> Baltimore, MD



MID-ATLANTIC REGION



University of Vermont

Spatial Analysis Lab



Very-High Resolution Land Use/Land Cover Data



<u>Peter Claggett¹</u>, Labeeb Ahmed¹, Jacob Czawlytko², Sean MacFaden³, Patrick McCabe², Sarah McDonald¹, Emily Mills², Jarlath O'Neill-Dunne³, Katie Walker²

¹ Lower Mississippi-Gulf Water Science Center, U.S. Geological Survey

² Chesapeake Conservancy's Conservation Innovation Center

³ University of Vermont's Spatial Analysis Laboratory

CBP Complete Land Use/Cover Classification (62 classes)

1. Water (11)

1.1 Estuarine/ Marine
1.2 Lentic (fresh)

1.2.1 Lakes and reservoirs
1.2.2 Riverine ponds
1.2.3 Terrene ponds

1.3 Lotic

1.3.1 Channels
1.3.1.1 Open Channel
1.3.1.2 Tree Canopy over Channel
1.3.2.Ditches
1.3.2.1 Open Ditch
1.3.2.2 Tree Canopy over Ditch
1.3.2.3 Culverted

2. Development (12)

2.1 Impervious 2.1.1 Roads 2.1.2 Structures 2.1.3 Other Impervious 2.1.4 Tree Canopy (TC) over Impervious 2.1.4.1 TC over Roads 2.1.4.2 TC over Structures 2.1.4.3 TC over Other Impervious 2.2 Pervious 2.2.1 Turf Grass 2.2.2 Transitional- barren 2.2.3 Suspended Succession 2.2.3.1 Barren 2.2.3.2 Herbaceous 2.2.3.3 Scrub-shrub 2.2.4 Tree Canopy over Turf Grass

3. Natural (forest-related) (7)

3.1 Forest (>= 1 acre, 240-ft width) 3.2 Other Tree Canopy 3.3 Harvested Forest (<= 3 years) 3.3.1 Barren 3.3.2 Herbaceous 3.4 Natural Succession (> 3 years) 3.4.1 Barren 3.4.2 Herbaceous 3.4.3 Scrub-shrub

4. Production (17)

4.1 Agriculture 4.1.1 Cropland 4.1.1.1 Barren 4.1.2 Herbaceous 4.1.2 Pasture/Hay 4.1.2.1 Barren 4.1.2.2 Herbaceous 4.1.2.3 Scrub-shrub 4.1.3 Orchard/vineyard 4.1.3.1 Barren 4.1.3.2 Herbaceous 4.1.3.3 Scrub-shrub

Note: White, yellow, and blue classes are mapped for 2017/18. Grey classes will be added to all years with the production of the 2021/22 LULC.

4.1.4 Animal Operations 4.1.4.1 Impervious 4.1.4.2 Barren 4.1.4.3 Herbaceous 4.2 Solar fields 4.2.1 Impervious 4.2.2 Pervious 4.2.2.1 Barren 4.2.2.2 Herbaceous 4.2.2.3 Scrub-shrub 4.3 Extractive (active mines) 4.3.1 Barren 4.3.2 Impervious

5. Wetlands and Water Margins (16)

5.1 Tidal 5.1.1 Barren 5.1.2 Herbaceous 5.1.3 Scrub-shrub 5.1.4 Other Tree Canopy 5.1.5 Forest 5.2 Riverine (Non-tidal) 5.2.1 Barren 5.2.2 Herbaceous 5.2.3 Scrub-shrub 5.2.4 Other Tree Canopy 5.2.5 Forest 5.3 Terrene/Isolated (Non-tidal) 5.3.1 Barren 5.3.2 Herbaceous 5.3.3 Scrub-shrub 5.3.4 Other Tree Canopy 5.3.5 Forest 5.4 Bare shore

1. Impervious, Roads

2.1 Impervious 2.1.1 Roads

2. Impervious, Structures

2.1 Impervious 2.1.2 Structures

3. Impervious, Other

2.1 Impervious

2.1.3 Other Impervious

4.2 Solar fields

4.2.1 Impervious

4.3 Extractive (active mines)

4.3.2 Impervious

4. Tree Canopy Over Impervious

2.1 Impervious 2.1.4 Tree Canopy over Impervious

5. Turf Grass

2.2 Pervious, Developed 2.2.1 Turf Grass

6. Tree Canopy over Turf Grass

2.2 Pervious. Developed 2.2.4 Tree Canopy over Turf Grass

7. Pervious Developed, Other

2.2 Pervious. Developed 2.2.2 Transitional- barren 2.2.3 Suspended Succession 4.2 Solar fields 4.2.2 Pervious

8. Forest (all)

3.1 Forest (non-wetland) 5.1 Tidal 5.1.5 Forest (>= 1 acre, 240-ft width) 5.2 Riverine (Non-tidal) 5.2.5 Forest (>= 1 acre, 240-ft width) 5.3 Terrene/Isolated (Non-tidal) 5.3.5 Forest (>= 1 acre, 240-ft width)

9. Tree Canopy, Other

3.2 Other Tree Canopy 5.1 Tidal 5.1.4 Other Tree Canopy 5.2 Riverine (Non-tidal) 5.2.4 Other Tree Canopy 5.3 Terrene/Isolated (Non-tidal) 5.3.4 Other Tree Canopy

10. Harvested Forest

3.3 Harvested Forest (<= 3 years)

11. Natural Succession

3.4 Natural Succession (> 3 years) 5.4 Bare shore, Water Margins

12. Wetlands, Tidal non-forested

5.1 Tidal Wetlands 5.1.1 Barren 5.1.2 Herbaceous 5.1.3 Scrub-shrub

13. Wetlands, Riverine Non-forested

5.2 Riverine Wetlands (Non-tidal)

- 5.1.1 Barren
- 5.1.2 Herbaceous
- 5.1.3 Scrub-shrub

14. Wetlands, Terrene Non-forested

5.3 Terrene/Isolated Wetlands (Non-tidal)

5.1.1 Barren 5.1.2 Herbaceous

5.1.3 Scrub-shrub

15. Extractive

4.3 Extractive (active mines) 4.3.1 Barren

16. Cropland

4.1 Agriculture 4.1.1 Cropland 4.1.3 Orchard/vineyard

17. Pasture/Hay

4.1 Agriculture 4.1.2 Pasture/Hay

18. Water

1.1 Estuarine/ Marine1.2 Lentic1.3 Lotic

Garrett County, Maryland: 2018 Land Use



Land Use/Land Cover

Land Cover



https://www.chesapeakeconservancy.org/conservationinnovation-center/high-resolution-data/lulc-data-project-2022/ Land Use/Land Cover

Land Cover



https://www.chesapeakeconservancy.org/conservationinnovation-center/high-resolution-data/lulc-data-project-2022/

Land Cover Change, 2014 – 2018, Virginia Beach, Virginia

.

Land Use/Land Cover Change, 2014 – 2018, Virginia Beach, Virginia

.

2013/2014 NAIP

2017/2018 NAIP

Land Use / Land Cover Change, 2013-2018



http://lulc-1718.cicapps.org/

Impervious Cover, 2017 (accum. %)

PctWsIMP17 0% - 2.5% 2.6% - 5% 5.1% - 10% 10.1% - 20% 20.1% - 68.3%

Impervious Cover Change, 2013-17 (accum. %)



Phase 7 Hydrography

Lower Susquehanna Example

CBP Hyper-Resolution Streams, 1:2000 scale (Lower Susquehanna Example)



Planned Attributes:

- Channel type (gully, ditch, stream, etc.)
- Bank height
- Channel width
- Floodplain width
- Entrenchment ratio
- Flow permanence probability
- Stream order
- Drainage area

2x difference in stream density (2K vs 24K hydrography)



2017 High-res Land Use + Hyper-res Hydrography (2K)

EPA MID-ATLANTIC REGION

Contact Info:

Peter R. Claggett, Research Geographer Lower Mississippi-Gulf Water Science Center U.S. Geological Survey 1750 Forest Drive Suite 130 Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Cell: 443-370-5724 Email: pclagget@chesapeakebay.net