Risk and Environmental Justice (EJ) Screening for Teaching, Research & Beyond

Troy D. Abel, PhD, Professor Jonah White, MS, Doctoral Candidate Department of Urban & Environmental Planning & Policy



MAKE WAVES.

My topics today

1. City profiles with EJ Screening and Mapping Tool (EJScreen) and Risk-Screening Environmental Indicators (RSEI)

2. Seattle's riskscape

3. Ground truthing Seattle's toxic pollution

Good research leads to more questions than answers.

So does a good screening tool.

Teaching Risk and EJ Screening

- 1. Did you find pollution disparities in your city?
- 2. Describe one socioeconomic and environmental indicator between facilities with the highest and lowest risk scores in your city.
- 3. Does your evidence support or refute any of our course readings?

Social Justice and the City David Harvey



Groups used EJScreen to profile a city's demographic & environmental conditions.

Variable/Indicator	Value (%)	State percntle	Region percntle	National percntle
Demographic index	29	58	59	47
People of color	36	65	72	54
Low income	21	46	39	36
Unemployment rate	4	50	48	48
Linguistically isolated	5	71	76	70
Less than high school education	5	43	40	31
Under age 5	5	37	38	39
Over age 64	12	15	16	16

Variable/Indicator	Value (%)	State percntle	Region percntle	National percntle
Particulate matter-2.5 (µg/m³)	7.7	51	38	25
Ozone (ppb)	31.7	23	14	5
Diesel particulate matter (µg/m³)	0.707	95	90-95	95-100
Air toxics cancer risk	39	88	90-95	95-100
Air Toxics Respiratory hazard index	0.54	77	80-90	95-100
Hazardous waste proximity	8.7	94	96	97

Seattle WA profile

Then, they profiled city facilities with RSEI

Facility Name	RSEI Score	2020 Industry median	TRI Pounds	
Alaskan Copper Works	6,378,399	63	22,100	
Ardagh Glass	6,212,946	95	9,841	
Young Corp	3,780,749	2,076	26,014	
Asko Processing	6	27	67,883	
Delta Marine	2	210	6,079	
Glacier NW	2	0	17,532	
Total for City	18,425,222	n/a	58,756,062	

Finally, they performed **buffer analysis** for the six facilities. Here, we display **Ardagh Glass**

Variable/Indicator	1-mile (%)	State percntle	Region percntle	USA percntle
Demographic index	33	68	69	54
People of color	45	75	81	61
Low income	21	48	40	38
Unemployment rate	5	56	55	54
Linguistically isolated	2	55	61	57
Less than high school education	7	53	51	41
Under age 5	5	42	42	44
Over age 64	13	47	45	43
Particulate matter-2.5 (μg/m³)	7.79	60	44	28
Ozone (ppb)	31.9	28	18	5
Diesel particulate matter (µg/m³)	0.718	95	90-95 th	95-100 th
Air toxics cancer risk	40	92	90-95 th	95-100 th
Respiratory hazard index	0.5	72	70-80 th	95-100 th
Hazardous waste proximity	14	97	98 th	97th

Research for Seattle's segregated riskscape

https://toxicnews.org/2019/02/21/seattles-segregated-riskscape/

If you've seen Seattle, you probably recognize this view



This is an EJScreen view of Seattle's Segregated Riskscape

NE 65th Sto

NE 65th Sto

NWM arket



But remember, RSEI and EJScreen are screening tools.



Air quality in the DRV is not like the rest of Seattle.

- 1. Houston and surrounding Harris County is one of the most industrialized metropolitan areas hosting 541 industrial polluters reporting to the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) between 2011 and 2020. Air pollution releases exceeded 112.8 million pounds. Conversely, 71 industrial polluters between 2011 and 2020 called King County Washington home.
- 2. Using existing monitoring data, our research showed that Duwamish River Valley particle pollution (PM_{2.5}) was more like the heavily industrialized port city of Houston Texas than the rest of Seattle.





Our Oregon friends know this well.

The Pregonian

Map shows arsenic pollution in five morePortland neighborhoodsBy Rob Davis
Feb. 11, 2016

The New York Times

Toxic Moss in Portland, Ore., Shakes City's Green Ideals

Moss doesn't lie

Ground truthing in Seattle

Arsenic (As), Cobalt (Co), Nickel (Ni) Maps in the Duwamish River Valley Combined 2019 & 2021 Moss sample concentrations and Kriging interpolation



Arsenic



Cobalt



Nickel

Cadmium (Cd), Chromium (Cr), Lead (Pb) Maps Combined 2019 & 2021 Moss samples







Chromium





Replicating Jovan et al. 2022 using Kriging.

Using statistical technique Principal Components Analysis (PCA) on the six metals (As, Cd, Cr, Co, Pb, Ni) plus other metals, two components were identified.

One reflected the combination of As, Cr, Co, Pb, Ni and the other Cd.

This map displays the interpolation of the first component or metals index excluding cadmium. I also include the location of 2 unregulated scrap metal recovery & recycling operations (Seattle Iron & Metals and Bloch Steel Industries) and Ardagh Glass in between.





Closer look at cadmium

Again, using a similar kriging method as Jovan et al. (2022), this is the interpolated cadmium contours with individual concentrations.

But then look at the highest concentrations along the river. Connect the dots. A cadmium hotspot was linked to a stainedglass manufacturer in a Portland study that inspired our moss study.



Acknowledgements

- Grants from The Nature Conservancy, EPA (assistance agreement 01J78901-0), and United States Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Forest Service (assistance 21-DG-11062765-708) enabled our research along with the support of the Duwamish Valley Youth Corps; the Duwamish River Community Coalition; and WWU's Department of Urban & Environmental Planning & Policy.
- Our research has not been formally reviewed by the EPA or USDA nor peerreviewed for publication. The views expressed in this document are solely those of Dr. Troy D. Abel and doctoral candidate Jonah White. EPA does not endorse any products or commercial services mentioned in this publication.

