

EPA Tools & Resources Training Webinar: The Web-based Interspecies Correlation Estimation (Web-ICE) tool for Ecological Risk Assessment

Sandy Raimondo US EPA Office of Research and Development

13 October 2022





Presentation Outline

- Challenges of evaluating environmental impacts of chemicals
 - Ecological Risk Assessments (ERA) in the US
- How Web-ICE can help
 - Overview of ICE models
 - Examples and case studies
- Tool demonstration
- 2023 Web-ICE updates





Chemicals in the Environment

- More than 80,000 chemicals are or have been used in the US*
 - Pesticides increase food yield
 - Personal care products
 - Industrial chemicals used to make plastics, homewares, etc
 - Fire resistant coatings, suppressants ("forever chemicals")
 - Additives for aesthetics
- Chemicals are released into all environmental compartments
 - E.g., air, water, sediment, biota
 - Intentional release (e.g., pesticides, wastewater)
 - Accidental release (oil spills, industrial leakage)
 - Byproducts (mining, fossil fuel drilling)











Ecological Risk Assessment



EPA is responsible for protecting human health and the environment, ensuring clean air, land, and water.

Ecological Risk Assessment (ERA) is the process for evaluating how likely it is that the environment might be impacted as a result of exposure to one or more environmental stressors, including chemicals.

- Where is a chemical found in the environment?
- How much of the chemical is there?
- What does the chemical do to animals and plants where it is located?
- What chemical concentrations causes adverse effects to animals and plants?
- What chemical concentrations are likely to be environmentally "benign" for diverse species?

"The dose makes the poison"



ERA in US Environmental Protection

- Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, Rodenticide Act (FIFRA)
- Clean Water Act (CWA)
- Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA)
- Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA/Superfund)
- Endangered Species Act (ESA)









THE FRANK R. LAUTENBERG CHEMICAL SAFETY FOR THE 21STCENTURY ACT

Amending the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) of 1976

Under the new act, the EPA would be required to review the safety of all new and existing chemicals and

would have to take action under

strict deadlines.



Biodiversity Challenges in ERA

- Biodiversity is critical for a healthy environment*
 - > 140,000 invertebrates
 - ~ 3000 species of vertebrates
 - > 18,000 species of plants
 - > 1300 threatened or endangered
- Sensitivity of a chemical is often tested only on a few surrogate species







How Web-ICE Can Help

Interspecies Correlation Estimation (ICE) models estimate acute toxicity* to untested taxa (species, genus, family) from the known toxicity of a surrogate species

ICE in ERA

- Model estimates increase biodiversity in toxicity database
- Direct toxicity estimation for endangered species
- Allows for species sensitivity comparisons



Rainbow trout



Atlantic salmon



What Are ICE Models?

Log-linear models of the relationship between the acute toxicity of chemicals tested in two species.

- Each ICE models is a relationship of inherent sensitivity between two species
- 2. Based on standardized database of existing test data
- 3. Extensive model validation and uncertainty analyses show high prediction accuracy when following user guidance





How Well Do ICE Models Work?

17,416 data points validated through leave-one-out cross-validation (v3.3)

| Shared | _ | Percentage within predicted range (v3.3) | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|--|---------|---------|-----------|
| taxonomic level | Significant models (N) | 5-fold | 10-fold | 50-fold | > 50-fold |
| Genus (1) | 444 | 95 | 99 | 100 | 0 |
| Family (2) | 1144 | 92 | 98 | 100 | 0 |
| Order (3) | 430 | 87 | 98 | 100 | 0 |
| Class (4) | 5734 | 77 | 87 | 97 | 100 |
| Phylum (5) | 1658 | 62 | 76 | 93 | 100 |
| Kingdom (6) | 8006 | 55 | 70 | 89 | 100 |

Interlaboratory variation of acute toxicity

- ~11-fold average (max/min)
- Can range over two orders of magnitude (>100 –fold)



User Guidance for Robust Predictions

High confidence, robust predictions associated with models containing:

- High R² (>~ 0.6)
- Low Mean Square Error (MSE; <~ 0.95)
- High slope (>~ 0.6)

Consistent & Reproducible

- 4 versions of ICE models for aquatic animals developed since 2007
- All version predict with same accuracy
- Different datasets
- Aquatic & wildlife





Web-ICE Application

- An internet application developed by the US EPA in 2007
- Publicly available collection of models (v3.3):
 - 3300 aquatic animal species, general, and families
 - 850 mammal and bird species and families
 - 100 algae species and genera
- Contains modules to:
 - Estimate toxicity to a single taxa
 - Derive acute hazard levels from diverse taxa
 - Estimate endangered species sensitivity
- Applicable to ERAs conducted under all regulatory statutes





Case Study Demonstrations

- 1. National Water Quality Criteria (WQC)
 - EPA Office of Water (OW) under Clean Water Act (CWA)
 - Water quality benchmarks for the "Forever Chemical" PFOA (2022, draft)
- 2. Endangered Species Assessment for Aluminum in Oregon
 - Region 10 under CWA and the Endangered Species Act (ESA)
 - Aluminum WQC in Oregon (2020)
- 3. Chemical Evaluation under Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA)
 - Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT) under TSCA
 - Data "poor" and data "rich" scenarios









National Water Quality Criteria (WQC)

- EPA develops criteria for determining levels protective of humans and aquatic life using the latest scientific knowledge.
- These criteria are recommendations; state and tribal governments adopt these criteria or use them as guidance in developing their own.
- Criteria are a scientific assessment of ecological effects.
- EPA bases aquatic life criteria on how much of a chemical can be present in surface water before it is likely to harm aquatic animals, plants, and aquatic-dependent wildlife.
- EPA aquatic life criteria protect both freshwater and saltwater organisms from short-term and long-term exposure.
- For more information: <u>https://www.epa.gov/wqc</u>









WQC Minimum Data Requirements (MDRs)

<u>Freshwater</u>

- A The family Salmonidae
- B A second family of Osteichthyes¹ preferably a commercially or recreationally important warmwater species
- C A third family in the phylum Chordata²
- D A planktonic crustacean
- E A benthic crustacean
- F An insect
- G A family in a phylum other than Arthropoda³ or Chordata
- H A family in any order of insect or any phylum not already represented

<u>Saltwater</u>

- A Family in the phylum Chordata
- B Family in the phylum Chordata
- C Either the Mysidae or Penaeidae family
 Family in a phylum other than Arthropoda or Chordata
- E Family in a phylum other than Chordata
- F Family in a phylum other than Chordata
- G Family in a phylum other than Chordata
- H Any other family

¹Bony fish; ²Vertebrates and relatives; ³Invertebrates with exoskeleton



Fulfilling the MDRs for PFAS Chemicals

2022 Draft Aquatic Life Criteria for Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA)

https://www.epa.gov/wqc/aquatic-life-criteria-perfluorooctanoic-acid-pfoa#2022

D

Freshwater

- A The family Salmonidae
- B A second family in the Osteichthyes, preferably a commercially or recreationally important warmwater species
- C A third family in the phylum Chordata
- D A planktonic crustacean
- E A benthic crustacean
- F An insect
- G A family in a phylum other than Arthropoda or Chordata
- H A family in any order of insect or any phylum not already represented

<u>Saltwater</u>

- A Family in the phylum Chordata
- B Family in the phylum Chordata
- C Either the Mysidae or Penaeidae family
 - Family in a phylum other than Arthropoda
 - or Chordata
- E Family in a phylum other than Chordata
- F Family in a phylum other than Chordata
- G Family in a phylum other than Chordata
- H Any other family





Fulfilling the MDRs for PFAS Chemicals





Endangered Species Assessments



- Under ESA, federal actions cannot jeopardize listed species
 - Pesticide and chemical registration
 - Water quality criteria
- ERAs must focus on endangered species when and where they may co-occur with federal action
- Aluminum Water Quality Criteria (WQC) in Oregon (2020)
 - <u>Federal action</u>: to establish aluminum water quality criteria to protect aquatic life in freshwaters under the jurisdiction of the State of Oregon.
 - <u>Consultation</u>: 18 listed species
 - <u>Link</u>: https://gaftp.epa.gov/region10/ORAI/Revised_BE/Main_010220_clean.pdf



The Green Sturgeon and Aluminum

Green Sturgeon (Acipenser medirostris)

- Anadromous: live in both fresh and saltwater of the Pacific Northwest
- Spawning and juvenile rearing in rivers
- Migration to saltwater to feed, grow, and mature, returning to freshwater to spawn
- Long-lived, slow-growing fish
- Listed as threatened under ESA in 2006



Aluminum (Al)

- One of the most common, naturally occuring elements
- Sources of Al in Oregon:
 - Mining and other activities that release it from soils
 - Urban stormwater, industrial discharge, wastewater effluent, agriculture/forestry, atmospheric deposition
- Non-essential to wildlife
- Can affect ability to regulate ions, like salts, and inhibit respiratory functions, like breathing.
- Can accumulate on the surface of fish gills, leading to respiratory dysfunction, and possibly death.



Effects of Al on Green Sturgeon

- Al toxicity data were not available for any species within the Order Acipenseriformes
- 15 surrogate species were available to predict to the genus *Acipenser* in Web-ICE v3.3
- The Rainbow trout-to-*Acipenser* ICE model was selected based on model guidance
 - Rainbow trout acute value = $3,312 \mu g/L$
 - Acipenser Genus Mean Acute Value (GMAV) of 3,593 μg/L

GMAV/adjustment factor = LC05

- Conclusions based on this value*:
 - The Criterion Continuous Concentration was protective of the Green sturgeon
 - The action would result in a slight increase in mortality if exposed to the criterion *maximum* concentration





TSCA Chemical Evaluation

As amended by the Frank R. Lautenberg Chemical Safety for the 21st Century Act:

 Requires EPA to evaluate existing chemicals with clear and enforceable deadlines

$\textbf{Prioritization} \rightarrow \textbf{Risk Evaluation} \rightarrow \textbf{Risk Management}$

- Risk evaluations determine whether a chemical presents an unreasonable risk to health or the environment under the conditions of use
- EPA must have at least 20 chemical risk evaluations ongoing at any given time on High-Priority Substances
- No MDRs, ERAs typically based on limited toxicity data
- Follow the EPA ERA paradigm





Hazard Assessments

Chemicals

Compound A:

- Flame retardant and plasticizer
- Hazardous Substance List, regulated as a workplace hazard
- Data "rich"

Compound B:

- Gasoline additive, solvent for resins, gums, and waxes
- Data "poor"

THE FRANK R. LAUTENBERG CHEMICAL SAFETY FOR THE 21STCENTURY ACT

Amending the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) of 1976 Under the new act, the EPA would be required to review the safety of all new and existing chemicals and would have to take action under strict deadlines.



Standard Methodologies

Species Sensitivity Distribution

- Cumulative probability distribution of species sensitivity
- Hazardous Substance List, regulated as a workplace hazard
- Data "rich"

Assessment Factor (AF) Approach

- Identify most sensitive species
- Divide by AF (i.e., 5)



Chemical A: "Data rich"

1

Measured acute toxicity:

- Mysid shrimp 1.
- Amphipod 2.
- Midge (2 species) 3.
- 4. Rainbow trout
- 5. Goldfish
- Daphnia 6.
- 7. Fathead minnow
- 8. Bluegill
- 9. Medaka
- Zebrafish 10.



Chironomus 0.9 Danio rerio 0.8 Opyzias latipe 0.7 **Cumulative Probability** Lepomis macrochilus 0.6 Daphnia magna 0.5 imephales promelas Carassius auratus 0.4 Oncorhynchus mykiss 0.3 onomus ripanus 0.2 HC5 =0.1 Hazardous concentration 0 of 5th percentile Toxicity Value (Log10[EC50]) mg/L

Species Sensitivity Distribution (SSD)



Chemical A Supplemented by ICE





Chemical B: "Data poor"





Chemical B Supplemented by ICE



Toxicity Value (Log10[EC50]) mg/L



Web-ICE Tutorial



www3.epa.gov/webice



Web-ICE Version 3.4 Coming in 2023!

• Updated models for aquatic animals:

| | Models | | Таха | |
|-------------|--------|------|------|------|
| Model level | v3.3 | v3.4 | v3.3 | v3.4 |
| Species | 1550 | 2294 | 126 | 175 |
| Genus | 854 | 1077 | 43 | 66 |
| Family | 887 | 1350 | 41 | 66 |

| Таха | New Species in v3.4 |
|------------|---------------------|
| Amphibian | 2 |
| Bryozoan | 3 |
| Crustacean | 24 |
| Fish | 6 |
| Insect | 3 |
| Worm | 14 |

 Additional species and models significantly advance the ability to reduce animal testing for acute toxicity through interspecies extrapolations.





Special Thanks

EPA Office of Research and Development Crystal Lilavois Lexi Nelson

EPA Office of Water Mike Elias Kathryn Gallagher Jim Justice



US EPA Region 9 Mimi Soo-Hoo

US EPA Region 10 Andrea Latier Mark Jankowski

EPA Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics

Karen Eisenreich

Kellie Fay Kara Koehrn











Sandy Raimondo

Senior Research Ecologist Center for Environmental Measurement and Modeling US EPA Office of Research and Development <u>Raimondo.sandy@epa.gov</u> 850-934-2424



Visit Web-ICE at: www3.epa.gov/webice

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the US EPA. Any mention of trade names, products, or services does not imply an endorsement by the US Government or EPA. EPA does not endorse any commercial products, services, or enterprises.