Technical Appendix E

Derivation of Stack Parameter Data

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	
2	General Derivation of Stack Parameter Values	
	2.1 National Emissions Inventory (NEI) Data	
	2.1.1 Facility-specific parameter values from NEI	
	2.1.2 Industry and overall median parameter values from NEI	
	2.2 Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI)	
	2.2.1 Matching the EPRI Dataset to TRI Facilities	6
	-	
3	Results for Current RSEI Version (2.3.11)	

1 Introduction

In July 1997, EPA's Science Advisory Board (SAB) reviewed and commented on the methodology used in the Risk-Screening Environmental Indicators (RSEI) model developed by EPA. In response to one of SAB's comments, EPA sought to improve the estimate of facility stack height used in modeling air emissions of Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) chemicals and chemical categories. The sensitivity analysis of the air emission modeling used in the RSEI model demonstrated that stack height has the greatest impact on predicted concentrations of air pollutants. At the time of SAB's review, all stacks in the model were assumed to be 10 meters high. Also at that time, all exit gas velocities, which represent the second most important variable impacting air emissions modeling, were assumed to be 0.01 meter/second, and stack diameter was assumed to be 1 meter. As EPA began improving the accuracy of stack height estimates, the Agency determined that it could also readily improve the estimation of exit gas velocities and stack diameters.

When utilities (coal- or oil-burning facilities in Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) codes 4911, 4931, and 4939) were added for TRI Reporting Year 1998, additional work was done to accurately characterize these stacks, as they were presumed to be generally taller than other facilities' stacks. Data were obtained from the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) for these facilities, as described in Section 2.2 below.

The first analysis and construction of a stack height database was performed in early 1999, as fully described in *Estimates of Stack Heights and Exit Gas Velocities for TRI Facilities in OPPT's Risk-Screening Environmental Indicators Model* (June 1999, published as Part C of Analyses Performed for the Risk-Screening Environmental Indicators). For several years, RSEI stack data combined data from the AIRS Facility Subsystem (AFS) database within the Aerometric Information Retrieval System (AIRS); (2) the National Emission Trends Database (NET); (3) EPRI; and (4) State-level databases from California, New York, and Wisconsin. See Technical Appendix E from RSEI Version 2.3.4 for details. With the Version 2.3.5 update, the method was modified to rely solely on the National Emissions Inventory (NEI) and EPRI, and new data from the 2011 NEI were pulled and processed. For Version 2.3.8, the method was revised again to make better use of all the versions of NEI available.

2 General Derivation of Stack Parameter Values

RSEI uses stack parameter data from several sources.¹ The following sections describe each source and how it is used in the model.

¹ The following descriptions apply to TRI reporting facilities. For off-site facilities (i.e., non-TRI reporting facilities), RSEI applies overall median values (see section 2.1.2).

2.1 National Emissions Inventory (NEI) Data

EPA's Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS) compiles the National Emissions Inventory (NEI) for hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) and criteria air pollutants (CAPs). The NEI for HAPs is compiled in order to support the EPA air toxics programs and to quantify the success of the Clean Air Act (CAA) programs in reducing emissions and human health and environmental risk due to HAPs emissions. Title I, section 110 of the CAA requires states to submit emission inventories for CAPs as part of their State Implementation Plans. The NEI contains estimates of facility-specific HAP and CAP emissions and their source-specific parameters necessary for modeling such as location and facility characteristics (stack height, exit velocity, temperature, etc.). Because complete source category coverage is needed, the NEI contains estimates of emissions from stationary point, nonpoint, and mobile source categories. EPA performs numerous quality assurance checks on the NEI data, and estimates missing data or uses default values in some cases.

EPA collects and processes data for the NEI every three years; RSEI uses data from the 2005, 2008, 2011, 2014, and 2017 versions. This method that picks the most appropriate NEI year based on the last year of emissions reporting, was first used for RSEI Version 2.3.8. The sections below describe the method by which facility-specific, industry-level, and overall parameter values are calculated from NEI data.

2.1.1 Facility-specific parameter values from NEI

Each NEI year is processed separately. For the 2008, 2011, 2014, and 2017 NEI versions, EPA starts with the raw NEI point data. For 2005, the raw data were no longer available, so a previously processed dataset with medians already calculated and matched to TRI reporting facilities is used.

First, for 2008, 2011, 2014, and 2017, the release point records were filtered for "eligible" stacks. The following stacks were dropped:

- Any stack that does not report a TRI-reportable chemical or chemical category. The NEI category 'VOCs' (volatile organic compounds) is included as a surrogate for the TRI chemical category polycyclic aromatic compounds (PACs).
- Any stack that does not report emissions of at least 5% of the total TRI-reportable and VOC emissions for the facility. This excludes a substantial number of stacks that release trace amounts of TRI chemicals with large amounts of criteria pollutants.

EPA then uses the set of eligible stacks for each year to calculate the median stack parameter values across eligible stacks for each facility, keeping NEI data years separate.

To then assign a facility-specific value to each TRI facility, EPA first calculates the latest year of

stack emissions reported to TRI (field name MaxStackYear). EPA then matched facilities between TRI and NEI using an NEI-TRI crosswalk developed for EPA's Combined Air Emissions Reporting project,² as well as EPA's <u>Facility Registry Service (FRS)</u> linkages, which maintain the relationships between the various program identifiers at EPA (in this case, the TRI identifier and the NEI identifier). Using the crosswalk and linkages, EPA looked up each TRI reporting facility in the NEI data and assigned stack parameters using the following hierarchy:

- 1. NEI 2017 facility-specific (if MaxStackYear>2014)
- 2. NEI 2014 facility-specific (if MaxStackYear>2011 and =<2014)
- 3. NEI 2011 facility-specific (if MaxStackYear>2008 and =<2011)
- 4. NEI 2008 facility-specific (if MaxStackYear>2005 and =<2008)
- 5. NEI 2005 facility-specific (if MaxStackYear<2005)
- 6. If no data are found using the above steps, then the hierarchy search is used again without the MaxStackYear constraint in the following order: NEI 2017, NEI 2014, NEI 2011, NEI 2008, and NEI 2005.

2.1.2 Industry and overall median parameter values from NEI

All TRI facilities did not match into the NEI database for various reasons, including: 1) TRI covers facilities reporting from 1988-2018, while the triennial NEI only covers facilities reporting in the data years 2005, 2008, 2011, 2014, and 2017; 2) The crosswalk and EPA FRS linkages may be incomplete; 3) EPA attempts to match all TRI reporting facilities, although some may not report stack air releases; and 4) TRI and NEI reporting requirements differ.

For TRI facilities that could not be matched, EPA used the constructed database of eligible stacks to calculated median parameter values for each 4-digit North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code reported by NEI facilities for 2017 only. Only 4-digit NAICS codes with five or more facilities reporting to NEI with eligible stacks were included in these calculations. The median parameter values across all eligible stacks were also calculated, and used as the overall default values assigned to a TRI-reporting facility (and to a non-TRI reporting off-site facility) if the facility could not be matched and no valid NAICS-level stack parameters are available.

2.2 Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI)

This section presents the method by which stack parameters for electric utilities were estimated from data provided by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI). TRI Reporting Year 1998

² More information can be found in the <u>TRI/NEI/SLT Team Summary Report</u> for Phase 1 CAER.

was the first year that electric utility facilities were subject to TRI reporting.³ inventory and this method for assigning stack parameters to electric utilities process is repeated annually with each new release of TRI data. Since electric utilities have inherently different characteristics from other types of TRI facilities and may significantly contribute to potential health related impacts, it is important be as accurate as possible in representing the parameters for these facilities.

EPA received two electric utility stack data files from the EPRI:

- 1. Stk599.xls containing information on *all* of the electric utilities selling electricity; and
- 2. Corrected final stack file.xls (cfsf) containing more recent information on all of the *coal-fired* electric utilities.

The two EPRI files were combined, and as many facilities as possible were matched to the TRI facility database. For TRI facilities in the electric utilities SIC codes that could not be matched to a specific facility in the EPRI database, median parameters of all the relevant unmatched facilities in the EPRI database were assigned. These steps are described in more detail in the sections below.

Each EPRI file contains stack parameter data, including stack height, stack diameter, exit-gas velocity, chemical emitted, temperature and flow, as well as facility data including plant name, owner name, and latitude/longitude. In these files, unique records are comprised of unique plant-boiler-stack combinations (similar to NEI); consequently, there are many records for each facility. The original file contained 3,275 records, and the corrected file of just coal-fired electric utilities contained 869 records.

First, stacks with zero chemical emissions were eliminated from both datasets. Since TRI only requires coal- or oil-fired utilities to report, facilities that used only gas were also eliminated from stk599.xls (gas-fired utilities were not included in the second file at all). The two files were combined, with data from the second file used whenever there were valid data on the same facility in both files. This resulted in a dataset of almost 1200 records, consisting of 575 unique facilities.

2.2.1 Matching the EPRI Dataset to TRI Facilities

The EPRI facilities were originally matched to the TRI dataset for reporting year 1998, the first year of electric utility reporting. In that year, 604 facilities reported under SIC codes 4911, 4931, or 4939 (SIC codes were used at that time for TRI reporting instead of the now used NAICS codes). Because there was no unique identifier between the two datasets, the matches were performed by considering plant name, state, and latitude/longitude. Much of the matching was

³ Electric utility facility reporting is limited to facilities that combust coal and/or oil for the purpose of generating electricity for distribution in commerce.

done by manual assignment. Ultimately, 414 facilities were matched. For these facilities, the median value of each facility's stacks for stack height, stack diameter, and exit-gas velocity were entered into the model's facility database. These facilities can be identified in the RSEI "Facility" table by the source code 'EPRI fac' (meaning facility-specific).

After the match was done, some TRI facilities with electric utility SIC codes could not be matched to specific facilities in the EPRI datasets. For these facilities, median values of all stacks from the unmatched EPRI facilities were used. Table E-1 shows the results of this exercise. The numbers in the last column in bold under 'Median EPRI data of all stacks' show the median values that are used as the default in the RSEI model for unmatched electric utilities.

Stack parameter	All Stk599 data	Matched Stk599 and TRI facilities	Unmatched Stk599 and TRI facilities
Number of stack-boiler pathways	2,869	2,309	560
Number of facilities	575	414	161
Average number of stack- boiler pathways per facility Median EPRI data of all stacks	5	6	3
Median stack height (m)	117	128	59
Median stack diameter (m)	5	5	4
Median stack velocity (mps)	23	23	17
Median stack temperature (°F)	290	290	288
Median stack flow (cmps)	26,272	29,934	12,955

Table E-1Results of Facility Matching between EPRI and TRI Datasets

3 Results for Current RSEI Version (2.3.11)

The stack parameters were assigned to TRI reporting facilities based on the following hierarchy:

- 1. EPRI stack parameter values, based on facility match (for electric utilities only).
- 2. NEI stack parameter values, based on facility match (as described previously).
- 3. EPRI stack parameter values, based on default median value for unmatched facilities (for electric utilities only).
- 4. NEI 2017 stack parameter values, based on 4-digit NAICS match.
- 5. NEI 2017 stack parameter values, based on overall default value.

Table E-2 shows the stack parameter sources for the facilities reporting to TRI. Note that stack heights are assigned to all facilities, even if they currently have no reported stack releases. Parameters are expressed to the nearest tenth.

 Table E-2

 Sources for Stack Parameters Used in RSEI Version 2.3.11, RY 2021

Stack Parameter Source	TRI Reporting Facilities		TRI Reporting Facilities with Stack Releases	
Stack rarameter Source	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total
EPRI Facility Specific	405	1%	403	1%
NEI 2017 Facility Specific	10,855	17%	9,893	25%
NEI 2014 Facility Specific	1,540	2%	1,372	3%
NEI 2011 Facility Specific	1,240	2%	1,090	3%
NEI 2008 Facility Specific	1,010	2%	906	2%
NEI 2005 Facility Specific	6,365	10%	5,569	14%
NEI 2017 4-Digit NAICS	40,627	65%	20,971	52%
NEI 2017 Overall Median Values	615	1%	107	<1%
EPRI Median Values	91	<1%	40	<1%
All Facilities	62,748		40,351	

[revised 4/5/2023]