SEPTEMBER 2023

For help accessing this document, email <u>NEI_Help@epa.gov</u>.



A TIME-IN-MODE AND CARRIER TECHNOLOGY MODEL OF EMISSIONS OF METHANE AND CARBON DIOXIDE FROM LNG SHIPPING

US EPA's 2023 International Emissions Inventory Conference Greenhouse Gas Session

KIRSTEN ROSSELOT, P.E. The University of Texas at Austin

Why are we here?

What informs the best pathway for the energy transition?

Is fuel-switching to LNG a GHG win?











GATHERING AND BOOSTING

GAS PROCESSING

TRANSMISSION



LIQUEFACTION

TRANSPORT

REGASIFICATION



END USE

VARIOUS

Do the particulars of the supply chain influence GHG emissions?

Does LNG have a long supply chain?



We'll see this graphic again

imagine that you are a policy maker in a country that has climate goals to meet and wants to minimize purchases of Russian oil and gas and you have to decide how much to incentivize construction of LNG terminals that take 5 years to build

imagine that you are an LNG provider and some of your customers are requiring you to certify the supply chain emissions of your LNG GHG emissions vary by carrier

Make parameterized tools that can easily be updated

> Estimating emissions is not merely an intellectual exercise

There are ways to reduce LNG shipping emissions

Measured data for estimating emissions from carriers are scant

What is LNG?



LNG carriers are like other mobile sources



- Steam: steam and steam reheat
- DFDE/TFDE: dual-fuel and triple-fuel diesel electric
 - SSDR: slow-speed dieser
 - with re-liquefaction plant
- ME-GI: high-pressure MAN B&W M-type electronically controlled gas injection
- X-DF: low-pressure injection Winterthur Gas & Diesel
- **STaGE**: steam turbine and gas engine

LNG carriers are like other mobile sources (Part II)

- Emissions are different for different stages of trips
 - Underway







3-step algorithm for developing estimated emissions

Estimate the boil-off gas generated and assign it to propulsion systems, generators not used for propulsion, and gas combustion units for each of three journey categories (underway by distance traveled, docked and maneuvering by time)



(3)Estimate the CO₂ by balancing the carbon (carbon in BOG carbon in slip = carbon in CO₂)

What is slip?

We based the numbers on on-board operational emission measurements where possible

At present, these data are only available for one modern X-DF carrier making one journey, so...



Make parameterized tools so that adjustments can be made as info rolls in

- Our adjustment factors weren't pulled out of thin air but they aren't backed up by robust volumes of data
- Our tool is designed to accommodate revised information

Example using the spreadsheet tool's user interface

	А	В	C	D	E	F	G	н	l. I	J	К
1		FOLLOW THE NUMBERED STE	ellow)								
2				suggested values	Choc	se fro	om on	e of 5	583 ca	arriers	
3	1)	use drop-down to select specific LNG carrier by vessel name and shipowner, or select an average carrier by propulsion type (fleet averages as of early 2022, based on all carriers taking the Gaslog Galveston's journey)	Arctic Lady Hoegh Mitsubishi	←	delive	ery ye	ar, ca	apacit	y, & p	ropul	sion
4	2)	input the round trip underway voyage distance (not including maneuvering), km	20,211	Use sea-distances.org to get the one-way distance, convert from nautical miles to km, and multiply							ltiply by 2.
5	3)	input the number of days spent maneuvering	1.7	The Gaslog Galveston spent 1.7 days maneuvering during its measurement campaign.							
6	4)	input the number of days docked (for loading, unloading, refueling)	3.3	The Gaslog G	og Galveston spent 3 days docked during its measurement campaign.						
7	5)	input the ballast remaining in containment after unloading at destination (% of carrier capacity)	2.5%	2.5% The Gaslog Galveston had ballast of 2.5% during its measur			neasurement o	campaign.			
8											
9											
10			mole fraction								
11				Methane	Ethane	Propane	iso - Butane	normal - Butane	iso - Pentane	normal - Pentane	Hexane+
12	6)	revise the composition of the LNG, if desired (mole fraction)	LNG composition	0.9549259	0.0423515	0.0020167	0.0002017	0.0002017	0.0001008	0.0001008	0.0001008
13											
14											
15	7)	proceed to the "EMISSION ESTIMATES" tab to review the e									

Example results from the tool



What does the tool tell us about ways to reduce emissions?

- 1. Choose the right carrier
- 2. Minimize the trip distance and/or the days spent maneuvering
- 3. Operate generators at as high a load as possible

What can be done to reduce LNG shipping emissions? – Part I

	number of trips each	required number of carriers	estimated emissions due to delivery of 1.8 million m ³ of LNG/y (per carrier-trip) t/y					
carrier	make in a		methane	COa	CO ₂ eq (when GWP _{20-yr} for methane is 82.5)			
older carrier	12.9	2.38	1,300	150,000	260,000			
hypothetical average carrier	11.2	1.08	880	71,000	140,000			
recently delivered carrier	10.6	1	730	51,000	110,000			

CO₂eq from end use assuming no losses in regasification or transmission and complete combustion is 2 million t/y. There's also a "compound interest effect" up the supply chain.

What can be done to reduce LNG shipping emissions? – Part II



What carrier is this

for?

What can be done to reduce LNG shipping emissions? – Part III

Measured slip vs. engine load for generators



Balcombe et al., 2022

Takeaways

What do I want you to remember from my talk?

What do I most want you to remember?

GHG emissions vary by carrier

Make parameterized tools that can easily be updated

> Estimating emissions is not merely an intellectual exercise

There are ways to reduce LNG shipping emissions

Measured data for estimating emissions from carriers are scant

Next steps

- Use measured emissions from other LNG carrier types that will be available soon (e.g., <u>the FUMES project</u>) to verify or improve estimates made by the tool
- LNG loading emissions including containment cooldown emissions which can begin at sea – need to be measured so that a means of estimating them for varying circumstances can be developed



This work was funded by the University of Texas at Austin

Any questions?

Step 1 – estimate and apportion boil-off gas

		Type of propulsion						
		Steam	TFDE/DFDE	X-DF	ME-GI	STaGE		
Whi	le underway							
	Generators not used	0.020 t/km		0.020 t/km	0.020 t/km X			
	for propulsion while	\times d \times CF _A	0	\times d \times CF _A	$1.12 \times d \times$	0		
	underway	\times CF _{con}		$\times CF_{con}$	$CF_A \times CF_{con}$			
	Propulsion systems (main engines/steam turbines /main generators)	Remaining	All boil-off	Remaining	Remaining	½ to the main generators, ½ to the steam turbine		
While docked								
	Generators	20 t/d \times t _{dock} \times CF _A \times CF _{con}						
	Gas combustion unit	Remaining						
While maneuvering								
	Generators	16 t/d \times t _{man} \times CF _A \times CF _{con}						
	Gas combustion unit	Remaining						
Rosselot – EPA Conf - 20								

Step 2 – estimate ratio of methane slip to boil-off gas

	Generators / engines	Generators	Gas		
Type of	/ turbines used for				combustion
propulsion	propulsion	Underway	Maneuvering	Docked	units
Steam	0.00005	0.083	0.082	0.088	0
DFDE/TFDE	$CF_{eng} \times 0.022$	n/a	0.082	0.088	0
X-DF	CF _{eng} ×0.022	0.083	0.082	0.088	0
ME-GI	CF _{eng} ×0.002	0.083	0.082	0.088	0
STaGE	0.5×0.00005+0.5× CF _{eng} ×0.022	n/a	0.082	0.088	0

Additional considerations not modeled

- the effect of ocean and surface temperature on boil off
- the effect of engine wear and engine load on slip and carbon dioxide emissions
- higher boil-off from sloshing in partly full cargos
- higher boil-off in rough seas compared smooth seas
- load-dependent methane slip from engines and generators
- impacts of wind speed
- the effect of boil-off on composition
- the effect of nitrogen in the vapor space of the LNG containment systems due to use of nitrogen during various process and maintenance activities
- cases where boil-off is forced
- fugitive and venting emissions
- crankcase emissions
- loading and cool-down emissions